2015-12-30

Dear Editors and Reviewers, Thank you very much for your critical comments and great support!

Please see the attached point-by-point answers and the manuscript with tracking system for your further evaluation.

Wish you a Happy New Year!

Sincerely yours,

Zhengqin (on behalf of all authors)

Referee #1

GENERAL COMMENTS The authors present an interesting and complete assessment on Global Warming Potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) during three years in a rice-wheat rotation. The number of crop seasons, as well as the complete overview the sustainability of the agro-ecosystem (soil GHG emissions, SOC, CO2 equivalents from inputs and operations, and crop yields) are, from my point of view, the main strengths of the this study, which fits well into the scope of the journal. Conversely, the manuscript requires additional details and explanation before it can be considered for publication. Moreover, I do not understand why the authors did not set some variables (e.g. Zn fertilization -which has been reported to influence crop yields and GHG emissions- plant density, water management…). That would have simplified the discussion and maybe would have allowed obtaining some conclusions about management techniques (and not only about the overall scenarios) and the possibilities of combining scenarios. The authors should also improve the Materials and Methods section, explaining much better the GWP calculations and other issues of major interest. The conclusions are adequately presented: since each scenario is a combination of several management techniques, the authors cannot recommend any single practice, only the full scenario. Conversely, ALL the management factors that could have influence the measured variables (yields, GHG fluxes, GWP) should be briefly discussed.

A: Thank you very much for your great support and critical comments. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as further important guidance for our researches. We have made corrections which we hope to meet with approval. Please see the following point-by-point answers.

1. Yes, all of these variables such as Zn fertilization, plant density, and water management affect crop yields and GHG emissions. We are sorry that we did not set them as separate variables and just integrated them to realize our goal for better yield and NUE. According to your comments, we added some information for better understanding in discussion, such as on Page 10, Lines 253-271 for yield, Page 11, Lines 297-298 for GHG emissions.

2. It is really true that we update all possible components for calculating GWP as both of you Referee suggested. So, we put them in a better way on Page 6, Lines 140-161.

3. In conclusion, the ISSM scenarios could be adopted for both food security and environmental protection. We discussed scenarios in detail emphasizing the main components. Revised accordingly Page 14, Lines 376-379 and Page 15, Lines 420-422.

Referee #2

General Comments The authors have attempted to test agro-ecosystem dependent variables against a comprehensive set of controls related with the global perspective of GWP, and have tried to relate the study with the food security. The scope of this study is too large to detail all the measurements and their dynamics. Provided this paper is revised, it could be useful for relevant farming community, interesting to the scientific community and potentially important for the climate change studies. This paper should be published after filling up the significant gaps identified and correcting the specific and/or technical problems in the manuscript:

There are two major problems which need to be resolved before this research is published: 1. The C contents of the biomass (harvested crop=grains/paddy + straw) have gone un-accounted for in equations, although grain yield has been accounted for in equation 3 for GHGI calculation. However, in either case the crop straw is not mentioned (accounted). Crops grains as well as the wheat and rice straw accumulate a significant amount of C. As well, it is not clear how the total C balance of the agro-ecosystem was calculated. It is unclear how wheat grain and rice paddy and their straws have been accounted for in C balance and GWP calculations. The relative contributions of different GHGs on a global time scale are not even briefly mentioned. The "N" in the abbreviation "NGWP" is redundant. Instead negative GWP (cooling) and positive GWP (warming) could be simpler to be used. 2. As the measurements were made from the same plots over years, therefore, repeated measures ANOVAs should be used, although year could also be taken as a fixed variable at the same time to see differences between years.

A: Thank you very much for your patience and your great support. We have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to your valuable comments. Please see the following point-by-point answers.

1. We determined the C balance by calculating the SOC changes in the integrated soil-crop system in this study as adopted by several researches (Shang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). We may adopt an alternative approach for calculating the C balance as suggested considering the C inputs from all parts including grains, straws, root exudates, manures etc. and outputs such as heterotrophic respiration. We compared these approaches and they agreed well with each other as reported by our previous publication (Zhang et al., 2014). We added some information according to your comments. Harvests included crop grains as well as the rice and wheat straws were removed out of the field for all the treatments in this study. Revised accordingly Page 5, Lines 111-113.
2. We deleted the "N" and "net" according to the Referee's suggestion. Thus, we use GWP for all of our updated terminology. Thank you for your comments.
3. Considering the Referee's suggestion, we have made correction in Table 3. A repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test cultivation patterns, cropping years and their interaction on GHG emissions and grain yields for the three annual rice-wheat rotations. Thank you very much for your indication. We therefore corrected the corresponding description according to the new MANOVA results.

Specific Comments

1. Authors have presented the conclusion in the abstract in a clear, concise and comprehensive manner

A: Thank you very much for your comment!

2. 5 years field study for this experiment is appropriate as it provides larger data set for processing to conclude with less uncertainty

A: Thank you so much for your support.

3. The terms GWP and Food Security are very important and need to be defined in introduction section

A: You are right. This is our major aim. We revised this point accordingly. Page 3, Lines 38-42 and

Lines 51-54.

4. Please provide a brief rationale for this research with Food Security

A: You are right. Thank you very much! Revised accordingly Page 3, Lines 38-49.

5. The comments by the other referee are tired not be repeated here

A: Yes, thank you.

6. It could be very interesting if the GWPs be related to the annual (or seasonal) temperature and precipitation.

A: Thank you for your comment. The annual temperature and precipitation were similar over three years in this study. Further observations are essential to find out their relationship.

7. Fig. 1 may not be needed in this paper as the climate is not discussed in results section or related with other variables

A: Daily mean air temperature and precipitation were provided accordingly as Supplementary resource 1.

8. In the title, “Net” is redundant

A: Thank you for your comment. We have deleted the “Net” in the title and the corresponding texts.

Page 18885 Line 6: add “equivalent” before “emissions” Line 7, 8: putting the abbreviations in brackets could be more meaningful Line 13: “, i.e., N1, N2, N3 and N4,” is redundant as these are already defined earlier Line 24: why is the word “cost” here? Page 18886 Line 4, 5, 6: Conclusion cannot be made on the basis of hypothesis, therefore, please remove this conclusion. Page 18887 Basal fertilizers- what was rate? Page 18888 Line 7: space or “.” Is required after mL Line 13: why different size brackets are used when same sized could be used? Table 2. The 2ND column CH4 values could be rounded off to no decimal point while the SD could be rounded off to a single decimal point.

A: We are sorry for the inconvenience. Revised accordingly Page 2, Lines 19-21, Line 25, Page 3, Line 48, Page 4, Line 76 and Table 2. The basal fertilizers rate was presented in Table 1 and lines 108-110.

Thank you once again for your critical comments and great support! Wish you a Happy New Year!

Sincerely yours,

Zhengqin (on behalf of all authors)

~~~~~~
Prof. Zhengqin Xiong, PhD
College of Resources and Environmental Sciences
Nanjing Agricultural University
Weigang #1, Nanjing, 210095 PRC
zqxiong@njau.edu.cn
86-13605188915 (cell)
86-25-84395148 (O)
ORCID https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4743-7325
~~~~~~

~~Net g~~Global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in rice agriculture driven by high yields and nitrogen use efficiency: A 5-year field study

Xiaoxu Zhang[a], ~~Ziqiang Zhou[a], ~~Xin Xu[a], Yinglie Liu[a], ~~Xin Xu[a], ~~Jinyang Wang[a,b], ~~Heng Zhang[a], ~~Zhengqin Xiong[a,*]

[a] Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Agriculture and GHGs Mitigation, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

[b] State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

*Corresponding author (Z. Xiong):
Tel: +86-25-84395148,
Fax: +86-25-84395210,
E-mail: zqxiong@njau.edu.cn

Abstract: Our understanding of how ~~net~~ global warming potential (~~NGWP~~GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) is affected by management practices aimed at food security with respect to rice agriculture remains limited. In the present study, a 5-year field experiment was conducted in China to evaluate the effects of integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM) on ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI after accounting for carbon dioxide (CO_2) equivalent emissions from all sources ~~(~~including methane~~,~~ (CH_4) and nitrous oxide~~,~~ (N_2O) emissions, agrochemical inputs~~,~~ (Ei) and farm operations~~,~~ (Eo) and sinks (i.e., soil organic carbon~~,~~ (SOC) sequestration). For the improvement of rice yield and agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), four ISSM scenarios consisting of different nitrogen (N) fertilization rates relative to the local farmers' practice (FP) rate were carried out, namely, N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). The results showed that compared with the FP, the four ISSM scenarios~~, i.e., N1, N2, N3 and N4,~~ significantly increased the rice yields by 10, 16, 28 and 41% and the agronomic NUE by 75, 67, 86 and 82%, respectively. In addition, compared with the FP, the N1 and N2 scenarios significantly reduced the GHGI by 14 and 18%, respectively, despite similar ~~NGWP~~GWPs. The N3 and N4 scenarios remarkably increased the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI by an average of 67 and 36%, respectively. In conclusion, the ISSM strategies are promising for both food security and environmental protection, and the ISSM scenario of N2 is the optimal strategy to realize high yields and high NUE together with low environmental impacts for this agricultural rice field.

