

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

Dynamics of ammonia exchange with cut grassland: synthesis of results and conclusions of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

M. A. Sutton¹, E. Nemitz¹, C. Milford^{1,*}, C. Campbell¹, J. W. Erisman²,
A. Hensen², P. Cellier³, M. David³, B. Loubet³, E. Personne³, J. K. Schjoerring⁴,
M. Mattsson^{4,**}, J. R. Dorsey⁵, M. W. Gallagher⁵, L. Horvath⁶, T. Weidinger⁷,
R. Meszaros⁷, U. Dämmgen⁸, A. Neftel⁹, B. Herrmann⁹, B. E. Lehman^{***†},
C. Flechard¹⁰, and J. Burkhardt¹¹

¹Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Edinburgh Research Station) (CEH), Bush Estate,
Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK

²Energy research Centre of the Netherlands (ECN), Postbus 1, 1755 ZG Petten,
The Netherlands

³Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), UMR Environnement et Grandes
Cultures, Thiverval-Grignon, 78850, France

⁴Plant and Soil Science Laboratory, University of Copenhagen (UoC), Faculty of Life
Sciences, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871 Frederiksberg C, Copenhagen, Denmark

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

⁵ School for Earth, Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, University of Manchester (UoM), P.O. Box 88, Manchester, M60 1QD, UK

⁶ Hungarian Meteorological Service (HMS), P.O. Box 39 1675, Budapest, Hungary

⁷ Department of Meteorology, Eötvös Loránd University (ELU), Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, P.O. Box 32, 1518 Budapest, Hungary

⁸ Institut für Agrarökologie (now: the von Thunen Institute), Bundesforschungsanstalt für Landwirtschaft (FAL-D), Bundesallee 50, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany

⁹ Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Research Station ART, (formerly: FAL-CH) Reckenholzstrasse 191, 8046 Zürich, Switzerland

¹⁰ Soils, Agronomy and Spatialization Unit, UMR-SAS, INRA, Rennes, France

¹¹ Institute for Crop Science and Resource Conservation, INRES-PE, University of Bonn, Karlrobert-Kreiten-Str. 13, 53115 Bonn, Germany

* now at: Institute of Earth Sciences “Jaume Almera”, CSIC, Lluís Solé i Sabarís, 08028, Barcelona, Spain

** now at: Section for Economy & Technology, Halmstad University, 30118 Sweden

*** formerly at: University of Bern (UoB), Bern, Switzerland

† deceased

Received: 21 October 2008 – Accepted: 4 December 2008 – Published: 21 January 2009

Correspondence to: M. A. Sutton (ms@ceh.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

Improved data on biosphere-atmosphere exchange are fundamental to understanding the production and fate of ammonia (NH_3) in the atmosphere. The GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment combined novel measurement and modelling approaches to provide the most comprehensive analysis of the interactions to date. Major inter-comparisons of micrometeorological parameters and NH_3 flux measurements using the aerodynamic gradient method and relaxed eddy accumulation (REA) were conducted. These showed close agreement, though the REA systems proved insufficiently precise to investigate vertical flux divergence. Grassland management had a large effect on fluxes: Emissions increased after grass cutting (-50 to $700 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ NH}_3$) and after N-fertilization (0 to $3800 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) compared with before the cut (-60 to $40 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Effects of advection and air chemistry were investigated using horizontal NH_3 profiles, acid gas and particle flux measurements. Inverse modelling of NH_3 emission from an experimental farm agreed closely with inventory estimates, while advection errors were used to correct measured grassland fluxes. Advection effects were caused both by the farm and by emissions from the field, with an inverse dispersion-deposition model providing a reliable new approach to estimate net NH_3 fluxes. Effects of aerosol chemistry on net NH_3 fluxes were small, while the measurements allowed NH_3 -induced particle growth rates to be calculated and aerosol fluxes to be corrected.

Bioassays estimated the emission potential $\Gamma = [\text{NH}_4^+]/[\text{H}^+]$ for different plant pools, with the apoplast having the smallest values (30 – 1000). The main sources of NH_3 emission appeared to be leaf litter and the soil surface, with Γ up to 3 million and $300\,000$, respectively. Cuvette and within-canopy analyses confirmed the role of leaf litter NH_3 emission, which, prior to cutting, was mostly recaptured within the canopy.

Measured fluxes were compared with three models: an ecosystem model, a soil vegetation atmosphere transfer model and a dynamic leaf chemistry model. The simulations highlighted the importance of canopy temperature, interactions with ecosystem

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

nitrogen cycling and the role of leaf surface chemistry. The dynamics of ammonia emission from leaf litter are identified as a priority for future research.

1 Introduction

Compared with many other trace gases, such as carbon dioxide, sulphur dioxide and nitrous oxide, there are relatively few datasets that quantify biosphere-atmosphere exchange processes for ammonia. This is an important gap, since understanding the emission and fate of ammonia in the atmosphere is of fundamental importance for several global change issues (e.g., Erisman et al., 2008a, b). Firstly, emissions of ammonia represent a loss of valuable fertilizer nitrogen from farming systems. Once emitted, ammonia contributes to the formation of secondary particulate matter, reducing visibility, altering global radiative balance and providing a risk to human health (e.g., Amann et al., 2005; Davidson et al., 2005; Sutton et al., 2007). As a nitrogen compound, the deposition of ammonia back to land and water systems can substantially alter nutrient budgets. This provides a potential benefit increasing carbon sequestration in temperate and boreal forests, a matter of recent debate (e.g., Magnani et al., 2007; de Vries et al., 2008; Sutton et al., 2008d), as well as threats to biodiversity, through both the direct effects of ambient ammonia concentrations (Sutton et al., 2008c) and the indirect effects of enhanced nitrogen deposition (Achermann and Bobbink, 2003).

Fundamental to a better assessment of the fate of ammonia in the environment are improved data on biosphere-atmosphere exchange. Although substantial progress has been made in the last two decades (see recent reviews: Hertel et al., 2006; Loubet et al., 2008; Sutton et al., 2008a), most measurements of these processes have been conducted at separate research sites, with few intensive experiments designed to inter-compare measurement methods or develop a more holistic understanding of the interacting factors (e.g., Sutton et al., 2000; Misselbrook et al., 2005; Whitehead et al., 2008). To address this gap, the EU GRAMINAE project (GRassland AMmonia INteractions Across Europe, Sutton et al., 2001a) conducted an intensive experiment on

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

ammonia exchange processes, held at the Bundesforschungsanstalt für Landwirtschaft (FAL), Braunschweig during May-June 2000. The details of the strategy and implementation of the experiment are reported by Sutton et al. (2008b).

Here we summarize the achievements in relation to the key objectives of the Braunschweig Experiment. Drawing on the accompanying series of papers in this Special Issue, we highlight the main findings, developing an integrated picture of the measurement capability and the processes controlling ammonia biosphere-atmosphere exchange.

2 Summary of the experimental outcomes

Sutton et al. (2008b) summarized a set of five key questions and related these to a detailed list of scientific and technical objectives of the GRAMINAE experiment. Given the complex nature of the assessment and the large number of objectives, we summarize in Table 1 the key outcomes for each objective, indicating the extent to which the objectives were met.

In brief, it can be seen from Table 1 that most of the objectives were successfully addressed, with the findings reported in detail in the accompanying papers listed. The only main objective which was not met was the intention to apply Relaxed Eddy Accumulation (REA) measurements of ammonia at more than one height, as a means to quantify the divergence of vertical ammonia fluxes, either due to gas-particle interactions or due to advection effects. From measurements made during the first week of the experiment, it was judged that the precision of the REA implementations available was not sufficient to determine these effects. The latter part of the experiment therefore continued the intercomparison of the different REA methods (Hensen et al., 2008). The consequence of this decision was that the analysis of advection effects on vertical ammonia fluxes focused on the measurement of horizontal concentration profiles (Loubet et al., 2009), while analysis of particle interactions focused on the measurement of particle number fluxes in relation to the inorganic concentrations of the aerosol and

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

precursor gases (Nemitz et al., 2009a).

In the following section, we highlight the key measurement-based findings of the experiment, followed by the interpretation emerging from the subsequent model analyses. At the end of the paper, we then summarize how the experiment helps answer the five main questions.

3 Overview of the measurement results

3.1 Micrometeorology and surface energy budget

The measurement foundation of the experiment was a detailed inter-comparison of turbulent exchange estimates (Nemitz et al., 2009b). Instrumentation from nine European institutions was applied to develop “consensus estimates” of each of the turbulent fluxes and components of the energy balance with a 15 min time resolution from 19 May to 15 June 2000.

The inter-comparison of Nemitz et al. (2009b) highlights how uncertainty in individual estimates of sensible and latent heat fluxes would normally propagate to uncertainty in trace gas fluxes in most studies where replicated measurements are not available. For example, the mean relative standard deviation of individual 15 min estimates of the friction velocity (u_*) was 14%, while the values for sensible (H) and latent (λE) heat flux were 56% (equivalent to 14 W m^{-2}) and 25% (21 W m^{-2}), respectively. The median relative standard deviation in net radiation (Rn) was 4% (7 W m^{-2}). The high relative standard deviation for the sensible heat flux is related to the bi-directional nature of the fluxes as well as the uncertainties occurring under stable atmospheric stratification. The relative standard deviations of average fluxes for the overall experiment were smaller, at 1%, 11%, 18% and 6% for friction velocity, sensible heat, latent heat and net radiation, respectively (Nemitz et al., 2009b). The results imply that for u_* and H , the main uncertainty is due to spatial heterogeneity in surface and turbulence, rather than systematic differences between approaches, and hence an ensemble average

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

provides a more robust 15-min value than can be derived with a single setup.

Even with such an extensive inter-comparison, the energy balance was only 80% closed. Nemitz et al. (2009b) suggest that this may be explained by an underestimation of latent heat fluxes in the eddy covariance method. In addition, uncertainties in net radiation, such as sensor bias or spatial differences between the sampled area and the flux footprint may contribute to differences. While such uncertainty in the energy balance is typical (e.g., Laubach and Teichmann 1999; Wilson et al., 2002), it does not directly propagate to the calculation of ammonia fluxes (assuming that friction velocity is estimated reliably). However, these uncertainties have the potential to affect interpretation of the measured ammonia fluxes. In particular, an underestimation of latent heat flux would result in overestimation canopy scale stomatal resistances, as used in subsequent model analysis (Burkhardt et al., 2009). While recognizing these uncertainties, the combined “consensus” dataset provided a well characterized estimation of the turbulent exchange fluxes, including temporal variation in uncertainty as shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 1 also shows measurement-based estimates of canopy stomatal resistance with a fitted parameterization based on the Jarvis (1976) formulation, with and without consideration of the effects of water stress in the modelled resistances (Nemitz et al., 2009b). The comparison highlights the moisture limitation of the grass between 30 May and 5 June, which was linked high surface temperatures (Sutton et al., 2008b). There was thus a clear temporal interaction of environmental conditions with management of the grassland, which was cut on the morning of 29 May and fertilized with ammonium nitrate on the morning of 5 June. In particular, the reduction of the grass canopy (cut from 0.76 m to 0.07 m), further decreased the transpiration rate and exposed the ground surface. All together, these changes allowed the canopy and soil surface temperatures to increase from daily maxima of 15 to 25°C, prior to the cut, to maximum daily values of 30 to 40°C between 31 May to 4 June. These surface differences need to be considered when interpreting the measured ammonia fluxes.

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

3.2 Farm ammonia emissions and advection effects

One of the challenges in quantifying ammonia exchange fluxes with different land types is the fact that most of the emission sources are ground-based and occur in rural landscapes alongside sink areas (e.g., Duyzer et al., 2001; Dragosits et al., 2002). The consequence is that “ideal” micrometeorological conditions (large homogeneous fetch, no horizontal gradients in ammonia concentrations) tend to be a rarity for ammonia for many European landscapes. This heterogeneity can have two effects: firstly, advection may cause errors in measured vertical fluxes, with a divergence of the vertical flux measured at a height z above the canopy compared with the flux at the canopy level ($F(z'_0)$, Loubet et al., 2001, 2006); secondly, this combination of horizontal and vertical dispersion effects can affect the spatial pattern and magnitude of dry deposition to different canopies (Milford et al., 2001a; Loubet et al., 2006).

The spatial context of the Braunschweig Experiment provided suitable conditions to investigate these landscape-level interactions. A potential constraint was that advection effects would dominate the vertical fluxes and therefore prevent analysis of ammonia surface exchange. Prior modelling of these effects was therefore made before selecting the field site (Sutton et al., 2008b), and this showed that, with measurements made a sufficient distance from an adjacent livestock farm (550 m, 750 m), the advection effects would be sufficiently large to detect, but be small enough to represent an appropriate correction in flux estimates. With the livestock farm situated directly to the west of the main field (Site 1, see map of Sutton et al., 2008b), such effects would also be limited to conditions with winds from between $\sim 250^\circ$ – 290° .

