



BGD

3, S894–S895, 2006

Interactive Comment

## Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

FGU

## *Interactive comment on* "Small-scale spatial structure in plankton distributions" *by* A. Tzella and P. H. Haynes

## Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 13 December 2006

The authors demonstrate that phytoplankton and zooplankton exhibit similar filamentous structures at small scale, while at larger scales phytoplankton is smooth and zooplankton remain filamentous. The also successfully discuss why their results contradict Abraham (1998) and how there model is more appropriate to resolve smaller scale.

The paper is well written, clear and well illustrated.

As stated by the authors in the 'Conclusion' section, I am convinced that their result provide an additional step toward the understanding of complicated dynamics of plankton in the presence of fluid motion.

This is a critical issue for the future of marine science. However, I am concern with the possibility to apply their simulations to what actually happne in the real ocean.

This issue is mainly related to their consideration that plankton particles are only dependent on the fluid parcel history. While this might be ok for purely passive, neutrally buoyant particles, I wonder which effect consideration of phytoplankton buoyancy regulation and zooplankton swimming behavior could have on the simulations. I appreciate that introducing such behavioral parameters would heavily increase the computation time and cost, but this should at least be explicitly discussed in the final manuscript to avoid ignoring a great amount of the oceanic biological literature. In particular, it is now evident from the literature that zooplankton can easily overcome local turbulent velocities and then don't only dependent on the history of the fluid parcel they are embedded in. Dealing with the complicated dynamics of the plankton also need to deal with the biological (here behavioral) complexity, not only the physical complexity mainly driven from the fluid flow.

With the issue clearly addressed and discussed and the manuscript carefully edited (e.g. Kiorboe should be written as Kiørboe), this paper will definitely be very useful to the marine science community.

## BGD

3, S894–S895, 2006

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 3, 1791, 2006.