Biogeosciences Discuss., 5, S2143–S2146, 2008 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/S2143/2008/© Author(s) 2008. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**BGD** 

5, S2143-S2146, 2008

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Spatial and temporal variations in dissolved and particulate organic nitrogen in the equatorial Pacific: biological regulations and physical influences" by X. J. Wang et al.

X. J. Wang et al.

Received and published: 3 November 2008

Response to referee #1

**General Comments:** 

The paper does a nice job of simulating the distributions and spatial/temporal variability of organic nitrogen in the equatorial Pacific. The results will prove valuable to the community interested in N dynamics in that system. Nice insights arose from the model results, which are testable through observations.

The only thing I would have like to see is a direct linkage being established between

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the stocks reported (DON and PON), and the associated dynamics required for those stocks. No information was given on how important DON or PON were as sinks for net community production, or how export production covaried in space/time with the stocks. I realize that the paper has to have limits, but it would be nice to give the reader some insights on those linkages. If the authors can do it relatively easily, they should do so. If not, the manuscript remains strong enough to stand alone.

>>This manuscript focuses on the spatial and temporal variations in DON and PON, and the influences of biological and physical processes on the variability. Indeed, the linkage and fluxes between stocks, and the roles of DON and PON on net community production and export production are interesting and important issues for understanding N dynamics. These analyses are complex so we cannot do them easily and they are beyond the scope of this work. We plan to address these issues in one or two future manuscripts.

## **Specific Comments:**

The last two sentences of the Abstract are somewhat incompatible with one another. First it is stated that DON is controlled by physics (and the data demonstrate that), but in the second it is stated that biology is the greatest control on ON. These should be rewritten for clarity on the controls.

>>We agree, and have rewritten them.

Pg. 3268, line 24: should be display, not displays

>>Corrected.

Pg. 3269, line 13: should be only two studies have reported.

>>Corrected.

Pg. 3270, line 16: validations of the main nitrogen.

>>Corrected.

# **BGD**

5, S2143-S2146, 2008

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Pg. 3271, line 16: the reference to Fig. 12 is out of place. The figure should be renumbered so that it fits in the position (the new Fig. 2?)

>>Corrected.

Pg. 3273, lines 10-13: The authors often compare things, as done here. They state that DON has small variability (5-7 mmol m-3), unlike most other biogeo variables such as primary production. But I am not sure one can compare a concentration range to a range of rates, particularly when the rates are not given. It is comparing apples to oranges, unless it is better explained.

>>We agree, and have rewritten them.

Pg. 3273, line 25: than, not then

>>Corrected.

Fig. 5, the values on the iron isolines are not readable. This difficulty is true for several figures.

>>We will try our best to improve the figures' quality to meet the BG's standards.

Pg. 3275, line 16: The authors should reference a source (eg. Le Borgne et al 2002) that describes the HNLC front. That phrase may be regular jargon for the EqPac crowd, but not for everyone else.

>>Corrected.

Pg. 3276, line 24: considerable

>>Corrected.

Pg. 3278, line 19: Explain why high DON in the EqAtl might be due to exclusion of ammonium in TIN measurements.

>>Reworded.

### **BGD**

5, S2143-S2146, 2008

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Pg. 3280, line 4: the word researches does not exist. Use research has... in the same line, I do not believe that phyto and zoop are responsible for DON .. variations... DON varies with vertical stability and with vertical inputs of NO3. High input of NO3 allows DON to be produced; high vertical stability allows it to accumulate. The authors said as much later on the same page.

>>Corrected for 'research has'. We agree with the second point so we have reworded accordingly.

Pg. 3281, lines 1-3: biological effects exert physical influences makes no sense. The final sentence of the manuscript needs to be crystal clear as to meaning.

>>We agree and have made a correction.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 5, 3267, 2008.

# **BGD**

5, S2143-S2146, 2008

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