To address these interactions, the experiment focused on measurements to: a) quantify ammonia emissions from the buildings of the livestock farm (Hensen et al., 2009), b) use these results, together with measurements of horizontal ammonia gradients across the site, to quantify advection effects (Loubet et al. 2009), and c) apply these estimates when relevant to correct measured exchange fluxes (Milford et al., 2008).

The analysis reported by Hensen et al. (2009) applied two dispersion modelling ap-

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

proaches based on ammonia concentration measurements ~230 m downwind of the farm buildings (Site 3, Sutton et al., 2008b) to quantify the ammonia emissions from the farm. They compared a 3-dimensional Gaussian model with a 2-dimensional local-scale dispersion and deposition model (FIDES-2-D), using the models operated in inverse mode (emissions estimated from measured concentrations). In parallel, ammonia emissions were calculated according to the usual inventory methodology based on livestock numbers and emission factors (Döhler et al., 2002). As the site represents a complex mix of animal types and farm buildings (including naturally ventilated cattle housing), such a comparison is expected to be challenging.

Hensen et al. (2009) found encouragingly close agreement between the two dispersion modelling approaches and the inventory estimates. Overall, the Gaussian model indicated emissions of $9.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ kg NH}_3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ for all the buildings compared with $6.0 \pm 0.17 \text{ kg day}^{-1}$ with the FIDES-2-D model and $9.6 \text{ kg NH}_3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ based on the standard German inventory approach (Döhler et al., 2002). Key uncertainties were found to be the assumptions made about dry deposition between the farm buildings and the ammonia measurement location (Site 3), and, in the case of FIDES-2-D, the simplifications associated with not treating lateral dispersion. Incorporating dry deposition into the FIDES-2-D model (which would require an additional source to maintain the same ammonia concentrations at Site 3), led to a larger emission estimate of $11.7 \text{ kg NH}_3 \text{ day}^{-1}$. Given that an independent estimate of ammonia emissions from cattle (Demmers et al., 1999) is around 14% less than the values of Döhler et al. (2002), it can be seen that the inverse dispersion estimates reflect the overall uncertainties.

One of the findings of Hensen et al. (2009) was a clear diurnal pattern in the farm ammonia emissions. Figure 2 summarizes these differences, showing that larger emissions during the day are correlated with increased convective-mixing, as indicated the modeled vertical exchange velocity, though increased temperature may also have also played a role.

When operated in forward mode (i.e., calculating concentrations from estimated emissions), the FIDES-2-D model also allowed horizontal ammonia concentration

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

profiles to be simulated across the study field and compared with measurements (Loubet et al., 2009). Figure 3 illustrates horizontal profiles in ammonia for three periods: 25 May 11:45 Greenwich Mean Time (GMT), before the field was cut; 2 June 23:45 GMT, after the field was cut; and 7 June 09:45, after the field was fertilized with ammonium nitrate. As will be seen in the following section, ammonia emissions from the field itself influenced the air concentrations above it, especially after fertilization. Thus for the first two periods illustrated in Fig. 3, ammonia concentrations decreased away from the farm. By contrast, for the third period, concentrations first decreased away from the farm, then increased again over the fertilized field.

These interactions have consequences for the estimation of advection errors in the ammonia surface exchange fluxes, which result from the effect of changing air concentration (χ_a) with distance (x) in the downwind direction ($d\chi_a/dx \neq 0$). Loubet et al. (2009) show that both positive and negative advection errors in vertical ammonia fluxes occur depending on whether there was a net concentration decrease (dominated by dispersion away from the farm), or a net concentration increase (driven by emissions from the field itself). Figure 4 illustrates this effect, where the advection fluxes simulated by the FIDES-2-D model (for Site 1) are compared with the measurement-based estimates (derived from the horizontal ammonia concentration profiles across the site). Figure 4 shows that the agreement between modelled and measured values is close if the modeled advection error in the vertical flux is estimated at 2 m height, whereas at 1 m, the model underestimates the measured advection estimate. The reason for this is unclear, but may be partly related to the fact that the “measured” $d\chi/dx$ was derived from a linear assumption in the difference $\chi(\text{Site 3}) - \chi(\text{Site 1})$ compared with a more sophisticated fitting procedure. The values in Fig. 4 are distinguished as being mainly due to the farm dispersion (positive values) and mainly due to emission from the field itself (negative values). The latter show larger advection errors, but since the total ammonia fluxes were larger, they represent a smaller percentage correction to the measured fluxes. Overall, the resulting advection corrections (at 550 m) typically amounted to 5–50% of the uncorrected fluxes for the period prior to the cut (for periods

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

when the field was downwind of the farm), 2–10% following the cut and 1–10% for the period following fertilization (Loubet et al., 2009; Milford et al., 2008).

3.3 Determination of net vertical ammonia fluxes with the grass canopy

Several methods for estimating net vertical ammonia exchange fluxes with the grass field were applied. The reference approach used during the experiment was the aerodynamic gradient method (AGM), implemented using four independently operated continuous ammonia detectors. Three of these were implementations of the continuous wet-rotating “AMANDA” system of Wyers et al. (1993), while the fourth used a system of mini-wet effluent diffusion denuders (mini-WEDD, Neftel et al., 1999). Two of the AMANDAs and the mini-WEDD were located at Site 1 (550 m from the farm), with the third AMANDA located at Site 2 (750 m from the farm).

The inter-comparison of these systems is reported by Milford et al. (2008). Overall, no clear evidence was found of differences in the behaviour of ammonia fluxes across the field (between Sites 1 and 2), though the advection corrections resulting from dispersion from the farm were smaller for the latter site. The most challenging feature of this analysis was the variable performance of the instruments, which were found to agree well on some days and disagree substantially on other days. Milford et al. (2008) illustrate the comparison of measured fluxes for several days during each of the three measurement periods (pre-cut, post-cut, post-fertilization). Small surface fluxes ($F(z_{o'})$) prior to the cut were rather uncertain, but were mostly toward deposition (-60 to $40 \text{ ng NH}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). During this period, the individual systems often varied between 10–50% of the flux. Similar discrepancies were found for the post-cut period, with fluxes in the range -50 to $700 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. For the period following fertilization large emission fluxes were observed (0 to $3800 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), but, while some days showed excellent agreement (estimates within 10–20%), on other days the instruments indicated fluxes varying by a factor of 5 (e.g., 8 June).

Milford et al. (2008) implemented a detailed protocol to filter the measured flux datasets including restrictions for periods of micrometeorological uncertainty (espe-

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

cially stable nocturnal conditions), excluding disturbed wind sectors, and gap-filling to handle periods when individual instruments failed or were being calibrated. They then combined the dataset to estimate ammonia concentrations and fluxes based on the ‘mean gradient’ of the four systems. For most periods, it was apparent that this was a valid approach, giving equal weight to the different measurement systems. However, for four days (3 June, 8–10 June), there were indications that two of the denuder systems might be over-reading concentrations (e.g., loss of calibration), and wide divergence from the other two systems. As there was some ambiguity in removing these systems from the flux estimate, Milford et al. (2008) also calculated the mean of the two remaining systems for these periods as an ‘alternative gradient’ flux (see Fig. 5). In addition to instrument uncertainties, the discrepancy could be related to spatial heterogeneity in the fertilizer application, although this would not explain why the estimates agreed on other days. Formally, the mean gradient (F_{mg}) estimates provide the reference flux estimates. However, the alternative gradient flux (F_{ag}) is used to inform the model analysis and the inter-comparison with other flux measurement approaches.

The measurement inter-comparison highlights the high uncertainty in quantifying ammonia fluxes. While recognizing the particular uncertainties for the 4 days noted, the overall dataset probably represents the most rigorously quantified period of ammonia exchange fluxes with the land surface that is currently available. Although new flux measurement methods have since begun to be used for ammonia, such as eddy covariance using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy, these approaches remain uncertain below $\sim 50 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Famulari et al., 2004; Whitehead et al., 2008) and would require significant cost reductions before becoming more widely used for NH_3 flux measurement.

Two alternative methods were applied to estimate ammonia fluxes during the experiment: the REA method and an inverse dispersion method using the FIDES-2-D model.

Hensen et al. (2008) report the inter-comparison of four continuous REA methods, three of which were developed specifically for the GRAMINAE analysis. Several chem-

ical detection methods were applied, including parallel-plate denuders, a membrane diffusion system and two mini-WEDD approaches (see Hensen et al., 2008). The REA approach allows fast response switching between up and down drafts combined with slow response (e.g., 2–5 min) detectors, providing an approach that can determine fluxes from measurements at one height. Thus, in principle, deployment of the instruments at several heights could be used directly to determine vertical flux divergence. The main reason that this turned out not to be possible was the higher analytical precision required for REA measurement of fluxes compared with the AGM: typically the concentration difference between up and down drafts is of the order of 5 times smaller than the equivalent difference in over the mean vertical profile above short vegetation (Sutton et al., 2007; Hensen et al., 2008). With the REA systems, it was possible to implement an auto-referencing mode of operation, e.g., random switching between denuders, which was used identify and to correct for concentration biases (Nemitz et al., 2001a; Hensen et al., 2008). Using this approach, it was in some cases possible to maintain a precision approaching that of the AGM implementations.

Loubet et al. (2009) describe a new application of the FIDES-2-D model to estimate the net ammonia flux. In this approach the flux is estimated with the dispersion model by combining estimates of vertical diffusivity from measured u_* with the near-surface concentration enhancement above (or below) background as a means to estimate the flux. The attractiveness of this method is that it depends only on the mean NH_3 concentration (e.g., at 1 m above the canopy) rather than estimation of small vertical concentration gradients in the surface layer or small differences between up- and down-draughts of air, as in the REA approach. In principle the new approach is therefore less sensitive to measurement error. By contrast, a disadvantage of this method is that it requires continuous measurement of the background atmospheric NH_3 concentration. In the Braunschweig Experiment, this was available from hourly measurements using a wet-rotating denuder (Keuken et al., 1988) deployed at 43 m (Site 6, see map in Sutton et al., 2008b). Although relatively easy to operate this system, we recognize that such background sampling would not be feasible in many studies.

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

The flux estimates from the three measurement approaches (AGM, REA and FIDES) are shown together in Fig. 6. For the purpose of this comparison, the “mean gradient” estimate of the AGM (up to 4 systems) is shown with the mean from the REA (up to 4 systems), and the results from the FIDES model/measurement approach. The latter is based on the near-surface and background ammonia concentrations (Site 1, 1 m; Site 6, 43 m), and continuous estimation of the fetch according the field size and wind-direction. Given the nearly complete independence of the three approaches, the agreement between the flux estimates is extremely encouraging.

For the period before fertilization, Fig. 6 shows REA method to be the most scattered of the flux estimates (suggesting that it is the least precise), while directly after fertilization (5 June) the REA estimates read low, which is thought to be due to incomplete capture of the high fluxes at this period (e.g., possibly linked to light easterly winds, Hensen et al., 2008). The quality of the FIDES estimate of the flux is surprisingly high considering that it is compared against the mean of 4 AGM and 4 REA systems, and that it is based simply on the difference between mean ammonia concentrations at 1 m, and hourly mean background values.

This comparison can help the interpretation of F_{mg} and F_{ag} for the 4 days of high uncertainty in the AGM estimates (Fig. 5). On 8 June, the REA and FIDES estimates support F_{ag} , while on 9 June they support F_{mg} as the best estimates. For 3 and 10 June the REA and FIDES support a flux midway between F_{mg} and F_{ag} . The comparison is useful in considering uncertainties in relation to modelling (e.g., Personne et al., 2009), but further highlights the temporally variable performance of the individual NH_3 flux-measurement systems through the experiment. With these caveats, overall the AGM is considered the most robust individual estimate, particularly for small fluxes before the cut, where the REA is less sensitive and the FIDES approach may be sensitive to other influences on the measured background values.

3.4 Bioassay measurements to support the interpretation of ammonia fluxes

The detailed series of results from the bioassays are reported by Mattsson et al. (2008, 2009) and Herrmann et al. (2009). For the period before the cut, it was expected that the net exchange of ammonia would be controlled by the interaction of a stomatal compensation point concentration (χ_s) in equilibrium with the plant intercellular (apoplastic) aqueous solution with adsorption and desorption processes on the leaf surface (e.g., Schjoerring et al., 1998; Sutton et al., 1998a; Flechard et al., 1999).

With this rationale, there was a strong interest to measure apoplastic ammonium concentrations and pH, as a basis to estimate the temperature-normalized compensation point parameter Γ_s ($=[\text{NH}_4^+]_{\text{apoplast}}/[\text{H}^+]_{\text{apoplast}}$, Sutton et al., 2000; Nemitz et al., 2001b). Γ_s has the advantage over χ_s in that (excluding other physiological interactions) it is independent of temperature. For a given value of Γ_s , χ_s roughly doubles every 5°C (Sutton et al., 2001a). Further parameters studied were bulk leaf tissue $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, as an easier-to-measure indicator of apoplastic $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, and total soluble N, a bioassay which was developed specifically for the experiment (Sutton et al., 2008b) as an estimate of plant substrate N concentration.

