

9507 25:

Question: Corresponding wet weight should be shown in the text, to compare with those of zooplankton (approximately, 200–600 ? mg w.w.m⁻³). In that case, please cite the reference for the Organic matter/Chl ratio used for the wet weight calculation

Answer: the ecosystem model outputs are expressed in *mmol N m⁻³*, the conversion to the *mg wet weight* unit is carried out using the ratios: C:N of 133/17, C:chl of 50 and the relationship between the nitrogen and the wet weight defined by Yamaguchi et al. (2005) for North western Pacific plankton as follow:

$$\log_{10}(X[\text{mgNm}^{-3}]) = -2.57 + 1.26\log_{10}(M[\text{mgwwm}^{-3}])$$

Where *M* is the mass that we want to convert and *X* is the result of this conversion. By simplifying this equation we obtain:

$$X[\text{mgwwm}^{-3}] = 10^{\left(\frac{\log_{10}(M[\text{mgNm}^{-3}]) + 2.57}{1.26}\right)}$$

So : 1 mg N m⁻³ → 110 mg ww m⁻³

$$\frac{12 \cdot 133}{14 \cdot 17} \text{ mg C m}^{-3} \rightarrow 110 \text{ mg ww m}^{-3}$$

$$1 \text{ mg C m}^{-3} \rightarrow 16 \text{ mg ww m}^{-3}$$

$$1/50 \text{ mg C m}^{-3} \rightarrow 16 \text{ mg ww m}^{-3}$$

$$\mathbf{1 \text{ mg chl-a m}^{-3} \rightarrow \mathbf{800 \text{ mg ww m}^{-3}}$$

So, to compare the chl-a concentrations reported in Fig.3 with the zooplankton biomasses expressed in *mg wet weight m⁻³*, one has to multiply these values by a factor of 800.

Reference: Yamaguchi, A., Watanabe, Y., Ishida, H., Harimoto, T., Maeda, M., Ishizaka, J., ... & Mac Takahashi, M. (2005). Biomass and chemical composition of net-plankton down to greater depths (0–5800m) in the western North Pacific ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 52(2), 341-353.