Point-by-point responses to the comments

Referee #1

 Lack of scientific novelty. Quite a few studies have already reported that the manure nitrogen or ammonium becomes isotopically enriched during compost and this enrichment has been attributed to ammonia volatilization and nitrogen transformation. They only novel point is that the current study found that the enrichment was stronger in the top zone than in the side and core zones.

Thank you very much for your comment. There have been only a few published studies on isotopically enriched ammonium during manure composting, and none of them have focused on the individual zones of the piles. The 15N values of the samples from different zones enabled us to interpret how nitrogen transformation occurs between pile turnings. To our knowledge this is the first report focusing on this topic. Therefore, we believe that our manuscript has significant novelty and could provide insight into the processes of manure composting and its nitrogen transformation.

Nevertheless, the manuscript is largely based on qualitative analyses while the underlying mechanisms was not presented, i.e., the mechanisms underlying the decrease in nitrous oxide emission (this should be a major objective of this study according to the abstract) following bulking agent use or the greater enrichment in 15N in the top zone of the manure piles (this should be another major objective of this study according to the abstract) following agent, the inside temperature reached more than 60 _C. Normally under such high temperature, nitrification and denitrification or the microbial activities are much low although these processes may take place in some geothermal ecosystems. The decreased emission of nitrous oxide after bulk agent integration may due to decreased nitrification and denitrification. But this needs experiment evidence.

Thank you very much for this helpful comment. We fully agree with you that the temperature is a possible explanation for the mitigation of N2O emission. A previous report suggested that the optimum temperature for nitrification or denitrification was that under a mesophilic condition (Willers et al., 1998), and another report showed that the N2O production rate can be higher under a thermophilic than under a mesophilic condition (Benoit et al., 2015). The high heterogeneity of temperature in different pile zones makes it very difficult to analyze such results. As we have already stated, the mitigation of N2O emission cannot be explained by the present dataset. We added only a few sentences on N2O emission because we did not provide data on N2O in this manuscript. However, we found many interesting phenomena in terms of 15NH4, and therefore we focused on the nitrogen transformation process between the pile turnings.

2) Mistake in methodology. An isotopic mass balance equation is presented as equation (7). The prerequisite to use an isotopic mass balance model is that the isotopic masses in both sides of the equation are balanced. In terms of manure compost, large nitrogen loss (e.g., ammonia volatilization) is usually taking place. For equation (7), ammonia volatilization should at least be included.

Thank you very much for this helpful comment. We agree with you that the isotopic masses on both sides of the equation should be balanced. However, here we cannot put the ammonia volatilization in the equation because we did not measure the 15NH4 of the volatilized ammonia. However, to truly understand this phenomenon we will need to analyze 15NH4 data obtained using the current analysis methods. As a result, the large ammonia volatilization could be one of the major obstacles to a clear explanation of the phenomenon. We believe that our present data suggest some interesting hypotheses about the sequential events between the pile turnings, as stated in the conclusion section.

3) Understandability, clarity and concise. Throughout the manuscript, there are lots of grammar issues which make the paper hard to understand.

Thank you very much for your comment. The original manuscript was already edited by a professional English editing service. We have had the revised manuscript entirely re-edited by this service, and we have attached a certification of this work.

The experiment needs to be more clearly described. In addition, the terms need to be consistent. For example, according to line 19 in page 7580, samples were collected "just before each turning". However, in the following sections or the figures, it seems that samples were collected "just after the turning".

Thank you very much for your comment. We fully agree with you that this can cause confusion for the readers. Actually, samples in each zone (pile top, side and core) should be taken BEFORE each turning because the turnings increase homogenization. Therefore we collected samples from each zone BEFORE each turning. We also collected the homogenized samples AFTER each turning, because the homogenized samples were also needed to understand the changes in the compost piles. We thus collected the samples both before and after the turning events.

For another, in line 1-2 of page 7581, "Total N was measured using raw samples by the Kjeldahl method. The C/N ratio was determined using a C/N analyzer (vario MAX CNS; Elementar, Germany)". So total N was measured using two methods?

Yes, we measured total N in two different ways. A C/N analyzer can miss the ammonium nitrogen, so we considered that it would be best to cross check this parameter using two approaches. We do not believe that this constitutes a limitation of the study design.

In summary, the manuscript needs substantially improvement.

The manuscript was rewritten and, we believe, substantially improved through the help of your insightful comments.

1	Isotopically enriched ammonium shows high nitrogen turnover in the
2	pile top zone of dairy manure compost
3	
4	K. Maeda, ^{1, 2*} S. Toyoda ² , M. Yano ² , S. Hattori ³ , M. Fukasawa ² , K. Nakajima ¹
5	and N. Yoshida ^{3, 4}
6	
7	[1] NARO, Hokkaido Agricultural Research Center, Dairy Research Division, 1 Hitsujigaoka,
8	Sapporo 062-8555, Japan
9	[2] Department of Environmental Science and Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259
10	Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan
11	[3] Department of Environmental Chemistry and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
12	4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8502, Japan
13	[4] Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku,
14	Tokyo 152-8550, Japan
15	
16	*Correspondence to Maeda K (<u>k_maeda@affrc.go.jp</u>)
17	
18	Abstract
19	δ^{15} N-NH ₄ ⁺ levels of dairy manure compost piles with and without bulking agent (10%
20	w/w) were compared to understand the effects of the use of bulking agent on nitrogen
21	conversion during manure composting. The amounts of δ^{15} N-NH ₄ ⁺ in each of three pile
22	zones (top, side and core) were also compared. At the end of the process, piles with
23	bulking agent showed significantly higher δ^{15} N values (17.7±1.3‰) than piles without
24	bulking agent (11.8 \pm 0.9‰), reflecting the significantly higher nitrogen conversion and
25	NH ₃ loss in the former. The samples from the top zone, especially in the piles with
26	bulking agent, showed very high NH ₄ ⁺ concentrations with significantly high ¹⁵ N (δ^{15} N:
27	$12.7\mathchar`-29.8~\%$) values, indicating that extremely high nitrogen conversion,
28	nitrification-denitrification activity of the microbes and NH3 volatilization occurred in
29	this zone.
30	