1 Introduction

Rapid population growth and economic development place a growing pressure on increasing food production (Barrett, 2010). An increase in global food production of 100% is the most appropriate way to sustain the increase in human population and the consumption of animal protein (Tilman et al., 2011). Rice is the staple food for nearly 50% of the world's people, mainly in Asia. According to FAO (2010), approximately 600 million people in Asia-Pacific region are suffering from hunger and malnutrition. With the region's population projected to increase by another billion by mid-century, new approaches to increase food production are needed. Within a limited land area, the intensive agricultural regions of China are facing serious environmental problems due to large inputs of chemical fertilizers and low nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (Ju et al., 2009; Makino, 2011). Thus, integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM), which redesigns the whole production system based on the local environment and draws on appropriate fertilizer varieties and application ratios, crop densities and advanced water regime management, has been advocated and developed to simultaneously increase crop productivity and NUE with low carbon dioxide (CO_2) equivalent emissions ~~carbon (C) costs~~ in China (Chen et al., 2014).

~~Carbon dioxide (~~CO_2~~)~~, methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) are the most important greenhouse gases (GHGs) that greatly contribute to global warming (IPCC, 2013). The concept of global warming potential (GWP) was proposed based on the radiative properties of all the GHG emissions and soil organic carbon (SOC) fixation, expressed as CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (Robertson and Grace, 2004; Mosier et al., 2006). Although agriculture releases significant amounts of CH_4 and N_2O into the atmosphere, the net emission of CO_2 equivalents from farming activities can be partly offset by changing agricultural management to increase the soil organic matter content and/or decrease the emissions of CH_4 and N_2O (Mosier et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008). If global agricultural techniques are improved, the mitigation potential of agriculture (excluding fossil fuel offsets from biomass) is estimated to be approximately 5.5–6.0 Pg CO_2 eq. yr^{-1} by 2030 (Smith et al., 2008). However, the release of CO_2 during the manufacturing and application of N fertilizer to crops and from fuel used in machines for farm operations can counteract these mitigation efforts (West and Marland, 2002). This indicates that agricultural ecosystems are not only a very important source of GHG emissions but also present substantial opportunities for mitigation. Therefore, when determining the ~~NGWP~~GWP~~net global warming potential (NGWPGWP)~~ of GHG (CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O) emissions from agroecosystems, there is a need to account for all sources including~~(~~ GHG~~ga~~s emissions, agrochemical inputs (Ei) and farm operations (Eo) and sinks~~ (,~~ e.g.~~,~~ soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration~~)~~ of ~~the C cost or~~ CO_2 equivalents (Sainju et al., 2014).

Information on the effects of ISSM scenarios on ~~NGWP~~GWP and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) is limited in China (Ma et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). The annual rotation of summer rice-upland crop is a dominant cropping system in China. Previous studies mainly investigated the initial influences of ISSM practices but did not account for the contributions of CO_2 emissions from Ei and Eo (Ma et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). In this study, we evaluated ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI by taking CO_2 equivalents from all sources and sinks into account for 5 years. We hypothesized that the ISSM strategies would reduce the overall ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI compared with local farmers' practices (FP)~~; thus, specific ISSM scenarios can be adopted by policy makers based on specific targets, such as high yield, high NUE and GHG mitigation~~. The specific objectives of this study were to (i) evaluate the effects of different ISSM scenarios on ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI; (ii) determine the main sources of ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI in a rice-wheat cropping system; and (iii) elucidate the overall performance for each ISSM scenario for different targets to increase grain yields and NUE and reduce ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental site

A 5-year field experiment was conducted at the Changshu agro-ecological experimental station (31°32′93″N, 120°41′88″E) in Jiangsu Province, China. This is a typical, intensively managed agricultural area where the cropping regime is dominated by a flooding rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-drained wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rotation system. The site is characterized by a subtropical humid monsoon climate with a mean annual air temperature of 15.5 °C and precipitation of 1038 mm. The soil of the field is classified as an *Anthrosol*, which developed from lacustrine sediment. The major properties of the soil at 0–20 cm can be described as follows: bulk density, 1.11 g cm^{-3}; pH, 7.35; organic matter content, 35.0 g kg^{-1}; and total N, 2.1 g kg^{-1}. The daily mean air temperatures and precipitation during the study period from

June 15, 2011, to June 15, 2014, are given in the supplementary resource 1~~shown in Fig. 1~~.

2.2 Experimental design and management

A completely randomized design was established in 2009 with four replicates of six treatments, including no nitrogen (NN) and FP as controls, and four ISSM scenarios at different N application rates relative to the local FP rate, namely N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). The designed ISSM including a redesign of a split N fertilizer application, a balanced fertilizer application, additional phosphorus and potassium application, and transplanting density, used as the main techniques for improving rice yield and agronomic NUE (calculated as the difference in grain yield between the plots that received N application and the NN plot, divided by the N fertilizer rate). The details of the fertilizer applications, irrigation, and field management practices of the six different treatments are presented in Table 1. Further detailed information was described previously (Zhang et al., 2014). Each plot was 6 m × 7 min size with an independent drainage/irrigation system.

One midseason drainage (about one week) and final drainage before harvest were used during the rice-growing season, whereas the plots only received precipitation during the wheat-growing season. All of phosphorous (P), silicon (Si), zinc (Zn)~~P, Si, Zn~~ and rapeseed cake manure were applied as basal fertilizers for both crops. Potassium (K) was added as a split (1:1) application to the rice crop and ~~used~~ all as basal fertilizer for the wheat crop. The basal fertilization occurred at the time of rice transplanting and wheat seeding. Harvests included crop grains as well as the rice and wheat straws were removed out of the field for all the treatments in this study.

2.3 Gas sampling and measurements

We measured the CH_4 emissions and N_2O fluxes in each plot of the field experiment over five annual cycles from the 2009 rice-growing season to the 2014 wheat-growing season. The initial 2-yr measurements during the 2009–2011 rice-wheat rotational systems were described in our previous study (Ma et al., 2013). Emissions were measured manually using the static-opaque chamber method. Each replicate plot was equipped with a chamber with a size of 50 cm × 50 cm × 50 cm or 50 cm × 50 cm × 110 cm, depending on the crop growth and plant height. The chamber was placed on a fixed PVC frame in each plot and wrapped with a layer of sponge and aluminum foil to minimize air temperature changes inside the chamber during the period of sampling.

The gas samples were analyzed for CH_4 and N_2O concentrations using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Shanghai, China) equipped with a hydrogen flame ionization detector and an SS-2 m×2 mm Porapak Q (80/100 mesh) column. The oven temperature remained at 50 °C, and the detector was maintained at 300 °C. The carrier gas was purified N_2 with a flow rate of 35 ml min^{-1}.

2.4 Topsoil organic carbon sequestration measurements

To measure the organic carbon content of the topsoil as described by Zhang et al. (2014), soil samples were collected after the wheat harvest in 2009 and 2014 from all experimental plots at a plowing depth of 0–20 cm. The soil organic carbon sequestration rates (SOCSR) were calculated as follows (Shang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014):

$$\text{SOCSR (t C ha}^{-1}\text{ yr}^{-1}) = (\text{SOC}_t - \text{SOC}_0) / T \times \gamma \times (1 - \delta_{2mm}/100) \times 20 \times 10^{-1} \quad (1)$$

In Eq. (1), SOC_t and SOC_0 are the SOC contents measured in the soils sampled after the wheat was harvested in 2014 and 2009, respectively. T refers to the experimental period (yr). γ and δ_{2mm} are the average bulk density and the gravel content (>2 mm) of the topsoil (0–20 cm), respectively.

