

Interactive comment on “Methanol and other VOC fluxes from a Danish beech forest during springtime” by G. W. Schade et al.

G. Wohlfahrt (Editor)

Georg.Wohlfahrt@uibk.ac.at

Received and published: 5 January 2009

Three reviewers have assessed the manuscript and their recommendations range from minor over major revisions to reject. All three reviewers comment on that some conclusions seem not to be supported by the experimental data. Two reviewers are very sceptical regarding the methodology used to measure fluxes and strongly doubt the quality of the data.

I want to give the authors the possibility to submit a revised manuscript (i.e. major revision), but in case the authors decide to do so they should be aware that they will need to do an excellent job in invalidating ALL the comments raised by the reviewers, in particular with regard to the methodological concerns (i.e. the revised manuscript will be sent to the reviewers again). From the reviewers comments it appears to me that

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



this will be a very difficult task to accomplish. Anyway, I thank the authors for choosing Biogeosciences as an outlet for their work.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 5, 4315, 2008.

BGD

5, S2539–S2540, 2009

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

S2540