1 1. Introduction

 $\mathbf{2}$ Nitrogen is one of the most abundant major elements in the Earth's atmosphere. There are two major anthropogenic activities affecting the global nitrogen cycle: energy 3 production and food production (Galloway et al., 2004). Because nitrogen is one of the 4 most important elements for plant nutrition, huge amounts of industrially fixed nitrogen $\mathbf{5}$ are used as fertilizer to improve the productivity of agricultural crops (Tilman et al., 6 7 2002). Current anthropogenic nitrogen input to the environment (160 Tg per year) is already greater than the input from natural biological fixation (110 Tg) on land or in the 8 9 ocean (140 Tg) (Gruber & Galloway, 2008), and the significance of agricultural 10 nitrogen input on the global nitrogen cycle is expected to increase along with the 11 nutritional needs of a growing population. In the livestock production industry, livestock 12intake organic nitrogen from their feed, and produce large quantities of organic nitrogen in the form of manure, a byproduct and potential resource which must be handled 13appropriately to protect the environment (Sharpley et al., 1998). Most of this manure is 14used as organic fertilizer for efficient nutrient cycling, and thus a proper understanding 15of nitrogen flow in the manure management system is critically important. 16

17The nitrogen contained in dairy manure exists mostly as organic nitrogen or NH4⁺. Through the composting process, the heat production by degradation of organic matter 18 19leads to a significant loss of nitrogen into the atmosphere as gaseous ammonia (NH₃) 20(Dämmgen & Hutchings, 2008). Nitrifiers and other families of microorganisms in the 21manure also convert this nitrogen as nitrite (NO₂⁻) or nitrate (NO₃⁻), and both nitrifiers 22and denitrifiers can use them as electron acceptors. They reduce these nitrogen oxides 23into dinitrogen (N₂) and return them to the atmosphere in a process called denitrification 24(Zumft, 1997). Nitrous oxide (N_2O), a greenhouse gas, is emitted through the nitrogen 25conversion in the composting process (Sommer et al., 2009). Because it is known that N₂O has very strong greenhouse effects (298-fold greater than the greenhouse effects of 26CO₂ over a 100-year time horizon; IPCC, 2007), and N₂O is also known to contribute to 2728ozone layer destruction (Ravishankara et al., 2009), these gas emissions must be 29mitigated.

30 With respect to this N₂O emission, our previous studies clarified that nitrification

occurs in the compost surface, and compost turning (mixing by machines) and 1 $\mathbf{2}$ subsequent denitrification can be major sources of N₂O (Maeda et al., 2013b; Maeda et al., 2010b). Also, we have shown that the appropriate use of bulking agents can reduce 3 the N₂O emission significantly (Maeda et al., 2013a). However, the mechanism of this 4 N₂O mitigation is largely unknown. Because bulking agents are generally used to $\mathbf{5}$ increase the supply of oxygen to the compost piles (Jolanun & Towprayoon, 2010), it is 6 7 expected that the increase in oxygen increases nitrification and the subsequent N₂O 8 production.

9 To solve this contradiction, we compared the level of δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ in these composts, 10 because this parameter can be used to track the level of reaction involving NH₄⁺ in the 11 environment (Brooks et al., 1989; Garten Jr, 1992; Yeatman et al., 2001). Because it has 12 already been established that the NO_x⁻ accumulation and the bacterial communities are 13 different in different regions of the pile (Maeda et al., 2010a), we sampled from both the 14 compost side and core independently, and surveyed them into the δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ analysis.

15

16 **2. Materials and Methods**

17 **2.1. Composting experiment**

The composting experiment was performed three times at the Hokkaido Agricultural 18 19Research Center (Sapporo City, Hokkaido): once from 27 May through 21 July in 2010 (Run 1), once from 15 September through 10 November in 2010 (Run 2) and once from 202119 May through 14 July in 2011 (Run 3). The cows were fed orchard grass silage and 22corn silage, oat hay, alfalfa hay, beet pulp and two types of concentrate mixtures to meet 23their digestible energy requirements, as recommended by the Japanese Feeding Standard 24for Dairy Cattle. Lactating Holstein cow excrement and dried grass (Orchard grass; 25Dactylis glomerata) were used in this study to make the compost.

About 4 t of dairy cow excrement and 400 kg of dried grass were mixed to form the treatment pile (pile 1), while the control pile (pile 2) consisted of dairy cow excrement alone. The compost was piled up on a waterproof concrete floor, and turned once every two weeks with a front loader and manure spreader. Each pile had a volume of 7.5 m³ with pile dimensions of 4 m in diameter and 1.8 m in height at the start of the experiment. The temperatures of the compost piles and the ambient air were measured
 hourly using a Thermo Recorder RTW-30S (Espec, Japan).

