

Interactive comment on "No-tillage lessens soil CO₂ emissions the most under arid and sandy soil conditions: results from a meta-analysis" by K. Abdalla et al.

K. Abdalla et al.

chaplot@ird.fr

Received and published: 21 December 2015

please see attached files

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 15495, 2015.

C8617

Response to reviewers' comments

attention on the alactussion part. Prease see comments network on tims same page. Anonymous Referret #1 Received and published: 14 October 2015 1. General comments While the paper is about an exciting and important subject, there are significant grammatical errors that require serious attention. It is understandable that many ambros are not naive speakers of the English language, but effort should be taken to have manuscripts collected by people with a good grasp of the language. The trains a df with the discussions under attributes based sub-opies and drifted to one long discussion where a mumber of attributes were lumped together. The damper in adopting the approach of the short discussions under specific sub-topies based on attributes is the interactions between language adoption, climate, soil texture etc. It is often difficult to treat these factors independently in a discussion. There results are poorly discussed e.g. the impact of in corp rotations, and (ii) nitrogen fertilizer application on SOC_c and CO_c emission. There is no depth in the discussions. The automation of the difficult set of the astributes were worthwhile.

We fully agree about the difficulty to treat the factors independently in a discussion. However what we intended here was to investigate the extent to which each of them impacted the till vs no-till differences for CO₂ emissions.

In this new version of the manuscript a greater emphasis was given to the discussion of crop rotation and fertilization impacts with a series of new research studies cited to explain the observed trends (underlined text as new adds):

4.5. Crop types, residues management and crop rotation The no-tillage versus tillage variations of COc emission and SOCc amongst the crop types (Fig. 4ab.) are callead to variatibility in the quantity and quality of crop residue. Both quantity and quality of crop residues, are important factors for soil carbon sequestration and CO₂ emissions, and are highly dependent on crop type. Recived et al. (So)s, reported that matre returns nearly tokic as much residue than soybean, but soybean residues decompose faster than soybean. Al-Kaisi and Yin (2005) also reported reduced soil CO₂-missions, and improved soil carbon sequestration in mairs combean rotations due to better residue returns. Reicosky (1997) summarized that a maximizing residue reterint in pairs. Soveen restantions generation with subsequent decrease in CO₂-missions. However, several recent studies pointed to the lack of impact of residue management on soil carbon, with Lemke et al. (2010) 4.5. Crop types, residues management and crop rotation

- 1 No-tillage lessens soil CO₂ emissions the most under arid and sandy soil conditions: results from a meta-analysis
- 2 Khatab Abdalla ^{a,b}, Pauline Chivenge ^{a,c}, Philippe Ciais ^d and Vincent Chaplot ^{a,e}
- 3 ^aSchool of Agricultural, Earth & Environmental Sciences, CWRR, Rabie Saunders Building, University of KwaZulu-Natal,
- 4 Scottsville, 3209, South Africa
- 5 ^bEnvironment and Natural Recourses and Desertification Research Institute, National Centre for Research, P.O. Box 6096,
- 6 Khartoum, Sudan.
- 7 CICRISAT, Matopos Research Station, P.O. Box 776, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe.
- 8 d IPSL LSCE, CEA CNRS UVSQ, Centre d'Etudes Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 9 ^eInstitut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Laboratoire d'Océanographie et du Climat (LOCEAN), UMR 6159
- 10 CNRS/IRD/UPMC/MNHN, 4, place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France.
- 11

12

13

Fig. 2.

C8619

1