Based on bioassay measurements prior to the cut, Mattsson et al. (2009) demonstrated a substantial species-dependence of estimated Γ_s values. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, with a significant species correlation between mean Γ_s and bulk foliar $[\text{NH}_4^+]$. Apart from illustrating the potential of the simpler indicator, Figure 7 suggests that the largest ammonia emission potential would occur for *Festuca pratensis* and *Dactylus glomerata*, with the lowest for *Phleum pretense*, *Holcus lanatus* and *Bromus mollis*. Thus the former plant species might emit ammonia that is simultaneously re-absorbed by the latter species. One caveat for the simpler indicator is there was only a modest temporal correlation between Γ_s and bulk foliar $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ (Herrmann et al., 2009).

Based on earlier analyses (Sutton et al., 2001a; Loubet et al., 2002; Riedo et al., 2002), cutting of the grass sward was understood to cause an increase in foliar ammonium concentrations linked to reduced photosynthetic activity, which would limit the

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

carbon sink for ammonium and other forms of substrate nitrogen. Similarly, a further increase in foliar N indicators would be expected following nitrogen fertilization, with both these factors causing an increase in stomatal emissions of ammonia (Loubet et al., 2002; Riedo et al., 2002).

5 Mattsson et al. (2008) report the detailed time course of N indicators, apoplastic pH and Γ_s through the Braunschweig Experiment. They also compared the bioassay responses in the additional grassland management treatments applied to the Braunschweig field site. In addition to a treatment without fertilizer application, these management plots included a high N application rate treatment (Sutton et al., 2008b).
10 Mattsson et al. (2008) report little difference in the bioassays between the normal N treatment and high N treatment. Given a relatively high degree of scatter in these measurements, we therefore summarize the results here combining the data for these two treatments to allow the temporal trends to be seen more clearly (Fig. 8). For the purpose of this comparison, we have combined the available datasets from Mattsson et al. (2008, 2009) and Herrmann et al. (2009).
15

The simplest measurement shown in Fig. 8 is the total foliar nitrogen concentration (% dry weight). A decline is seen before the cut, linked to growth-dilution in the grass, which continues in the uncut-unfertilized plot. Total foliar N concentration increased after both cutting and fertilization. Part of this trend can be related to N remobilization and uptake following cutting and fertilization. However, it should be noted that the measurements after cutting were made on young re-growing leaves, rather than on mature leaves before the cut.
20

The newly tested parameter, “substrate N” (total soluble N) showed a broadly similar decline to total %N for the uncut-unfertilized plot. Maximum values were recorded after cutting, which then declined steeply, although this decline was less where the field was fertilized. If this parameter were controlling ammonia emissions from the foliage, the largest emissions would occur the immediately after the cut.
25

For completeness, bulk foliar analyses were made for both $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and $[\text{NO}_3^-]$. The purpose of the $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ measurement was to see any foliar responses to altered ni-

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

trate availability. Uniformly low values of both parameters were found for the uncut-unfertilized treatment. By contrast, while $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ increased after cutting, no increase was observed in $[\text{NH}_4^+]$. Similarly, hardly any increase was detected in apoplastic $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ following cutting. These observations suggest that the increase in ammonia emission following cutting is not related to an increase in the foliar $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ emission potential. In the case of bulk foliar $[\text{NO}_3^-]$, the larger values after cutting may be related to young leaves being sampled, while soil $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ values remained low during this period (Sutton et al., 2008b). Larger values of bulk $[\text{NO}_3^-]$, $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and apoplastic $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ were recorded after fertilization, indicating fertilizer N uptake by the plant, which had not yet been incorporated into organic N compounds.

There has been a long-standing discussion on a possible bias in Γ_s estimates based on apoplastic extraction (Husted et al., 2000a; Hill et al., 2001; Loubet et al., 2002; van Hove et al., 2002). For example, comparison with gas exchange estimates has sometimes suggested that the bioassay approach may underestimate Γ_s (Hill et al., 2001; Mattsson and Schjoerring, 2002). Potential reasons include inter-cellular spatial variability in $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and $[\text{H}^+]$, as well as uncertainty in the leaf extraction corrections for $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and $[\text{H}^+]$ (Mattsson et al., 2008, 2009).

Averaging between species may also affect the Γ_s values estimated. For example, if Γ_s is calculated for each grass species in Fig. 8, the unweighted species mean is 246. By contrast, if the mean pH and the mean $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ for all species are calculated, the Γ_s value derived from the ratio of means would be 153. (The same calculation using mean $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and mean $[\text{H}^+]$ gives $\Gamma_s=117$). This example illustrates the potential for natural variability so that a few high Γ_s leaves could dominate the net emission. Overall, the potential underestimation of Γ_s by the bioassay method may be up to a factor of 2 to 4, though other non-stomatal sources may also account for such differences, as discussed by Hill et al. (2001).

Diurnal variability in Γ_s may also be expected, given the intra-cellular production of ammonia during photorespiration (Husted et al., 2002). Herrmann et al. (2009) found little diurnal variation for the Braunschweig grassland, consistent with Husted et

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

al. (2000b) for oilseed rape. By contrast, the same authors showed strong vertical profiles of Γ , with much larger values of Γ for brown than for green leaves. Mineralization of organic nitrogen compounds in decaying leaf litter has the potential to increase NH_3 emission substantially compared with live leaves (Whitehead et al., 1988; Nemitz et al., 2000a, b; Mattsson and Schjoerring, 2003). Herrmann et al. (2009) also showed that NH_3 concentrations within the canopy air-space were larger than could be explained by the bioassay Γ_s values. This suggests that, before the cut, the green leaves would have been a sink of ammonia emitted from underlying leaf litter.

While uncertainties remain in the absolute values of the bioassay Γ_s values, it is useful to construct a series of different Γ estimates from the Braunschweig Experiment (Fig. 9). In this figure, we combine estimates of Γ_s from the apoplastic extraction, with $\Gamma_{\text{bulk leaf tissue}}$, $\Gamma_{\text{leaf litter}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{soil}(0-0.1 \text{ m})}$, based on measured $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, pH and water content.

Figure 9 also shows calculated values of $\Gamma(z'_o)$, based on the micrometeorological flux measurements. This parameter was derived from $\chi(z'_o)$, which is the ammonia concentration extrapolated to the canopy surface accounting for the turbulent and quasi-laminar resistances for transport (Sutton et al., 1993). $\chi(z'_o)$ was calculated for the time course of the Braunschweig Experiment based on χ_{mg} (1 m) and F_{mg} . $\Gamma(z'_o)$ is calculated here from $\chi(z'_o)$ using the values of canopy temperature, $T(z'_o)$, as reported by Sutton et al. (2008b). Under conditions of deposition, $\Gamma(z'_o)$ represents an upper estimate of the actual canopy average Γ (since additional surface resistances would reduce its value), while under conditions of emission, $\Gamma(z'_o)$ represents a minimum estimate of the actual canopy average Γ .

Figure 9 reveals a startling picture of Γ values varying over 5 orders of magnitude, from 30 to 3 million. The smallest values occur for Γ_s with the largest for Γ_{litter} . It should be emphasized that while Γ_s values may be actively regulated by the plant, Γ_{litter} is expected to fluctuate substantially in response to decomposition processes, availability of surface moisture and loss of NH_3 to the atmosphere. The estimates of Γ_{litter} shown reflect the temporal dynamics of $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, but not $[\text{H}^+]$, which was only measured on

29 June. Given these uncertainties, the Γ_{litter} values shown here should be considered as indicative of the potential for ammonia emission, and do not necessarily imply that such emissions could be continuously sustained.

Comparison of the plant and soil Γ values with $\Gamma(z'_0)$ shows the potential for these sources to explain the actual net ammonia fluxes observed during the experiment. By contrast, the measured Γ_s values are consistently an order of magnitude smaller than $\Gamma(z'_0)$. While recognizing the uncertainties in the Γ_s bioassay, it seems highly unlikely that the foliar apoplast was a key source of the ammonia emissions observed.

The values of $\Gamma_{\text{bulk leaf}}$ are illustrated under the hypothesis that, under certain circumstances, there could be other routes for ammonia loss from leaves. For example, wounding of the leaves directly after cutting could lead to short term emissions linked to $\Gamma_{\text{bulk leaf}}$. These values are in some cases slightly larger than $\Gamma(z'_0)$, though the comparison with Γ_{soil} and Γ_{litter} suggests that such wounding is a less likely source of ammonia emission, especially given the expected brief duration of any wounding effects.

The largest potential sources of ammonia emission are thus the soil and leaf litter. Prior to cutting on 29 May, the values of Γ_{soil} and Γ_{litter} were similar, and this position was eventually regained as the grass matured at the end of the experiment (14–15 June). Thus toward the end of the experiment soil and litter surface might have contributed similarly to potential ammonia emissions, though with the much larger pool-size of the soil, the actual contribution of Γ_{soil} could have been larger. After cutting, Γ_{litter} is much larger than Γ_{soil} , indicating this as the main source of emission from the cut grass. Γ_{litter} increases again after fertilization, and remains larger than Γ_{soil} . After fertilization, pellets of ammonium nitrate were surely a major source, and it is likely that the larger Γ_{litter} values for this period reflect the presence of adsorbed fertilizer ammonium.

These observations provide the basis for an alternative explanation of ammonia emissions following cutting of the Braunschweig grass than that discussed by Riedo et al. (2002) for a grassland site in Scotland. At Braunschweig, removal of the tall grass allowed ammonia emissions from the litter to reach the atmosphere, rather than being reabsorbed by the overlaying canopy (cf. Denmead et al., 1976; Nemitz et al.,

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

2000a, b). The overall increase in canopy temperature after cutting (Sect. 3.1) would have further increased the emissions from the cut field during the Braunschweig experiment. After fertilization, increased values of χ_{litter} and χ_{soil} would have further promoted emission. By contrast, there is no evidence from the data shown in Figs. 8 and 9 that
5 cutting or fertilization led to apoplastic-mediated stomatal ammonia emissions at this site, though the increase in Γ_s would have slightly reduced foliar recapture of ammonia emission after fertilization.

3.5 Cuvette and within-canopy measurements

Cuvette measurements and within-canopy ammonia concentration profiles provided
10 further evidence to understand the sources and sinks of ammonia in the Braunschweig canopy.

David et al. (2008a) used a cuvette system to measure ammonia fluxes during the field experiment and subsequently in the laboratory to investigate the main sources and sinks of ammonia. For the Braunschweig field, they found that removing all the vege-
15 tation from the cut sward (to leave bare soil) increased the rates of ammonia emission, indicating that there was some ammonia recapture to the short grass. Covering the bare soil surface with dry dead leaves reduced the rate of emission (a sheltering effect), while wetting this litter then increased the ammonia emission rate. This highlights the effect of moisture in allowing mineralization, and hence ammonia volatilization, as
20 well as the role of the litter and soil surface for ammonia emission. By contrast, the presence of drying hay only slightly increased emissions compared with short grass with hay removed. David et al. (2008a) found a high correlation between NH_3 emissions found with the cuvette system and the bioassay estimates of the different the compensation points based on actual surface temperatures and Γ values (Γ_s , $\Gamma_{\text{bulk leaf}}$,
25 Γ_{litter} , Γ_{soil}) with calculated surface concentrations in the range $0.1\text{--}1060 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$.

David et al. (2008b) considered the effects of the management interactions on ammonia fluxes. Under zero ammonia concentration, they recorded a small ammonia emission 0.1 to $3.3 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the long grass sward (pre-cut). They estimated that

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

in the presence of ambient ammonia at $3\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ a net deposition flux would have been recorded, consistent with the pattern of small bidirectional fluxes reported by Milford et al. (2008). After the sward was cut, ammonia emissions were much larger (3 to $30\ \text{ng m}^{-2}\ \text{s}^{-1}$), which David et al. (2008b) explain as being only partly related to warmer conditions for the later period. These represent much smaller absolute values of the flux than recorded in the micrometeorological measurements, which was explained by much lower turbulence within the cuvettes.

Following cutting, leaf litter is no longer protected from atmospheric turbulence by the overlaying canopy, while the cutting process itself also induces leaf senescence. These changes are coupled with a larger fraction of incoming solar radiation being transformed into sensible heat, due to the smaller amount of green leaves (Cellier et al., 1996), which further increases surface temperature and decreases relative humidity. In terms of diurnal changes, these effects would have led to more rapid fluctuations of surface temperature and moisture conditions following the cut. By contrast, during night, the litter would be directly exposed to dew-fall, increasing mineralization and providing a larger NH_4^+ reservoir for subsequent ammonia emissions (David et al., 2008b).