3

4 **2.2. Chemical analysis of the compost**

 $\mathbf{5}$ Fresh samples (About 1 kg) were taken from each zone (the pile top, side, and core) 6 just before each turning. Samples were also taken just after each turning, at the start and 7the end of the three composting experiments. Samples were homogenized and fresh subsamples were used to measure total solids, volatile solids, inorganic-N, pH and 8 9 electrical conductivity, or stored at -20°C for total nitrogen determination. Total solids 10 (TS) were measured after drying the samples overnight at 105°C, and dried samples 11 were powdered and used for C/N ratio determination. Volatile solids (VS) were 12measured after the samples were processed at 600°C for 1 h. Total N was measured using raw samples by the Kjeldahl method. The C/N ratio was determined using a C/N 13analyzer (vario MAX CNS; Elementar, Germany). 14

To measure inorganic-N, pH and electrical conductivity, 5 g of fresh compost was placed into a 50 ml polypropylene tube with 40 ml of deionized water, then shaken (200 rpm, 30 minutes) and centrifuged (3,000 g, 20 minutes). The supernatant was collected and NH_4^+ , NO_2^--N and NO_3^--N were measured using ion chromatography (ICS-1600; Dionex, USA); pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined with calibrated electrodes (Horiba, Japan).

21

22 **2.3. Determination of** δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ levels and Rayleigh plot analysis

The amount of δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ in the extracted samples or trapped NH₃ samples was determined by the diffusion method (Holmes et al., 1998).

One cm diameter GF/D filters (Whatman, UK) were cut into four pieces, acidified with 26 20 μ l H₃PO₄ (0.02 mM) and sandwiched between 2.5 cm diameter 10 mm pore-size 27 Teflon membranes (Millipore, USA). These filter packs were used as an ammonium 28 trap in the samples. Ten ml of the NH₄⁺-N samples (50 μ g-N) was placed in 15 ml tubes 29 and 0.5 g of NaCl (ashed at 450°C for 8 h) was added. Then a single filter pack was 30 added to the 15 ml tube, and 0.03 g MgO (ashed at 450°C for 8 h) was added to convert

NH4⁺ in the samples into NH3. The 15 ml tubes were incubated at 40°C for 2 weeks 1 $\mathbf{2}$ with stirring at 200 rpm. After incubation, the filter pack was removed from the tubes and dried in a desiccator for 2 days. The dried filter was then recovered and placed in a 3 tin cup. The tin cup containing the filter was then analyzed by an elemental analyzer 4 (EA1110, CE Instruments, Ltd., Wigan, UK) coupled with an isotope ratio mass $\mathbf{5}$ spectrometer (MAT252; ThermoFisher Scientific KK, Yokohama, Japan) to quantify 6 δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ in the samples. Calibration was conducted with IAEA-N1 and IAEA-N₂ 7(NH₄SO₄), and the precision (1 σ) was better than 0.2‰. The δ^{15} N of samples was 8 9 expressed in parts per thousand deviations from the atmospheric N₂ as defined by the 10 following equation:

$$\delta^{15} N(\%) = ((R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}}) - 1) \times 1000, \tag{1}$$

12 where R_{sample} and R_{standard} are the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios of samples and the atmospheric N₂,

13 respectively. Isotopic fractionation factor α was expressed as

14
$$\alpha = R_{\rm B}/R_{\rm A}$$

(2)

15 where R_A and R_B are the isotopic ratio of phase A and B, respectively.

16 Isotopic fractionation can also be described by the enrichment factor ε , which describes 17 the enrichment of the product relative to that of the substrate, and which is also 18 expressed per mil (‰).

$$19 \qquad \varepsilon = (\alpha - 1) \times 1000 \tag{3}$$

The evolution of the isotopic composition is described by a Rayleigh equation with a fractionation factor as follows for 15 N:

22
$$R/R_0 = (1+10^{-3} \,\delta^{15} \text{N}) / (1+10^{-3} \,\delta^{15} \text{N}_0) = ([\text{NH}_4^+]/[\text{NH}_4^+]_0)^{\alpha - 1},$$
 (4)

where R and R_0 are the isotope ratio of samples just before the turning and of the 2324samples just after the previous turning. Since the piles were homogenized at each turning event, the amount of ammonium in a sample just after the previous turning 25event was taken as the "initial" ammonium." $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{15}N_0$ are the respective δ values 2627for the each NH_4^+ . $[NH_4^+]_0$ and $[NH_4^+]$ are the ammonium concentration of the samples 28just after the previous turning event and the samples just before the subsequent turning event, respectively. Using the approximation of $\ln(1+x) \cong x$ with $x \ll 1$, the relationship 29between the difference of δ^{15} N values between pile turnings and the reaction rate of the 30

1 substrate was obtained from equations (3) and (4) as follows:

 $2 \delta^{12}$

 $\delta^{15} \mathbf{N} \cdot \delta^{15} \mathbf{N}_0 = \varepsilon \ln (1 - f) ,$

3 where f is the amount of reacted ammonium between the turning events, defined as f=(1

(5)

4 - $[NH_4^+] / [NH_4^+]_0$).

