

Interactive comment on “Mass extinctions past and present: a unifying hypothesis” by S. A. Wooldridge

S. A. Wooldridge

Received and published: 28 July 2008

A hidden "dark dissolution" process

It has been suggested that the urease hypothesis is in error because dark respiration (net CO₂ release = acidification) causes the micro-environment of most reef organisms (including symbiotic reef corals) to fall below pH 7.9 during the night-time period (see e.g., Fig 2; Yates & Halley 2006) - with this situation being reversed during the day-time period as net CO₂-uptake occurs due mainly to the photosynthetic activities of algal endosymbionts. In response to this criticism, I argue that there is strong and persuasive evidence to support the fact that scleractinian corals (Kawaguti & Sakamoto 1948), coralline algae (Chisholm 2000), soft corals (Tentori & Allemand 2006), and the integrated coral reef patch (Barnes & Devereux 1984; Yates & Halley 2006) typically undergo net decalcification (i.e. dissolution) during the night-time period. This

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



means, that present-day net (positive) calcification rates across the diurnal cycle hide a "dark dissolution" component. Thus, the negative outcome of the urease hypothesis for marine organisms is already partially activated.

Coral bleaching = skeletal dissolution

Respiratory acidification (i.e. P:R \ll 1) that results in the pH micro-environment of symbiotic reef corals falling below 7.9 is known to occur during hot-water coral bleaching events (Al-Horani 2005). It is therefore not surprising that "at super-optimum temperatures (above 26°C) coral consume more O₂ than they produce, decalcify, and produce CO₂" (Al-Horani 2005). The degradation (negative selection) against calcifying reef ecosystems due rising sea surface temperatures and ocean acidification is thus indelibly linked. If that is not a Science or Nature paper for someone to test further and write about, then I don't know what is. Again, I challenge the scientific community to seriously consider the ramifications of the urease hypothesis.

References:

Al-Horani F. (2005) Effects of changing seawater temperature on photosynthesis and calcification in the scleractinian coral *Galaxea fascicularis*, measured with O₂, Ca²⁺ and pH microsensors. *Sci. Mar.* 69, 347-354.

Barnes D. J. & Devereux M. J. (1984) Productivity and calcification on a coral reef: a survey using pH and oxygen electrode techniques. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 79, 213-231.

Chisholm J. R. M. (2000) Calcification by crustose coralline algae on the northern Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 45. 1476-1484.

Kawaguti S. & Sakumoto (1948) The effect of light on the calcium deposition of corals. *Bull. Oceanogr. Inst. Taiwan* 4, 65-70.

Tentori E. & Allemand D. (2006) Light-enhanced calcification and dark decalcification in isolates of the soft coral *Cladiella* sp. during tissue recovery. *Biol. Bull* 211, 193-202.

S1237

BGD

5, S1236–S1238, 2008

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Yates K. K. & Halley R. B. (2006) CO₂ concentration and pCO₂ thresholds for calcification and dissolution on the Molokai reef flat, Hawaii. *Biogeosciences*, 3, 357–369.

Interactive comment on *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 5, 2401, 2008.

BGD

5, S1236–S1238, 2008

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S1238

