

1 **Energy balance closure on a winter wheat stand: comparing the eddy covariance**
2 **technique with the soil water balance method**

3
4

5 Kristina Imukova, Joachim Ingwersen, Michael Hevart and Thilo Streck

6

7 Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

8

9 Corresponding author: Kristina Imukova

10 Phone: 0711 - 459 - 22327

11 Fax: 0711 - 459 - 23117

12 E-Mail: Kristina.Imukova@uni-hohenheim.de

13

14 **Abstract**

15 The energy balance of eddy covariance (EC) flux data is typically not closed. The nature of
16 the gap is usually not known, which hampers using EC data to parameterize and test models. In the
17 present study we cross-checked the evapotranspiration data obtained with the EC method (ET_{EC})
18 against ET rates measured with the soil water balance method (ET_{WB}) at winter wheat stands in
19 southwest Germany. During the growing seasons 2012 and 2013, we continuously measured, in a
20 half-hourly resolution, latent heat (LE) and sensible (H) heat fluxes using the EC technique. Meas-
21 ured fluxes were adjusted with either the Bowen-ratio (BR), H or LE post-closure method. ET_{WB} was
22 [estimated based on rainfall, seepage and soil water storage measurements](#). The soil water storage
23 term was determined at sixteen locations within the footprint of an EC station, by measuring the soil
24 water content down to a soil depth of 1.5 m. In the second year, the volumetric soil water content

25 was additionally continuously measured in 15 min resolution in 10 cm intervals down to 90 cm
26 depth with sixteen capacitance soil moisture sensors. During the 2012 growing season, the H post-
27 closed *LE* flux data ($ET_{EC}=3.4\pm0.6$ mm day⁻¹) corresponded closest with the result of the WB meth-
28 od (3.3 ± 0.3 mm day⁻¹). ET_{EC} adjusted by the *BR* (4.1 ± 0.6 mm day⁻¹) or *LE* (4.9 ± 0.9 mm day⁻¹) post-
29 closure method were higher than the ET_{WB} by 24% and 48%, respectively. In 2013, ET_{WB} was in
30 best agreement with ET_{EC} adjusted with the H post-closure method during the periods with low
31 amount of rain and seepage. During these periods the *BR* and *LE* post-closure methods overestimat-
32 ed ET by about 46% and 70%, respectively. During a period with high and frequent rainfalls, ET_{WB}
33 was in-between ET_{EC} adjusted by *H* and *BR* post-closure methods. We conclude that, at most obser-
34 vation periods on our site, *LE* is not a major component of the energy balance gap. Our results indi-
35 cate that the energy balance gap is made up by other energy fluxes and unconsidered or biased ener-
36 gy storage terms.

37

38 **Keywords:**

39 Eddy covariance technique, energy balance closure, Bowen-ratio method, sensible heat flux
40 post-closure method, latent heat flux post-closure method, soil water balance method, evapotranspi-
41 ration, winter wheat

42 1. Introduction

43

44 The eddy covariance (EC) method is a widely used, long-standing method to directly meas-
45 ure turbulent energy and matter fluxes near the land surface. As a quality check, the energy balance
46 closure (EBC) of eddy covariance flux measurements may be computed. According to the first law
47 of thermodynamics, energy must be conserved. At the land surface, the surface energy budget equa-
48 tion, written here for its major components, must be fulfilled:

49

$$R_n = LE + H + G \quad (1)$$

50

51 Here, R_n (W m^{-2}) is net radiation, and LE (W m^{-2}) and H (W m^{-2}) denote the latent heat and
52 sensible heat flux, respectively. The symbol G (W m^{-2}) stands for the ground heat flux. Minor flux
53 terms such as energy storage in the canopy or energy conversion by photosynthesis are generally
54 neglected (see e.g. Leuning et al., 2012). However, several studies, where minor energy fluxes were
55 carefully investigated as potential sources for the imbalance, show that considering these minor
56 terms is relevant (Lamaud et al., 2001; Meyers and Hollinger, 2004; Oncley and Foken et al, 2007)
57 and could even in some cases help to achieve a nearly perfect EBC (Jacobs et al., 2008;).

58

59 Usually the sum of the two turbulent fluxes measured with the EC method is systematically
60 lower than the so-called available energy: the difference between net radiation (R_n) and ground heat
61 flux (G). As a consequence, the energy balance at the Earth's surface usually cannot be closed with
62 the EC technique. The quotient of turbulent fluxes and available energy expresses the energy bal-
63 ance closure:

64

$$EBC = \frac{(H + LE)}{(R_n - G)} \quad (2)$$

65 In general, EBC ranges between 70 to 90% as observed over different types of surface rang-
66 ing from bare soil to a forest (Oncley et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2002; Twine et al., 2000). Low
67 EBCs (60-80 %) were mainly observed at various agricultural sites and bare soil, whereas over for-
68 est they were typically higher (80-90 %) (Charuchittipan et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2002; Foken,
69 2008a; Panin et al., 2008; Stoy et al., 2013). The imbalance usually occurs during day time, particu-
70 larly around noon, whereas [during the night when fluxes are low](#) EBC is often close to unity (On-
71 cley et al., 2007).

72

73 It was long thought that the energy balance gap originates from the instrumental errors of the
74 EC-measurements. However, the accuracy of the energy flux measurements and data quality has
75 significantly increased during last years. According to Foken (2008a), measuring errors cannot ex-
76 plain the problem of the imbalance provided that measurements and data processing were performed
77 carefully. In a more recent paper, Foken (2010) investigated the EBC of the LITFASS-2003 experi-
78 mental data. He concluded that the observed lack of EBC on the local scale in heterogeneous land-
79 scape can be explained only by deficits in measurement concepts and methodologies. This conclu-
80 sion is supported by Heusinkveld (2004), who found a perfect EBC over a homogeneous surface: a
81 desert in Israel. Tsvang (1991) and Stoy (2013) also [concluded](#) that the heterogeneities of the sur-
82 rounding area are an important factor contributing to the lack of EBC. [Several authors \(Klaassen and](#)
83 [Sogachev, 2006; Friedrich et al., 2000\)](#) reported an increase of the turbulent fluxes at forest edges.
84 [Kanda \(2004\) and Inagaki \(2006\) used large eddy simulations \(LES\) to study the contribution of](#)
85 [large eddies to energy exchange. They found out that the energy balance can be significantly im-](#)
86 [proved by considering contributions from secondary circulations or turbulent organized structures.](#)
87 [The secondary circulations are large scale eddies, they are relatively stationary and are induced, for](#)

88 example, by surface heterogeneities (Foken, 2008a). Due to their large size and slow motion, their
89 transport of heat, water or gas is not detectable by a single EC station. Energy transfer by such large
90 eddies has to be modeled or measured with an area-averaging method (Foken, 2008a, Stoy et al.,
91 2013). Mauder et al. (2007) analyzed airborne flux measurements over a boreal ecosystem in Cana-
92 da in order to quantify secondary circulation fluxes. They found that these fluxes were in the same
93 order of magnitude as energy balance residuals observed at EC stations close to the flight track.
94 However, this large eddy theory has not been fully embraced by the scientific community. Leuning
95 (2012), for instance, evaluated EBC of the La Thuile dataset. He concluded that unrealistically large
96 and positive horizontal gradients in temperature and humidity would be needed for advective flux
97 divergences in order to explain the EBC problem at half-hourly time scale. Other potential reasons
98 for the imbalance discussed in the literature relate to the possible loss of low- and/or high-frequency
99 components (Wolf et al., 2007; Sakai et al., 2001; Barr et al., 1994). A small fraction of the energy
100 balance gap may also be explained by energy storage in the canopy and photosynthetic energy flux.
101 Both components are normally neglected due to their alleged small contribution (Foken, 2008a; Guo
102 et al., 2009; Jacobs et al., 2008).