 $\mathbf{5}$

6 2.4 Keeling plot analysis

The basis of the Keeling plot method is conservation of mass. The ammoniumconcentration of each location of the pile before the pile turnings can be expressed as

$$9 c_b = c_a + c_s (6)$$

where c_b , c_a , and c_s are the ammonium concentration measured in each location of the pile just before the turning, the ammonium concentration just after the previous pile turning, and the additional concentration component produced by the source, respectively. Given conservation of mass, we have

14
$$\delta^{15}N_bc_b = \delta^{15}N_ac_a + \delta^{15}N_sc_s$$
, (7)

where δ^{15} N represents the nitrogen isotope ratio of the ammonium in each sample. By combining equations (6) and (7), we arrive at

17
$$\delta^{15} N_b = c_a \left(\delta^{15} N_a - \delta^{15} N_s \right) \left(1/c_b \right) + \delta^{15} N_s.$$
 (8)

18

19 **2.5 Statistical analysis**

The chemical component data were analyzed by ANOVA using the general linear model procedure described by SAS (SAS Institute, 2001). Tukey's multiple range comparison tests were used to separate the means. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

1 **3. Results**

2 **3.1. Composting experiments**

The temperature of the piles with bulking agent (10% w/w) exceeded 60°C 3 throughout the entire experiment (Fig. S1), while the piles without bulking agent 4 showed significantly lower temperature (below 50°C). The initial weight was $\mathbf{5}$ 6 4,543±137 kg in the piles with bulking agent and 4,136±124 kg in those without bulking agent, and the final turning these values dropped significantly to 1,413±99 kg and 7 1,960±291 kg, respectively (Table 1). The total solids of the piles with and without 8 bulking agent after the composting process were 43.8±11.3% and 23.5±1.8%, 9 10 respectively. The C/N ratios of the piles with and without bulking agent dropped significantly from 23.8±3.3 to 12.8±0.8 and from 22.8±1.2 to 15.6±2.6, respectively. 11 12These parameters all indicate that the organic matter degradation rate was much higher in the piles with bulking agent. 13

Pile top samples (2.8-7.4 mg-N/g TS; pile 1) and core samples (1.0-14.6 mg-N/g TS; 14pile 1) contained higher ammonium concentrations than the pile side samples (0.1-1.8 15mg-N/g TS; pile 1) (Fig. 1A-C). High NO_2^- accumulation was also observed in the pile 1617top samples (0.03-3.8 mg-N/g TS; pile 1), but not in the pile core samples. NO_{3⁻} was also detected in the pile top and side samples, but the concentrations were low (0-0.29 1819mg-N/g TS; pile 1). Although similar trends were observed for pile 2 (Fig. 1D-F), the amount of NH_4^+ in the top region of pile 2 was generally lower (0.15-2.2 mg-N/g TS) 2021than that in pile 1. Accumulations of NO₂⁻ (0.08-2.2 mg-N/g TS) and NO₃⁻ (0.02-0.7 22mg-N/g TS) were also detected in both the top and side samples of pile 2.

23

24 **3.2.** δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ in mixed samples

 δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ values of the mixed samples just after the pile turning events are shown in Fig. 2. All compost runs showed a similar tendency. The initial δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ values were 5.8±2.5‰ and 7.4±3.8‰ for the piles with and without bulking agent, respectively. These values dropped slightly between weeks 0 and 2, to 4.4±2.8‰ and 6.1±2.3‰ for piles with and without bulking agent in all runs, although these changes were not statistically significant. After week 4, these values increased significantly, and at the end of the experiments they reached 17.7±1.3‰ and 11.8±0.9‰ for the piles with and
without bulking agent, respectively. Also, the piles with bulking agent showed higher
values than the piles without bulking agent, and this difference was statistically
significant.

 δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ values were also determined for the pile top, side and core samples, and $\mathbf{5}$ are shown in Fig. 3. The data were expressed as the difference from the mixed samples 6 $\overline{7}$ taken after the pile homogenization. The values for the pile top samples (9.6-22.5‰) were higher than those for the side samples (9.2-11.3%) in both the piles with and 8 without bulking agent. The core samples showed low δ^{15} N-NH₄⁺ values in week 2 9 $(1.7\pm1.0\%)$ and $4.7\pm2.0\%$ for the piles with and without bulking agent, respectively), 10 reflecting the newly formed "light" NH₄⁺-N, which was supplied by the degradation of 11 12organic-N in the manure. On the other hand, the heaviest NH_4^+ (25.4±6.8‰) was also observed in the pile core samples at the end of the experimental period. This 13phenomenon was observed only from the piles with bulking agent. 14

1 4. Discussion

The stable isotope δ^{15} N value of NH₄⁺ in dairy manure compost with and without $\mathbf{2}$ bulking agent was studied to clarify the mechanism of the significant N₂O mitigation 3 achieved using a bulking agent. A decrease in the δ^{15} N value of NH₄⁺ in the first two 4 weeks of composting was observed in both piles, although this result was not observed $\mathbf{5}$ in the previous study (Kim et al., 2008). The discrepancy can be attributed to the supply, 6 7in the present experiments, of newly formed "light" NH4⁺ by the ammonification of 8 organic N, which has a low value ($\alpha = \sim 1.000$) of isotopic fractionation (Högberg, 1997). 9 The weight decrease in the piles with bulking agent $(4,543\pm137 \text{ kg to } 1,413\pm99 \text{ kg})$ was 10 greater than that in the piles without bulking agent $(4,136\pm124 \text{ kg to } 1,960\pm291 \text{ kg})$, indicating that a relatively large amount of "light" NH₄⁺ was supplied to the piles with 11 bulking agent. The δ^{15} N value of NH₄⁺ at the end of the experiments was significantly 12higher in the piles with bulking agent $(17.7\pm1.3\%)$ than in those without bulking agent 13 $(11.8\pm0.9\%)$ (Fig. 2), indicating that the nitrogen transformation rate after the supply of 14newly formed ammonium was much higher in the piles with bulking agent. 15

