Biogeosciences Discuss., 5, 271–296, 2008 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/271/2008/ © Author(s) 2008. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of Biogeosciences

Modeling carbon dynamics in two adjacent spruce forests with different soil conditions in Russia

J. Kurbatova¹, C. Li², A. Varlagin¹, X. Xiao², and N. Vygodskaya¹

¹A. N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia ²Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH03824, USA

Received: 5 December 2007 - Accepted: 11 December 2007 - Published: 24 January 2008

Correspondence to: C. Li (Changsheng.li@unh.edu)



Abstract

Net ecosystem carbon exchange (NEE) were measured with eddy covariance method for two adjacent forests located at the southern boundary of European taiga in Russia in 1999–2004. The two spruce forests shared similar vegetation composition but differed in soil conditions. The wet spruce forest (WSF) possessed a thick peat layer 5 (60 cm) with a high water table seasonally close to or above the soil surface. The dry spruce forest (DSF) had a relatively thin organic layer (5 cm) with a deep water table (>60 cm). The measured NEE fluxes (2000 and $-1440 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for WSF and DSF, respectively) indicated that WSF was a source while DSF a sink of atmospheric carbon dioxide during the experimental years. A process-based model, Forest-DNDC, 10 was employed in the study to interpret the observations. The modeled NEE fluxes were 1800 and $-2200 \text{ kg C} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for WSF and DSF, respectively, which were comparable with the observations. The modeled data indicated that WSF and DSF had similar rates of photosynthesis and plant autotrophic respiration but differed in soil heterotrophic respiration. The simulations resulted in a hypothesis that the water table

- ¹⁵ erotrophic respiration. The simulations resulted in a hypothesis that the water table fluctuation at WSF could play a key role in determining the negative C balance in the ecosystem. A sensitivity test was conducted by running Forest-DNDC with varied water table scenarios for WSF. The results proved that the NEE fluxes from WSF were highly sensitive to the water table depth. When the water table dropped, the length of flood-
- ing season became shorter and more organic matter in the soil profile suffered from rapid decomposition that converted the ecosystem into a source atmospheric C. The conclusion from this modeling study could be applicable for a wide range of wetland and forest ecosystems that have accumulated soil organic C while face hydrological changes under certain climatic or land-use change scenarios.

BGD 5, 271–296, 2008 Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian

forests J. Kurbatova et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

1 Introduction

Boreal forests contain about 50% of the total carbon stored in vegetation and soils in the world (Dixon et al., 1994). The majority of boreal forests are distributed in Russia (600 million ha), Europe (300 million ha) and North America (500 million ha) (Schulze et al., 1999). Although relatively simple in their vegetation composition and structure, boreal forests play an important role in the global cycles of carbon (C), water and nutrients as well as the climate system. A number of global C balance studies have suggested that there is very likely a large C sink in the northern Hemisphere, but its spatial patterns and temporal dynamics remain uncertain (Schimel et al., 2001; Fan et al., 1998; Myneni et al., 2001). Multiple biological and abiotic factors, including climate variation, land use change, and frequent natural disturbance (e.g. fire, insect outbreak), could significantly affect the carbon dioxide (CO₂) fluxes and C storage in the boreal forest regions (Shvidenko and Nilsson, 2002; Jarvis and Linder, 2000; Kobak et al., 1996). The existing estimates of net primary production for boreal forests vary widely

¹⁵ (Melillo et al., 1993; Schulze et al., 1999; Jarvis et al., 2001; Jarvis and Linder, 2000). To better quantify the role of boreal forests in the global carbon cycle, the international research communities recently made great efforts establishing a net work of CO_2 eddy flux towers across boreal forests in Northern Eurasia through programs as AsiaFlux, EuroFlux, and ChinaFlux (Schulze et al., 1999; Hollinger et al., 1998; Roeser et al., 2002; Lloyd et al., 2002; Hamada et al., 2004; Ohta et al., 2001). The eddy flux tower network has produced and will continuously produce rich data sets of net ecosystem exchange of CO_2 (NEE) between forests and the atmosphere at the tower sites. NEE is defined as the difference between gross primary production (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (R_e) consisting of the plant autotrophic respiration and the soil microbial heterotrophic respiration. Preliminary analyses with the observed NEE flux data have been conducted and demonstrated interesting but complex patterns on the

Carbon dynamics represented by the observed NEE fluxes for the forest ecosystems

seasonal dynamics and inter-annual variations of the NEE fluxes (Falge et al., 2002).