2.5 ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI measurements

~~In addition to the CH_4 emissions and N_2O fluxes, we considered some ‘hidden’ CO_2 equivalent emissions, including agrochemical inputs (Ei), such as the manufacture and transportation of the N, P and K fertilizers (Snyder et al., 2009), and farm operations (Eo), such as the water used for irrigation (Zhang et al., 2013) and diesel fuel (Huang et al., 2013a). The CO_2 equivalent emissions of N fertilizer were calculated as the mean value of the C emissions of 1.3 kg C equivalent kg^{-1} (Lal, 2004). Similarly, the CO_2 equivalent for irrigation was calculated from the total amount of water used during the rice-growing season; the coefficient for the C cost was 5.16 (kg C eq. cm^{-1} ha^{-1}) (Lal, 2004). The CO_2 equivalents of other Ei (P and K fertilization, manure, herbicide, pesticide and fungicide applications) and Eo (tillage, planting, harvest, and farm machinery production) were recorded and estimated according to the methods provided by Lal (2004).~~

To better understand the overall climatic effects of the ISSM strategies on rice-wheat rotation cropping system, ~~The NGWP of the cropland ecosystem equals the total CO_2 emission equivalents minus the SOC change.~~ ~~To better understand climatic effects of the ISSM strategies on rice-wheat rotation cropping system, thus,~~ the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI were updated using all possible components and calculated ~~using~~ as the following equations (IPCC, 2013):

GWP (kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) = 25 × ~~GWP (~~CH_4 + 298 × N_2O + Ei + Eo − ~~GWP (~~44/12 × SOCSR~~)~~ (2)

GHGI (kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain yield yr^{-1}) = ~~NGWP~~GWP/grain yield (3)

In Eq. (2), Ei, Eo and SOCSR represent CO_2 equivalent emissions from the agrochemical inputs, farm operations and soil organic carbon sequestration rate, respectively. The global warming potential of 1 kg CH_4 and N_2O are equivalent to 25 and 298 kg CO_2 based on 100-year time scale, respectively (IPCC, 2013). The 12 and 44 are the molecular weight of C and CO_2, respectively. The grain yield is expressed as the air-dried grain yield.

Therefore, the GWP of the cropland ecosystem equals the total CO_2 equivalent emissions minus the SOC change. In addition to the CH_4 emissions and N_2O fluxes, we considered the ‘hidden’ CO_2 equivalent emissions, including agrochemical inputs (Ei), such as the manufacture and transportation of the N, P and K fertilizers (Snyder et al., 2009), and farm operations (Eo), such as the water used for irrigation (Zhang et al., 2013) and diesel fuel (Huang et al., 2013a). The CO_2 equivalent emissions of N fertilizer were calculated as the mean value of the C emissions of 1.3 kg C equivalent kg^{-1} (Lal, 2004). Similarly, the CO_2 equivalent for irrigation was calculated from the total amount of water used during the rice-growing season; the coefficient for the C cost was 5.16 (kg C eq. cm^{-1} ha^{-1}) (Lal, 2004). The CO_2 equivalents of other Ei (P and K fertilization, manure, herbicide, pesticide and fungicide applications) and Eo (tillage, planting, harvest, and farm machinery production) were recorded and estimated according to the methods provided by Lal (2004).

2.6 Statistical analysis

Repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and linear relationships were determined using JMP 7.0, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007). The F-test was applied to determine whether there were significant effects of the practices, years and their interaction at $P < 0.05$. One-way analysis of variance was conducted to determine the emissions of CH_4

and N_2O, and the grain yield among the different treatments~~ using JMP, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007)~~. Tukey's HSD test was used to determine whether significant differences occurred between the treatments at a significance level of $P < 0.05$. The results are presented as the means and standard deviation (mean ± SD, n = 4). ~~Repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA)Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear relationships were determined using JMP 7.0. The F-test was applied to determine whether there were significant effects of the practices, years and their interaction at $P < 0.05$.~~

3 Results

3.1 Crop production and agronomic NUE

During the three cropping rotations from 2011 to 2014, the rice and wheat yields varied significantly among these cultivation patterns; these results are shown in Table 2. The grain yields ranged from 5.83 to 12.11 t ha^{-1} for rice and 1.75 to 6.14 t ha^{-1} for wheat (Fig. 1~~2~~a). On average over the three cycles, the annual rice yield of the FP was significantly lower than that of the ISSM scenarios of N1, N2, N3 and N4. Compared with the FP, rice grain yields increased by 10% and 16% for the N1 and N2 scenarios, respectively, i.e., with the lower N input, by 28% for the N3 scenario with the same N input and by 41% for the N4 scenario with the highest N input. However, we did not observe any increases in the wheat-grain yields compared with the FP except for the N4 scenario. Statistical analysis indicated that rice and wheat yields from the three years were not significantly influenced by the interaction of cultivation patterns and cropping year (Table 3).

The agronomic NUE for the rice and wheat of the fertilized plots ranged from 9.2 to 17.1 and 19.5 to 24.7 kg grain kg^{-1} N, respectively (Fig. 1~~2~~b). The higher NUE in the wheat season was mainly due to the reduced N fertilizer (40%) during this season. As expected, the rice agronomic NUE significantly increased by 75, 67, 86 and 82% for the N1, N2, N3 and N4 scenarios, respectively, compared with the FP (Fig. 1~~2~~b). For the wheat crop, the agronomic NUE merely increased by 12 and 14% for the N1 and N2 scenarios, respectively, and decreased to some extent for the N3 and N4 scenarios compared with the FP, mainly because the current ISSM strategy was only designed for rice and not wheat production.

3.2 CH_4 and N_2O emissions

All plots showed similar CH_4 emission patterns, being a source in the rice season and negligible in the wheat season. During the three annual rice-wheat rotations from 2011 to 2014, the CH_4 fluxes ranged from –3.89 to 99.67 mg C m^{-2} h^{-1} (Fig. 2~~3~~). The seasonal CH_4 emissions varied significantly among the treatments during the rice-growing season (Table 3, Fig. 2~~3~~). No significant difference was found between the FP, N1 and N2 plots. Temporal variation was significant during the three cycles (Table 3, $P < 0.001$). Averaged across years, the CH_4 emission was greater in the N3 and N4 plots than in the NN, FP, N1 and N2 plots (Table 2, $P < 0.05$). However, compared with the NN plots, the FP, N1 and N2 plots with inorganic fertilizer application resulted in increased CH_4 emission rates of 59.9, 41.9 and 43.0%, respectively, averaged over the rice-growing seasons. The CH_4 emission rates were further enhanced by 198.5% in the N3 plots and by 246.7% in the N4 plots due to the combined application of inorganic and organic fertilizers.

The annual N_2O fluxes varied from −33.1 to 647.5 μg N_2O-N m^{-2} h^{-1} (Fig. 3~~4~~). With respect to the N application effect, the annual cumulative N_2O emissions for all four ISSM scenarios were significantly higher than in NN ($P < 0.05$). N_2O emissions significantly varied with the three years and showed similar tendency for all treatments (Table 3, $P < 0.001$). Relative to the FP plot, the N1 and N2 scenarios decreased the annual N_2O emissions by an average of 41% and 22%, respectively, while the N4 scenario significantly increased it by 46% ($P < 0.05$) although there was no significant difference between the N3 and FP plots. Correlations between seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions and fertilizer N application rates were also calculated and the seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions increased exponentially with an increase in the N application rate (Fig. 1~~2~~c).