16In a previous work, we demonstrated that the use of bulking agent clearly reduced the 17greenhouse gas N₂O emission (up to 62.8%) when using the exact same scale and methods of dairy manure composting as used in the present study (Maeda et al., 2013a). 18 Runs 2 and 3 in the previous work were identical to Runs 1 and 2 in this study. However, 1920the present study did not provide a detailed explanation for this result. Our initial 21hypothesis, that the use of bulking agent reduced nitrogen transformation by 22nitrification-denitrification process, leading to lower N2O emission, was not supported 23by the present data. One possible explanation for the difference in the mitigation of N₂O 24emission is the difference of temperature between the treatments, since it is known that the optimum temperature for the nitrifiers in the manure is around 35-40 $^{\circ}$ C, and much 25lower nitrification activity can be observed above 50°C (Willers et al., 1998). The 2627optimum temperature for denitrification and N₂O production can be higher than these 28values (Benoit et al., 2015), but denitrification requires the presence of NO_2^- or NO_3^- for 29electron acceptor. The use of a bulking agent enabled oxygen supply into the pile, which 30could have enhanced the oxidation of ammonium (nitrification), but the high

1 temperature inside the piles (>60°C) inhibited nitrification activity. Piles without a 2 bulking agent showed lower temperature (30-40°C), which could have enhanced the 3 nitrification, denitrification and N₂O emission in the piles without bulking agent. 4 However, the higher nitrogen turnover achieved by other nitrogen transformations, such 5 as NH₃ volatilization, assimilation and re-degradation of the bacterial cells, could have 6 contributed to the higher δ^{15} N value of NH₄⁺ observed in the piles with bulking agent.

Because significantly different concentrations for not only NH4⁺ but also NO2⁻ and 78 NO_3^- were observed every two weeks (Fig. 1), it was suggested that the reactions 9 proceeded in a different manner in each of the pile regions studied. To examine this 10 possibility, we collected samples from each location (pile top, side and core), and confirmed that the NH4⁺ concentration was clearly higher in the top region of the 11 12samples just before the first turning event than in the more homogenous samples after 13the last turning event (Fig. 1). This result might be attributable to the high temperature of pile core, especially in the piles with bulking agent (>60°C). The high temperature 14causes an internal convective airflow even if the piles are not aerated (Barrington et al., 1516 2003; Lynch & Cherry, 1996; Yu et al., 2005), and this air flow can cause the 17transportation of NH₃-N from the specific zone where significant ammonification of organic-N occurs. $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ levels were also determined for these samples, and we found 18 that the ¹⁵N value of NH_4^+ was significantly enriched in the top pile samples (Fig. 3). 1920This finding indicated that the nitrogen turnover rate was very high in the top pile zone, where significantly high NH_4^+ and NO_2^- concentrations were observed. The high NH_4^+ 2122concentrations in the pile top could only be explained by the transformation from the 23pile core, as stated above, but the NH4⁺ in the pile core generally showed depleted $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ (Fig. 3). We therefore performed a Keeling plot analysis to explain the 24phenomenon (Fig. 4A). If there were a single "heavy" ¹⁵NH₄⁺ source, we would expect 25to see a significant regression line between the ¹⁵NH₄⁺ values and inverse ammonium 2627concentration. However, we did not see such a line, indicating that the nitrogen turnover 28and isotope fractionation occurred independently in each location. In turn, this means 29that the nitrogen turnover rate was extremely high in the pile top samples, which showed high NH₄⁺ concentration with highly enriched δ^{15} N values. We can think of two 30

possible explanations for the highly enriched δ^{15} NH₄⁺.One is that the enrichment was due to extremely high nitrification-denitrification activity in these samples, and the other is that it was due to high loss of nitrogen in the gaseous NH₃ state.

Previously Casciotti et al. (2003) reported that biological ammonium oxidation by 4 beta-proteobacterial ammonium oxidizing bacteria (AOB; four Nitrosomonas and one $\mathbf{5}$ Nitrosospira species) has an isotopic effect that ranges from 14.2-38.2%. Another 6 7 family of ammonium oxidizers, ammonium oxidizing archaea (AOA), also show isotopic fractionation during their activity, and this fractionation ranges from 13-41% 8 9 (Santoro & Casciotti, 2011). Because the pH and availability of ammonia is one of the 10 critical drivers partitioning these two ammonium oxidizers (Hatzenpichler, 2012), and manure compost shows high pH values and contains very high NH₄⁺ concentration in 11 12general, AOB rather than AOA seems to be the main oxidizer in the compost (Yamamoto et al., 2012). Because significant amounts of the bacterial amoA gene, 13which is required for ammonium oxidation by AOB, have been detected in both the pile 14top and side, but not in the pile core (Maeda et al., 2010b), the contribution of this gene 15is a possible explanation for the "heavy" ¹⁵NH₄⁺, especially in pile top samples. 16 Therefore we performed a Raleigh plot analysis on our ¹⁵NH₄⁺ data and tried to explain 17these enriched values with nitrification by the microbes (Fig. 4B). However, only some 18 plots were included in the area attributable to nitrification, and thus nitrification alone 19could not be the driving factor for these "heavy" ¹⁵NH₄+-N. 20

21The isotope fractionation for NH₃ volatilization and nitrification are similar, 1.029 22and 1.015-1.035 (Högberg, 1997), respectively. In addition, it has been clearly established that high NH₃ volatilization contributes to the enriched δ^{15} NH₄⁺ during 23cattle manure storage (Lee et al., 2011). Another study reported that NH₄⁺ can easily 24exist in a gaseous state at high pH environment, and the temperature can also influence 25the fractionation (Li et al., 2012). The δ^{15} N values of volatilized NH₃ from compost piles 26on the same scale were very low (-17.9~-13.5‰, unpublished data), and thus it would 27seem that NH₃ volatilization would likely have contributed to these "heavy" NH₄⁺ in the 2829pile top, at least in part.