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



is regulated by a series of primary drivers including climate, soil, hydrology, vegetation, and management practices. Any single change in the primary drivers could simultaneously alter several of the forest environmental factors such as radiation, temperature, soil moisture, soil redox potential, and nutrient substrates dynamics; and the altered 5 environmental factors could collectively and simultaneously affect the plant photosynthesis and/or the ecosystem respiration (Li, 2007). Sometimes, the interactions between the changes in primary drivers and the forest responses are so complex that it is hard to reveal the mechanisms controlling the forest C dynamics solely relying on the observed NEE fluxes. Process-based models have been developed to meet the gap. During the past decade a number of models were developed by integrating 10 the fundamental processes that governing forest growth, litter incorporation, and soil organic matter (SOM) turnover. Driven by climate, forest type, soil properties, management practices and other relevant input parameters, the models can simulate forest production as well as C and N cycles in the ecosystems. Among the modeling efforts, the Forest-DNDC model developed by Li and his colleagues (Li et al., 2000) has 15

- been tested for greenhouse gas studies with encouraging results (Stange et al., 2000) has been tested for greenhouse gas studies with encouraging results (Stange et al., 2000; Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2004; Kiese et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2005; Kesik et al., 2005; Miehle et al., 2006). In this study, we applied Forest-DNDC for understanding the NEE fluxes observed at two adjacent forest ecosystems located at the southern boundary of
- European taiga in Russia. The two forests shared similar composition though differing in soil and hydrological conditions. The multi-year observation data indicated that one of the forests was continuously a sink of atmospheric CO₂ while the other a source. By tracking the various C fluxes in the two forest stands with the modeling tool, we tried explaining why the two forests functioned differently regarding their contributions to atmospheric C.



2 Description of study sites

Net ecosystem exchange of carbon (NEE) was measured with eddy covariance technique at two adjacent forested sites in the Central Forest Biosphere Reserve ($56^{\circ}27'N$, $32^{\circ}55'E$) at Fyodorovskoe in Tver, Russia from 1999–2004 (Fig. 1). The reserve was

established in 1931 possessing an area of 24 447 ha with an elevation of 230–270 m. The forests have been being reserved without any commercial disturbance since the 1960s. The territory of the reserve is far from any industrial or residential areas with little air pollution affecting the forest growth. The two measured sites, the wet and dry spruce forests, are about 2 km apart from each other sharing similar climatic condi tions with average annual temperature 3.9°C and precipitation 711 mm for the 1990s (Milyukova et al., 2002).

The wet spruce forest (WSF) site is an old (150 years) spruce forest dominated by spruce (*Picea abies*, 86%) and birch (*Betula pubescens*, 14%). The undergrowth is dominated by *Vaccinium* spp. and *Sphaghum* spp. The above-ground woody biomass

- ¹⁵ is approximately 53 tC ha⁻¹ (Milyukova et al., 2002). The measurement tower is located in a shallow depression with a heterogeneous territory. The stand has a 60 cm Sphagnum peat layer with the tree roots throughout the whole profile. The soil C content in the peat layer is about 197 ton C ha⁻¹ (Vygodskaya et al., 2002). The forest is characterized by poor soil aeration, low pH (3.5–3.8) and low nitrogen content (0.5–9.9 kg ha⁻¹).
- The water table is seasonally close or above the soil surface usually in spring. The dry spruce forest (DSF) site is an old (80–150 years) spruce forest with *Picea abies* (53%), *Betula pubescens* (5%), *Populus tremula* (6%), *Ulmus glabra* (6.4%), *Acer plantanoides* (18%) and others growing on a well-drained slope. The organic layer is less than 5 cm. The water table is usually at a depth of 1.5 m (Vygodskaya et al., 2004a, 2004b).

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



3 Measurement of NEE fluxes

The eddy covariance system employed at the experimental sites was similar to that used in the Euroflux project (Aubinet et al., 2000). Briefly, a three-axis sonic anemometer with an omni directional head (Solent R3, Gill Instruments, Lymington, UK) was
⁵ installed in 29 m height atop aluminum tower. The instrument provides high frequency measurements (20 Hz) of the wind speed and the air temperature. For measurements of CO₂ and water vapor concentration, air was drawn from an inlet atop of the tower through a 1/8" inner diameter BEV-A-LINE tubing to a closed-path infrared gas analyzer (IRGA; LI-COR 6262, Lincoln, NE USA) located close to the bottom of the tower gas-inlet to ensure the air to be pushed through the instrument with a flow rate of ap-

- proximately 4-51 min⁻¹. A pressure transducer (PTP101B, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) in the reference cell provided the necessary information to correct the measurements for variations associated with pressure fluctuations induced by the pump. The analyzer
- ¹⁵ was run in absolute mode with CO₂ and water-free air circulating in the reference cell, using a combination of magnesium perchlorate and soda-lime. Calibration of the instrument was checked regularly once a week using air of known CO₂ (pressure bottle) and H₂O (dew-point generator, LI 610, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE USA) concentrations. Output from the sonic anemometer and infrared gas analyzer were read at 20 Hz through RS-232 ports and stored into computers for subsequent analysis.