3.3 Annual ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI

Based on the perspective of the carbon footprint, we included the GHG emissions associated with all of the inputs (Ei and Eo), and SOC sequestration was expressed as kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. The emission of CO_2 equivalents for Ei and Eo are classified in Table 4. While irrigation was a large proportion of farm operations, these were much less significant than chemical inputs. The CO_2 equivalents rates from N fertilizer dominated not only the chemical input section (68–76% of Ei) but also the total CO_2 equivalents from agricultural management (46–51% of the sum of the Ei and Eo). The ~~NGWP~~GWP ranged from 7871 to 20847 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1} for the NN and the N4 plots, respectively (Table 5). Although N fertilizer increased the annual CH_4 and N_2O emissions, it also increased the SOC sequestration in this cropping system. Of the main field GHGs that were directly emitted, CH_4 accounted for 56–75% of the ~~NGWP~~GWP in all plots. An increase in the annual SOC content led to a significant decrease in the ~~NGWP~~GWP (contributed to 5–10% of the ~~NGWP~~GWP except in the NN plot). The CO_2 equivalents from machinery used for Ei (2449–4192 CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) were higher than those for Eo (1285–1697CO_2 eq. ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) in the fertilized plots. There was no significant difference in the annual ~~NGWP~~GWP observed between the FP, N1 and N2 plots (Table 5). Across the three years, N1 and N2 slightly reduced the ~~NGWP~~GWP by 12 and 10%, respectively; however, N3 and N4 significantly increased the ~~NGWP~~GWP by an average of 52 and 81%, respectively, in comparison with the FP. Consequently, the lowest ~~NGWP~~GWP was achieved under the N1 scenario for the ISSM.

The GHGI was used to express the relationship between ~~NGWP~~GWP and grain yield. The GHGIs in this study ranged from 664 to 1143 kg CO_2 eq. t^{-1} (Table 5). A significant difference in the annual GHGI was found between the FP and the ISSM strategies. Compared with the FP, N1 and N2 significantly reduced the GHGI by 14 and 18%, respectively, mainly due to the increased grain yield and SOC sequestration as well as reduced GHG emissions for the ISSM strategies. Although N fertilizer or organic/inorganic combination fertilizer application reduced the SOC losses caused by crop cultivation and increased the grain yields, the GHGIs were generally higher for the N3 and N4 scenarios than the N1 and N2 scenarios due to further increases in CH_4 and N_2O emissions.

4 Discussion

4.1 Grain yield and agronomic NUE as affected by ISSM strategies

Grain yields are directly related to fertilizer management. The ~~two-way~~ MANOVA results indicated that the rice and wheat grain yields were significantly affected by the cultivation strategies (Table 3, $P < 0.001$), which is in agreement with previous results (Chen et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Compared with the FP plot, the rice yields were remarkably increased by all four ISSM scenarios (Table 2). However, the wheat grain yield decreased significantly when the N fertilizer rate was reduced by 25% (N1 scenario). It has been reported in previous studies that ISSM strategies can effectively improve the rice grain yield (Ma et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). First, the adjusted transplanting density for the N1, N2, and N3 scenarios would produce a positive effect on rice yield by influencing rice colony structure, which agreed with Wu et al. (2005). Second, reasonable N split for the N1, N2, N3 and N4 scenarios would significantly increase rice yield and agronomic NUE which had been confirmed by Liu et al. (2009). In the present study, N1 and N2 significantly increased annual rice production by 10 and 16%, respectively, in comparison with the FP (Table 2). The finding is consistent with the result of Peng et al. (2006), who reported that a 30% reduction in the total N rate during the early vegetative stage did not reduce the yield but slightly increased it when combined with the modified farmers' fertilizer practice. Third, integrated management of three macronutrients: N, P and K as well as the two micronutrients: Si and Zn were considered as essential for sustainable high crop yields. Additional Si and Zn fertilizers for the N3 and N4 scenarios would support better seedling establishment and reduce both biotic and abiotic stress, thus produce higher yields (Wang et al., 2005; Slaton et al., 2005). As expected, when the total N rate was at the FP rate and increased by 25% and applied with rapeseed cake manure, the rice yield in these N3 and N4 plots remarkably increased by 28 and 41%, respectively. Based on a long-term fertilizer experiment, Shang et al. (2011) reported that organic fertilizer incorporation significantly increased the early rice grain yield. This may have resulted from the organic fertilizer applied in combination with adequate nutrients, which improved the rice yield and the efficient control of pests and diseases (Slaton et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005). ~~The higher yields in N3 and N4 scenarios would have also attributed to the addition of 15 kg Zn ha^{-1} for better seedling establishment and vigor according to Slaton et al. (2005) and the application of silicon to reduce both biotic and abiotic stress according to Wang et al. (2005).~~

It has been suggested that N losses vary depending on the timing, rate, and method of N application, as well as the source of N fertilizer (Zhu, 1997). In general, rapid N losses (via ammonia volatilization, denitrification, surface runoff, and leaching), the high application rate of fertilizer N and improper timing of N application are three important factors that cause low agronomic NUE of irrigated rice in China (Peng et al., 2006). Compared with the FP plot, the rice agronomic NUE was significantly increased by 75, 67, 86 and 82% under the N1, N2, N3 and N4 scenarios, respectively (Fig. 1~~2~~b). The higher rice agronomic NUE in our study over the experimental period was primarily due to the greatly reduced N losses by leaching and volatilization as well as the improvement of N bioavailability in the rice crop season. Organic/inorganic combination fertilizer application also increases uptake by crops compared with the traditional farmers' practice (Peng et al., 2006). These findings suggest that the ISSM strategy is an effective method for improving grain yield and agronomic NUE for future sustainable rice agriculture in China.

4.2 CH_4 and N_2O emissions as affected by ISSM strategies

During the three years, the annual cumulative CH_4 emissions, on average, varied from 133 to 469 kg C $ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$ (Table 2), and these values fell within the range of 4.1 to 1015.6 kg CH_4 ha^{-1} observed previously in a rice field (Huang et al., 2004). The ~~two-way~~ MANOVA results indicated that obvious effects of cultivation patterns and years on CH_4 emissions were found during the rice-wheat rotations (Table 3, $P < 0.001$). The CH_4 emissions were not significantly affected by the cycles but affected by crop season (Table 5, Fig. 2~~3~~). In this study, no significant difference in CH_4 emission was observed between the FP, N1 and N2 plots. However, compared with the FP plot, the N3 and N4 scenarios emitted 87 and 118% more CH_4 emissions, respectively (Table 5), which is probably due to the incorporation of the organic rapeseed cake manure. Previous reports support the observations that CH_4 emissions were significantly increased with the application of organic amendments (Ma et al., 2009; Zou et al., 2005). Additional application of Si and Zn fertilizers had no significant effect on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes, which was consistent with the result of Xie et al. (2015). Moreover, rice growth was found to be significantly increased under the N3 and N4 scenarios. In this case, the organic matter inputs such as root litter and rhizodeposits in the N3 and N4 scenarios were probably also higher than in the other plots, and thus soil C input, which served as an additional source of substrates for the methanogens in the rice paddies, likely contributed to the increase in CH_4 emissions (Ma et al., 2009). Finally, because the rice plants acted as the main pathway for CH_4 transports from the soil to the atmosphere, the higher biomass the more CH_4 emissions (Yan et al., 2005). The results obtained in the present study revealed that both inorganic and organic fertilizer application significantly increased the CH_4 emissions in the rice season (Table 2), which was probably associated with the increase in the SOC content and crop biomass (Ma et al., 2013).

Denitrification and nitrification are the main processes that produce N_2O in the soil. Changes in the soil water content strongly affected the soil N_2O emissions and resulted in negligible N_2O emissions when the rice field was flooded (Fig. 34), which is consistent with previous reports (Akiyama et al., 2005; Murdiyarso et al., 2010). A relatively high N_2O peak was observed in the first two weeks of the wheat-growing season (Fig. 34), possibly because soil changes from flooded to drained condition may have enhanced N_2O release. Alternation of drainage and flooding may induce large amounts of N_2O emissions, particularly in fertilized systems; this has commonly been proved in earlier studies (Wang et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2005). The seasonal and annual rates of N_2O emission were significantly affected by the cultivation practice patterns and years (Table 3). Our results showed that the seasonal cumulative N_2O emissions increased exponentially with an increase in the N application rate (Fig. 1~~2~~c). Compared with the FP plot, the N2 scenario greatly decreased the seasonal N_2O emissions in this study, which may have resulted from a reduction in the N fertilizer rate (Table 1, Table 2). The total N_2O emissions decreased by 7–38% and 26–42% in the rice and wheat seasons, respectively, when the conventional N management (300 kg N ha^{-1} for rice and 180 kg N ha^{-1} per crop for wheat) changed to optimum N management (225–270 kg N ha^{-1} for rice and 135–162 kg N ha^{-1} per crop for wheat). It is likely that more N_2O was emitted (Mosier et al., 2006) as a result of the additional N made available to the soil microbes through N fertilizer application, which probably increased the CH_4 emissions (Banger et al., 2013). Strategies that can reduce N fertilization rates without influencing crop yields can inevitably lower GHG emissions (Mosier et al., 2006).