103

104 The uncertainty arising from the energy balance gap hampers the use of EC data for model
105 parameterization and testing (Ingwersen et al., 2011; El Maayar et al., 2008; Falge et al., 2005). In
106 these types of studies, in order to achieve an energy balance closure, the measured turbulent fluxes
107 are usually adjusted with either H flux, LE flux or the Bowen-ratio (BR) post-closure method. These
108 methods fully add the residual to the measured turbulent fluxes, assuming that the available energy
109 is measured correctly. The H post-closure method, letting the latent heat flux unaltered, adds the gap
110 fully to the measured H flux (Ingwersen et al., 2011; Gayler et al., 2013). Oppositely, the LE flux
111 post-closure method assigns the lacking energy fully to LE (Falge et al., 2005). The BR post-closure
112 method assumes that the energy residual has the same Bowen ratio ($Bo=H/LE$) as the measured tur-

113 bulent fluxes (Twine et al., 2000; Barr et al., 1994). In this case, the adjusted LE flux (LE^* , Wm^{-2}) is
114 computed as follows:

115

$$LE^* = \frac{Rn - G}{Bo + 1} \quad (3)$$

116

117 The present study elucidates the nature of the energy balance gap over winter wheat in
118 southwest Germany. For this purpose we a) evaluated the energy balance of EC flux measurements
119 over two vegetation seasons, additionally measuring evapotranspiration with the soil water balance
120 method (ET_{WB}), which does not depend on an a priori assumption on the composition of the energy
121 residual, and b) tested ET_{EC} adjusted by the BR, H or LE post-closure method against the ET_{WB} .

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

The present study was performed in the region Kraichgau (Fig. 1), one of the warmest regions in Germany. Mean annual temperature ranges between 9-10° C, and precipitation between 730 and 830 mm per year. The rivers Neckar and Enz form the borders in the east. In the north and in the south, Kraichgau is bounded by the low mountain ranges of Odenwald and Black Forest. In the west, Kraichgau borders on the Upper Rhine plain. The Kraichgau area is about 1600 km² and a gently sloping landscape. Elevations vary between 200 and 320 m above sea level (a.s.l.). Soils, predominantly classified as Luvisols (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007), were mostly formed here from periglacial loess, which accumulated during the last ice age. Today, the region is intensively used for agriculture. Around 53% of the total area is used for crop production. Winter wheat, winter rape, summer barley, maize and sugar beet are the predominant crops.

The measurements were performed at the agricultural fields EC1 and EC3 belonging to the farm “Katharinentalerhof” (Fig. 1). The fields are located north of the city of Pforzheim (48.92°N, 8.70°E). The fields EC1 and EC3 are 14 and 15 ha large, respectively. The terrain is flat (elevation a.s.l.: 319 m). The predominant wind direction is south-west. Both fields are surrounded by other agricultural fields, which are separated partly by tree-hedges. Two permanent pumping wells (installation depth 3 m) were used to monitor the groundwater table (see Fig. 1). The soil type at both fields is Stagnic Luvisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007). Basic soil properties are given in Table 1. In both 2012 and 2013, fields were cropped with winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Akteur). In both years, winter wheat was drilled on 17 October.

2.2. Measurement of evapotranspiration

2.2.1. Eddy covariance technique

Using the EC technique, we measured the land surface exchange fluxes in a 30-min resolution at two study fields (EC1 and EC3). Both sites were cropped with winter wheat. The EC method enables measuring the heat, energy and momentum exchange between land surface and atmosphere without disturbing the crop environment. Provided that the land surface is sufficiently flat and homogeneous, the exchange fluxes are one-dimensional and can be calculated from the covariance between vertical wind speed and the scalar of interest. In the case of the *LE* flux (W m^{-2}) this leads to

$$LE = \lambda \rho \overline{q'w'}, \quad (4)$$

where λ (J kg^{-1}) and ρ (kg m^{-3}) are the heat of vaporization and the density of air, respectively. The symbol q (kg kg^{-1}) stands for the specific humidity of the air, and w (m s^{-1}) denotes the vertical wind speed. The term $\overline{q'w'}$ is the covariance between the fluctuations of the two quantities.

The EC stations were installed in the center of each study field in April 2009. The stations were equipped with an open path infrared $\text{CO}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ gas analyzer (Licor 7500, LI-COR Biosciences, USA) and a 3D sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific, UK). At EC3 (2012) the turbulent complex was installed at a height of 2.63 m. The Licor-CSAT3 separation distance was 0.22 m. The direction of Licor 7500 was 25° against north, CSAT3 orientation was 170° . At EC1 (2013), the turbulent complex was installed at a height of 3.10 m with a sensor separation of 0.12 m. Orientations of Licor 7500 and CSAT3 were 0° and 170° , respectively. Vertical wind speed and specific humidity

168 were measured with 10 Hz frequency. All other sensors recorded data in 30-min intervals. Net radia-
169 tion was measured with a NR01 4-component sensor (NR01, Hukseflux Thermal Sensors, The
170 Netherlands). Air temperature and humidity were measured in 2 m height (HMP45C, Vaisala Inc.,
171 USA). Rainfall was measured using a tipping bucket (resolution: 0.2 mm per tip). The rain gauge
172 (ARG100, Campbell Scientific Ltd., UK) was located close to the EC station. [The rain gauge read-](#)
173 [ings \(\$R\$, in mm/h\) were corrected for catching, wetting and evaporation losses according to WMO](#)
174 [\(2009, p. 57\):](#)

$$R_{cor} = 1.21 R^{0.92} \quad (5)$$

176

177 Soil sensors were also installed close to the EC station. Temperature probes (107 Thermistor
178 probe, Campbell Scientific Inc., UK) were installed in 2, 6, 15, 30 and 45 cm depth. The volumetric
179 water content was measured with TDR probes (CS616, Campbell Scientific Inc., UK) in 5, 15, 30,
180 45 and 75 cm depth. Three soil heat flux plates (HFP01, Hukseflux Thermal Sensors, the Nether-
181 lands) were installed in 8 cm depth. For measuring the hydraulic gradient at the lower boundary of
182 the water balance domain, two matric potential sensors (257-L, Campbell Scientific Inc., UK) were
183 installed in 130 cm and three sensors in 150 cm depth. The horizontal distance between sensors was
184 about 50 cm.

185

186 The EC flux data were processed with the TK3.1 software (Mauder M., 2011). Surface ener-
187 gy fluxes were computed from 30-min covariances. Data points exceeding 4.5 standard deviations in
188 a window of 15 values were labeled as spikes and were excluded from the time series. The planar fit
189 coordinate rotation was applied to time periods of 10-14 days. Spectral losses were corrected ac-
190 cording to Moore (1986). The fluctuation of sonic temperature was converted into actual tempera-
191 ture according to Schotanus et al. (1983). Density fluctuations were corrected by WPL (Webb et al.,

192 1980). For data quality analysis we used the flag system after Foken (Mauder M., 2011). Half-
193 hourly values with flags from 1 to 6 (high and moderate quality data) were used to calculate the en-
194 ergy balance closure and evapotranspiration. Gap filling of EC flux data was performed with the
195 mean diurnal variation method using an averaging window of 14 days (Falge et al., 2001). Addition-
196 ally we computed the random error of the fluxes, which consist of the instrumental noise error of the
197 EC station and the stochastic (sampling) error (Mauder et al., 2013).