Ambient CO₂ concentrations at heights of 0.20, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 11.0, 15.6, 25.0, 27.6 m were recorded with a system consisting of a LiCor nondispersive infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor 6251, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE USA), pump (KNF, Neurberger, Germany), switching manifold, BEV-A-Line tubing and datalogger (Campbell, model CR23X). Air was drawn through the tubes at a rate of 71min⁻¹, with each height being samples for 2 min, with the reading taken at rate of 1 Hz over the last 10 s of sampling at each height and averaged before being stored. The eddy tower was also equipped with instruments to measure environmental factors: incoming photosynthetic photon

BGD 5, 271-296, 2008 **Modeling carbon** dynamics in Russian forests J. Kurbatova et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

flux density (model LI-190SA, Lincoln, NE USA), humidity and temperature (model HMP35D, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland), air pressure (model PTB101B, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland), wind velocity (model A100R, Vector Instruments, UK), total downward and upward radiation (LXG055), and shortwave downward and upward radiation (CM14,

Kipp and Zonen, Delft, Holland). Precipitation was collected under the canopy on the height of 1 m above ground and was measured by a tipping bucket rain gauge (model 52202, R.M. Young Company, Traverse City, USA).

Five soil heat flux plates (Rimco HFP-CN3, McVan Instruments, Melbourne, Australia) installed at a depth 4–5 cm were used to obtain an average soil heat flux in the

¹⁰ neighborhood of the tower. Soil temperature was measured by sensors PT 100 at two profiles at depths of 5, 15, 50 and 100 cm and then averaged for every depth. All meteorological data were collected every 10 s, and 10 min averages or sums (precipitation only) were stored in the datalogger (DI3000, Delta-T, Burwell, UK). For comparison with half-hourly eddy flux data, 30 min averages of the environmental data were subsequently calculated.

Eddy tower measurements were conducted at WSF and DSF for six years from 1999–2004. A complete dataset of daily NEE fluxes measured at the WSF site were achieved for the entire measurement time span. The multi-year average annual NEE flux for the WSF site was about 2000 kg C ha⁻¹ per year. However, the observed data at the DSF site were not complete due to malfunctions of the instruments at the site. The continuous measurements at DSF only covered a time period from 27 July 2002 to 15 May 2003. Based on the limited measurements, an average annual NEE flux was estimated as –1440 kg C/ha for DSF (Van der Molen et al., 2007¹). The observations indicated that the two adjacent forests, WSF and DSF, acted as a source and a sink,

20

¹ Van der Molen, M. K., Dolman, A. J., Marchesini, L. B., Ciais, P., Corradi, C., Heimann, M., Kuwada, T., Kononov, A. V., Kurbatova, J., Maximov, T., Moors, E., Nakai, T., Schulze, E., Shibistova, O., Ohta, T., Valentini, R., Varlagin, A., Viovy, N., Vygodskaya, N., and Zimov, S.: The carbon balance of the Boreal Eurasia consolidated with eddy covariance observations, Global Change Biol., submitted, 2007.

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.



respectively, of atmospheric CO_2 (Van der Molen et al., 2007¹). Water table depths were measured at WSF and DSF in 2004.

4 Validations of Forest-DNDC for spruce forests

Forest-DNDC is a generic forest biogeochemical model. The model was developed by integrating two existing models, an upland forest model PnET-N-DNDC (Li et al., 5 2000; Stange et al., 2000) and a wetland forest model Wetland-DNDC (Zhang et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2005). Equipped with the upland and wetland features, Forest-DNDC is capable of simulating both aerobic and anaerobic processes at landscape scale. The structure of Forest-DNDC is shown in Fig. 2. Forest-DNDC consists of two components. The first component consisting of the soil climate, plant 10 growth and decomposition sub-models converts the primary drivers (e.g. climate, soil properties, vegetation and anthropogenic activity) to soil environmental factors (e.g. temperature, moisture, pH, Eh and substrate concentration gradients). The second component consisting of the nitrification, denitrification and fermentation sub-models predicts transformations of C and N driven by the soil environmental factors. The six 15 interacting sub-models form a computable framework to simulate the forest growth and soil biogeochemical processes including SOM turnover and emissions of CO₂, nitrous oxide (N_2O) , nitric oxide (NO), dinitrogen (N_2) and methane (CH_4) . The modeled NEE

- flux is the net sum of the simulated photosynthesis (i.e. gross primary production), plant autotrophic respiration and soil microbial heterotrophic respiration. If the simulated ecosystem is an upland forest, a one-dimension hydrological routine will be applied to track the vertical movement of water in the soil profile (Zhang et al., 2002). The soil water flow and moisture will be modeled based on precipitation, evapotranspiration and soil texture. If the simulated ecosystem is a wetland forest, the model will require daily ground water table depth (WT) as an input parameter to define the saturated and
- unsaturated zones in the modeled soil profile. The input WT data can be obtained from one of three optional sources including (1) field-observed WT, (2) predicted WT



with empirical equations developed with historical WT data, and (3) predicted WT with spatial distribution hydrological models based on the watershed information (e.g. to-pography, climate, soil and vegetation). An interface has been built in Forest-DNDC to allow users to select one of the options to define the WT fluctuation.