4.3 ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI as affected by ISSM strategies

The ~~NGWP~~GWP in our study (10104–20847 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}) with the ISSM strategies was higher than that in a double-cropping cereal rotation (1346–4684 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}) and a rice-wheat annual rotation (290–4580 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}) reported by Huang et al. (2013b) and Yang et al. (2015), respectively. Dominant CH_4 emissions as well as additional CO_2 emitted by the machinery/equipment used for irrigation and farm operations under the ISSM strategies may increase the ~~NGWP~~GWP more than in other cropping systems. However, the current ~~NGWP~~GWP was still much lower than that of a double-rice cropping system (13407–26066 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}) (Shang et al., 2011).The GHGIs, which ranged from 0.66 to

1.14 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain in this study, were slightly higher than previous estimates of 0.24–0.74 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain from rice paddies with midseason drainage and organic manure incorporation (Qin et al., 2010; Li et al., 2006) but were lower than the DNDC model estimates for continuous waterlogged paddies (3.22 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain) (Li et al., 2006). Differences in ~~NGWP~~GWP or GHGI were found in the cultivation patterns over the three rice-wheat rotations (Table 5). Although there were no significant differences among the FP, N1 and N2 plots, the N1 and N2 scenarios with optimized ISSM strategies led to a lower ~~NGWP~~GWP than the FP (Table 5). Compared with the FP, the N1 and N2 scenarios dramatically reduced the GHGI, which was mainly due to higher yields. In spite of the considerable ~~NGWP~~GWP compared with the FP plot, the lowest GHGI (0.66 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain) was obtained under the N2 scenario. This finding is consistent with the suggestion made by Burney et al. (2010), i.e., that the net effect of higher yields offsets emissions. It is well known that CH_4 emissions dominate the ~~NGWP~~GWP in rice paddies (Ma et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2011). In comparison to the ~~NGWP~~GWP (11545 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}yr^{-1}) and GHGI (0.81 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain) of the FP, the N3 and N4 scenarios increased both the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI, mainly because these scenarios notably increased the CH_4 emissions compared with the FP, which resulted in relatively higher ~~NGWP~~GWP (Table 5).

Agricultural management practices that change one type of GWP source/sink may also impact other sources/sinks and therefore change the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI (Mosier et al., 2006; Shang et al., 2011). Although the N-fertilizer plots, especially those with the incorporation of organic fertilizer, increased the annual CH_4 and N_2O emissions, they increased the SOC sequestration in this cropping system, which is agreement with previous reports (Huang and Sun, 2006). In the present study, the N2 scenario with ISSM decreased the CH_4 and N_2O emissions as well as the energy consumption related to irrigation and the manufacture and transport of N fertilizer (depending on coal combustion), ultimately leading to a decrease in the ~~NGWP~~GWP relative to the FP plot. Moreover, despite the lower N fertilizer input, the grain yield did not decline and the GHGI of the N2 scenario was thus lower than of the FP plot, indicating less consumption of CO_2 equivalents per unit grain produced. We demonstrate that high yield and agronomic NUE, together with low GWP, are not conflicting goals by optimizing ISSM strategies.

4.4 Main components of ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI and implementation significance for the ISSM strategies

Determining the main components of the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI in specific cropping systems is very important for mitigating GHG emissions in the future because the benefits of C sequestration would be negated by CH_4 and N_2O emissions and the CO_2 equivalents released with the use of high N fertilizer application rates (Schlesinger, 2010). In the current study, the five main components of the CO_2 equivalents for the ~~NGWP~~GWP were ranked in decreasing order of importance as follows: CH_4 emissions > agrochemical inputs of N fertilizer > farm operations related to irrigation > SOC sequestration > N_2O emissions (Table 5). CH_4 emissions, the most important component of GWP in this typical rice-wheat rotation system, could be further mitigated by some other strategies, such as reasonable irrigation (Zou et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2012).

Although N fertilizer application increased SOC sequestration when it was applied with rapeseed cake manure, this benefit was consistently overshadowed, on a CO_2 equivalent basis, by the increases in CH_4 and N_2O emissions (Table 5). Similar results have been reported, i.e., ~~that~~ GHG emissions substantially offset SOC increases (Six et al., 2004). It is possible that the realization of reducing the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI in China should focus on increasing the SOC and simultaneously decreasing the CO_2 equivalents from CH_4 emissions and N fertilizer inputs. Several studies reported possible methods for these types of mitigation strategies, such as optimizing the chemical fertilizer application amount and rate (Ju et al., 2011), the amount of water used for irrigation (Gao et al., 2015), and the timing and rate of N using the in-season N management approach, as well as improving the N fertilizer manufacturing technologies (Zhang et al., 2013), and using nitrification inhibitors or polymer-coated controlled-release fertilizers (Hu et al., 2013).

China is a rapidly developing country that faces the dual challenge of substantially increasing grain yields at the same time as reducing the very substantial environmental impacts of intensive agriculture (Chen et al., 2011). We used the ISSM strategies to develop a rice production system that achieved mean yields of 10.63 t ha^{-1} (an increment of almost 24%) and an agronomic NUE of 16.33 kg grain kg^{-1} N (an approximate doubling) in long-term field experiments compared with current farmers' practices. The ISSM redesigned the whole production system only for the rice crop based on the local environment and drawing on appropriate fertilizer varieties and application ratios, crop densities and an advanced water regime management. If the ISSM strategies were also developed for the rotated wheat crop, the overall performance of the whole rice-wheat system would be much improved, with further increases in yield and reductions in the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI. We conclude that the ISSM strategies are promising, particularly the ISSM-N2 scenario, which is the most favorable to realize higher yields with lower environmental impact. The proposed ISSM strategies can provide substantial benefits to intensive agricultural systems and can be applied feasibly using current technologies.

5 Conclusions

Reasonable agricultural management practices are the key to reducing GHG emissions from agricultural ecosystems. This study provided an insight into the complete GHG emission accounting of the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI affected by different ISSM scenarios. After a five-year field experiment, we found that the CH_4 emissions, production of N fertilizer, irrigation, SOC sequestration and N_2O fluxes were the main components of the ~~NGWP~~GWP in a typical rice-wheat rotation system. In contrast with the FP, N1 and N2 significantly reduced the GHGI, though they resulted in similar ~~NGWP~~GWPs, and N3 and N4 remarkably increased the ~~NGWP~~GWP and GHGI~~, indicating that further research is required for mitigating GHG emissions when aiming to increasing rice yield and NUE~~. By adopting the ISSM strategy, the conventional N application rate was reduced by 10% while the rice yield was significantly increased by 16%, the NUE was improved by 67% and the GHGI was lowered. ISSM scenarios could be adopted for both food security and environmental protection with specific targets. We propose that the ISSM-N2 scenario is the most appropriate management strategy for realizing higher yields and NUE, together with some potential to reduce GHGI by integrated soil-crop management. For simultaneously mitigating GHG emissions, further research on integrated soil-crop system managements is required particularly for mitigating CH_4 emissions in sustainable rice agriculture.

Acknowledgments We thank two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments to help improving this manuscript. This work was jointly supported by the National Science Foundation of China (41171238, 41471192), the Special Fund for Agro-Scientific Research in the Public Interest (201503106) and the Ministry of Science and Technology (2013BAD11B01).

References

Akiyama, H., Yagi, K. and Yan, X. Y.: Direct N_2O emissions from rice paddy fields: summary of available data, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB002378, doi:10.1029/2004GB002378, 2005.

Banger, K., Tian, H. and Lu, C.: Do nitrogen fertilizers stimulate or inhibit methane emissions from rice fields?, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 3259-3267, 2013.

Barrett, C. B.: Measuring food insecurity, Science., 327 (5967), 825-828, 2010.

Burney, J. A., Davis, S. J. and Lobell, D. B.: Greenhouse gas mitigation by agricultural intensification, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 107, 12052-12057, 2010.

Chen, X., Cui, Z., Vitousek, P. M., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Bai, J., Meng, Q., Hou, P., Yue, S. and Römheld, V.: Integrated soil-crop system management for food security, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 108, 6399-6404, 2011.