198 The EC ET ($L m^{-2}$ or mm) per half hour was estimated with the following equation:
199

$$ET_{EC} = \frac{LE}{\lambda} \times 1800 \text{ s}, \quad (6)$$

200

201 where the heat of vaporization λ ($J L^{-1}$) as a function of temperature T ($^{\circ}C$) (Foken 2008b)
202 was taken as

$$\lambda = 2501000 - 2370 \times T, \quad (7)$$

203

204 Subsequently, ET_{EC} values were adjusted by the H, LE or Bowen ratio post-closure method.

205

206 Ground heat flux was calculated as the sum of measured soil heat flux [using the mean of the](#)
207 [three heat flux plates](#) and the heat storage change (ΔS_G) (Eq. 8) between the surface and the plates
208 (Foken, 2008b)

209

$$\Delta S_G = \frac{C_v \times \Delta T \times L}{\Delta t}, \quad (8)$$

210

211 where C_v ($\text{J m}^{-3} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$) is the volumetric heat capacity of the soil, ΔT ($^\circ\text{C}$) denotes the soil tem-
212 perature change during the period of time, Δt , considered, and L (m) is the thickness of the soil layer
213 above the soil heat flux plates. The heat capacity of the soil was computed according to de Vries
214 (1963) using the volumetric water content measured in 5 cm depth.

215

216 **2.2.2. Soil water balance method**

217

218 The water balance equation of a soil volume of a unit area and given depth reads as follows:

$$ET_{WB} = R - SP - SR - \Delta S \quad (9)$$

219

220 Here, R stands for rainfall, and SP is seepage (negative: capillary rise, positive: vertical
221 drainage). The symbol SR denotes surface runoff and ΔS stands for the change in soil water storage
222 over the balancing period. Based on our field observations, SR was negligible at the study sites dur-
223 ing the periods considered.

224

225 ΔS was measured at sixteen positions. Sampling positions were distributed across the foot-
226 print of the EC station using a stratified random sampling design (Fig. 2b and 3b). The footprint area
227 was determined with the forward Lagrangian stochastic footprint model described by Göckede et al.
228 (2006) based on EC flux data in 2010 (EC3) and 2011 (EC1). In these years, the fields were also
229 cropped with winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Cubus (EC3) and cv. Akteur (EC1)). [The model](#)
230 [estimates the footprint for different atmospheric stratifications \(stable, neutral and unstable\). In the](#)
231 [present study, we used the average footprint weighted based on the frequency of the different at-](#)
232 [mospheric stratifications.](#) Footprint analyses were processed for periods from mid-May to late July,
233 when the average plant height was about constant, on average 0.77 m and 0.83 m at EC3 and EC1,

234 respectively. The installation height of CSAT was 2.5 m at EC3 and 3.10 m at EC1 over the entire
235 periods. The footprint model requires a land use and a roughness matrix as input files. Based on the
236 satellite remote sensing data, we produced land use **matrices** of the surroundings of the EC stations.
237 The special spatial resolution of **matrices** was 5 m and their areal coverage 500×500 m². The subse-
238 quent land use types were counted: winter wheat, path, rape, grain, trees and suburban. Roughness
239 values of the land use classes were taken from Foken (2008b) (Fig. 2a and 3a).

240

241 In 2012, we performed three soil sampling campaigns over the growing season: late April
242 (25-27), mid. June (14-15) and late July (24-27). In 2013, four sampling campaigns were performed:
243 mid-April (15-16), early June (3-4), mid-June (18-19) and late July (30-31). Soil samples were taken
244 in 10 cm intervals down to 150 cm. For this purpose, three augers with a length of 60 cm ($\varnothing=2.885$
245 cm), 100 cm ($\varnothing=2.386$ cm) and 150 cm ($\varnothing=1.763$ cm) were used. The 60 cm auger was used for
246 taking soil samples down to 60 cm. The 100 cm auger was used for sampling the 60-100 cm depth,
247 and the 150 cm auger was taken for sampling between 100 to 150 cm. Soil samples were filled in
248 plastic bags and transported to the lab within less than 10 h. Field wet soil samples were weighed,
249 put into a ventilated oven and dried at 105 °C. Final weights were usually reached within 12 h. Based
250 on mass balance, the gravimetric water content was calculated. It was converted to volumetric water
251 content by multiplication with the bulk density. Bulk density of the topsoil layers (0-30 cm) was
252 determined at each sampling position using a cylindrical steel core cutter (diameter: 7.92 cm, vol-
253 ume for a 10 cm sampling depth: 492.7 cm³) on 4 May in 2012 and on 30 April in 2013. In three 10-
254 cm intervals the core cutter was inserted into the soil by careful turning. The soil sample was stored
255 in a plastic bag and in the lab the soil dry weight was determined by drying the sample at 105 °C.
256 Close to the EC station a pit was dug down to 150 cm. In the center of every 10-cm layer, 100 cm³

257 of soil was sampled in triplicates using cylindrical cores ($\varnothing=5.50$ cm, height 4.21 cm). Bulk density
258 was determined by drying the soil at 105°C and determining its mass by weighing.

259

260 At the 140 cm depth we took soil samples to measure the water retention curve and the hy-
261 draulic conductivity function. Samples ($V=250$ cm³, $\varnothing=8$ cm, 5 cm height) were taken in tripli-
262 cates using sampling rings (UMS GmbH, Germany).

263

264 Additionally, soil texture was determined at each sampling position. Three layers (0-30, 30-
265 60, 60-90, 90-120, and 120-150 cm) were pooled to one composite sample and soil texture was de-
266 termined with the standard pipette method (Dane and Topp, 2002).

267 The seepage flux was computed from the Darcy-Buckingham law:

268

$$q_w = -K(h) \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta z} \quad (10)$$

269

270 Here, q_w (cm d⁻¹) is the water flux density, $K(h)$ (cm d⁻¹) denotes the hydraulic conductivity
271 as a function of the matric potential h (cm), and H (cm) is the hydraulic potential, the sum of matric
272 and gravitational potentials. The hydraulic gradient $\Delta H/\Delta z$ was computed from the matric potential
273 measurements performed in 130 and 150 cm depth and the vertical separation distance Δz (cm) of
274 the matric potential sensors.

275

276 The hydraulic conductivity function $K(h)$ was determined with the evaporation method ac-
277 cording to Wind/Schindler using the HYPROP lab system (UMS GmbH, Germany). First, soil sam-
278 ples taken from the 140 cm depth were slowly saturated for 5-6 days. Afterwards soil samples were
279 placed on a balance and exposed to evaporation. The matric potential was measured with micro-

280 tensiometers in 1.25 and 3.75 cm depth. The soil sample weight and the matric potential were rec-
 281 orded automatically every minute at the first hour and every ten minutes in the next hours. After
 282 four to five days, the tensiometers fell dry and the measurement was stopped. The initial water con-
 283 tent of soil samples was computed from their dry weight. Based on the acquired data, a water reten-
 284 tion curve and hydraulic conductivity function were fitted to the data. Parameters of the functions
 285 were fitted with the robust, non-linear optimizing procedure developed by Durner and Peters (2006)
 286 (*User Manual HYPROP*, 2012). Among the available hydraulic models, the bimodal van Genuchten
 287 parameterization (Durner, 1994) yielded the lowest Akaike information criterion and was used in the
 288 following to model $K(h)$:

$$K(h) = K_s \cdot \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 w_j \left[1 + (a_j |h|)^{n_j} \right]^{1/n_{j-1}} \right]^{\tau} \left[\frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 w_j a_j \left\{ 1 - (a_j |h|)^{n_{j-1}} \left[1 + (a_j |h|)^{n_j} \right]^{1/n_{j-1}} \right\}}{\sum_{j=1}^2 w_j a_j} \right]^2 \quad (11)$$

$j = (1, 2)$

289 In eq. 10, K_s (cm d^{-1}) is saturated hydraulic conductivity, w_j are the weighting factors of the
 290 two van Genuchten functions and a_j, n_j are the shape parameters of the two retention curves. The
 291 tortuosity factor τ was set to 0.5. K_s was measured on soil samples taken at EC1 from 140 cm depth
 292 by the falling head technique using a KSAT system (UMS GmbH, Germany). The methodology of
 293 the device follows the German standard DIN 18130-1 and is based on the inversion of the Darcy law
 294 (*Operation Manual KSAT*, 2013). Measurement of K_s was repeated five times with each of three
 295 samples. The average value of K_s was 39.3 cm day^{-1} .