Based on these factors, the larger net ammonia emissions observed after cutting should be a response to both a) reduced recapture of litter NH_3 emissions by the short grass canopy and b) the fact that the litter emissions were larger due to increased turbulence at the ground, warmer conditions and more diurnally fluctuating temperature and moisture.

Nemitz et al. (2009c) examined the within-canopy transport processes for the tall grass during the Braunschweig experiment. They applied a micro-ultrasonic anemometer and hot-wire anemometers to measure within-canopy turbulence in the mid and upper part of the canopy. These methods could only be applied down to about 0.1 of z/h_{canopy} , leaving uncertainty very close to the ground. The authors also applied a radon tracer method based on the decay rate of ^{220}Rn , which is naturally emitted by soils (Lehman et al., 1999). Nemitz et al. (2009c) demonstrated a very low rate of turbulent mixing within the tall grass sward (0.7–0.8 m), with the ratio of turbulence within

the canopy to that above the canopy decreasing to around 0.1 at 0.07 m above the ground (σ_w/u_* where w is the instantaneous vertical wind speed). Near-ground eddy diffusivities derived with the ^{220}Rn tracer method were very small and are only consistent with the measurements of σ_w/u_* if magnitude of the Lagrangian timescale (which could not be measured directly during the study) is at the bottom end of the range of parametrizations proposed in the literature.

While the analysis of Nemitz et al. (2009c) provides fundamental advances in quantifying within-canopy turbulence processes, due to a failure of one channel in the mini-WEDD system before the cut, it was only possible to obtain a few NH_3 concentration profile measurements profiles within the canopy. Figure 10 illustrates example profiles obtained on 9 and 15 June using a fast response ammonia detector (Hensen et al., 2009), applied in an un-cut part of the field after the main field was cut (See Fig. 6 in Sutton et al., 2008b). This instrument provided relative NH_3 concentrations which were referenced against χ_{mg} (1 m) of Milford et al. (2008), though for the dates shown this correction was only $\sim 10\%$. Although the detailed source-sink profile remains uncertain, the inverse Lagrangian analysis of Nemitz et al. (2009) summarized in Fig. 10, confirms the ground NH_3 source with recapture by the overlaying canopy. The larger ground NH_3 emissions recorded on 15 June 10:45 may be partly due to drying conditions at this time occurring after a moist night with light rain, which would have favoured mineralization of leaf litter.

3.6 Surface-atmosphere exchange of other trace gas and aerosol

Concentrations and fluxes of other gases measured during the GRAMINAE experiment included O_3 and CO_2 (Meszaros et al., 2008) SO_2 , HNO_3 and particulate matter (Sutton et al., 2008b; Nemitz et al., 2009a). Inferential modelling of O_3 deposition using measured concentrations showed close agreement to the measured fluxes (overall within 10% for the pre-cut and pre-fertilizer period and within 20% after fertilization). Based on the model analysis, the fraction of O_3 deposition taken up by stomata was 0.57, 0.33 and 0.29, for the three successive management periods, demonstrating the

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



importance of O₃ uptake to leaf and soil surfaces. Prior to cutting, the field was a significant CO₂ sink (accumulating 300 kg C ha⁻¹ during 20–29 May), changing to a net CO₂ source after cutting (loss of 150 kg C ha⁻¹ during 29 May to 7 June). Only after 11 June did net CO₂ uptake resume, coinciding with a return to cooler conditions with smaller NH₃ emissions (daily maxima <300 ng m⁻² s⁻¹).

Gradients of reactive inorganic gases and aerosol components were measured with a forerunner of the GRAEGOR instrument (Thomas et al., 2008), coupling the wet denuder method with steam jet aerosol collectors (SJAC). Concentrations of SO₂ were mostly <3 μg m⁻³ and too small to detect fluxes using the GRAEGOR, with possible bi-directional fluxes estimated by the chemiluminescence detector (CLD) also being rather uncertain. The exception was a period of more polluted air with SSE flow, which had passed over high emission areas around Czech Republic, arriving at Braunschweig on 9–10 June, with SO₂ concentrations 3–10 μg m⁻³ (Sutton et al., 2008b). For this period, the CLD showed consistent SO₂ deposition.

The period of 9–10 June also showed the highest HNO₃ concentrations (1–8 μg m⁻³). With these conditions, the GRAEGOR method showed meaningful concentration gradients, with bi-directional fluxes being reported by Nemitz et al. (2009a). During the period with highest SO₂ and HNO₃ concentrations, the deposition velocity (V_d) for HNO₃ was much less than that normally expected (i.e., V_d was smaller than V_{max} , the reciprocal of the combined turbulent and quasi-laminar resistance), with short periods of emission. This observation is consistent with a limitation of HNO₃ deposition under warm dry conditions in the presence NH₄NO₃ on the ground and high concentrations of NO₃⁻ in the soil solution (Nemitz et al., 2009a).

Vertical gradients in aerosol chemistry from the GRAEGOR proved too small to determine chemically-speciated aerosol fluxes. However, overall particle number fluxes were measured independently by eddy covariance using a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC), and were found to be intimately related to the ammonia fluxes (Nemitz et al., 2009a). The time-course of particle number fluxes measured by the CPC is shown in Fig. 11. Despite substantial scatter in the measured fluxes, bi-directional

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

patterns are clearly shown. Overall, aerosol number fluxes before the cut are negative (deposition), with a mean of $-180 \text{ \# cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (mean $V_d=0.24 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$). Following the cut, the mean deposition flux was smaller, $-17 \text{ \# cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (mean $V_d=0.03 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$) after peaks in particle emission associated with agricultural management had been removed (cutting of the grass on 29 May, turning of the cut-grass to dry it on 30 May, and removal of the cut-grass, for use as silage, on 31 May; these peaks are visible in Fig. 11). For the week after the grass was fertilized 5–11 June, the mean particle flux was positive $320 \text{ \# cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ indicating apparent particle emissions from the canopy. Finally, for 12–15 June, the mean particle flux was toward deposition at $-60 \text{ \# cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (mean $V_d=0.06 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$).

While the peaks in particle emissions associated with mechanical farm operations are expected, the apparent sustained particle emissions following the application of mineral nitrogen fertilizer represent a new observation. The fact that these “emissions” correlate closely to the periods of maximum ammonia emission (especially on 6 to 9 June), clearly links them to the ammonia exchange process. In a detailed analysis of this observation, Nemitz et al. (2009a) show that it can be explained by particle growth induced by NH_3 emissions from the field surface. The CPC detects particles in the diameter (D_p) range $11 \text{ nm} < D_p < 3 \text{ \mu m}$. Immediately above the soil and litter surface, large concentrations of ammonia, ($\chi(z'_0)$) up to 150 \mu g m^{-3} , Nemitz et al., 2009a), combined with ambient HNO_3 concentrations, exceed the equilibrium concentration product for formation of NH_4NO_3 in the aerosol phase. This effect leads to the condensation of additional NH_4NO_3 onto existing aerosol so that they grow above the 11 nm lower size limit detectable by the CPC during the deposition process. This results in a deposition gradient of total particle numbers, and a simultaneous apparent emission gradient of particle numbers $>11 \text{ nm}$, which is detected by the CPC flux system. In principle, the reaction of NH_3 with atmospheric HCl can also contribute to this process. Nemitz et al. (2009a) show that this was unlikely in this example, since the measured concentration product $[\text{NH}_3][\text{HCl}]$ did not exceed the equilibrium for formation of aerosol phase NH_4Cl .

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

It has been hypothesized in the past that litter and other emissions of NH_3 could influence aerosol dynamics (e.g., Bigg, 2004). However, Nemitz et al. (2009a) demonstrate this phenomenon for the first time based on field-scale effects at the canopy-atmosphere interface (0–2 m). Nemitz et al. (2009a) present a new approach capable of quantifying particle growth rates from measurements at the field-scale, with potential for application beyond the NH_3 - HNO_3 - NH_4NO_3 system (e.g., to study biogenic secondary organic aerosol formation above vegetation). In classical studies, growth rates are calculated tracking aerosol size-modes as particles age over periods of hours and days (e.g., Kulmala et al., 2004). Using the new approach, Nemitz et al. (2009a) derive average particle growth rates of 3.5 and 0.89 nm hr^{-1} over the first 9 days following fertilization for 11 nm particles during day and night-time conditions, respectively.

Nemitz et al. (2009a) also address the consequences of this NH_3 - HNO_3 - NH_4NO_3 interaction for flux measurements of each of the components. As the process is mainly driven by large concentration profiles of NH_3 (reflecting large fluxes), the relative divergence in NH_3 fluxes is small, and effectively negligible compared with other sources of uncertainty (see Fig. 7 of Nemitz et al., 2009a). It implies a precision of better than 1% would have been needed for the REA systems to quantify such NH_3 flux divergence directly. By contrast, given small V_d of particles, there are significant effects on the estimation of particle deposition rates.

4 Modelling of ammonia exchange dynamics

Three different models were applied to investigate the temporal behaviour of measured ammonia fluxes and the contributing component fluxes. Each of the models applied the concepts of bi-directional ammonia exchange and “canopy compensation points” in a resistance framework, as discussed by Sutton and Fowler (1993), Sutton et al. (1998a) and Nemitz et al. (2000b, 2001b). The following three models are applied:

Pasture Simulation (PaSim) model of Riedo et al. (2002). This provides a detailed treatment of grassland C-N turnover processes including bi-directional NH_3 exchange

using the 2-layer approach of Nemitz et al. (2001b). The NH_3 exchange part includes a stomatal compensation point (χ_s), deposition to leaf surfaces limited by a cuticular resistance (R_w), and bi-directional exchange with a ground surface concentration (χ_{soil}). PaSim calculates χ_s directly based on C-N turnover in the plant and partitioning of N between structural, substrate and apoplastic pools, while χ_{soil} is calculated using a multi-layer description of soil processes.

SURFATM-NH₃ model, which is a new development described by Personne et al. (2009). This model provides a 2-layer treatment of the canopy which simulates latent and sensible heat fluxes and ammonia, by coupling an energy balance model (slightly modified from Choudhury and Monteith, 1988) with the 2-layer model of Nemitz et al. (2001b). The NH_3 exchange scheme applies a cuticular deposition resistance (R_w) with values of χ_s , χ_{soil} and χ_{litter} calculated from modeled surface temperatures and empirical values of Γ_s , Γ_{soil} , Γ_{litter} , which must be provided as model inputs.

Dynamic cuticular chemistry model (DCC). A 1-layer version is first used by Burkhardt et al. (2009), as an application of the model of Flechard et al. (1999), including empirical values of Γ_s and bi-directional leaf surface exchange based on adsorption/desorption resistance and concentration terms (R_d , χ_d , Sutton et al., 1998a). In this model, χ_d and Γ_d are calculated using simulated cuticular pH calculated by ion balance, including oxidation to SO_4^{2-} , and the role of base cations (Flechard et al., 1999). Burkhardt et al. (2009) then extend the model to apply a new 2-layer formulation that also accounts for emissions from litter or the soil (Γ_g).

The three models applied thus have different emphases. PaSim represents a complete grassland system driven by simple (but comprehensive) inputs focused on ecosystem functioning and C-N turnover. SURFATM-NH₃ represents a detailed soil vegetation atmosphere transfer (SVAT) scheme, based on empirical Γ values, while the DCC model focuses on the role played by adsorption/desorption processes on leaf surfaces, particularly as these may be modified by different mixtures of acidic and basic gases.

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4.1 Application of the PaSim model

The ammonia module of PaSim was developed based on the interpretation of flux and bioassay measurements made over an intensively managed permanent pasture in southern Scotland (Milford et al., 2001b; Riedo et al., 2002; Loubet et al., 2002). It was therefore of interest to see how the model performs for the Braunschweig grassland, which was sown 4 years prior to the experiment and has a more continental climate. The model was initialized to conditions on 1 January 2000 and run until 15 June 2000, using hourly meteorology from Site 7 for the period prior to the experiment (See map, Sutton et al., 2008b). Hourly mean NH_3 and CO_2 concentrations measured at Site 1 (1 m) were used as inputs during the experiment period, with fixed values of $2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and 365 ppm, respectively, applied for the earlier period. No changes were made to the model for the base simulation, so that the differences in output from Riedo et al. (2002) are entirely a function of the different soil, management and meteorological conditions. Sensitivity tests were made to help interpret the model results.

Example outputs from the PaSim base simulation of the Braunschweig experiment are shown in Figs. 12 and 13. The lower part of Fig. 12 shows that the model was able to capture the main variation in $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ and $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ for the top soil (0–0.1 m). Although soil $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ was somewhat underestimated prior to fertilization, the increase and subsequent decrease (which was faster than for $[\text{NO}_3^-]$) are clearly shown. In order to simulate NH_3 transfers at the soil surface, PaSim includes a shallow surface layer (1 mm depth) in which fertilizer dissolution takes place and with which NH_3 exchanges. Following fertilization, $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ will be much larger in this layer than in the layer 0 to 0.1 m shown here.