Chen, X., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Vitousek, P., Zhao, M., Ma, W., Wang, Z., Zhang, W., Yan, X. and Yang, J.: Producing more grain with lower environmental costs, Nature, 514, 486-489, 2014.

Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data (FAOSTAT), Available online at: http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x6905e/x6905e04.htm, Rome, Italy, 2010.

Gao, B., Ju, X., Meng, Q., Cui, Z., Christie, P., Chen, X. and Zhang, F.: The impact of alternative cropping systems on global warming potential, grain yield and groundwater use, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 203, 46-54, 2015.

Hu, X., Su, F., Ju, X., Gao, B., Oenema, O., Christie, P., Huang, B., Jiang, R. and Zhang, F.: Greenhouse gas emissions from a wheat-maize double cropping system with different nitrogen fertilization regimes, Environ. Pollut., 176, 198-207, 2013.

Huang, J., Chen, Y., Sui, P. and Gao, W.: Estimation of net greenhouse gas balance using crop-and soil-based approaches: Two case studies, Sci. Total Environ., 456, 299-306, 2013a.

Huang, T., Gao, B., Christie, P. and Ju, X.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in a double-cropping cereal rotation as affected by nitrogen and straw management, Biogeosciences, 10 , 7897-7911, 2013b.

Huang, Y. and Sun, W.: Changes in topsoil organic carbon of croplands in mainland China over the last two decades, Chinese Sci Bull., 51, 1785-1803, 2006.

Huang, Y., Zhang, W., Zheng, X. H., Li, J. and Yu, Y. Q.: Modeling methane emission from rice paddies with various agricultural practices, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, D08, doi:10.1029/2003JD004401, 2004.

IPCC.~~:~~ Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis: working group I contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Stockholm, 2013.

Ju, X., Xing, G., Chen, X., Zhang, S., Zhang, L., Liu, X., Cui, Z., Yin, B., Christie, P. and Zhu, Z.: Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 3041-3046, 2009.

Ju, X., Lu, X., Gao, Z., Chen, X., Su, F., Kogge, M., Römheld, V., Christie, P. and Zhang, F.: Processes and factors controlling N_2O production in an intensively managed low carbon calcareous soil under sub-humid monsoon conditions, Environ. Pollut., 159, 1007-1016, 2011.

Lal, R.: Carbon emission from farm operations, Environ. Int., 30, 981-990, 2004.

Li, C. S., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B. and Rose, S.: Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse gas emissions and increasing yields from rice production in China over the next twenty years, J. Environ. Qual., 35, 1554-1565, 2006.

Liu, L., Xue Y., Sun, X., Wang, Z. and Yang, J.: Effects of water management methods on grain yield and fertilizer-nitrogen use efficiency in rice, Chin J Rice Sci., 23, 282-288, 2009.

Liu, Y. L., Zhou, Z., Zhang, X., Xu, X., Chen, H. and Xiong, Z.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity from the double rice system with integrated soil-crop system management: A three-year field study, Atmos. Environ., 116, 92-101, 2015.

Ma, J., Ma, E., Xu, H., Yagi, K. and Cai, Z.: Wheat straw management affects CH_4 and N_2O emissions from rice fields, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41, 1022-1028, 2009.

Ma, Y., Kong, X., Yang, B., Zhang, X., Yan, X., Yang, J. and Xiong, Z.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity of annual rice-wheat rotations with integrated soil-crop system management, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 164, 209-219, 2013.

Makino, A.: Photosynthesis, grain yield, and nitrogen utilization in rice and wheat, Plant physiol., 155, 125-129, 2011.

Mosier, A. R., Halvorson, A. D., Reule, C. A. and Liu, X. J.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado, J. Environ. Qual., 35, 1584-1598, 2006.

Murdiyarso, D., Hergoualc'h, K. and Verchot, L. V.: Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in tropical peatlands, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 19655-19660, 2010.

Peng, S., Buresh, R. J., Huang, J., Yang, J., Zou, Y., Zhong, X., Wang, G. and Zhang, F.: Strategies for overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice systems in China, Field Crop. Res., 96, 37-47, 2006.

Qin, Y. M., Liu, S.W., Guo, Y. Q., Liu, Q. and Zou, J. W.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from organic and conventional rice cropping systems in Southeast China, Biol. Fertil. Soils., 46, 825-834, 2010.

Robertson, G. P. and Grace, P. R.: Greenhouse gas fluxes in tropical and temperate agriculture: The need for a full-cost accounting of global warming potentials, Environment Development and Sustainability, 6, 51-63, 2004.

Sainju, U. M., Stevens, W. B., Caesar-TonThat, T., Liebig, M. A. and Wang, J.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity influenced by irrigation, tillage, crop rotation, and nitrogen fertilization, J. Environ. Qual., 43, 777-788, 2014.

Schlesinger, W. H.: On fertilizer-induced soil carbon sequestration in China's croplands, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 849-850, 2010.

Shang, Q., Yang, X., Gao, C., Wu, P., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Zou, J. and Guo, S.: Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a 3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2196-2210, 2011.

Six, J., Ogle, S. M., Conant, R. T., Mosier, A. R. and Paustian, K.: The potential to mitigate global warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term, Glob. Change Biol., 10, 155-160, 2004.

Slaton, N. A., Norman, R. J. and Wilson, C. E.: Effect of zinc source and application time on zinc uptake and grain yield of flood-irrigated rice, Agron. J., 97, 272-278, 2005.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F. and Rice, C.: Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture, Philos. T. R. Soc. B., 363, 789-813, 2008.

Snyder, C., Bruulsema, T., Jensen, T. and Fixen, P.: Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 133, 247-266, 2009.

Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., Befort, B. L. and Affiliations, A.: Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 20260-20264, 2011.

Wang, J., Zhang, X., Xiong, **Z.**, Khalil, M.A.K., Zhao, X., Xie, Y. and Xing, G.: Methane emissions from a rice agroecosystem in South China: Effects of water regime, straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilizer, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 93,103-112, 2012.

Wang, L.J., Nie, Q., Li, M., Zhang, F.S., Zhuang, J.Q., Yang, W.S., Li, T.J. and Wang, Y.H.: Biosilicified structures for cooling plant leaves: a mechanism of highly efficient midinfrared thermal emission, Appl. Phys. Lett., 87, 194105, doi:10.1063/1.2126115, 2005.

West, T. O. and Marland, G.: A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 91, 217-232, 2002.

Wu, C., Ye, D., Lin, H., Ni, R., Lai L. and Lin, H.: Effects of transplanting density on rice yield and its quality, Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin., 21, 190-205, 2005.

Xie, Y., Zhang, J., Jiang, H., Yang, J., Deng, S., Li, X., Guo, J., Li, L., Liu, X. and Zhou, G.: Effects of different fertilization practices on greenhouse gas emissions from paddy soil, Journal of Agro-Environment Science., 3, 578-584, 2015.

Xiong, Z. Q., Xing, G. X. and Zhu, Z. L.: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions as affected by water, soil and nitrogen, Pedosphere, 17, 146-155, 2007.

Yan, X. Y., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H. and Akimoto, H.: Statistical analysis of the major variables controlling methane emission from rice fields, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 1131-1141, 2005.

Yang, B., Xiong, Z., Wang, J., Xu, X., Huang, Q. and Shen, Q.: Mitigating net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensities by substituting chemical nitrogen fertilizers with organic fertilization strategies in rice-wheat annual rotation systems in China: A 3-year field experiment, Ecol. Eng., 81, 289-297, 2015.

Zhang, F., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Zhang, W., Chen, X. and Jiang, R.: Integrated soil-crop system management: reducing environmental risk while increasing crop productivity and improving nutrient use efficiency in China, J. Environ Qual., 40, 1051-1057, 2011.

Zhang, W., Dou, Z., He, P., Ju, X., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu, Y., Zhang, Y. and Wu, L.: New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 8375-8380, 2013.

Zhang, X., Fan, C., Ma, Y., Liu, Y., Li, L., Zhou, Q. and Xiong, Z.: Two approaches for net ecosystem carbon budgets and soil carbon sequestration in a rice-wheat rotation system in China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 100, 301-313, 2014.

Zhu, Z.: Fate and management of fertilizer nitrogen in agro-ecosystems. Nitrogen in Soils of China, Springer Netherlands, 239-279, 1997.