296

297 In 2013, we additionally measured the volumetric soil water content with capacitance soil
 298 moisture probes (SM1, Adcon Telemetry, Austria). The probes were installed on 17 and 18 Decem-
 299 ber 2012. The soil moisture network consisted of sixteen stations located at the same positions

300 where soil samples were taken (Fig. 3b). Every station was situated in the middle between two ma-
301 chine tracks, so the farmer could easily pass the station during fertilization and pesticide application.
302 Each station consisted of a nine-level SM1 capacitance probe, remote transfer unit (RTU) (addIT
303 A723 Series 4, Adcon Telemetry, Austria) and a solar panel for power supply.

304

305 Adcon SM1 sensors measure the capacitance and are characterized by low power consump-
306 tion. Their radius of influence is about 10 cm. In order to install the SM1 probes, we removed the
307 soil with a screw auger and then carefully installed the moisture sensors. To avoid air voids between
308 sensor and soil, the bore hole was carefully filled up with soil slurry. The RTU and solar panel were
309 mounted to an aluminum mast and installed about 2 m away from the SM1 sensor.

310

311 The volumetric water content was measured for 15-min intervals at 10 cm resolution down
312 to 90 cm depth. Soil moisture content was measured from 1 April to 4 August 2013. Each RTU
313 stored and transmitted the data to the so-called master station (RA440, Adcon Telemetry, Austria)
314 mounted on the EC mast. The master station transferred the data via GSM modem to the central data
315 server (A850 Telemetry Gateway, Adcon Telemetry GmbH, Austria) located at the University of
316 Hohenheim.

317

318 The SM1 sensors were calibrated separately using the data of the four sampling campaigns in
319 2013 described above. Soil samples were taken about 30-50 cm away from the sensor. The calibra-
320 tion line was derived by regressing [volumetric water content](#) measured by the sensor to [that of](#)
321 measured in the lab.

322

323 Mean diurnal ET_{WB} and ET_{EC} , adjusted by the BR, H or LE post-closure methods, were esti-
324 mated and compared in 6 OPs (OP) (Table 2 and 3). In OP-1, OP-2, OP-3 and OP-6, ET_{WB} was es-

325 timated based on data obtained during the soil sample campaigns, whereas in OP-4 and OP-5 it was
326 estimated based on the data of SM1 sensors. The latter two periods are characterized by low precipi-
327 tation and seepage, which helps minimize uncertainties in drainage calculations (Fig. 4).

328 **2.3. Error estimation**

329

330 The error of measured ET_{WB} was estimated based on the Gaussian error propagation law
331 (Currell and Dowman, 2009):

$$s_{ET_{WB}} = \sqrt{s_R^2 + s_{SP}^2 + s_{\Delta S}^2} \tag{12}$$

332 Here, s is the standard error of the corresponding variables R , SP or ΔS . The standard error of
333 rainfall was calculated based on the observations of the three rain gauges (EC1-3) ($n=3$). The stand-
334 ard error of ΔS was computed from the soil water content measurements that were performed every
335 campaign at sixteen positions ($n=16$). In order to evaluate an error of SP estimates, we used the three
336 sets of the bimodal van Genuchten parameterization, which were determined in the lab (see chapter
337 2.2.2). For each parameterization the drainage and capillary rise were estimated ($n=3$).

3. Results

3.1. Energy balance closure of eddy covariance data

The EBC of high-quality data (1-3 flags after Foken) and excluding low LE fluxes ($-25 \text{ W m}^{-2} < LE < 25 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) was 73% during the growing season 2012 and 67% from mid-June to late July in 2013. The average random error was 16% for both LE and H in 2012. In 2013, the random error of LE was 12% and that of H was 14%. In total, 43% of the data fulfilled the above quality criteria. Allowing in addition for moderate quality data (4-6 flags after Foken), EBC decreased on average by about 2% and 4% in 2012 and 2013, respectively. Table 3 summarizes the EBC in different OPs estimated based on high and moderate quality data. In 2012, from late April to late July the average EBC was about 71%. This EBC was uniform during different OPs. The average residual was 68.5 W m^{-2} . The random error of LE was 18%, that of H 19%. In 2013, we observed a lower EBC of about 60%. The average residual was 86.1 W m^{-2} . The average random error of flux measurements was 16.5% for LE and 18% for H . The lowest EBC of about 57% was measured from mid-April to early June. During this period, 55% of days were rainy days (Fig. 4) resulting in a large amount of rainfall (250 mm) – about 50 % higher than in 2012 (Table 2). In this period we also measured the lowest net radiation and vapor pressure deficit (data not shown). At the end of the growing season, EBC increased. Figure 5 shows the diurnal cycles of the energy fluxes as well as energy residual during the different OPs. Figure 6 shows graphically EBC in both years. The slope of the regression line, forced through the origin, of the available energy on the turbulent energy was 0.71 in 2012. In 2013 it was 0.64.

3.2. Evapotranspiration measurements

Growing season 2012

Applying the rain gauge correction proposed by the WMO (1999) (see Eq. 5) increased total rainfall on average by 12% in both years. In 2012, the two pumping wells stayed dry during the whole growing season (OP-1), i.e., the groundwater level was always deeper than three meters. Total rainfall was 305 mm and seepage amounted to 38 mm (Table 3). During the first soil sample campaign, 486.3 mm of water were stored in the upper 150 cm of soil (Fig. 7). The soil water stock decreased by 44.6 mm to 441.7 mm. During OP-2, soil water storage was depleted to 426.3 mm. During OP-3, rainfall refilled the soil water stock by 15.4 mm. The vertical soil water profiles showed the largest differences within the upper 100 cm of the soil profile. Below 100 cm the soil water content changed only very little (Fig. 7). The components of the soil water balance and the resulting ET are compiled and compared with ET_{EC} in Table 3. In all OPs, the best agreement of the EC technique with WB method was achieved without adjusting the LE flux data (H post-closure method). The ET_{EC} computed with the Bowen ratio method was on average about 28% higher than ET_{WB} . The ET_{EC} computed with the LE flux post-closure method was on average about 54% higher than ET_{WB} .

In 2012, standard error of rainfall measurements ranged from 2 to 4 mm depending on the observation period. Standard error of ΔS ranged from 6 (1.3%) to 9 (2%) mm. Standard error of SP ranged from 2 to 5 mm.

Growing season 2013

Between mid-April and early June 2013, rainfall was more than twice as high as in 2012 (data not shown). The water level in the pumping wells rose to the surface for several days during this

384 period (8 May and 3–5 June), and surface runoff was observed at the field. In this period, tempera-
385 tures and vapor pressure deficits were low (data not shown). During this period, marked on Fig. 8 as
386 OP-0, the soil water stock was filled up by 57.9 mm. Due to exceptionally high rainfall and surface
387 runoff, which was not measured, the calculation of ET_{WB} is unreliable for this period, which ham-
388 pered comparing the EC and WB methods.