30 On the other hand, the significant increase in $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ in the latter stage of the process

cannot be explained by NH₃ volatilization, because most of this occurs during their 1 $\mathbf{2}$ initial stage of the process, as we showed previously (Maeda et al., 2013a). Although the relative contributions of NH₃ volatilization and nitrification/denitrification to these 3 δ^{15} NH₄⁺ increases are not clear, it is well known that nitrification occurs mainly during 4 the latter stage of the process (Sanchez-Monedero et al., 2001), and the nitrification $\mathbf{5}$ seems to contribute this increase significantly. Interestingly, highly enriched $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ 6 could be observed from the pile core zone at the end of the experiment in runs 1 and 2. 78 This phenomenon cannot be explained by NH₃ volatilization because of its location in 9 the piles, and thus it could be achieved solely by the nitrification-denitrification process. 10 It is well known that high nitrification can occur in the latter stage of the composting process (Bernal et al., 2009; Parkinson et al., 2004), and the amoA gene could be 11 12detected from the compost core even in the latter stage of the composting process; therefore, high nitrogen conversion by microbes seems likely to have occurred in the 13compost core, and this could contribute to the sharp increase of the $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ of the 14mixed samples. 15

16

17 **5. Conclusion**

18 The $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ measurement of the samples collected from each location of the pile 19 suggested an explanation for what occurred between the turnings. A plausible sequence 20 of events between the pile turnings (Fig. 5) is as follows:

(i) Ammonification of organic N supplies a large amount of "light" ammonium in the
 compost core, where high organic matter degradation activity can be achieved.

(ii) This "light" ammonium is transported to the pile top zone by the upstream airflowgenerated by heat in the compost core zone.

- (iii) Significant nitrification, denitrification and NH₃ volatilization occur in the pile top zone, leading to highly enriched δ^{15} NH₄⁺ in this zone, but these phenomena probably do not occur at significant levels in the pile side zone.
- (ix) The nitrification rate exceeds the denitrification rate, leading to accumulation of NO_2^- in the pile top and side, which in turn contributes to significant denitrification and N₂O emission just after the turning events.

1 On the other hand, the $\delta^{15}NH_4^+$ measurement of piles with and without bulking agent 2 did not explain why N₂O emission could be mitigated by the use of bulking agent, and 3 thus further studies are needed.

4

5 Author Contribution

K.M. and S.T. designed the experiments. K.M., M.Y. and M.F. carried out the
experiments. K.M., S.T. and S.H. analyzed the results. K.M., K.N. and N.Y. wrote the
paper.

9

10 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ms. Atsuko Kobayashi and Kazuha Azumaya for providing the laboratory-based technical assistance. This work was supported by a grant for the "Development of Mitigation and Adaptation Techniques to Global Warming in the Sectors of Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries" from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF), Japan. This work was also supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) to K.M. and a grant from the Global Environment Research Fund (B-094) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan to N. Y.

1 Figure Captions

2 Fig. 1.

NO₂⁻ (white), NO₃⁻ (grey) and NH₄⁺ (black) content of the compost samples from each
location (top, side and core) of the pile and the sample just after the turnings (Mixed).
These contents were determined every two weeks, just before/after the turning events.
A-C indicate the pile 1 of the compost runs 1-3, and D-F indicate the pile 2 of the
compost runs 1-3, respectively. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=3).

8

9 Fig. 2.

10 δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ of the mixed samples just after the turning events. The black bars indicate 11 the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w), and the white bars indicate the compost 12 without bulking agent. A-C indicate the compost runs 1-3. The error bars indicate the 13 standard deviation (n=2).

14

15 **Fig. 3.**

16 δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ of the samples from each compost location (pile top, side and core). The 17 values were expressed as the difference from the mixed samples just after the turning 18 events. Black bars indicate the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w), and the white 19 bars indicate the compost without bulking agent. A-C indicate the compost runs 1-3. 20 The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=3).

21

22 Fig. 4.

Keeling plot (A) and Raleigh plot (B) of the δ^{15} NH₄⁺. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=2). Black symbols indicate the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w) and white symbols indicate the compost without bulking agents. The gray zone indicates the area that can be explained by ammonium oxidation by AOB (ammonia oxidizing bacteria; ϵ =14.2-38.2‰) or AOA (ammonium oxidizing archaea; ϵ =13-41‰).

1 **References**

2 Barrington, S., Choinière, D., Trigui, M., Knight, W. Compost convective airflow under passive aeration. Bioresour. Technol., 86(3),

3 259-266, 2003.

4 Benoit, M., Garnier J., Billen G. Temperature dependence of nitrous oxide production of a luvisolic soil in batch experiments. Proc.

5 Biochem. 50(1), 79–85, 2015.

- Bernal, M., Alburquerque, J., Moral, R. Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A
 review. Bioresour. Technol., 100(22), 5444-5453, 2009.
- Brooks, P., Stark, J.M., McInteer, B., Preston, T. Diffusion method to prepare soil extracts for automated nitrogen-15 analysis. Soil
 Sci. Soc. Am. J., 53(6), 1707-1711, 1989.
- 10 Casciotti, K., Sigman, D., Ward, B. Linking diversity and stable isotope fractionation in ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Geomicrobiol.
- 11 J., 20(4), 335-353, 2003.
- 12 Dämmgen, U., Hutchings, N.J. Emissions of gaseous nitrogen species from manure management: A new approach. Environ. Poll.,
- 13 154(3), 488-497, 2008.
- 14 Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Capone, D.G., Boyer, E.W., Howarth, R.W., Seitzinger, S.P., Asner, G.P., Cleveland, C.C., Green, P.A.,
- 15 Holland, E.A. Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. Biogeochemistry, 70(2), 153-226, 2004.
- 16 Garten Jr, C.T. Nitrogen isotope composition of ammonium and nitrate in bulk precipitation and forest throughfall. Int. J. Environ.