Zou, J. W., Huang, Y., Jiang, J. Y., Zheng, X. H. and Sass, R.L.: A 3-year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB002401, doi:10.1029/2004GB002401, 2005.

Table 1

The establishment of different treatments for the annual rice-wheat rotations during the 2011–2014 cycle.

Scenario	NN[a]	FP	ISSM-N1	ISSM-N2	ISSM-N3	ISSM-N4
	Rice-growing season					
Chemical fertilizer application rate ($N:P_2O_5:K_2O:Na_2SiO_3:ZnSO_4$, kg ha^{-1})	0:90:120:0:0	300:90:120:0:0	225:90:120:0:0	270:90:120:0:0	300:108:144:225:15	375:126:180:225:15
Split N application ratio		6:2:0:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2
Rapeseed cake manure (t ha^{-1})	0	0	0	0	2.25	2.25
Water regime	F-D-F-M[b]	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M
Planting density (cm)	20×20	20×20	20×15	20×15	20×15	20×20
	Wheat-growing season					
Chemical fertilizer application rate ($N:P_2O_5:K_2O$, kg ha^{-1})	0:90:180	180:90:180	135:90:180	162:90:180	180:108:216	225:126:270
Split N application ratio		6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3
Seed sowing density (kg ha^{-1})	180	180	180	180	180	180

[a] NN, no N application; FP, farmers' practice; The four integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM) practices at different nitrogen application rates relative to the FP rate of 300 kg N ha^{-1} for the rice crop and 180 kg N ha^{-1} for the wheat crop, namely, N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). Urea, calcium biphosphate and potassium chloride were used as N, P and K fertilizer respectively.

[b] F-D-F-M, flooding-midseason drainage-re-flooding-moist irrigation.

Table 2

Seasonal CH_4 and N_2O emissions, and rice and wheat grain yields during the rice- and wheat-growing seasons in the three cycles of 2011–2014.

Treatment	Rice season			Wheat season		
	CH_4 $(kg\ C\ ha^{-1})$	N_2O $(kg\ N\ ha^{-1})$	Yield $(t\ ha^{-1})$	CH_4 $(kg\ C\ ha^{-1})$	N_2O $(kg\ N\ ha^{-1})$	Yield $(t\ ha^{-1})$
2011						
NN	153~~.15~~±10.8~~76~~	0.03±0.05	5.85±0.08	- 0.48±0.63	0.45±0.09	1.74±0.18
FP	266~~5.78~~±25.3~~28~~	0.11±0.08	8.38±0.35	- 0.48±1.86	1.43±0.19	5.67±0.20
ISSM-N1	212~~.18~~±30.3~~26~~	0.08±0.03	9.27±0.26	0.78±0.97	0.65±0.11	5.05±0.16
ISSM-N2	220~~19.88~~±32.5~~0~~	0.17±0.11	9.79±0.44	2.25±2.07	0.80±0.06	5.71±0.18
ISSM-N3	518~~.02~~±58.9~~4~~	0.38±0.15	10.81±0.26	0.04±3.23	1.40±0.10	5.31±0.26
ISSM-N4	561~~0.75~~±50.9~~88~~	0.37±0.07	11.76±0.24	- 0.09±1.40	1.93±0.09	6.15±0.15
2012						
NN	149~~.27~~±25.8~~78~~	0.13±0.10	5.80±0.22	- 4.32±7.29	0.65±0.09	1.73±0.11
FP	239~~.32~~±34.5~~4~~	0.33±0.11	8.72±0.62	4.85±10.30	2.13±0.43	5.64±0.34
ISSM-N1	226~~5.82~~±30.4~~2~~	0.27±0.07	9.43±0.34	1.46±6.38	1.39±0.14	4.94±0.38
ISSM-N2	228~~.29~~±32.6~~1~~	0.38±0.29	9.99±0.50	- 1.02±0.84	1.77±0.38	5.78±0.59
ISSM-N3	431~~.46~~±26.8~~79~~	0.52±0.16	10.92±0.61	2.45±8.35	2.19±0.24	5.39±0.39
ISSM-N4	536~~5.55~~±58.7~~69~~	0.78±0.13	12.24±0.60	5.91±6.18	2.61±0.42	6.10±0.49
2013						
NN	101~~.45~~±39.2~~4~~	0.16±0.09	5.84±0.15	- 1.45±1.34	0.35±0.06	1.80±0.03
FP	141~~0.54~~±25.2~~0~~	0.43±0.39	8.67±0.26	- 3.70±1.76	0.80±0.20	5.70±0.30
ISSM-N1	135~~.07~~±15.7~~68~~	0.19±0.16	9.66±0.29	- 1.00±1.61	0.49±0.16	5.15±0.20
ISSM-N2	129~~.31~~±32.2~~4~~	0.26±0.13	10.15±0.07	- 0.79±1.60	0.69±0.24	5.80±0.18
ISSM-N3	256~~.25~~±45.6~~1~~	0.59±0.42	11.14±0.10	- 0.62±1.14	0.71±0.10	5.51±0.33
ISSM-N4	304~~.06~~±22.3~~27~~	0.74±0.40	12.34±0.16	0.55±1.68	1.02±0.11	6.19±0.63
Average 2011–2013[a]						
NN[b]	135~~4.63~~±19.6~~4~~d	0.11±0.05c	5.83±0.04f	- 2.08±1.89a	0.48±0.07d	1.75±0.04d
FP[b]	215~~.21~~±19.9~~87~~c	0.29±0.13bc	8.59±0.25e	0.22±3.96a	1.45±0.24b	5.67±0.16b
ISSM-N1[b]	191~~.02~~±19.2~~18~~c	0.18±0.06c	9.45±0.18d	0.42±2.77a	0.84±0.08c	5.04±0.08c
ISSM-N2[b]	192~~.49~~±11.6~~2~~c	0.27±0.12bc	9.98±0.25c	0.15±0.58a	1.08±0.12c	5.76±0.22ab
ISSM-N3[b]	402~~1.91~~±23.8~~78~~b	0.50±0.16ab	10.95±0.13b	0.63±3.51a	1.43±0.05b	5.40±0.16bc
ISSM-N4[b]	467~~6.79~~±39.2~~18~~a	0.68±0.15a	12.11±0.28a	2.12±2.57a	1.85±0.16a	6.14±0.35a

[a] Mean ± SD, different lower case letters within the same column for each item indicate significant differences at $P<0.05$ according to Tukey's multiple range test.

[b] See Table 1 for treatment codes.

~~**Table 3**~~

~~Two-way ANOVA for the effects of cultivation patterns (P) and cropping year (Y) on CH_4 and N_2O emissions, and rice and wheat grain yields for the three annual rice-wheat rotations of 2011–2014.~~