389 In OP-6, soil water storage decreased by 105.2 mm to 398.7 mm (Fig. 8). The total rainfall
390 for this period was about 50 % less than that in 2012 (Table 2). Seepage was low, about 4.6 mm,
391 over this period. Table 3 compares ET_{WB} with ET_{EC} . In OP-6, better agreement of the EC technique
392 with WB method was achieved by adjusting the LE flux data with the BR and H post-closure meth-
393 od. The ET_{EC} post-closed with the BR method was about 15% higher than the ET_{WB} . The ET_{EC}
394 computed with the H post-closure method was about 18% lower than the ET derived from the WB
395 method. The ET_{EC} adjusted with the LE post-closure method was 36% higher than the ET_{WB} .

396

397 Soil water profiles of OP-4 and OP-5 are shown in Fig. 8. ET_{WB} agreed best with non-
398 adjusted raw ET_{EC} (H post-closure method), while BR and LE post-closure methods significantly
399 overestimated ET by about 46 and 70 %, respectively (Table 3).

400

401 In 2013, standard error of rainfall measurements ranged from 0.1 to 3.5 mm depending on
402 the observation period. Standard error of ΔS was 8 mm (1.7%). The standard error of the water stor-
403 age measured with SM1 sensors was on average 3 mm (1.0%), and the standard error of SP was up
404 to 1 mm.

405

4. Discussion

The EBCs of the present study agree with those of other studies performed over agricultural land, where EBCs are typically characterized by high energy residuals (20-40%) (Charuchittipan et al., 2014; Foken, 2008a; Panin et al., 2008; Stoy et al., 2013). The random errors of our EC fluxes are also in a good agreement with random errors reported by Mauder et al. (2013) and Foken (2008a). They are typically between 5 and 20% for high-quality data.

Our experiment showed the limits of the WB method imposed by the prevailing weather conditions. It was not possible to reliably estimate ET_{WB} in periods with heavy rain due to the uncertainties in drainage calculation and surface runoff. Ideal conditions for performing the WB method are periods with low precipitation and low or absent seepage, and with soil water contents below field capacity (Schume et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2001). [These conditions were well fulfilled during OP 4 and 5. During OP4 and OP5 we found a nearly perfect match between the WB method and the non-adjusted ET data. The results that we obtained during OPs with higher seepage fluxes \(OP1-3\) are in line with the findings of OP4 and 5. Therefore, we are confident that the estimated seepage fluxes are in the right order of magnitude and that the total error, which is relatively low due the small absolute flux, is in an acceptable range.](#)

The comparison of the two methods shows that the EC method reliably measures evapotranspiration when no adjustment is applied (Fig. 9). Similar results were obtained in other experimental studies. [Schume et al. \(2005\)](#) cross-checked ET measured with the EC technique against the soil water balance method over a mixed European beech - Norway spruce forest. The observed EBC ranged between 73 to 92 % at their study site. They demonstrated that ET was adequately measured with the EC technique. They concluded that the proportional distribution of the residual between the

431 energy balance components would lead to an overestimation of *LE*. [Wilson et al. \(2001\)](#) compared
432 non-adjusted ET_{EC} with ET measured by various other measurement techniques. EBC was 80%.
433 They reported a good agreement between ET_{EC} and ET assessed by the catchment water balance
434 method. Both methods estimated nearly equal annual ET over a 5-year period. They also observed a
435 high correlation ($R^2 = 0.8$) between ET_{EC} and ET assessed by the soil water budget method. None-
436 theless, the data were highly variable during periods with rainfall and rapid water movement within
437 the soil profile.

438

439 Contrasting results were obtained in other similar studies, i.e. where independently measured
440 ET was compared with ET_{EC} . For instance, [Barr et al. \(2012\)](#) compared measured streamflow from
441 the watershed with streamflow, estimated from seven flux towers in this watershed, over a 10-year
442 period. The annual EBC was about 85% across sites and years. His results showed that measured
443 streamflow better agreed with outflow estimated based on the ET_{EC} adjusted with the *BR* method,
444 whereas outflow based on the raw ET_{EC} flux was about 40% higher. In several other experimental
445 studies, independently measured ET agreed better with ET_{EC} adjusted by one of the post-closure
446 methods. [Wohlfahrt et al. \(2010\)](#) cross-checked ET_{EC} against ET determined using micro-lysimeters
447 and an approach scaling up leaf-level stomatal conductance to canopy-level transpiration. The ob-
448 served EBC was about 85%. The best correspondence between EC and the independent methods
449 was achieved with the *LE* post-closure method. [Gebler et al. \(2015\)](#) found that ET_{EC} adjusted with
450 *BR* post closure method yielded the best fit with ET measured by lysimeters, while raw ET_{EC} was
451 16% smaller and ET_{EC} adjusted with *LE* post-closure method was 15.7% higher. [Cuenca et al.](#)
452 (1997) conducted intensive field campaigns (IFC) in spring and summer using a neutron probe and
453 time domain reflectometry to evaluate the soil water content at a boreal forest. During IFC-1 he re-
454 ported a good agreement between unadjusted ET_{EC} (2.9 mm day^{-1}) and ET estimated based on the
455 soil water profile analysis (2.6 mm day^{-1}). During IFC-2, however, the difference between the two

456 methods was extremely high: 3.6 mm day^{-1} against 2.1 mm day^{-1} , respectively. They related this
457 difference to the spatial differences and sampling volume of the measurement techniques. They also
458 suggested that the ET_{WB} versus ET_{EC} difference could be due to the underestimation by the turbulent
459 complex of the downward (negative) LE flux at night, which would overestimate the LE flux.

460

461 Our results synthesized with the findings from literature suggest that there is no universal
462 approach to post-close the energy balance gap, and that the composition of the energy residual is
463 site-specific. Therefore, it is advisable in case of [long term experiments](#) to perform for each site at
464 the very beginning an independent measurement of LE to identify the most suitable post-closure
465 method. Moreover, if EC flux data are intended to be used [to calibrate and parameterize, for exam-](#)
466 [ple, a land surface model, as in our case, biased measured turbulent fluxes would directly affect the](#)
467 [outcome of these calibration efforts and lead to systematically biased simulated turbulent fluxes.](#)
468 [Therefore, an elaborated study on the energy residual and its major components measured by the](#)
469 [EC system should be mandatory in such research studies.](#)

470

471 The energy residual was higher at EC1 (40%) in comparison with EC3 (29%). This might be
472 partly assigned to the heterogeneity of the surrounding (Stoy et al., 2013). A hilly forested area is
473 situated about 500 m south from the EC1 station (Fig. 3 and 1) what might have led to formation of
474 stationary large eddies over the field. Their transport of energy and matter cannot be detected by the
475 EC station leading to lower EBC at this study field. However, as already stressed in the Introduction,
476 the large eddy theory has not been fully embraced by the scientific community (see e.g., Leuning et
477 al., 2012).

478

479 One of the possible components, which may partly responsible for the energy imbalance at
480 our study site, is the loss of fluxes in the low- and/or high-frequency range. Mauder and Foken

481 (2006) estimated the low-frequency loss of EC flux data. They reported that the commonly used 30-
482 min averaged interval of the covariances does not cover the entire spectrum of the turbulent fluxes.
483 Extending the average time substantially reduced the residual, considerably increasing H flux leav-
484 ing LE practically unaltered. H changed from 40.1 W m^{-2} with a 5-min averaging interval to 66.9 W
485 m^{-2} with 24 h. LE , in contrast, decreased from 73.9 W m^{-2} with 5-min averaging interval to 66.9 W
486 m^{-2} with 24 h, although with an averaging time of multiple days, LE was about 75 W m^{-2} . Wolf and
487 Laca (2007) performed a cospectra analysis of the ET_{EC} measured over short-grass steppes. They
488 found that H flux was underestimated by 14 % due to the lack of measurement resolution in the
489 high-frequency range. The LE loss was only half of the H loss. They concluded that this must lead to
490 a bias in the measured Bowen ratio.