17 Anal. Chem., 47(1), 33-45, 1992.

- 18 Gruber, N., Galloway, J.N. An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle. Nature, 451(7176), 293-296, 2008.
- 19 Hatzenpichler, R. Diversity, physiology, and niche differentiation of ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 78(21),
- 20 7501-7510, 2012.
- Holmes, R.M., McClelland, J.W., Sigman, D.M., Fry, B., Peterson, B.J. Measuring ¹⁵N–NH₄⁺ in marine, estuarine and fresh waters:
 An adaptation of the ammonia diffusion method for samples with low ammonium concentrations. Mar. Chem., 60(3),
 23 235-243, 1998.
- 24 Högberg, P. ¹⁵N natural abundance in soil plant systems. New Phytol., 137(2), 179-203, 1997.
- IPCC. Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I. in: II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
 Panel on Climate Change,(Core Writing Team, Pachauri & Reisinger (eds.)), IPCC. 2007
- Jolanun, B., Towprayoon, S. Novel bulking agent from clay residue for food waste composting. Bioresour. Technol., 101(12),
 4484-4490, 2010.
- Kim, Y.-J., Choi, W.-J., Lim, S.-S., Kwak, J.-H., Chang, S.X., Kim, H.-Y., Yoon, K.-S., Ro, H.-M. Changes in nitrogen isotopic
 compositions during composting of cattle feedlot manure: effects of bedding material type. Bioresour. Technol., 99(13),

1 5452-5458, 2008.

_	
2	Lee, C., Hristov, A.N., Cassidy, T., Heyler, K. Nitrogen isotope fractionation and origin of ammonia nitrogen volatilized from cattle
3	manure in simulated storage. Atmosphere, 2(3), 256-270, 2011.
4	Li, L., Lollar, B.S., Li, H., Wortmann, U.G., Lacrampe-Couloume, G Ammonium stability and nitrogen isotope fractionations
5	for-NH ₃ (aq)-NH ₃ (gas) systems at 20-70° C and pH of 2-13: Applications to habitability and nitrogen cycling in
6	low-temperature hydrothermal systems. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 84, 280-296, 2012.
7	Lynch, N.J., Cherry, R.S. Design of passively aerated compost piles: vertical air velocities between the pipes. Biotechnol. Prog.,
8	12(5), 624-629, 1996.
9	Maeda, K., Hanajima, D., Morioka, R., Osada, T. Characterization and spatial distribution of bacterial communities within passively
10	aerated cattle manure composting piles. Bioresour. Technol., 101(24), 9631-9637, 2010a.
11	Maeda, K., Toyoda, S., Shimojima, R., Osada, T., Hanajima, D., Morioka, R., Yoshida, N. Source of nitrous oxide emissions during
12	the cow manure composting process as revealed by isotopomer analysis of and amoA abundance in betaproteobacterial
13	ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76(5), 1555-1562, 2010b.
14	Maeda, K., Hanajima, D., Morioka, R., Toyoda, S., Yoshida, N., Osada, T. Mitigation of greenhouse gas emission from the cattle
15	manure composting process by use of a bulking agent. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 59(1), 96-106, 2013a.
16	Maeda, K., Toyoda, S., Hanajima, D., Yoshida, N. Denitrifiers in the surface zone are primarily responsible for the nitrous oxide
17	emission of dairy manure compost. J. Hazard. Mater., 248-249, 329-336, 2013b.
18	Parkinson, R., Gibbs, P., Burchett, S., Misselbrook, T. Effect of turning regime and seasonal weather conditions on nitrogen and
19	phosphorus losses during aerobic composting of cattle manure. Bioresour. Technol., 91(2), 171-178, 2004.
20	Ravishankara, A., Daniel, J., Portmann, R. Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O): the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century.
21	Science, 326(5949), 123-125, 2009.
22	Sanchez-Monedero, M.A., Roig, A., Paredes, C., Bernal, M.P. Nitrogen transformation during organic waste composting by the
23	Rutgers system and its effects on pH, EC and maturity of the composting mixtures. Bioresour. Technol., 78(3), 301-308,
24	2001.
25	Santoro, A.E., Casciotti, K.L. Enrichment and characterization of ammonia-oxidizing archaea from the open ocean: phylogeny,
26	physiology and stable isotope fractionation. ISME J., 5(11), 1796-1808, 2011.
27	SAS Institute, I. SAS/STAT user's guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute. 2001.

- Sharpley, A., Meisinger, J.J., Breeuwsma, A., Sims, J.T., Daniel, T.C., Schepers, J.S. Impacts of animal manure management on
 ground and surface water quality. Animal waste utilization: effective use of manure as a soil resource, (eds. J. L.
- 30 Hatfield and B . A . Stewart) CRC Press, 173-242, 1998.