The top part of Fig. 12 shows the stomatal compensation point (χ_s) and the soil surface NH_3 concentration (χ_{soil}) compared with $\chi(1\text{ m})$. The relative size of these concentrations indicates the potential for emission and deposition, with actual fluxes constrained by the different component resistances. Before the cut, modelled χ_s is similar in magnitude to χ_a , implying small bi-directional stomatal fluxes, while modelled

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

χ_{soil} is mostly smaller. After the cut, both modeled χ_s and χ_{soil} increase substantially implying larger emissions. Finally, after fertilization, modelled χ_{soil} increases greatly (to $1000 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), so that soil emissions would dominate net fluxes.

The fluxes simulated by PaSim are compared with measured net fluxes in Fig. 13, together with the simulated component soil, stomatal and cuticular fluxes. Overall, PaSim is able to reproduce net fluxes for the three main management periods, as well as the diurnal variability. This level of agreement is very encouraging, given that the model was applied based on a parametrization developed for Scottish conditions. The main differences from the measurements are: a) an overestimation of diurnal variation before the cut, b) underestimation of emissions after the cut and c) underestimation of emissions for days 2–3 after fertilization. The last difference is easily explained: PaSim assumes an empirical fertilizer dissolution rate, after which $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ is available for emission from the soil surface. The model sensitivity tests (not shown) suggested that this simplistic treatment led to over-rapid dissolution of the fertilizer into the top soil layer, as compared with observed fluxes.

While PaSim is able to distinguish the changes in measured net NH_3 fluxes, its weakness concerns estimation of the component fluxes. PaSim estimates much larger χ_s than is justified by the bioassay measurements, with a strong increase in χ_s and stomatal emissions after cutting. The partitioning is better following fertilization, with simulated ground emissions dominating net fluxes, but here it must be noted that PaSim does not describe leaf litter dynamics or emissions. Thus after cutting, the overestimates of χ_s , combined with increased values of χ_{soil} , tend to compensate for the absence of litter NH_3 emissions in the model.

4.2 Application of the SURFATM- NH_3 model

The development and application of SURFATM- NH_3 is described in detail by Personne et al. (2009). The formulation of R_w is the same as that used by in PaSim, following Milford et al. (2001b) and Sutton et al. (2001), with $R_w = a \exp^{(100-\text{RH})/b}$, where

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

$a=30\text{ s m}^{-1}$ and $b=7$ and RH is % relative humidity at 1 m. The procedures for estimating the atmospheric turbulent, boundary layer and soil surface resistances are, however, independent. SURFATM-NH₃ requires input data of NH₃ concentration at a reference height (χ_{mg} (1 m) was used). The meteorological inputs are air temperature, relative humidity, net radiation, windspeed and precipitation, for which the consensus estimates were used (Nemitz et al., 2009b). Other inputs include soil water content, leaf area index and canopy height.

In contrast to PaSim, SURFATM-NH₃ does not simulate Γ_s , but was run using interpolated estimates from the bioassays (cf. Fig. 9). In a first scenario, the ground surface emission potential was based on the measured estimates of Γ_{soil} , under the hypothesis that emitted NH₃ comes from the boundary between wet and dry soil. For this purpose the soil temperature at this boundary was estimated (T_{soil}^*) with the soil transfer resistance (R_{soil}). In a second scenario, the surface emission potential was hypothesized to be driven by the litter, with the values based on measured estimates of Γ_{litter} , combined with the soil surface temperature (T_{SS}) and an empirical litter resistance assuming completely inactive stomata ($R_{\text{litter}}=5000\text{ s m}^{-1}$).

Both scenarios were able to reproduce the main temporal features of the measured ammonia emissions. The soil emission scenario underestimated NH₃ emissions by around 60% during the post-cut period, while the litter emission scenario overestimated emissions by around 30%. In addition, the soil emission scenario was unable to reproduce the initial peak of ammonia emissions following fertilization. This is presumably linked to the uncertainty of the measured soil $[\text{NH}_4^+]$, which would have masked vertical gradients (0 to 0.1 m) occurring immediately after fertilization. The simulated NH₃ flux for the litter emission scenario is compared with the measured flux (F_{mg}) in Fig. 14. This parametrization shows close agreement with the measurements, except for 8–10 June, when the model overestimated the measurements. These days had high soil surface temperatures (maxima: 35 to 45°C). During these conditions, it is likely that soil surface/litter NH₄⁺ would have been depleted due to NH₃ emission, thereby limiting subsequent measured fluxes.

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4.3 Application of the dynamic cuticular chemistry model

The DCC model was applied by Burkhardt et al. (2009) to the pre-cut and post-cut periods to further investigate the role of leaf-surface chemical interactions. One of the first challenges in this approach is to estimate the leaf surface water film thickness. A series of clip sensors (Burkhardt and Eiden, 1994) recorded leaf electrical resistance in the field, which was empirically related to leaf surface moisture. The DCC model was re-initialized after rain using the measured rain chemistry, with Γ_s set to 300 and Γ_{litter} to 5200. The latter value was based on an earlier bioassay estimate, but was sufficient to explain the litter emissions where no value of R_d is assumed (cf. Personne et al., 2009). While this reflects uncertainties in applying the models, the parametrization is sufficient to allow DDC to explore leaf cuticle interactions.

An illustration of the simulation for the pre-cut period is shown in Fig. 15. The largest component emissions were from leaf litter (F_g), most of which was estimated to be deposited to leaf surfaces (F_d), with some uptake to stomata (F_s). Although the values of F_d in this example are almost all towards deposition (apart from briefly on 23 May), larger desorption fluxes would be expected in conditions of more-rapid drying. Figure 15 shows both the measured estimate of the flux (F_{mg} (1 m)) and the flux estimate at the surface, as corrected for advection effects ($F_{mg}(z_o)$) using the FIDES model estimates. Although significant differences can be seen, it is evident that uncertainties associated with advection cannot explain the differences between the model and measurements.

The largest cuticular deposition was simulated for 25 May. This was partly related to increased turbulence and moisture, though the middle of the day was drier, reducing cuticular deposition and allowing modelled net emissions of NH_3 . This day is interesting in that the model qualitatively reproduces the dynamics of the measurements, though the temporal fluctuations in the measured fluxes are smaller. This may be due to a longer residence time for adsorption/desorption as regulated in the model by R_d , which remains a highly uncertain parameter (Sutton et al., 1998a; Flechard et al., 1999). The R_d and χ_d values in the dynamic model dampen the fluctuations in modeled flux

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

compared with use of R_w in PaSim (cf., Fig. 12a). In the DCC, R_d is parametrized as $\exp(\varepsilon/l)$, where l is ionic strength and ε is 100. Figure 15 illustrates a sensitivity run with $\varepsilon=400$, demonstrating how a larger R_d has a significant effect on the modeled fluxes for some periods.

5 Burkhardt et al. (2009) also reported several sensitivity tests with the model. For the conditions of the Braunschweig Experiment, they found increasing SO_2 concentrations only to have a small effect on the measured fluxes. By contrast, the model was more sensitive to the assumptions made about the potential for ion movement between the cuticle and apoplast (leaching and uptake), such as uptake of base cations which
10 affects modeled leaf surface pH. These interactions illustrate the complexity of NH_3 exchange with plant canopies, and demonstrate the utility of such models in integrating the different driving factors.

5 Conclusions

The GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment conducted at Braunschweig has provided a comprehensive quantification of ammonia fluxes and the controlling processes. Overall, it proved possible to handle the multiple objectives of the experiment. The potential conflicts between objectives were assessed in advance and the layout of the experiment designed to minimize these (Sutton et al., 2008b). By contrast, incorporating multiple objectives had the benefit of allowing synergies to be addressed and a range
15 of models to be tested.

At the outset of the experiment, five key questions were asked, and these form a useful framework to summarize the main conclusions.

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5.1 How do the component sources and sinks of ammonia exchange (leaf surfaces, leaf tissues, plant uptake from soil, litter decomposition) integrate to control net fluxes with the atmosphere?

The combination of measurements and models applied to the Braunschweig Experiment highlight the multiple sources and sinks of ammonia within a grass canopy. At the outset, a high importance was given to estimation of bi-directional exchange through stomata with a compensation point (χ_s), with recapture of stomatal emissions by leaf surfaces, for example, parameterized by a cuticular resistance (R_w). Analysis of the results during the Braunschweig Experiment reveals a different emphasis, highlighting the role of ammonia emissions from the leaf litter and the soil surface. The pattern of exchange is thus more similar to that observed by Denmead et al. (1976) and Nemitz et al. (2000) for grass-clover and oilseed rape canopies, respectively. Mineralization of leaf litter on the soil surface appears to provide the primary ecosystem source for ammonia emission in this study. Prior to cutting of the grass, sheltering of the litter tends to reduce these emissions, with most of the emission being recaptured by the overlaying canopy. Thus although species differences in χ_s may be noted, these values become most important in affecting the recapture of litter ammonia emission.

Only under the condition of complete canopy recapture of the litter ammonia emissions, does the net flux with the atmosphere depend entirely on the values of χ_s and the interaction with cuticular uptake. However, for the Braunschweig experiment, periods of net ammonia emission before the cut cannot be explained by measured bioassay values of χ_s , and must result from either (or a combination of) ammonia from the leaf litter escaping the canopy and desorption of ammonia temporarily deposited to leaf surfaces (as parametrized using χ_d and R_d). In principle, this can occur during drying conditions, after periods of moisture and large atmospheric ammonia concentrations (χ_a), though modelling suggested that such “cuticular desorption” events only played a minor role during the experiment.

Overall, the canopy recapture of ammonia emitted from the surface appears to be

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

reasonably well simulated by the models (PaSim, SURFATM-NH₃; DCC). By contrast, more work is required to simulate the dynamics of the ground surface emission. Although the empirical basis for ammonia emissions from leaf litter was demonstrated (SURFATM-NH₃, DCC), this process is not included in PaSim. Nemitz et al. (2000b) tested a simple model of leaf litter NH₃ emission dynamics, and further research in this direction is needed.

5.2 What are the mechanisms by which grassland management events (cutting and N fertilization) affect component and net ammonia fluxes?

Increased ammonia emissions lasting for several weeks after cutting have been demonstrated in several studies (e.g., Sutton et al., 1998b; Milford et al., 2001), and these have been attributed to a cutting-induced remobilization of plant nitrogen, related to a reduced carbon sink for plant substrate nitrogen (e.g., Loubet et al.; 2002; Riedo et al., 2002). The Braunschweig Experiment showed a similar increase in ammonia emissions after cutting, but here bioassays showed no evidence of this increase being related to values of substrate nitrogen, or to Γ_s driven values of χ_s . At Braunschweig, the increased emissions can be fully explained (e.g., SURFATM-NH₃) by removal of the overlaying canopy allowing ammonia released from litter to reach the atmosphere. In parallel, Γ_{litter} increased by a factor of 2–7 after cutting, presumably related to cutting-induced senescence, while warmer weather, combined with greater microclimatic fluctuations at the exposed soil/litter surfaces, would have promoted the litter-based emissions.

As expected, ammonia emissions increased substantially following fertilization, amounting to 3.2% of the N applied (equivalent to 3.6% if the post-cutting emissions are included, Milford et al., 2008). The PaSim and SURFATM-NH₃ models both highlight that most of this emission is of surface origin (soil surface and litter), rather than emission mediated via stomata. Even though there are measurement uncertainties, bioassay estimates of Γ_s were at least an order of magnitude smaller than required to account for net emissions. This indicates that stomata (together with the leaf surfaces)

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

represented a sink to recapture part of the ground source NH_3 emissions.

The soil and bioassay measurements suggest that the applied fertilizer is absorbed by leaf litter in addition to the soil surface. The leaf litter thus represents a reservoir for later NH_3 emission from the fertilizer, which adds to the contribution from litter mineralization. However, the relative contribution of the soil surface and litter to post-fertilization emissions remains uncertain from the available measurements. Although PaSim does not distinguish this partitioning onto leaf litter, it performs reasonably in highlighting the overall increase and subsequent reduction in emissions for 10 days after fertilization. However, further work is required to simulate more realistically the processes of fertilizer dissolution and partitioning at the soil/litter surface, especially in relation to varying water and temperature regimes.

5.3 When does the location of a micrometeorological study site in a real landscape lead to significant quantifiable effects of advection on net ammonia fluxes and their measurement?