491

492 Other possible candidates of the energy imbalance at our study site are underestimated
493 ground heat flux and neglected terms such as energy storage in the canopy and energy consumption
494 by photosynthesis. Accounting for these fluxes would probably help to improve the EBC at our
495 study site. Jacobs et al. (2008), for example, showed that EBC could be improved at a grassland site
496 by 15% by elaborate estimation of ground heat flux (9%) and considering energy consumption by
497 photosynthesis and other minor storage terms such as enthalpy storage in the air layer between tur-
498 bulent complex and the land surface (6%). Meyers and Hollinger (2004) demonstrated that combin-
499 ing soil heat storage with canopy heat and photosynthetic energy flux improved the EBC by 15%
500 and 7% for a fully developed maize and soybean site, respectively. They found that photosynthetic
501 energy flux can reach, on a half-hourly basis, up to 30 W m^{-2} at midday. A maximum of the canopy
502 heat storage was observed in the early morning hours (up to 20 W m^{-2}). Oncley et al. (2007) report
503 that the average heat storage by the canopy was about 10 W m^{-2} on a flood-irrigated cotton field,
504 whereas the photosynthetic energy flux peaked at 48 W m^{-2} with a diurnal average of 8 W m^{-2} . Guo
505 et al. (2009) observed a decrease of EBC with the physiological development of maize. EBC was

506 about 89% on bare soil and 67% during the senescence phase of the maize at the same field. Accord-
507 ingly, the study concluded that heat storage and photosynthesis energy of the vegetation canopy play
508 a non-negligible role in energy balance closure. In summary, our results imply that at our study site
509 during most observation periods of the growing season (OP 1 – 5), the energy balance residual was
510 not made up by latent heat. At our study site, the energy balance residual most probably consists of a
511 combination of underestimated heat fluxes and neglected storage terms.

512 **Conclusions**

513 We cross-checked the evapotranspiration (ET) data obtained with the eddy covariance (EC)
514 method against ET data measured with the soil water balance (WB) method. Both measurements
515 were performed at winter wheat stands in southwest Germany in two years, 2012 and 2013. At the
516 study site, both the Bowen-ratio and the LE post-closure method led to substantially higher ET than
517 the WB method. In general, ET measured with the WB method agreed best with the raw non-
518 adjusted ET fluxes (sensible heat flux (H) post-closure method). Only at the end of the vegetation
519 season 2013, during a period with high and frequent rainfall, ET_{WB} was in-between the ET_{EC} adjust-
520 ed by the H and Bowen ratio method, respectively. The LE post-closure method strongly overesti-
521 mated LE during all OPs is not suitable for this site. Our study also illustrates the limits of the WB
522 method. The lower rainfall and seepage, the more reliable the method. At our study site, during most
523 observation periods (OP 1 – 5) the energy balance gap was not made up by latent heat. This calls for
524 considering other fluxes and storage terms to even out the energy balance.

525

526 **Acknowledgments**

527 The present study was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) in the frame-
528 work of the Research Unit (RU) 1695 “Structure and function of agricultural landscapes under glob-
529 al climate change – Processes and projections on regional scale” and in part by Erasmus Mundus
530 grant SGA 2010-2361. We thank Benedikt Prechter and Maxim Poltoradnev for the great help in
531 conducting the soil sampling campaigns and installing the soil moisture network.

- 533 Barr, A. G., King, K. M., Gillespie, T. J., den Hartog, G. and Neumann, H. H.: A comparison of
534 Bowen ratio and eddy correlation sensible and latent heat flux measurements above deciduous for-
535 est, *BOUNDARY-LAYER METEOROL.*, 71, 21-41, 1994.
- 536 Barr, A. G., van der Kamp, G., Black, T. A., McCaughey, J. H. and Nesic, Z.: Energy balance clo-
537 sure at the BERMS flux towers in relation to the water balance of the White Gull Creek watershed
538 1999-2009, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 153, 3-13, 2012.
- 539 Carrer, D., Lafont, S., Roujean, J., Calvet, J. -, Meurey, C., Le Moigne, P. and Trigo, I. F.: Incom-
540 ing solar and infrared radiation derived from METEOSAT: Impact on the modeled land water and
541 energy budget over France, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 13, 504-520, 2012.
- 542 Charuchittipan, D., Babel, W., Mauder, M., Leps, J. -. and Foken, T.: Extension of the Averaging
543 Time in Eddy-Covariance Measurements and Its Effect on the Energy Balance Closure, *Boundary-
544 Layer Meteorol.*, 152, 303-327, 2014.
- 545 Cuenca, R. H., Stangel, D. E. and Kelly, S. F.: Soil water balance in a boreal forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*
546 *D Atmos.*, 102, 29355-29365, 1997.
- 547 Currell, G. and Dowman, A.: Essential mathematics and statistics for science, The University of the
548 West of England, UK, 2009.
- 549 Dane, J. H. and Topp, G. C.: Methods of soil analysis, Part 4 - Physical methods: Soil Science Soci-
550 ety of America Book Series No. 5, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, 2002
- 551 Durner, W.: Hydraulic conductivity estimation for soils with heterogeneous pore structure, *Water
552 Resources Research*, 30, 211-223, 1994.
- 553 El Maayar, M., Chen, J. M. and Price, D. T.: On the use of field measurements of energy fluxes to
554 evaluate land surface models, *Ecol. Model.*, 214, 293-304, 2008.
- 555 Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans,
556 R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Grünwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N. -,
557 Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C. T., Law, B. E., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E.,
558 Munger, J. W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, Ü, Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma, S.,
559 Vesala, T., Wilson, K. and Wofsy, S.: Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net eco-
560 system exchange, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 107, 43-69, 2001.
- 561 Falge, E., Reth, S., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Goldberg, V., Oltchev, A., Schaaf, S.,
562 Spindler, G., Stiller, B., Queck, R., Köstner, B. and Bernhofer, C.: Comparison of surface energy
563 exchange models with eddy flux data in forest and grassland ecosystems of Germany, *Ecol. Model.*,
564 188, 174-216, 2005.
- 565 Foken, T.: The energy balance closure problem: An overview, *Ecol. Appl.*, 18, 1351-1367, 2008a.