- To test the applicability of Forest-DNDC for spruce forests in temperate or boreal areas, we applied the model for two upland spruce forests in Germany and one in the U.S. where NEE fluxes were measured in 1998, 2001 and 1997, respectively. The two German forests were 20- and 50-years old spruce plantations located at Aberf and Tharandt, respectively (from EUROFLUX database at http://carbodat.jrc.it/data_arch_f.
- html). The U.S. forest was a natural forest (140-years old) dominated by spruce but also contained hemlock and other conifers in the Howland Forest in Main (Hollinger et al., 1999; Xiao et al., 2005). Forest-DNDC was run for the three spruce forests to simulate their daily photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration rates, which were further converted to NEE fluxes. The physiological and phenology parameters of spruce and
- other relevant tree species utilized in the simulations were originally adopted from Aber and Federer (1992) but slightly modified based on calibration tests against the three spruce forests in Germany and the U.S. The modified parameters included minimum photosynthesis temperature and wood respiration fraction. All the forest parameters adopted in Forest-DNDC for the study are listed in Table 1. Figure 3 shows the modeled
 NEE fluxes in comparison with observations for the three spruce forests in Germany
- and the U.S. Equipped with the calibrated forest parameters, Forest-DNDC was applied for the two Russian spruce forests, WSF and DSF. The daily weather data of 1999–2004 uti-

lized for the 6-year simulations were obtained from the local climatic station at Fyodorovskoe. The forest structure was set to be a 150-years old spruce as the upper-story with a 50-years old birch as the under-story for the Russian sites. The WSF site had a 60 cm organic layer containing about 200 tons C/ha, and the DSF site a 5 cm organic layer containing 25 tons C/ha. The water table data measured in 2004 were repeatedly utilized for each of all the simulated years. Through the simulations, daily and

BGD				
5, 271–296, 2008				
Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests J. Kurbatova et al.				
Title	Page			
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion				
EGU				

annual fluxes of photosynthesis, plant autotrophic respiration, soil heterotrophic respiration and NEE were produced for WSF and DSF for 1999–2004. The modeled daily and annual NEE fluxes were compared with the observed NEE fluxes for the WSF site. The comparison showed that the patterns and magnitudes of the modeled daily

- ⁵ NEE fluxes were in agreement with that of the measured NEE fluxes (Fig. 4). The modeled multi-year average annual NEE fluxes were 1800 and -2200 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for WSF and DSF, respectively, which are comparable with the observations (2000 and -1440 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for WSF and DSF, respectively) reported by van der Molen et al. (2007)¹ (Table 2). In agreement with the observations, the modeled results in-
- ¹⁰ dicated that WSF was a weak source while DSF a weak sink of atmospheric CO₂. The modeled data further showed that the forest photosynthesis rates or the plant autotrophic respiration rates between WSF and DSF were close to each other but the soil heterotrophic respiration rates differed between the two forests (Table 3). The results implied that the soil processes, especially the decomposition process, at the WSF site could play a key role in switching the wetland ecosystem from a sink to a source of
- atmospheric CO₂.

5 Sensitivity analysis

To test the hypothesis that the decomposition processes in the WSF soil could switch the ecosystem from a sink to a source of atmospheric C, we re-run Forest-DNDC for the WSF case but with varied soil hydrological scenarios. With the 2004-observed daily water table (WT) depth as baseline scenario (WT0), we systematically elevated the daily WT depths by 40 and 20 cm, and also decreased the daily WT depths by 40 and 20 cm. Thus we created four alternative scenarios, WT+40, WT+20, WT-20 and WT-40, respectively. Forest-DNDC was run for WSF with the baseline and alternative WT scenarios for year 2004. Results from the sensitivity test runs indicated that when the WT scenario shifted from the highest (i.e. WT+40) to the lowest (i.e. WT-40) (1) the fraction of soil profile allocated in the unsaturated zone significantly increased



(Fig. 5), (2) the annual soil heterotrophic respiration rate drastically increased from 315 to 6160 kg C/ha (Fig. 6); and (3) the annual NEE flux increased from –1820 to 3820 kg C/ha (Fig. 7). The results suggested that variation in WT dynamics could significantly alter C balance in the wetland ecosystems by affecting decomposition rate of the organic matter accumulated in the soil profile.

Forest-DNDC simulates not only CO₂ fluxes but also methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from wetland ecosystems (Li, 2007; Li et al., 2000) that enables us to assess the net greenhouse effect of the changes in climate or management. In this sensitivity test, the changes in the WT scenarios from WT+40 to WT+20, WT0, WT-20 and WT-40 increased N₂O emissions from 0.08 to 0.45, 1.99, 3.60 and 13.78 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, decreased CH₄ emissions from 697 to 563, 64, 24 and 0 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, and increased NEE fluxes (i.e. net CO₂ fluxes) from -1820 to -770, 870, 2540 and 3820 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively. Since the three greenhouse gases have different warming potentials based on IPCC (1996), the global warming potential
15 (GWP) of each scenario can be calculated as follows:

$$GWP_i = CO_{2i} + N_2O_i \times 310 + CH_{4i} \times 21;$$

with $CO_{2i} = C_i \times (44/12)$

20

5

 $N_2O_i = N_i \times (44/28)$

 $CH_{4i} = C_i \times (16/12)$

²⁵ where GWP_{*i*} (kg CO₂ equivalent ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) is the GWP induced by scenario i; CO_{2*i*}, N₂O_{*i*} and CH_{4*i*} are CO₂ flux (kg CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), N₂O flux (kg N₂O ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and CH₄ flux (kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), and C_{*i*} and N_{*i*} are fluxes in carbon and nitrogen units respectively (kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), induced by scenario *i*. 5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