1	Sommer, S., Olesen, J., Petersen, S., Weisbjerg, M., Valli, L., Rodhe, L., Beline, F. Region specific assessment of greenhouse gas
2	mitigation with different manure management strategies in four agroecological zones. Glob. Change Biol., 15(12),
3	2825-2837, 2009.
4	Tilman, D., Cassman, K.G., Matson, P.A., Naylor, R., Polasky, S. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices.
5	Nature, 418(6898), 671-677, 2002.
6	Willers H.C., Derikx P.J.L., ten Have P.J.W., Vijn T.K. Nitrification limitation in animal slurries at high temperatures. Bioresour.
7	Technol. 64, 47-54, 1998.
8	Yamamoto, N., Oishi, R., Suyama, Y., Tada, C., Nakai, Y. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria rather than ammonia-oxidizing archaea were
9	widely distributed in animal manure composts from field-scale facilities. Microb. Environ., 27(4), 519-524, 2012.
10	Yeatman, S., Spokes, L., Dennis, P., Jickells, T. Can the study of nitrogen isotopic composition in size-segregated aerosol nitrate and
11	ammonium be used to investigate atmospheric processing mechanisms? Atmos. Environ., 35(7), 1337-1345, 2001.
12	Yu, S., Clark, O., Leonard, J. Airflow measurement in passively aerated compost. Canad. Biosys. Eng., 47(6), 39-45, 2005.
13	Zumft, W.G. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 61(4), 533-616, 1997.
14	
15	

			Weight	TS		VS		EC		pН		NO ₂ -N		NO ₃ ⁻ N		NH4 ⁺ -N		TKN		C/N	
Time	B.A	B.A. Run	kg	%		%TS		mScm ⁻¹				mg kg ⁻¹ TS	\$	mg kg ⁻¹ T	S	mg kg ⁻¹ TS		g-N kg ⁻¹ TS	¹ TS		
	-	1	4,280	20.5	(0.2)	84.7	(0.3)	2.6	0.0	8.4	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	68.9	(1.8)	4,646.3	(164.7)	27.6	(0.3)	24.2	(0.
	-	2	4,060	22.7	(0.6)	82.3	(1.1)	3.1	0.0	8.8	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,497.5	(51.0)	24.2	(0.6)	22.2	(0
	-	3	4,070	17.8	(0.4)	82.1	(0.4)	2.7	(0.1)	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7,347.8	(7.6)	26.2	(0.4)	22.0	(0
	+	1	4,700	28.3	(0.1)	87.0	(0.3)	2.9	0.0	8.3	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	40.1	(0.9)	2,929.3	(34.0)	20.8	(1.0)	23.0	(0
	+	2	4,480	31.2	(0.7)	87.1	(0.8)	3.2	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,288.0	(10.4)	21.6	(0.7)	27.5	(1.
	+	3	4,450	22.6	(0.3)	86.5	(0.6)	3.0	0.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,840.3	(126.1)	20.4	(0.4)	21.0	(0.
7	-	1	1,710	24.8	(0.6)	70.0	(2.9)	2.5	0.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,353.1	(75.1)	32.3	(1.7)	13.0	(0.
7	-	2	2,280	24.3	(0.2)	75.1	(0.4)	2.6	(0.1)	9.5	(0.1)	61.2	(8.6)	0.0	0.0	451.1	(0.6)	26.7	(0.6)	16.3	0.
7	-	3	1,890	21.4	(0.4)	77.2	(0.5)	3.0	0.0	9.2	(0.1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3,817.1	(177.4)	28.9	(1.1)	17.5	(0.
7	+	1	1,190	52.7	(0.9)	69.1	(0.6)	5.0	(0.1)	9.5	0.0	44.3	(1.6)	52.6	(0.2)	460.9	(3.3)	30.0	0.0	12.0	(0.
7	+	2	1,480	47.6	(0.4)	73.7	(0.9)	4.3	0.0	9.0	0.0	57.4	(7.5)	60.4	(2.5)	375.5	(21.7)	29.1	(0.1)	13.6	(0
7	+	3	1,570	31.1	(1.0)	71.9	(1.6)	4.9	(0.1)	9.5	(0.1)	53.9	(6.7)	49.5	(12.1)	1,809.8	(97.8)	29.2	(0.2)	12.7	0

B.A., bulking agent; I, initial; F, final; TS, total solids; VS, volatile solids; EC, electrical conductivity; NO_2^- -N, nitrite-nitrogen; NO_3^- -N, nitrate-nitrogen; NH_4^+ -N, ammonium-nitrogen; TKN, total Kjeldahl nitrogen; C/N, carbon/nitrogen ratio. The values represent the average (standard deviation).

 $\mathbf{2}$






 NO_2^- (white), NO_3^- (grey) and NH_4^+ -N (black) content of the compost samples from each location (top, side and core) of the pile and the sample just after the turnings (Mixed). These contents were determined every two weeks, just before/after the turning events. A-C indicate the pile 1 of the compost runs 1-3, and D-F indicate the pile 2 of the compost runs 1-3, respectively. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=3).

8

3

 $\mathbf{2}$

1 Maeda et al., Fig. 2




 δ^{15} N of NH₄⁺ of the mixed samples just after the turning events. The black bars indicate the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w), and the white bars indicate the compost without bulking agent. A-C indicate the compost runs 1-3. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=2).

7

 $\frac{2}{3}$

1 Maeda et al., Fig. 3



7


8

 $\delta^{15}N$ of NH₄⁺-N of the samples from each compost location (pile top, side and core). The values were expressed as the difference from

the mixed samples just after the turning events. The black bars indicate the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w), and the white bars


indicate the compost without bulking agent. A-C indicate the compost runs 1-3. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=3).

1 Maeda et al., Fig. 4



 $\frac{2}{3}$

Keeling plot (A) and Raleigh plot (B) of the δ^{15} NH₄⁺-N. The error bars indicate the standard deviation (n=2). Black symbols indicate the compost with bulking agent (10% w/w) and white symbols indicate the compost without bulking agents. The gray zone indicate the area which can be explained by ammonium oxidation by AOB (ammonia oxidizing bacteria; 14.2-38.2%) or AOA (ammonium oxidizing archaea; 13-41‰).



 $\frac{2}{3}$

Summary of the events between the pile turnings