- 566 Foken, T.: Micrometeorology, Micrometeorology, 1-306, 2008b.
- 567 Foken, T., Mauder, M., Liebethal, C., Wimmer, F., Beyrich, F., Leps, J. -, Raasch, S., DeBruin, H.
568 A. R., Meijninger, W. M. L. and Bange, J.: Energy balance closure for the LITFASS-2003 experi-
569 ment, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 101, 149-160, 2010.
- 570 Foken, T. and Wichura, B.: Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements, *Agric.
571 For. Meteorol.*, 78, 83-105, 1996.
- 572 Friedrich, K., Mölders, N. and Tetzlaff, G.: On the influence of surface heterogeneity on the Bowen-
573 Ratio: A theoretical case study, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 65, 181-196, 2000.
- 574 Gayler, S., Ingwersen, J., Priesack, E., Wöhling, T., Wulfmeyer, V. and Streck, T.: Assessing the
575 relevance of subsurface processes for the simulation of evapotranspiration and soil moisture dynam-
576 ics with CLM3.5: Comparison with field data and crop model simulations, *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 69,
577 415-427, 2013.
- 578 [Gebler, S., Pütz, T., Post, H., Schmidt, M. and Vereecken, H.: Actual evapotranspiration and precip-
579 itation measured by lysimeters: A comparison with eddy covariance and tipping bucket, *Hydrol.
580 Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19, 2145-2161, 2015.](#)
- 581 Göckede, M., Markkanen, T., Hasager, C. B. and Foken, T.: Update of a footprint-based approach
582 for the characterisation of complex measurement sites, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 118, 635-655,
583 2006.
- 584 Guo, J. X., Bian, L. G. and Dai, Y. J.: Multiple time scale evaluation of the energy balance during
585 the maize growing season, and a new reason for energy imbalance, *Sci. China Ser. D Earth Sci.*, 52,
586 108-117, 2009.
- 587 Heusinkveld, B. G., Jacobs, A. F. G., Holtslag, A. A. M. and Berkowicz, S. M.: Surface energy bal-
588 ance closure in an arid region: Role of soil heat flux, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 122, 21-37, 2004.
- 589 Inagaki, A., Letzel, M. O., Raasch, S. and Kanda, M.: Impact of surface heterogeneity on energy
590 imbalance: A study using LES, *J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn.*, 84, 187-198, 2006.
- 591 Ingwersen, J., Steffens, K., Högy, P., Warrach-Sagi, K., Zhunusbayeva, D., Poltoradnev, M., Gäbler,
592 R., Wizemann, H. -, Fangmeier, A., Wulfmeyer, V. and Streck, T.: Comparison of Noah simula-
593 tions with eddy covariance and soil water measurements at a winter wheat stand, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*,
594 151, 345-355, 2011.
- 595 IUSS Working Group WRB.: World Reference Base for Soil Resources 2006, First Update 2007,
596 *World Soil Resources Reports*, FAO, Rome, 2007.
- 597 Jacobs, A. F. G., Heusinkveld, B. G. and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Towards closing the surface energy
598 budget of a mid-latitude grassland, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 126, 125-136, 2008.
- 599 Kanda, M., Inagaki, A., Letzel, M. O., Raasch, S. and Watanabe, T.: Les study of the energy imbal-
600 ance problem with eddy covariance fluxes, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 110, 381-404, 2004.

- 601 Klaassen, W. and Sogachev, A.: Flux footprint simulation downwind of a forest edge, *Boundary-*
602 *Layer Meteorol.*, 121, 459-473, 2006.
- 603 Lamaud, E., Ogée, J., Brunet, Y. and Berbigier, P.: Validation of eddy flux measurements above the
604 understorey of a pine forest, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 106, 187-203, 2001.
- 605 Leuning, R., van Gorsel, E., Massman, W. J. and Isaac, P. R.: Reflections on the surface energy im-
606 balance problem, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 156, 65-74, 2012.
- 607 Mauder, M., Cuntz, M., Driue, C., Graf, A., Rebmann, C., Schmid, H. P., Schmidt, M. and
608 Steinbrecher, R.: A strategy for quality and uncertainty assessment of long-term eddy-covariance
609 measurements, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 169, 122-135, 2013.
- 610 Mauder, M., Desjardins, R. L. and MacPherson, I.: Scale analysis of airborne flux measurements
611 over heterogeneous terrain in a boreal ecosystem, *J. Geophys. Res. D Atmos.*, 112, 2007.
- 612 Mauder M., Foken. T.: Documentation and Instruction Manual of the Eddy-Covariance Software
613 Package TK3. Arbeitsergebnisse Nr. 46, Universität Bayreuth, Abteilung Mikrometeorologie, ISSN
614 1614-8916, Bayreuth, 2011.
- 615 Mauder, M. and Foken, T.: Impact of post-field data processing on eddy covariance flux estimates
616 and energy balance closure, *Meteorol. Z.*, 15, 597-609, 2006.
- 617 Meyers, T. P. and Hollinger, S. E.: An assessment of storage terms in the surface energy balance of
618 maize and soybean, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 125, 105-115, 2004.
- 619 Moore, C. J.: Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems, *Boundary-Layer Mete-*
620 *orol*, 37, 17-35, 1986.
- 621 Oncley, S. P., Foken, T., Vogt, R., Kohsiek, W., DeBruin, H. A. R., Bernhofer, C., Christen, A., van
622 Gorsel, E., Grantz, D., Feigenwinter, C., Lehner, I., Liebenthal, C., Liu, H., Mauder, M., Pitacco, A.,
623 Ribeiro, L. and Weidinger, T.: The energy balance experiment EBEX-2000. Part I: Overview and
624 energy balance, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 123, 1-28, 2007.
- 625 Panin, G. N. and Bernhofer, C.: Parametrization of turbulent fluxes over inhomogeneous landscapes,
626 *Izv. Atmos. Ocean Phys.*, 44, 701-716, 2008.
- 627 Sakai, R. K., Fitzjarrald, D. R. and Moore, K. E.: Importance of low-frequency contributions to eddy
628 fluxes observed over rough surfaces, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 40, 2178-2192, 2001.
- 629 Schotanus, P., Nieuwstadt, F. T. M. and De Bruin, H. A. R.: Temperature measurement with a sonic
630 anemometer and its application to heat and moisture fluxes, *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 26, 81-93,
631 1983.
- 632 Schume, H., Hager, H. and Jost, G.: Water and energy exchange above a mixed European Beech -
633 Norway Spruce forest canopy: A comparison of eddy covariance against soil water depletion meas-
634 urement, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 81, 87-100, 2005.

- 635 Staudt, K., Falge, E., Pyles, R. D., Paw U, K. T. and Foken, T.: Sensitivity and predictive uncertainty
636 of the ACASA model at a spruce forest site, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3685-3705, 2010.
- 637 Stoy, P. C., Mauder, M., Foken, T., Marcolla, B., Boegh, E., Ibrom, A., Arain, M. A., Arneth, A.,
638 Aurela, M., Bernhofer, C., Cescatti, A., Dellwik, E., Duce, P., Gianelle, D., van Gorsel, E., Kiely,
639 G., Knohl, A., Margolis, H., Mccaughey, H., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Papale, D., Reichstein,
640 M., Saunders, M., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Sottocornola, M., Spano, D., Vaccari, F. and Varlagin, A.: A
641 data-driven analysis of energy balance closure across FLUXNET research sites: The role of land-
642 scape scale heterogeneity, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 171-172, 137-152, 2013.
- 643 Tsvang, L. R., Fedorov, M. M., Kader, B. A., Zubkovskii, S. L., Foken, T., Richter, S. H. and
644 Zeleny, Y.: Turbulent exchange over a surface with chessboard-type inhomogeneities, *Boundary-
645 Layer Meteorol.*, 55, 141-160, 1991.
- 646 Twine, T. E., Kustas, W. P., Norman, J. M., Cook, D. R., Houser, P. R., Meyers, T. P., Prueger, J.
647 H., Starks, P. J. and Wesely, M. L.: Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a grass-
648 land, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 103, 279-300, 2000.
- 649 UMS GmbH, Operation Manual KSAT, Germany, 2013.
- 650 UMS GmbH, User Manual HYPROP, Germany, 2012.
- 651 Webb, E. K., Pearman, G. I. and Leuning, R.: Correction of flux measurements for density effects
652 due to heat and water vapour transfer., *Quarterly Journal Royal Meteorological Society*, 106, 85-
653 100, 1980.
- 654 Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C.,
655 Ceulemans, R., Dolman, H., Field, C., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Law, B. E., Kowalski, A., Meyers, T.,
656 Moncrieff, J., Monson, R., Oechel, W., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R. and Verma, S.: Energy balance
657 closure at FLUXNET sites, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 113, 223-243, 2002.
- 658 Wilson, K. B., Hanson, P. J., Mulholland, P. J., Baldocchi, D. D. and Wullschleger, S. D.: A com-
659 parison of methods for determining forest evapotranspiration and its components: Sap-flow, soil
660 water budget, eddy covariance and catchment water balance, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 106, 153-168,
661 2001.
- 662 Wohlfahrt, G., Irschick, C., Thalinger, B., Hörtnagl, L., Obojes, N. and Hammerle, A.: Insights from
663 independent evapotranspiration estimates for closing the energy balance: A grassland case study,
664 *Vadose Zone J.*, 9, 1025-1033, 2010.
- 665 Wolf, A., Laca, E. A.: Cospectral analysis of high frequency signal loss in eddy covariance meas-
666 urements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 7, 13151-13173, 2007.
- 667 [World Meteorological Organization \(WMO\): Instruments and Observing Methods – WMO Field
668 intercomparison of rain intensity gauges, Report No. 99, 2009.](#)

669 Table 1: Basic soil properties of the fields EC1 and EC3. At both sites the soil type is Stagnic Luvi-
 670 sol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2007).