The calculated GWP values are 12880, 13150, 5930, 11740 and 20720 kg CO_2 equivalent ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the WT+40, WT+20, WT0, WT-20 and WT-40 scenarios, respectively. The results indicated that water table variation in wetland ecosystems could simultaneously alter emissions of all the three greenhouse gases (i.e. CO_2 , N₂O and CH_4) that will draw an impact on global warming more complex than only counting the C balance.

6 Discussions

5

The C loss from wetland ecosystems due to climate change has long been discussed by the research community although case studies on the hypothesis are still spare.

- The difficulties in the studies are related to collecting the field data under usually harsh measurement conditions as well as interpreting the field data by integrating a large number of processes driven by climate, forest growth, soil hydrology and soil microbial activities. The six-year NEE measurements at a wetland spruce forest adjacent to a upland spruce forest in Russia provided an unique case for us to observe how the local
- climate, forest and soil conditions collectively affected the C dynamics between the two forest ecosystems. By adopting a process-based model, Forest-DNDC, in the study, we reproduced the NEE fluxes from the two forests. The modeled results indicated that it was the soil factors (i.e., soil hydrology and soil C storage) that differentiated the two forest ecosystems regarding their contributions to atmospheric CO₂. Russia possesses
- ²⁰ a large area of forested wetlands, which mostly contain the thick organic layers. Under the projected scenarios of global warming, these forest ecosystems may suffer dramatic changes in their C storage as well as other trace gas emissions that could send a significant feedback to the global climate. The modeling approach demonstrated in the study could play a key role in interpreting, integrating and extrapolating the field observations that will eventually enhance our capacity for predicting the C exchange
- observations that will eventually enhance our capacity for predicting the C exchang between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystems.

BGD 5, 271-296, 2008 Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests J. Kurbatova et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion EGU

Acknowledgements. The study reported in this paper was supported by the US NASA through Northern Eurasia Earth Science Partnership Initiative (NEESPI) project "Quantifying CO_2 fluxes of boreal forests in northern Eurasia through integrated analyses of in-situ flux tower, remote sensing and biogeochemical modeling" (NASA #143192). We thank H. Sang for her decent work formatting the manuscript for submission. Thanks are also given to the Central Forest

5 work formatting the manuscript for submission. Thanks are also given to the Central Fores Reserve at Fyodorovskoe in Tver, Russia for their assistances with the field investigations.

References

15

20

- Aber, J. D. and Federer, C. A.: A generalized, lumped-parameter model of photosynthesis, evapotranspiration and net primary production in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems,
- ¹⁰ Oecologia, 92, 463–474, 1992.
 - Aubinet, M., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Rannik, U., Moncrieff, J., Foken, T., Kowalski, A. S., Martin, P. H., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Morgenstern, K., Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R., and Vesala, T.: Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: The euroflux methodology, Adv. Ecol. Res., 30, 113–175, 2000.
 - Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kesik, M., Miehle, P., Papen, H., and Li, C.: Quantifying the regional source strength of n-trace gases across agricultural and forest ecosystems with process based models, Plant Soil, 260, 311–329, 2004.

Cui, J., Li, C., Sun, G., and Trettin, C.: Linkage of mike she to wetland-dndc for carbon budgeting and anaerobic biogeochemistry simulatio, Biogeochemistry, 72, 147–167, 2005.

- Dixon, R. K., Brown, S., Houghton, R., Solomon, S. C., Trexler, M. C., and Wisniewski, J.: Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems, Science, 263, 185–190, 1994.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Tenhunen, J., Aubinet, M., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Clement, R., Davis, K. J., Elbers, J. A., Goldstein, A. H., Grelle, A., Granier, A.,
- Guomundsson, J., Hollinger, D., Kowalski, A. S., Katul, G., Law, B. E., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Monson, R. K., Munger, J. W., Oechel, W., Paw, K. T., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Valentini, R., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Seasonality of ecosystem respiration and gross primary production as derived from fluxnet measurements, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 113, 53–74, 2002.

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



- Fan, S., Gloor, M., Mahlman, J., Pacala, S., Sarmiento, J., Takahashi, T., and Tans, P.: A large terrestrial carbon sink in north america implied by atmospheric and oceanic carbon dioxide data and models, Science, 282, 442–446, 1998.
- Hamada, S., Ohta, T., Hiyama, T., Kuwada, T., Takahashi, A., and Maximov, T. C.: Hydrometeorological behaviour of pine and larch forests in eastern siberia, Hydrol. Process., 18, 23–39, 2004.
 - Hollinger, D. Y., Kelliher, F. M., Schulze, E. D., Bauer, G., Arneth, A., Byers, J. N., Hunt, J. E., McSeveny, T. M., Kobak, K. I., Milukova, I., Sogatchev, A., Tatarinov, F., Varlargin, A., Ziegler, W., and Vygodskaya, N. N.: Forest-atmosphere carbon dioxide exchange in eastern siberia, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 90, 291–306, 1998.
- Hollinger, D. Y., Goltz, S. M., Davidson, E. A., Lee, J. T., Tu, K., and Valentine, H. T.: Seasonal patterns and environmental control of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange in an ecotonal boreal forest, Glob. Change Biol., 5, 891–902, 1999.