~~Crop season~~	~~Factors~~	~~*df*[a]~~	~~CH_4 (kg C ha^{-1})~~			~~N_2O (kg N ha^{-1})~~		—	~~Yield (t ha^{-1})~~		—
	—	—	~~*SS*~~	~~*F*~~	~~*P*~~	~~*SS*~~	~~*F*~~	~~*P*~~	~~*SS*~~	~~*F*~~	~~*P*~~
~~Rice~~	~~P~~	~~5~~	~~598297~~	~~94.33~~	~~<0.001~~	~~0.77~~	~~3.57~~	~~<0.01~~	~~85.24~~	~~135.48~~	~~<0.001~~
	~~Y~~	~~2~~	~~6633~~	~~2.61~~	~~0.08~~	~~0.03~~	~~0.39~~	~~0.68~~	~~0.01~~	~~0.03~~	~~0.97~~
	~~P*Y~~	~~10~~	~~95740~~	~~7.55~~	~~<0.001~~	~~0.12~~	~~0.28~~	~~0.98~~	~~0.56~~	~~0.44~~	~~0.92~~
	~~Model~~	~~17~~	~~1462862~~	~~67.84~~	~~<0.001~~	~~3.39~~	~~4.63~~	~~<0.001~~	~~283.54~~	~~132.54~~	~~<0.001~~
	~~Error~~	~~54~~	~~68500~~			~~2.33~~			~~6.80~~		
~~Wheat~~	~~P~~	~~5~~	~~22~~	~~0.23~~	~~0.95~~	~~6.47~~	~~28.21~~	~~<0.001~~	~~51.95~~	~~98.58~~	~~<0.001~~
	~~Y~~	~~2~~	~~32~~	~~0.84~~	~~0.44~~	~~0.19~~	~~2.09~~	~~0.13~~	~~0.01~~	~~0.06~~	~~0.94~~
	~~P*Y~~	~~10~~	~~239~~	~~1.25~~	~~0.28~~	~~2.75~~	~~5.99~~	~~<0.001~~	~~0.09~~	~~0.09~~	~~1.00~~
	~~Model~~	~~17~~	~~438~~	~~1.35~~	~~0.20~~	~~32.31~~	~~41.42~~	~~<0.001~~	~~156.57~~	~~87.39~~	~~<0.001~~
	~~Error~~	~~54~~	~~1033~~			~~2.48~~			~~5.69~~		
~~Rice-Wheat~~	~~P~~	~~5~~	~~596596~~	~~94.05~~	~~<0.001~~	~~11.02~~	~~22.19~~	~~<0.001~~	~~251.28~~	~~182.42~~	~~<0.001~~
	~~Y~~	~~2~~	~~6471~~	~~2.55~~	~~0.09~~	~~0.21~~	~~1.08~~	~~0.35~~	~~0.03~~	~~0.05~~	~~0.95~~
	~~P*Y~~	~~10~~	~~95673~~	~~7.54~~	~~<0.001~~	~~2.63~~	~~2.65~~	~~<0.005~~	~~0.66~~	~~0.24~~	~~0.99~~
	~~Model~~	~~17~~	~~1489015~~	~~69.04~~	~~<0.001~~	~~47.83~~	~~28.33~~	~~<0.001~~	~~806.40~~	~~172.19~~	~~<0.001~~
—	~~Error~~	~~54~~	~~68506~~	—	—	~~5.36~~	—	—	~~14.88~~	—	—

~~[a] *df* degrees of freedom; *SS* sum of squares; *F* ratio of mean squares of two independent samples; *P* index of differences between the control group and the experimental group. $P<0.05$, $P<0.01$ and $P<0.001$ represent significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level, respectively.~~**Table 3**

Repeated-measures analysis of variance (MANOVA) for the effects of cultivation patterns (P) and cropping year (Y) on CH_4 and N_2O emissions, and rice and wheat grain yields in the 2011–2014 cycle.

Crop season	Source	*df*	CH_4 (kg C ha^{-1})	N_2O (kg N ha^{-1})	Yield (t ha^{-1})
Rice	Between subjects				
	P	5	35.3***	3.71***	123***
	Within subjects				
	Y	2	20.7***	0.88**	1.15**
	P×Y	10	6.73***	0.15	0.37
Wheat	Between subjects				
	P	5	0.26	14.8***	76.3***
	Within subjects				
	Y	2	0.55*	15.1***	0.08
	P×Y	10	0.83	4.39***	0.05
Rice-Wheat	Between subjects				
	P	5	37.2***	24.2***	153***
	Within subjects				
	Y	2	20.5***	5.83***	0.70*
	P×Y	10	6.50***	1.11	0.17

df – degrees of freedom, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$ represent significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level, respectively.

Table 4

Agricultural management practices for chemical inputs and farm operations and contributions to carbon dioxide equivalents (kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}yr^{-1}) in the annual rice-wheat rotations from 2011 to 2014 (chemical inputs and farm operations used in each year were similar except for irrigation water).

Treatment	Chemical inputs (kg ha^{-1})[a]						Farm operations (kg ha^{-1})[c]							
	N fertilizer	P fertilizer	K fertilizer	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Irrigation (cm)[b]			Tillage and raking	Crop planting	Farm manure	Crop harvest	Farm machinery production
							2011	2012	2013					
NN[d]	0	180	300	2	18	4	75	80	80	37	1	0	11	135
FP	480	180	300	2	20	4.4	75	80	80	37	1	0	11	147
ISSM-N1	360	180	300	2	20	4.4	50	65	55	37	1	0	11	139
ISSM-N2	432	180	300	2	20	4.4	50	65	55	37	1	0	11	177
ISSM-N3	480	216	360	2	27	6	50	65	55	37	1	2250	11	177
ISSM-N4	600	252	450	2	41	9	50	65	55	37	1	2250	11	275
	Chemical inputs (Ei)						Farm operations (Eo)							
NN	0	132	165	2	338	53	1419	1514	1514	127	12	0	37	36
FP	2288	132	165	2	375	59	1419	1514	1514	127	12	0	37	39
ISSM-N1	1716	132	165	2	375	59	946	1230	1041	127	12	0	37	37
ISSM-N2	2059	132	165	2	375	59	946	1230	1041	127	12	0	37	47
ISSM-N3	2288	158	198	2	506	79	946	1230	1041	127	12	62	37	47
ISSM-N4	2860	185	248	2	768	129	946	1230	1041	127	12	62	37	73

[a] The carbon emission coefficients were 1.3,0.2,0.15, 0.3, 5.1 and 3.9 C cost (kg C eq. kg^{-1} active ingredient) per applied nitrogen fertilizer, phosphorus, potassium, herbicide, insecticide and fungicide, respectively, as referred to in Lal (2004).

[b] The carbon emission coefficient for irrigation was 5.16 C cost (kg C eq. cm^{-1} ha^{-1}) as referred to in Lal (2004).

[c] The carbon emission coefficients were 0.94, 3.2, 0.0075, 0.94 and 0.0725 C cost (kg C eq. kg^{-1} active ingredient) for tillage and raking, crop planting, per farm manure application, harvesting, spraying and threshing, respectively, as referred to in Lal (2004).

[d] See Table 1 for treatment codes.

Table 5

Mean ~~net~~ global warming potentials (~~NGWP~~GWPs) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) over the three annual cycles of the 2011rice season–2014wheat season.

Treatment	CH_4	N_2O	Ei	Eo	SOCSR	~~NGWP~~GWP[a]	Grain yield	GHGI[b]
	kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}yr^{-1}						t ha^{-1}yr^{-1}	kg CO_2 eq. t^{-1}grain
NN[d]	4418±628d[c]	276±29d	690	1694	- 792±327c	7871±646d	7.58±0.04d	1038±85b
FP	7181±766c	816±55b	3021	1697	1170±396ab	11545±505c	14.26±0.36c	810±23c
ISSM-N1	6381±633c	479±62c	2449	1285	491±435b	10104±930c	14.50±0.14c	697±63d
ISSM-N2	6421±379c	633±97c	2792	1295	709±193ab	10433±516c	15.74±0.44b	664±49d
ISSM-N3	13418±744b	906±87b	3231	1357	1383±503a	17529±688b	16.36±0.18b	1071±33ab
ISSM-N4	15630±1246a	1188±65a	4192	1383	1545±348a	20847±1289a	18.26±0.46a	1143±84a

[a] ~~NGWP~~GWP (kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}yr^{-1}) = 25 × ~~GWP (~~CH_4 +298 × N_2O + Ei +Eo~~)~~ − 44/12 × ~~GWP (~~SOCSR~~)~~, Ei (agrochemical inputs), Eo (farm operations), SOCSR (SOC sequestration rate)

[b] GHGI (kg CO_2 eq. t^{-1} grain) = ~~NGWP~~GWP/grain yields

[c] Different lower case letters within the same column for each item indicate significant differences at $P<0.05$ based on Tukey's multiple range tests.

[d] See Table 1 for treatment codes.

~~**Fig 1** Daily mean air temperature and precipitation during the rice-wheat rotation in 2011–2014 in Changshu, China.~~**Fig 1~~2~~** (a) Rice and wheat grain yield, (b) agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) and (c) relationship between nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions and the total N rate for rice and wheat crops in 2011–2014 in Changshu, China. Different letters indicate a significant difference between treatments (p<0.05). See Table 1 for treatment codes.

Fig 2~~3~~ Seasonal variation of methane (CH_4) fluxes from the rice-wheat rotation cropping systems from 2011 to 2014. The black and gray part in figure separates different grain growth periods. See Table 1 for treatment codes.

Fig 3~~4~~ Seasonal variation of nitrous oxide (N_2O) fluxes from rice-wheat rotation cropping systems in three annual cycles over the period 2011–2014. The black and gray part in the figure separates different growth periods. See Table 1 for treatment codes. The solid arrows indicate fertilization.

Supplementary resource 1 Daily mean air temperature and precipitation during the rice-wheat rotation in 2011–2014 in Changshu, China.