The design of the Braunschweig experiment allowed locations to be chosen that could directly quantify the effects of advection on vertical ammonia fluxes. In this case, the flux measurement sites were 550 m and 750 m to the east of a mixed livestock farm housing 380 cattle and 170 pigs. Combined with measurements of horizontal ammonia concentration profiles downwind of the farm, and profiles measured across the main wind direction, it was possible to use two inverse dispersion models (Gaussian model, FIDES dispersion deposition model) to estimate the magnitude of emissions from the farm and their influence on ammonia dispersion and deposition. Overall, the model estimates of ammonia emissions from the farm at $6\text{--}12 \text{ kg NH}_3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ were in realistic agreement with inventory estimates ($10 \text{ kg NH}_3 \text{ day}^{-1}$). Modelled advection errors in the vertical flux (estimated at the main flux measurement site 550 m downwind) were in the range 0 to $27 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, while measured values (from the horizontal concentration profiles) were similar (0 to $35 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). These values were small compared with the magnitude of ammonia emissions from this field for most of the experiment, but

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



represent a significant correction for the relevant wind directions for the pre-cut period. The results are also illustrative of the magnitude of advection effects for other situations, highlighting the importance of quantifying advection effects when assessing the rates of ammonia deposition to semi-natural ecosystems occurring in rural landscapes (cf. Loubet et al., 2001; Milford et al., 2001a). The overall effect of the relevant corrections is to increase estimated rates of ammonia deposition compared with uncorrected flux measurements or inferential modelling.

A further finding of the Braunschweig Experiment revealed by the measurements of horizontal ammonia concentration profiles and the FIDES model (see Fig. 3) is that the emissions from the field itself can induce advection errors in the measured vertical fluxes. Measured and modelled values were in the range -209 to 0 and -60 to $0 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively. Although these are larger than the advection resulting from the farm, because they are generated by high ammonia emissions from the field, with sufficient fetch they represent only a small relative correction to the measured fluxes.

5.4 How much does the near-surface perturbation of the equilibria between ammonia, acid gases and aerosols lead to non-conservation of vertical ammonia fluxes, and is this a relevant mechanism for particle production?

The Braunschweig Experiment has highlighted the role of atmospheric reactions in the surface layer due to surface concentration profiles of ammonia and nitric acid interacting with profiles of temperature and relative humidity. In the period after fertilization, the concentration product $[\text{NH}_3][\text{HNO}_3]$ frequently exceeded the equilibrium for formation of particulate NH_4NO_3 . The signal of this effect was clearly detected from eddy covariance (EC) particle number fluxes using a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC), which showed apparent particle emissions to be correlated to periods of large ammonia emission.

The analysis of Nemitz et al. (2009a) shows that these apparent upward aerosol fluxes were the result of particle growth due to NH_3 and HNO_3 condensation onto existing aerosol, so that particles grew to larger than the lower size limit for detection by

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



the CPC. Based on the measurements, Nemitz et al. (2009a) provide the first estimates of NH_4NO_3 formation from this near-surface (0 to 2 m) condensation process. These estimates have relevance in their own right, and show that the effect leads to mean errors in NH_4^+ and NO_3^- deposition fluxes of 4% and 10%, for day and night, respectively.

5 By contrast, the effect results in small corrections to the measured ammonia fluxes of 0.06% and 0.56% for day and night, respectively.

The measurements demonstrate that gas-particle interactions during the Braunschweig Experiment had a trivial effect on the conservation of ammonia fluxes with height. In the present case, the flux corrections can effectively be ignored for ammonia, though they remain relevant for the aerosol fluxes. Nevertheless, such effects may still be relevant in other conditions. For example, Brost et al. (1988) simulated significant interactions downwind of a farm installation, while Nemitz and Sutton (2004) simulated the effect of high surface temperatures combined with NH_3 and HNO_3 deposition to a semi-natural ecosystem. In the latter case, particle evaporation was estimated to affect measured trace gas fluxes. The present observation of apparent particle emissions highlights the need to consider chemical interactions when deriving deposition velocity parametrizations from field measurements.

5.5 To what extent can divergence in vertical ammonia fluxes (either due to advection or chemical reactions) be directly measured by available techniques?

20 In order to measure vertical flux divergence directly, measurements are needed that can quantify ammonia flux using sampling at one point, such as relaxed eddy accumulation (REA) and EC, as contrasted against the aerodynamic gradient method (AGM), which estimates fluxes based on measurements at several heights. The development and inter-comparison of several REA implementations for ammonia reported by Hensen et al. (2008) provided a precision that was in several cases comparable with
25 the AGM, but not sufficient to detect the expected flux divergences due to gas-particle reactions. Based on the subsequent analysis of Nemitz et al. (2009a), this becomes clear given the very small net effect of gas-particle reactions on net ammonia fluxes

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

(Sect. 5.4).

Depending on the experimental set up and the occurrence of nearby farm sources, flux divergence due to advection effects may be larger and therefore easier to measure. For example, if several of the REA methods had been deployed at 340 m from the farm, the prior modelling (Fig. 3 in Sutton et al., 2008b) indicates that advection may alter a flux at canopy level of $-200 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to values of around 160 and $110 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 0.5 m and 1.5 m above the canopy, respectively. Such differences should be detectable both with the REA implementations (see Fig. 6) and with current eddy covariance systems using TDLAS (e.g. Whitehead et al., 2008). Thus, where it is of interest to estimate ammonia fluxes in situations very close to ammonia sources (cf., Cape et al., 2008), and several inter-calibrated REA or EC systems are available, it would be feasible to quantify directly and correct for ammonia advection effects. Nevertheless, the flux inter-comparisons of this study highlight that improved precision and reliability in the detectors used for ammonia flux measurement must be considered a priority.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of many different funders and colleagues to this work. The measurements were conducted under the frame of the GRAMINAE project funded by the European Commission (DG Research), with input from a wide range of national funding agencies, in particular the UK Defra (AQIP Division). The final analysis of results was conducted under the EC NitroEurope Integrated Project, with travel support from ACCENT and the ESF NinE and COST 729 programmes.

References

Achermann, B. and Bobbink, R.: Empirical critical loads for Nitrogen. SAEFL, Berne, Switzerland, 2003.

Amann, M., Bertok, I., Cofala, J., Gyarmas, F., Heyes, C., Klimont, Z., Schöpp, W., and Winiwarter, W.: Baseline Scenarios for the Clean Air for Europe (CAFE) Programme. Final Report Contract No B4-3040/2002/340248/MAR/C1, IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria, (available at: www.iiasa.ac.at/rains/CAFE_files/CAFE-baseline-full.pdf), 2005.

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Bigg, E. K.: Gas emissions from soil and leaf litter as a source of new particle formation, *Atmos. Res.*, 70, 33–42, 2004.
- Brost, R. A., Delany, A. C., and Huebert, B. J.: Numerical modeling of concentrations and fluxes of HNO_3 , NH_3 , and NH_4NO_3 near the surface, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 7137–7152, 1988.
- 5 Burkhardt, J. and Eiden, R.: Thin water films on coniferous needles, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28A, 2002–1019, 1994.
- Burkhardt, J., Flechard, C. R., Gressens, F., Mattsson, M., Jongejan, P. A. C., Erisman, J. W., Weidinger, T., Meszaros, R., Nemitz, E., and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling the dynamic chemical interactions of atmospheric ammonia with leaf surface wetness in a managed grassland canopy, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 67–83, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/67/2009/>.
- 10 Cape, J. N., Jones, M. R., Leith, I. D., Sheppard, L. J., van Dijk, N., Sutton, M. A., and Fowler, D.: Field estimation of NH_3 dry deposition to an ombrotrophic bog using concentration-dependent deposition velocities, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42(27), 6637–6646, 2008.
- 15 Cellier, P., Richard, G., and Robin, P.: Partition of sensible heat fluxes into bare soil and the atmosphere, *Agr. Forest Meteorol.*, 82, 245–265, 1996.
- Choudhury, B. J. and Monteith, J. L.: A four-layer model for the heat budget of homogeneous land surfaces, *Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 114, 373–398, 1988.
- David, M., Loubet, B., Cellier, P., Mattsson, M., Schjoerring, J. K., Nemitz, E., Roche, R., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia sources and sinks in an intensively managed grassland using dynamic chambers, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, accepted, 2008a.
- 20 David, M., Roche, R., Mattsson, M., Sutton, M. A., Daemmgen, U., Schjoerring, J. K., and Cellier, P.: The effect of management on ammonia fluxes over a grassland using dynamic chambers, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 2008b.
- 25 Davidson, C. I., Phalen, R. F., and Solomon, P. A.: Airborne Particulate Matter and Human Health: A Review, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 39, 737–749, 2005.
- de Vries, W., Solberg, S., Dobbertin, M., Sterba, H., Laubhahn, D., Reinds, G. J., Nabuurs, G.-J., Gundersen, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Ecologically implausible carbon response?, *Nature*, 451, E1–E3, doi:10.1038/nature06579, 2008.
- 30 Demmers, T. G. M., Burgess, L. R., Short, J. L., Phillips, V. R., Clark, J. A., and Wathes, C. M.: Ammonia emissions from two mechanically ventilated UK livestock buildings, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 107–116, 1999.
- Denmead, O. T., Freney, J. R., and Simpson, J. R.: A closed ammonia cycle within a plant

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- canopy, *Soil Sci. Biochem.*, 8, 161–164, 1976.
- Döhler, H., Dämmgen, U., Berg, W., Bergschmidt, A., Brunsch, R., Eurich-Menden, B., Lüttich, M., and Osterburg, B.: Adaptation of the German emission calculation methodology to international guidelines, determination and forecasting of ammonia emissions from German agriculture, and scenarios for reducing them by 2010 (in German, summary in English), Umweltbundesamt (Berlin), 2002.
- Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Place, C. J., Lord, E., Webb, J., Hill, J., ApSimon, H. M., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia, emission, deposition and impact assessment at a field scale: a case study of sub-grid spatial variability, *Environ. Pollut.*, 117, 147–158, 2002.
- Duyzer, J. H., Nijenhuis, B., and Weststrate, H.: Monitoring and modelling of ammonia concentrations and deposition in agricultural areas of the Netherlands, *Water, Air and Soil Pollut.: Focus*, 1, 131–144, 2001.
- Erismann, J. W. and Sutton, M. A.: Reduced nitrogen in ecology and the environment: Special issue of the ESF-FWF Conference in partnership with LFUI, October 2006, *Environ. Pollut.*, 154, 357–358, 2008a.
- Erismann, J. W., Sutton, M. A., Galloway, J. N., Klimont, Z., and Winiwarter, W.: How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world, *Nature Geosci.*, 1, 636–639, 2008b.
- Famulari, D., Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K., Milford, C., Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., and Weston, K.: Measuring eddy-covariance fluxes of ammonia using tunable diode laser absorption spectroscopy, *Water, Air Soil Pollut.: Focus*, 4(6), 151–158, 2004.
- Flechard, C., Fowler, D., Sutton, M. A., and Cape, J. N.: A dynamic chemical model of bi-directional ammonia exchange between semi-natural vegetation and the atmosphere, *Quart. J. R. Met. Soc.*, 125, 2611–2641, 1999.
- Hensen, A., Loubet, B., Mosquera, J., van den Bulk, W. C. M., Erismann, J. W., Dämmgen, U., Milford, C., Löpmeier, F. J., Cellier, P., Mikuška, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Estimation of NH₃ emissions from a naturally ventilated livestock farm using local-scale atmospheric dispersion modelling, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 825–862, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/825/2009/>.
- Hensen, A., Nemitz, E., Flynn, M. J., Blatter, A., Jones, S. K., Sørensen, L. L., Hensen, B., Pryor, S., Jensen, B., Otjes, R. P., Cobussen, J., Loubet, B., Erismann, J. W., Gallagher, M. W., Nefftel, A., and Sutton, M. A.: Inter-comparison of ammonia fluxes obtained using the Relaxed Eddy Accumulation technique. *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 5, 3965–4000, 2008, <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/3965/2008/>.