10

30

IPCC: Climate Change 1995: The Science of Climate Change: Intergovernmental Panel on

- ¹⁵ Climate Change (IPCC) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1996. Jarvis, P. and Linder, S.: Constraints to growth of boreal forests, Nature, 405, 904–905, 2000. Jarvis, P., Saugier, B., and Schulze, E. D.: Producitivity of boreal forests, in: Terrestrial global productivity, edited by: Roy, J., Saugier, B., and Mooney, H. A., Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 211–244, 2001.
- Kesik, M., Ambus, P., Baritz, R., Bruggemann, N. B., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Damm, M., Duyzer, J., Horvath, L., Kiese, R., Kitzler, B., Leip, A., Li, C., Pihlatie, M., Pilegaard, K., Seufert, G., Simpson, D., Skiba, U., Smiatek, G., Vesala, T., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Inventories of n2o and no emissions from european forest soils, Biogeosciences, 2, 353–375, 2005, http://www.biogeosciences.net/2/353/2005/.
- ²⁵ Kiese, R., Li, C., Hilbert, D., Papen, H., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Regional application of PnET-N-DNDC model for estimating the N₂O source strength of tropical rainforests in the wet tropics of Australia, Global Change Biol., 11(1), 128–144, 2005.
 - Kobak, K. I., Turchinovich, I. Y., Kondrasheva, N. Y., Schulze, E. D., Schulze, W., Koch, H., and Vygodskaya, N. N.: Vulnerability and adaptation of the larch forest in eastern siberia to climate change, Water Air Soil Poll., 92, 119–127, 1996.
 - Li, C., Aber, J. D., Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Papen, H.: A process-oriented model of n2o and no emissions from forest soils: 1. Model development, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 4369–4384, 2000.

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

Title Page						
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
•	•					
Back	Close					
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion						

- Li, C., Cui, J., Sun, G., and Trettin, C. C.: Modeling impacts of management on carbon sequestration and trace gas emissions in forested wetland ecosystems, Environ. Manage., 33, 176–186, 2004.
- Li, C.: Quantifying greenhouse gas emissions from soils: Scientific basis and modeling approach, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 53, 344–352, 2007.

5

20

- Lloyd, J., Shibistova, O., Zolotoukhine, D., Kolle, O., Arneth, A., Wirth, C., Styles, J. M., Tchebakova, N., and Schulze, E. D.: Seasonal and annual variations in the photosynthetic productivity and carbon balance of a central siberian pine forest, Tellus B, 54B, 590–610, 2002.
- ¹⁰ Melillo, J. M., Mcguire, A. D., Kicklighter, D. W., Moore, B., Vorosmarty, C. J., and Schloss, A. L.: Global climate-change and terrestrial net primary production, Nature, 363, 234–240, 1993.
 - Miehle, P., Livesley, S. J., Feikema, P. M., Li, C., and Arndt, S. K.: Assessing productivity and carbon sequestration capacity of eucalyptus globulus plantations using the process model forest-dndc: Calibration and validation, Ecol. Model., 192, 83–94, 2006.
- Milyukova, I. M., Kolle, O., Varlagin, A. V., Vygodskaya, N. N., Schulze, E. D., and Lloyd, J.: Carbon balance of a southern taiga spruce stand in european russia, Tellus B, 54, 429–442, 2002.
 - Myneni, R. B., Dong, J., Tucker, C. J., Kaufmann, R. K., Kauppi, P. E., Liski, J., Zhou, L., Alexeyev, V., and Hughes, M. K.: A large carbon sink in the woody biomass of northern forests, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 98, 14784–14789, 2001.
 - Ohta, T., Hiyama, T., Tanaka, H., Kuwada, T., Maximov, T. C., Ohata, T., and Fukushima, Y.: Seasonal variation in the energy and water exchanges above and below a larch forest in eastern siberia, Hydrol. Process., 15, 1459–1476, 2001.
 - Roeser, C., Montagnani, L., Schulze, E. D., Mollicone, D., Kolle, O., Meroni, M., Papale, D.,
- Marchesini, L. B., Federici, S., and Valentini, R.: Net co₂ exchange rates in three different successional stages of the "Dark taiga" of central siberia, Tellus Series B, 54 B, 642–654, 2002.
 - Schimel, D. S., House, J. I., Hibbard, K. A., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Peylin, P., Braswell, B. H., Apps, M. J., Baker, D., Bondeau, A., Canadell, J., Churkina, G., Cramer, W., Denning, A.
- S., Field, C. B., Friedlingstein, P., Goodale, C., Heimann, M., Houghton, R. A., Melillo, J. M., Moore, B., Murdiyarso, D., Noble, I., Pacala, S. W., Prentice, I. C., Raupach, M. R., Rayner, P. J., Scholes, R. J., Steffen, W. L., and Wirth, C.: Recent patterns and mechanisms of carbon exchange by terrestrial ecosystems, Nature, 414, 169–172, 2001.