Depth (cm)	Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	Texture S/U/C* (% by weight)	Organic matter content (% by weight)	Carbonate con- tent (% by weight)	pH (0.01 M CaCl ₂)
EC1					
0-30	1.49	3.4/81.2/15.4	1.54	0.21	6.9
30-60	1.50	3.4/81.6/15.0	0.31	0.29	6.7
60-90	1.47	2.8/81.6/15.6	0.27	0.31	6.6
90-120	1.47	2.8/81.1/16.1	0.53	0.27	6.6
120-150	1.48	2.4/80.0/17.6	0.33	0.37	6.6
EC3					
0-30	1.43	3.4/81.2/15.4	1.60	0.13	6.4
30-60	1.49	3.7/80.6/15.7	0.31	0.10	6.5
60-90	1.47	2.3/80.9/16.7	0.62	0.12	6.6
90-120	1.51	1.8/80.5/17.7	0.40	0.13	6.6
120-150	1.55	1.5/80.3/18.2	0.34	0.05	6.6

671 *Fraction of sand (S), silt (U), clay (C).

672 Table 2: Weather conditions during the vegetation periods 2012 and 2013. The numbers in brackets give the anomaly over an observation
 673 period with regard to the 5-year average from 2009 to 2013.

Growing season, year	2012			2013			
Observation period	25.04. – 27.07. OP-1	25.04. – 15.06. OP-2	14.06. – 27.07. OP-3	13.04.-26.04. OP-4	05.07.-27.07. OP-5	18.06. – 31.07. OP-6	15.04.-04.06. OP-0
BBCH stage	30–89	30–65	65–89	20–30	75–89	65–89	20–60
Mean Net Radiation, W m ⁻²	148.9 (+0.7)	146.9 (+8.5)	152.6 (-8.8)	119.1 (-5.1)	192.7 (+33.8)	173.3 (+12.5)	108.5 (-23.7)
Mean temperature, °C	16.1 (+0.6)	14.6 (+1.0)	17.9 (+0.1)	12.8 (+2.6)	19.9 (+1.5)	18.6 (+0.6)	11.1 (-1.3)
Average wind speed, m s ⁻¹	1.6 (-0.1)	1.7 (-0.1)	1.5 (-0.1)	2.3 (+0.2)	1.4 (-0.3)	1.6 (-0.0)	2.3 (+0.3)
VPD, hPa	6.4 (+0.5)	5.9 (+1.1)	6.9 (-0.1)	6.1 (+1.1)	10.2 (+2.3)	8.2 (+1.1)	3.6 (-1.2)
Bowen Ratio (H/LE) ^a	0.44 (+0.07)	0.19 (-0.01)	0.44 (-0.16)	0.17 (-0.09)	0.56 (-0.53)	0.5 (-0.34)	0.15 (-0.05)
Rainfall, mm	305.0 (-8.6)	140.0 (-50.7)	166.0 (+38.9)	6.7 (-10.3)	1.6 (-71.3)	75.0 (-59.1)	282.7 (+117.8)

674 ^a: The Bowen ratio was computed for the period 9 a.m. to 3 p.m.

675

676 Table 3: Evapotranspiration measured with the water balance (WB) method and the eddy covariance (EC) technique at winter wheat stands
 677 in 2012 and 2013.

Growing season, year	2012			2013		
Observation period (OP)	25.04.-27.07.	25.04.-15.06.	14.06.-27.07.	13.04.-26.04.	05.07.-27.07.	18.06.-31.07.
	OP-1	OP-2	OP-3	OP-4	OP-5	OP-6
Length of the period, days	94	52	44	14	23	44
Rainfall, mm	305	140	166	6.7	1.6	75
Water storage, mm	-44.6	-60	15.4	-24.5	-67.9	-105.2
Drainage/capillary rise, mm	40.2/2.0	12.7/2.0	28.5/0	0.3/0.2	1.4/0	4.8/0.2
Average evapotranspiration, mm day⁻¹						
WB method	3.3±0.3	3.6±0.3	2.8±0.5	2.3±0.5	3.1±0.3	3.9±0.4
EC method with sensible heat flux post-closure method	3.4±0.6	3.5±0.6	3.3±0.6	2.3±0.4	3.1±0.5	3.2±0.5
EC method with Bowen ratio post-closure method	4.1±0.6	4.3±0.7	3.9±0.6	3.3±0.5	4.6±0.7	4.5±0.7
EC method with latent heat flux post-closure method	4.9±0.9	5.1±1.0	4.8±0.8	3.8±0.7	5.4±0.9	5.3±0.9
Energy balance closure (EBC)						
Average EBC, %	71	70	72	55	62	63
Average residual, W m ⁻²	68.5	72.4	65.1	70.6	98.8	89.1
Number of data	2542 (57.0%)	1426 (57.7%)	1170 (56.1%)	391 (58.2%)	695 (63.0%)	1269 (60.7%)

678

679 **Figure captions**

680 Fig.1. The study region “Kraichgau” (green) on the map of the federal state Baden-Württemberg.
681 Location of the central study site is indicated by a yellow star. The right panel shows a close-up
682 of the central study site. That site consists of three fields (EC1-3). An eddy covariance station
683 (black full point) is installed in the center of each field.

684

685 Fig.2. a) Footprint of the eddy covariance station EC 3 in 2012. Black isolines indicate the frac-
686 tion of the source area of 50, 80 and 95% of measured EC fluxes. b) Positions of sampling points
687 within the footprint of EC3 used to measure soil water storage.

688

689 Fig.3. a) Footprint of the eddy covariance station EC 1 in 2013. Black isolines indicate the frac-
690 tion of the source area of 50, 80 and 95% of measured EC fluxes. b) Positions of sampling points
691 within the footprint of EC3 used to measure soil water storage.

692

693 Fig.4. Diurnal rainfall and mean temperature during the 2013 growing season. Hatched zones
694 (OP-4, OP-5) indicate periods with low amount of rain and seepage.

695

696 Fig.5. Averaged diurnal cycles of net radiation R_n , latent LE , sensible H and ground heat fluxes
697 G in the observation periods (OPs) of 2012 (OP 1-3) and 2013 (OP 4-6).

698

699 Fig.6. Scatter plots and linear regressions between turbulent and available energy in the periods
700 from April to July 2012 and 2013. The 1:1 line indicates perfect energy balance closure.

701

702 [Fig.7.](#) Vertical soil water profiles and change in water storage over three observation periods
703 (OPs) at winter wheat stands at EC3 in 2012.

704

705 [Fig.8.](#) Vertical soil water profiles and change in water storage over four observation periods
706 (OPs) at winter wheat stands at EC1 in 2013. The upper row shows the results of the soil sample
707 campaigns. The soil water contents measured with capacitance soil moisture probes (SM1, Ad-
708 con Telemetry, Austria) are shown in the lower row.

709

710 Fig.9. Scatter plots between evapotranspiration assessed from the soil water balance, ET_{WB} , and
711 evapotranspiration measured by the eddy covariance technique, ET_{EC} , adjusted by the sensible
712 heat flux (H), the Bowen ratio (BR) and the latent heat flux (LE) post-closure method.