Biogeosciences Discuss., 4, S421–S422, 2007 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/4/S421/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



**BGD** 

4, S421-S422, 2007

Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Biotic stoichiometric controls on the deep ocean N:P ratio" by T. M. Lenton and C. A. Klausmeier

T. M. Lenton and C. A. Klausmeier

Received and published: 1 May 2007

Cullen (1999) and Tyrrell (1999b) address the ratio of N:P in the surface ocean, not in the deep ocean, which is the focus of our paper. Tyrrell (1999a,b) makes the simplifying assumption that the Michaelis-Menten half-saturation constant for a nutrient ( $N_{\rm H}$  or  $P_{\rm H}$ ) is roughly proportional to the rate at which it needs to be taken up to fuel new growth, and therefore the ratio  $N_{\rm H}$ :P $_{\rm H}$  is approximately the N:P of non-fixer biomass. Although  $N_{\rm H}$  and  $P_{\rm H}$  appear in Tyrrell's equation for the deep ocean N:P ratio (Rd) they are not the dominant terms. Rewriting our Eq.(37) to include them explicitly the deep ocean N:P ratio becomes:

$$\frac{\text{NO}_3}{\text{PO}_4} = \frac{\left(r_{\text{N:P}} \times 1.475 \times 10^{-3}\right) + \left(4 \times N_{\text{H}}\right)}{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right) + \left(5 \times P_{\text{H}}\right)} \tag{1}$$

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

EGU

where default values are  $N_H$ =0.5  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol N m<sup>-3</sup> and  $P_H$ =0.03  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol P m<sup>-3</sup>, i.e.  $N_H$ : $P_H$ =16.7. Eq.(1) describes a straight-line relation between the N:P of the plankton ( $r_{N:P}$ , default value 16) and the N:P of the deep ocean. Varying  $N_H$  affects the intercept of the line, whereas varying  $P_H$  alters its gradient as well as the intercept. Neither parameter alters the existence of a direct relationship between  $r_{N:P}$  and deep ocean N:P. Setting  $P_H$ =0.05  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol P m<sup>-3</sup>, which is the alternative value given by Tyrrell (1999a), reduces the gradient of the line to 0.8 (from 0.84) and reduces the intercept to 1.08 (from 1.14). Setting  $N_H$ =0.1  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol N m<sup>-3</sup> (the lower value given by Tyrrell) reduces the intercept to 0.23, whereas setting  $N_H$ =4.2  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> mol N m<sup>-3</sup> (the upper value given by Tyrrell) increases the intercept to 9.6. Only in the latter case is there a systematic shift in deep ocean N:P. However, the direct relation between  $r_{N:P}$  and deep ocean N:P still exists.

## References:

Cullen, J.J.: Iron, nitrogen and phosphorus in the ocean, Nature, 402, 372, 1999.

Tyrrell, T.: The relative influences of nitrogen and phosphorus on oceanic primary production, Nature, 400, 525-531, 1999a.

Tyrrell, T.: Iron, nitrogen and phosphorus in the ocean, Nature, 402, 372, 1999b.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 4, 417, 2007.

## **BGD**

4, S421-S422, 2007

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

EGU