BGD						
5, 271–2	5, 271–296, 2008					
Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests J. Kurbatova et al.						
Title	Page					
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables	Figures					
I4 FI						
•	•					
Back	Close					
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-frier	ndly Version					
Interactive Discussion						

- Schulze, E. D., Lloyd, J., Kelliher, F. M., Wirth, C., Rebmann, C., Luhker, B., Mund, M., Knohl, A., Milyukova, I. M., Schulze, W., Ziegler, W., Varlagin, A. B., Sogachev, A. F., Valentini, R., Dore, S., Grigoriev, S., Kolle, O., Panfyorov, M. I., Tchebakova, N., and Vygodskaya, N. N.: Productivity of forests in the eurosiberian boreal region and their potential to act as a carbon sink - a synthesis, Glob. Change Biol., 5, 703–722, 1999.
- Shvidenko, A. and Nilsson, S.: Dynamics of russian forests and the carbon budget in 1961– 1998: An assessment based on long-term forest inventory data, Climatic Change, 55, 5–37, 2002.

Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Li, C. S., and Aber, J.:

- ¹⁰ A process-oriented model of n2o and no emissions from forest soils 2. Sensitivity analysis and validation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 4385–4398, 2000.
 - Vygodskaya, N., Abrazhko, V., Varlagin, A., Kurbatova, Y., Sidorov, K., Milukova, I., Sogachev, A., Sogacheva, L., Shaposhnikov, E., Nepomnyashii, G., and Abrazhko, M.: Long-term dynamics of soil moisture and drying of spruce trees in spruce forests of the southern taiga, Lassuadaria, 1, 2, 22, 2004a

Lesovedenie, 1, 3–22, 2004a.

5

- Vygodskaya, N. N., Schulze, E. D., Tchebakova, N. M., Karpachevskii, L. O., Kozlov, D., Sidorov, K. N., Panfyorov, M. I., Abrazko, M. A., Shaposhnikov, E. S., Solnzeva, O. N., Minaeva, T. Y., Jeltuchin, A. S., Wirth, C., and Pugachevskii, A. V.: Climatic control of stand thinning in unmanaged spruce forests of the southern taiga in european russia, Tellus B, 54, 443–461, 2002.
 - Vygodskaya, N. N., Oltchev, A. V., Kurbatova, J. A., and Varlargin, A.: Gross primary production (gpp) of unmanaged over-mature spruce forests at southern european taiga: Eddy covariance measurements and modeling approach, in: Modeling forest production, scientific tools data needs and sources, validation and application, edited by: Hasenauer, H. and Makela,

²⁵ A., 421–430, 2004b.

Xiao, X. M., Zhang, Q. Y., Hollinger, D., Aber, J., and Moore, B.: Modeling gross primary production of an evergreen needleleaf forest using modis and climate data, Ecol. Appl., 15, 954–969, 2005.

Zhang, Y., Li, C. S., Trettin, C. C., Li, H., and Sun, G.: An integrated model of soil, hydrology,

and vegetation for carbon dynamics in wetland ecosystems, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 16(4), 1–17, 2002.

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.

Title Page						
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References Figures					
Tables						
4						
Back	Close					
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion						
Interactive Discussion						

Table 1. Physiological and phenology parameters for spruce and birch forests adopted in Forest-DNDC.

Parameter	Definition	Spruce	Birch
LeafGeo	Leaf geometry index	1	2
AmaxA	Coefficient for Amax calculation, n mole CO2/g/s	9.3	-46
AmaxB	Coefficient for Amax calculation, slope	21.5	71.9
HalfSat	Half saturation light intensity, u mole/m2/s	200	200
k	Light attenuation constant	0.5	0.58
PsnTMin	Minimum daytime temperature for Dtemp calculation, degree C	-4.0	4.0
PsnTOpt	Optimum daytime temperature for Dtemp calculation, degree C	24.0	24.0
PsnTMax	Maximum daytime temperature for Dtemp calculation, degree C	45.0	45.0
DVPD1	Coefficient A for DVPD as a function of VPD	0.05	0.05
DVPD2	Coefficient B for DVPD as a function of VPD	2.0	2.0
RespQ10	Effect of temperature on respiration	2.0	2.0
RootMRespFrc	Ratio of fine root maintenance respiration to biomass production	1.0	1.0
WoodMRFrc	Wood maintenance respiration as a fraction of gross Psn	0.07	0.07
GRespFrac	Growth respiration as fraction of allocation	0.25	0.25
FolReten	Leaf retening time, years	4.0	1.0
SLWmax	Maximum specific leaf weight, g dry matter/m2 leaf	170	100
GDDFolStart	GDD for leaf to start growth	250	100
GDDFolEnd	GDD to complete foliage production, degree C	1100	900
SenescStart	Julian day to start leaf senescence	270	260
FoINCon	Leaf N concentration by weight	1.2	2.2
FolNRetrans	Fraction of leaf N retranslocated	0.5	0.5
FolCNR	Leaf C/N ratio	37.0	21.0
BaseFolRespFrac	Dark respiration as fraction of Amax	0.075	0.1
AmaxFrac	Daily Amax as fraction of instantaneous Amax	0.76	0.76
PsnTOpt	Optimum temperature for photosynthesis, degree C	24.0	24.0
PlantCReserveFrac	Fraction of available C for plant reserve	0.75	0.75
WoodCNR	Wood C/N ratio	200	180
WUEConst	Water use efficiency constant	13.9	13.9
SLWdel	Specific leaf weight, g dry matter/(m2 leaf * g foliage mass)	0.0	0.2
FolRelGrowMax	Maximum leaf growth rate, %/yr	0.3	0.95
MinWoodFolRatio	Minimum wood/leaf ratio	1.25	1.4
GDDWoodStart	GDD for wood to start growth	250	100
GDDWoodEnd	GDD for wood to end growth	1400	900

BGD

5, 271–296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
14	►I.			
•	•			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Printer-frie	ndly Version			

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.



Stand	Aberf, Germany	Tharandt, Germany	Howland, USA	Fyodorovskoe, Tver, Russia	Fyodorovskoe, Tver, Russia
Location	56.6	51	45°12′ N	56	56
Age, yrs	20	50	140	150	150
Dominant species	Spruce plantation	Spruce plantation	Red spruce, hemlock	Spruce, birch	Spruce, birch
Soil	Sandy clay Ioam, acid	Loam, acid	Sandy loam, acid	Sandy clay Ioam, acid	Sandy clay loam, acid
Land type	Upland	Upland	Upland	Upland	Wetland
Observed multi-year average NEE, kg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	-5830	-5011	-2577	-1440	2000
Modeled multi-year average NEE, kg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	-5470	-3570	-2280	-2200	1800

Table 2. Characteristics of five spruce forest stands used for validation of Forest-DNDC.

5, 271–296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.

Title Page						
Abstract Introduction						
Conclusions References						
Tables Figures						
•	•					
Back	Close					
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion						

EGU

Table 3. Modeled C fluxes for the wet spruce forest (WSF) and the dry spruce forest (DSF) in Fyodorovskoe, Tver, Russia in 2004.

Stand	$GPP (kg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1})$	Plant respiration $(kgCha^{-1}yr^{-1})$	Soil microbial respiration $(kg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1})$	NEE (kg C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)
WSF	13295	12895	1342	942
DSF	13297	12334	787	176

Fig. 1. Location of the Central Forest Biosphere Reserve at Fyodorovskoe in Tver, Russia.

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



Forest-DNDC ecological ecological driver human impact climate soil vegetation driver daily evapotrans piration mean annual temperat. mineralisation ÷ very labile labile resist degrad LAI depending albedo vater uptake water movement in the soil ranspira tion by roots DOC soil T-profile soil moisture profile O diffus roots root O2-profile Soil climate respiratio non-degradable organic matter tree growth Effect of temperature and moisture on mineralisation predicted soil environmental forces anaerobic substrate (C, N) temperature moisture pН balloon denitrification nitrification methanogenesis DOC NH, DOC CO_2 Nitrat denitrifier clay-minerals NH₂ nitrifier NO2 methanogenic bacteria NO3 CH₄-oxidation Nitrit -denitrifier methanotrophic bacteria DOC - diffusion - gasbubbles - plant tranpsort NO, N₂O -denitrifier NO N C र फ predicted gas fluxes emission of NO, NO, N2, NH3 and CH4 predicted gas fluxes

Fig. 2. Structure of the Forest-DNDC model.



5, 271–296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests





Fig. 3. Comparison between observed and Forest-DNDC modeled NEE fluxes for three spruce forest stands in Germany (a) and (b), and the U.S. (c).

BGD

5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests



5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.





Fig. 4. Comparison between observed and Forest-DNDC modeled NEE fluxes from a wet spruce forest (WSF) in the Central Forest Reserve at Fyodorovskoe, Tver, Russia in 1999–2004.

5, 271–296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.





Fig. 5. Daily water table (WT) depths with different WT scenarios at the wetland spruce forest (WSF) in Fyodorovskoe, Russia in 2004.



Fig. 6. Modeled daily soil CO2 fluxes from the wetland spruce forest (WSF) with different water table scenarios in Fyodorovskoe, Russia in 2004.



5, 271-296, 2008

Modeling carbon dynamics in Russian forests

J. Kurbatova et al.





Fig. 7. Modeled annual GPP, plant autotrophic respiration, soil heterotrophic respiration and NEE fluxes for the wetland spruce forest (WSF) with different water table scenarios in Fyodor-ovskoe, Russia in 2004.