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Herrmann, B., Mattsson, M., Jones, S. K., Cellier, P., Milford, C., Sutton, M. A., Schjoerring, J. K., and Neftel, A.: Vertical structure and diurnal variability of ammonia exchange potential within an intensively managed grass canopy, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 15–23, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/15/2009/>.
- 5 Hertel, O., Skjøth, C. A., Lofstrøm, P., Geels, C., Frohn, L. M., Ellermann, T., and Madsen, P. V.: Modelling nitrogen deposition on a local scale – A review of the current state of the art, *Environ. Chem.*, 3, 317–337, 2006.
- Husted, S., Hebborn, C. A., Mattsson, M., and Schjoerring, J. K.: A critical experimental evaluation of methods for determination of NH_4^+ in plant tissue, xylem sap and apoplastic fluid, *Physiol. Plantarum*, 109(2), 167–179, 2000a.
- 10 Husted, S., Schjoerring, J. K., Nielsen, K. H., Nemitz, E., and Sutton, M. A.: Stomatal compensation points for ammonia in oilseed rape plants under field conditions, *Agric. Forest Meteorol.*, 105, 371–383, 2000b.
- Husted, S., Mattsson, M., Möllers, C., Wallbraun, M., and Schjoerring, J. K.: Photorespiratory NH_4^+ production in leaves of wildtype and GS2-antisense oilseed rape, *Plant Physiol.*, 130, 989–998, 2002.
- 15 Jarvis, P. G.: The interpretation of the variation in leaf water potential and stomatal conductance found in canopies in the field, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, B273, 593–610, 1976
- 20 Keuken, M. P., Schoonebeek, C. A. M., van Wensveen-Louter, A., and Slanina, J.: Simultaneous sampling of NH_3 , HNO_3 , HCl , SO_2 and H_2O_2 by a wet annular denuder system, *Atmos. Environ.*, 22, 2541–2548, 1988.
- Kulmala, M., Vehkamäki, H., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Lauri, A., Kerminen, V. M., Birmil, W., and McMurry, P. H.: Formation and growth rates of ultrafine atmospheric particles: a review of observations, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 35(2), 143–176, 2004.
- 25 Laubach, J. and Teichmann, U.: Surface energy budget variability: A case study over grass with special regard to minor inhomogeneities in the source area, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 62, 9–24, 1999.
- Lehmann, B. E., Hehmann, M., Neftel, A., and Tarakanov, S. V.: Radon-220 calibration of near surface turbulent gas transport, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26(5), 607–610, 1999.
- 30 Loubet, B., Asman, W. A. H., Theobald, M. R., Hertel, O., Tang, Y. S., Robin, P., Hassouna, M., Daemmgen, U., Genermont, S., Cellier, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia deposition near hot spots: processes, models and monitoring methods, in: *Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting*

emission changes and environmental impacts, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Baker, S., and Reis, S., Springer, 2008a.

Loubet, B., Cellier, P., Milford, C., and Sutton, M. A.: A coupled dispersion and exchange model for short-range dry deposition of atmospheric ammonia, *Quart. J. Royal. Meteor. Soc.*, 132, 1733–1763, 2006.

Loubet, B., Milford, C., Hill, P. W. Tang, Y. S., Cellier, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Seasonal variability of apoplastic NH_4^+ and pH in an intensively managed grassland, *Plant and Soil*, 238, 97–110, 2002.

Loubet, B., Milford, C., Hensen, A., Daemmgen, U., Erisman, J.-W., Cellier, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Advection of NH_3 over a pasture field, and its effect on gradient flux measurements, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 163–196, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/163/2009/>.

Loubet, B., Milford, C., Sutton, M. A., and Cellier, P.: Investigation of the interaction between sources and sinks of atmospheric ammonia in an upland landscape using a simplified dispersion-exchange model, *J. geophys Res.*, 106, 24 183–24 195, 2001.

Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M., Borghetti, M., Berbigier, P., Berninger, F., Delzon, S., Grelle, A., Hari, P., Jarvis, P.G., Kolari, P., Kowalski, A.S., Lankreijer, H., Law, B.E., Lindroth, A., Loustau, D., Manca, G., Moncrieff, J.B., Rayment, M., Tedeschi, V., Valentini, R., and Grace, J.: The human footprint in the carbon cycle of temperate and boreal forests, *Nature*, 447, 848–850, 2007.

Mattsson, M., Herrmann, B., David, M., Loubet, B., Riedo, M., Theobald, M. R., Sutton, M. A., Bruhn, D., Neftel, A., and Schjoerring, J. K.: Temporal variability in bioassays of ammonia emission potential in relation to plant and soil N parameters in intensively managed grassland, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 5, 2749–2772, 2008, <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/2749/2008/>.

Mattsson, M., Herrmann, B., Jones, S., Neftel, A., Sutton, M. A., and Schjoerring, J. K.: Contribution of different grass species to plant-atmosphere ammonia exchange in intensively managed grassland, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 59–66, 2009, <http://www.biogeosciences.net/6/59/2009/>.

Mattsson, M. and Schjoerring, J. K.: Dynamic and steady state responses of inorganic nitrogen pools and NH_3 exchange in leaves of *Lolium perenne* and *Bromus erectus* to changes in root N supply, *Plant Physiol.*, 128, 742–750, 2002.

Mattsson, M. and Schjoerring, J. K.: Senescence-induced changes in apoplastic and bulk tis-

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- sue ammonia concentrations of ryegrass leaves, *New Phyt.*, 160(3) 489–499, 2003.
- Mészáros, R., Horváth, L., Weidinger, T., Neftel, A., Nemitz, E., Dämmgen, U., Cellier, P., and Loubet, B.: Measurement and modelling ozone fluxes over a cut and fertilised grassland, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, accepted, 2008.
- 5 Milford, C., Hargreaves, K. J., Sutton, M. A., Loubet, B., and Cellier, P.: Fluxes of NH₃ and CO₂ over upland moorland in the vicinity of agricultural land, *J. geophys Res. Atmos.*, 106, 24 169–24 181, 2001a.
- Milford, C., Theobald, M. R., Nemitz, E., and Sutton, M. A.: Dynamics of ammonia exchange in response to cutting and fertilizing in an intensively-managed grassland, *Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus*, 1, 167–176, 2001b.
- 10 Milford, C., Theobald, M. R., Nemitz, E., Hargreaves, K. J., Horvath, L., Raso, J., Dämmgen, U., Neftel, A., Jones, S. K., Hensen, A., Loubet, B., Cellier, P., and Sutton, M. A.: Ammonia fluxes in relation to cutting and fertilization of an intensively managed grassland derived from an inter-comparison of gradient measurements, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 5, 4699–4744, 2008,
- 15 <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/4699/2008/>.
- Misselbrook, T. H., Nicholson, F. A., Chambers, B. J., and Johnson, R. A.: Measuring ammonia emissions from land applied manure: an intercomparison of commonly used samplers and techniques, *Environ. Pollut.*, 135, 389–397, 2005.
- 20 Neftel, A., Blatter, A., Otjes R., Erisman, J. W., and Hensen, A.: State of the art REA NH₃ flux measurements, in: *Proc. 10th Nitrogen Workshop, Copenhagen, August 1999. II.49. Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Copenhagen, 1999.*
- Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., Gut, A., San José, R., Husted, S., and Schjoerring, J. K.: Sources and sinks of ammonia within an oilseed rape canopy, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 105(4), 385–404, 2000a.
- 25 Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., Schjoerring, J. K., Husted, S., and Wyers, G. P.: Resistance modelling of ammonia exchange over oilseed rape, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, (Ammonia Special Issue), 105(4), 405–425, 2000b.
- Nemitz, E., Flynn, M., Williams, P. I., Milford, C., Theobald, M. R., Blatter, A., Gallagher, M. W., and Sutton, M. A.: A relaxed eddy accumulation system for the automated measurement of atmospheric ammonia fluxes, *Water, Air Soil Pollut.: Focus*, 1, 189–202, 2001a.
- 30 Nemitz, E., Milford, C., and Sutton, M. A.: A two-layer canopy compensation point model for describing bi-directional biosphere/atmosphere exchange of ammonia, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor.*

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Soc., 127, 815–833, 2001b.

Nemitz, E. and Sutton, M. A.: Gas-particle conversions above a Dutch heathland: III. Modelling of size-dependent NH_4^+ fluxes as modified by the $\text{NH}_3\text{-HNO}_3\text{-NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ equilibrium, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1025–1045, 2004,

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/4/1025/2004/>.

Nemitz, E., Dorsey, J. R., Flynn, M. J., Gallagher, M. W., Hensen, A., Erismann, J.-W., Owen, S. M., Dämmgen, U., and Sutton, M. A.: Aerosol fluxes and particle growth above managed grassland, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 341–389, 2009a,

<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/341/2009/>.

Nemitz, E., Hargreaves, K. J., Neftel, A., Loubet, B., Cellier, P., Dorsey, J. R., Flynn, M., Hensen, A., Weidinger, T., Meszaros, R., Horvath, L., Dämmgen, U., Frühauf, C., Löpmeier, F. J., Gallagher, M. W., and Sutton, M. A.: Intercomparison and assessment of turbulent and physiological exchange parameters of grassland, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 241–290, 2009b,

<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/241/2009/>.

Nemitz, E., Loubet, B., Lehmann, B. E., Cellier, P., Neftel, A., Jones, S. K., Hensen, A., Ihly, B., Tarakanov, S. V., and Sutton, M. A.: Turbulence characteristics in grassland canopies and implications for tracer transport, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 437–489, 2009c,

<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/437/2009/>.

Personne, E., Loubet, B., Herrmann, B., Mattsson, M., Schjoerring, J. K., Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., and Cellier, P.: SURFATM- NH_3 : a model combining the surface energy balance and bi-directional exchanges of ammonia applied at the field scale, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 6, 71–114, 2009,

<http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/71/2009/>.

Riedo, M., Milford, C., Schmid, M., and Sutton, M. A.: Coupling soil-plant-atmosphere exchange of ammonia with ecosystem functioning in grasslands, *Ecol. Model.*, 158, 83–110, 2002.

Schjoerring, J. K., Husted, S., and Mattsson, M.: Physiological parameters controlling plant-atmosphere ammonia exchange, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32(3), 491–498, 1998.

Sutton, M. A. and Fowler, D.: A model for inferring bi-directional fluxes of ammonia over plant canopies, in: *Proceedings of the WMO conference on the measurement and modelling of atmospheric composition changes including pollutant transport*, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, 179–182, 1993.

Sutton, M. A., Fowler, D., Moncrieff, J. B., and Storeton-West, R. L.: The exchange of atmospheric ammonia with vegetated surfaces, II: Fertilized vegetation, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*,

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

119, 1047–1070, 1993.

Sutton, M. A., Burkhardt, J. K., Guerin, D., Nemitz, E., and Fowler, D.: Development of resistance models to describe measurements of bi-directional ammonia surface atmosphere exchange, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32(3), 473–480, 1998a.

5 Sutton, M. A., Milford, C., Dragosits, U., Place, C. J., Singles, R. J., Smith, R. I., Pitcairn, C. E. R., Fowler, D., Hill, J., ApSimon, H. M., Ross, C., Hill, R., Jarvis, S. C., Pain, B. F., Phillips, V. C., Harrison, R., Moss, D., Webb, J., Espenhahn, S. E., Lee, D. S., Hornung, M., Ulliyett, J., Bull, K. R., Emmett, B. A., Lowe, J., and Wyers, G. P.: Dispersion, deposition and impacts of atmospheric ammonia: quantifying local budgets and spatial variability, *Environ. Pollut.*, 102(S1), 349–361, 1998b.

10 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Fowler, D., Wyers, G. P., Otjes, R. P., Schjoerring, J. K., Husted, S., Nielsen, K., San José, R., Moreno, J., Gallagher, M. W., and Gut, A.: Fluxes of ammonia over oilseed rape: Overview of the EXAMINE experiment, *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 105(4), 327–349, 2000.

15 Sutton, M. A., Milford, C., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Hill, P. W., Fowler, D., Schjoerring, J. K., Mattsson, M. E., Nielsen, K. H., Husted, S., Erisman, J. W., Otjes, R., Hensen, A., Mosquera, J., Cellier, P., Loubet, B., David, M., Genermont, S., Neftel, A., Blatter, A., Herrmann, B., Jones, S. K., Horvath, L., Führer, E., Mantzanas, K., Koukoura, Z., Gallagher, M., Williams, P., Flynn, M., and Riedo, M.: Biosphere-atmosphere interactions of ammonia with grasslands: experimental strategy and results from a new European initiative, *Plant and Soil*, 228, 131–145, 2001a.

20 Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Butterbach Bahl, K., Cellier, C., de Vries, W., Cotrufo, F., Skiba, U., Di Marco, C., Jones, S., Laville, P., Soussana, J. F., Loubet, B., Twigg, M., Famulari, D., Whitehead, J., Gallagher, M. W., Neftel, A., Flechard, C., Herrmann, B., Calanca, P. L., Schjoerring, J. K., Daemmgen, U., Horvath, L., Tang, Y.S., Emmett, B. A., Tietema, A., Peñuelas, J., Kesik, M., Brueggemann, N., Pilegaard, K., Vesala, T., Campbell, C. L., Olesen, J. E., Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Levy, P., Mobbs, D. C., Milne, R., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Smith, J. U., Smith, P.E., Bergamaschi, P., Fowler, D., and Reis, S.: Challenges in quantifying biosphere-atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species, *Environ. Pollut.*, 150, 125–139, 2007.

25 Sutton, M. A., Erisman, J. W., Dentener, F., and Moeller, D.: Ammonia in the environment: from ancient times to the present, *Environ. Poll.*, 156, 583–604, 2008a.

Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Theobald, M. R., Milford, C., Dorsey, J. R., Gallagher, M. W., Hensen,

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

A., Jongejan, P. A. C., Erisman, J. W., Mattsson, M. E., Schjoerring, J. K., Cellier, P., Loubet, B., Roche, R., Neftel, A., Hermann, B., Jones, S., Lehman, B. E., Horvath, L., Weidinger, T., Rajkai, K., Burkhardt, J., Lpmeier, F. J., and Daemmgen, U.: Dynamics of ammonia exchange with cut grassland: strategy and implementation of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 5, 3347–3407, 2008b, <http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/5/3347/2008/>.

Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., and Baker, S. M. H.: Atmospheric ammonia: detecting emission changes and environmental impacts, Springer, 2008c.

Sutton, M. A., Simpson, D., Levy, P. E., Smith, R. I., Reis, S., van Oijen, M., and de Vries, W.: Uncertainties in the relationship between atmospheric nitrogen deposition and forest carbon sequestration, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 14, 2057–2063, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01636.x, 2008d.

Thomas, R. M., Trebs, I., Otjes, R., Jongejan, P. A. C., ten Brink, H., Phillips, G., Kortner, M., Meixner, F. X., and Nemitz, E.: An automated analyzer to measure surface-atmosphere exchange fluxes of water soluble inorganic aerosol compounds and reactive trace gases, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, accepted, 2008.

van Hove, L. W. A., Heeres, P., and Bossen, M. E.: The annual variation in stomatal ammonia compensation point of rye grass (*Lolium perenne* L.) leaves in an intensively managed grassland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 36, 2965–2977, 2002.

Whitehead, D. C., Lockyer, D. R., and Raistrick, N.: The volatilization of ammonia from perennial ryegrass during decomposition, drying and induced senescence, *Annals of Botany*, 61, 567–571, 1988.

Whitehead, J. D., Twigg, M., Famulari, D., Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., Gallagher, M. W., and Fowler, D.: Evaluation of laser absorption spectroscopic techniques for eddy covariance flux measurements of ammonia, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42(6), 2041–2046, 2008. doi:10.1021/es071596u, 2008.

Wilson, K., Goldstein, A., Falge, E., Aubinet, M., Baldocchi, D., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Ceulenmans, R., Dolman, H., Field, C., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Law, B. E., Kowalski, A., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Monson, R., Oechel, W., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., and Verma, S.: Energy balance closure at FLUXNET sites, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 113, 223–243, 2002

Wyers, G. P., Otjes, R. P., and Slanina, J.: A continuous-flow denuder for the measurement of ambient concentrations and surface-exchange fluxes of ammonia, *Atmos. Environ.*, 27, 2085–2090, 1993.

BGD

6, 1121–1184, 2009

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 1. Summary of the objectives of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment, and the extent to which these were met by the measurements and model analyses.

Primary Objectives		Measurement status	Data sources
1. To measure the net exchange flux of NH ₃ over managed grassland using micrometeorological methods, quantifying the effect of cutting, N fertilization and environmental controls.	yes, fully	Reliable datasets obtained with specified uncertainty	Milford et al. (2008) Nemitz et al. (2009b) Hensen et al. (2009)
2. To apply bioassays to measure foliar NH ₄ ⁺ , pH and related parameters and thereby to estimate NH ₃ compensation points for different grass species and plant conditions.	yes, mostly	Extensive datasets; uncertainty in the absolute values of Γ_s estimates.	Mattsson et al. (2008, 2009) Herrmann et al. (2009)
3. To apply cuvette measurements to quantify the potential for NH ₃ emissions from foliage, drying or decomposing leaves.	yes, fully	Focused datasets help explain sources and sinks.	David et al. (2008)
4. To use a comparison of different management treatments with cuvette and bioassay measurements to improve the understanding of controls on NH ₃ fluxes.	yes, fully	Clear management differences obtained	David et al. (2008a, b) Mattsson et al. (2008)
5. To integrate the quantification of component processes and fluxes in the canopy, including measurements of soil N availability / uptake, apoplastic NH ₄ ⁺ concentrations, and within-canopy source / sink distributions.	yes, mostly	Integrated dataset obtained, although few data on within-canopy NH ₃ conc. profiles	Herrmann et al. (2009) Nemitz et al. (2009c) David et al. (2008a) Personne et al. (2009)
6. To quantify particle size-distributions, particle number fluxes and chemical fluxes of acid gases and inorganic aerosols.	yes, mostly	Reliable particle number data, but aerosol ionic mass fluxes uncertain.	Nemitz et al. (2009a)
7. To quantify the interactions of NH ₃ fluxes with the chemistry of leaf surfaces wetted by dew or rain.	yes, mostly	Reliable data on NH ₃ fluxes inc. selected dew and rain chemistry data	Burkhardt et al. (2009) Meszaros et al. (2008)

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Continued.

Primary Objectives		Measurement status	Data sources
8. To quantify the extent of chemical reactions of NH ₃ in the air within and immediately above the plant canopy to form or evaporate particulate matter and the consequences for net NH ₃ and particle fluxes.	yes, fully	Reliable particle number fluxes and NH ₃ fluxes with aerosol mass concs. Permit detailed analysis	Nemitz et al. (2009a)
9. To determine horizontal concentration profiles of NH ₃ downwind of a farm source allowing quantification of the advection error in the vertical flux and analysis of the consequences for net NH ₃ fluxes.	yes, fully	Reliable data on horizontal NH ₃ profiles, permitting detailed flux analysis	Loubet et al. (2009)
10. To compare NH ₃ emissions from a farm source estimated by plume measurements and inverse dispersion modelling with those estimated by the emission inventory approach.	yes, fully	Detailed NH ₃ plume datasets allow farm emission calculations	Hensen et al. (2009)
Technical objectives			
11. To intercompare different estimates of turbulent exchange parameters for momentum, sensible and latent heat, identifying the implications for sampling precision in situations without replication and to provide robust estimates for this study.	yes, fully	Reliable datasets obtained with specified uncertainty	Nemitz et al. (2009b)
12. To intercompare estimates of NH ₃ fluxes derived from different continuous NH ₃ gradient methods, identifying the implications for unreplicated measurements and to provide a robust best-estimate of the net NH ₃ flux.	yes, fully	Reliable datasets obtained with specified uncertainty	Milford et al. (2008)

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Continued.

Primary Objectives		Measurement status	Data sources
13. To intercompare and evaluate continuous REA systems for NH ₃ flux measurement and, if sufficiently precise, to deploy these to measure vertical flux divergence.	yes, no	Sound intercomparison, but uncertainty precluded flux-divergence measnts.	Hensen et al. (2008) Loubet et al. (2009)
14. To determine the turbulent structure within the grass canopy, comparing direct turbulence measurements with estimates derived from application of a Radon tracer method.	yes, fully	Detailed datasets obtained by both approaches	Nemitz et al. (2009c)
15. To develop and test a method for estimating total soluble N concentration of grass leaves as an estimate of “substrate nitrogen” for comparison with ecosystem model estimates.	yes, fully	Method developed and extensive dataset obtained	Mattsson et al. (2008)
16. To refine an approach to estimate farm-scale NH ₃ emissions from fixed and mobile NH ₃ concentration measurements coupled with inverse dispersion modelling.	yes, fully	Method developed and applied with measured NH ₃ plumes	Hensen et al. (2009)

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 1. Illustration of the time course of heat fluxes (R_n , H , λE) and canopy bulk stomatal resistance (R_{sb}) through changing conditions during the GRAMINAE experiment. The estimates error bounds in the heat fluxes (shaded in grey) are \pm standard deviation of independent estimates. For R_{sb} , the measured values (points) are compared with a model fit based on total solar radiation and canopy Leaf Area Index (blue line), and with a model fit that also includes the effect of moisture limitation according to vapour pressure deficit (red line).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 2. (a) Diurnal variation in NH_3 emission from the farm buildings estimated with FIDES-2-D (circles, error bars are 95% confidence limits), and convective velocity (triangles); (b) background concentration (Site 6) and concentration downwind of the farm (Site 3). Data are averaged for westerly wind conditions only. Convective velocity is a measure of the free and forced convective transfer between the inside and the outside of the building.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[⏪](#)
[⏩](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 3. Example horizontal gradients of NH_3 concentration across the Braunschweig field site, with distance from a group of farm buildings (for site map see Sutton et al., 2008b). Measurements at Sites 1, 2 and 3 are compared with simulations using the FIDES-2-D approach (Loubet et al., 2009). The three model runs refer to pre-cut (25/05/00), post-cut (02/06/00) and post-fertilization periods (07/06/00). The concentrations at site 3 (230 m) are similar so that the points overlay each other.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 4. Advection error in vertical fluxes at Site 1 (550 m east of the farm) simulated with the FIDES-2-D model at $z=1$ and $z=2$ m above the zero plane displacement, as compared with values derived from measured horizontal NH₃ concentration profiles during the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment. Data for $\pm 15^\circ$ of the farm wind sector. Positive values refer to advection from the livestock farm, while negative values refer to advection from the field itself.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)




Fig. 5. Graph showing the reference “mean gradient” (*mg*) estimates of ammonia concentration (χ (1 m)) and ammonia flux at the surface ($F(z_0)$) measured above the main field during the GRAMINAE experiment (\pm standard errors, shown in grey). During 3 June and 8–10 June, extreme instrument uncertainty led to an “alternative gradient” (*ag*) being calculated, based on only two of the four systems. Given the uncertainty in this decision, both estimates are shown. The vertical bars show the times of cutting (29/5) removal of the grass cuttings (30/5) and fertilization (5/6).

**Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment**

M. A. Sutton et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 6. Ammonia flux estimated using three independent approaches: **(a)** the pre-fertilization period, and **(b)** the post-fertilization period. The comparison shows the aerodynamic gradient method (mean of up to 4 systems), the REA method (mean of up to 4 systems) and the FIDES-2-D inverse dispersion model using measured background NH_3 concentration (43 m, Site 6), mean NH_3 concentration (1 m, Site 1) and fetch over the field.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 7. Correlation between mean bulk leaf NH₄⁺ concentration and Γ_s (ratio between apoplastic NH₄⁺ and H⁺ concentration) showing species differences during the GRAMINAE experiment prior to cutting of the grass.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 8. Daily course of apoplastic $[NH_4^+]$, total foliar $[NH_4^+]$, total foliar $[NO_3^-]$ and total soluble N. Full details, with apoplastic $[H^+]$ and χ_s and standard errors are reported by Mattsson et al. (2008). The field was cut on 29 May and fertilized on 5 June. Results shown for these days represent conditions prior to these changes. The values for “fertilized” here represent the mean of results from the main field and the high N treatment (plots B1 and B3 described by Sutton et al., 2008b). The lines are 2-point running means.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 9. Time course of estimated Γ values (ratio of $[\text{NH}_4^+]/[\text{H}^+]$) in different compartments throughout the GRAMINAE experiment. Values refer to the main field. Values of Γ for bulk leaf tissue and litter are shown here for dry matter contents of 10% and 40%, respectively. The semi-continuous record of $\Gamma(z'_0)$ is derived from micrometeorological estimation of the surface concentration, $\chi(z'_0)$ and solubility equilibria using the surface temperature, $T(z'_0)$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 10. Example application of the inverse Lagrangian technique based on near-field theory to derive the vertical ammonia source / sink profile in the grassland canopy during the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment. **(a)** Ammonia concentration profiles for 9 June 18:30 and 15 June 10:30; **(b)** the ammonia source/sink density ($S(z)$) for defined layers within the canopy; **(c)** the estimated ammonia flux ($F(z)$) at the top of each layer.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 11. Particle interactions during the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment. Top: Time-series of particle flux measurements (11 nm to 2 μ m) in relation to grassland management. The grass was cut, turned and lifted on the mornings of 29, 30 and 31 May, respectively. Middle: Ammonia surface concentration $\chi(z'_0)$, based on measured fluxes. Bottom: comparison of the measured product $[\text{HNO}_3][\text{NH}_3]$ at 1 m (circles) compared with the temperature- and humidity-dependent equilibrium values above-which particle formation is favoured.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 12. Illustration of stomatal ammonia compensation point (χ_s) and soil surface ammonia concentration (χ_{soil}) estimated by a base simulation with the PaSim model for the Braunschweig Experiment. Measured top-soil ammonium and nitrate concentrations (0 to 0.1 m) are compared with the PaSim estimates. The simulated value of χ_{soil} applies to the surface layer of the soil (top 1 mm), while χ_s is a bulk value for the overlaying canopy.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	



Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 13. Time course of total and component NH_3 fluxes through the Braunschweig Experiment simulated by PaSim (base simulation) as compared with measured net fluxes (F_{mg}).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Synthesis of the
GRAMINAE
Integrated
Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 14. Comparison of ammonia fluxes simulated by the SURFATM- NH_3 model with measured fluxes (F_{mg}) during the Braunschweig Experiment. For this model scenario, the ground emission is assumed to originate from leaf litter based on measured Γ_{litter} . Modelled fluxes at 10 June 12:00 reach $7000 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In the top frame, the SURFATM- NH_3 simulation of ground surface temperature is compared with measurements of litter temperature, which is a key driver of the modelled emissions.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Synthesis of the GRAMINAE Integrated Experiment

M. A. Sutton et al.




Fig. 15. (a) Ammonia fluxes simulated for the pre-cut period of the Braunschweig Experiment using the dynamic leaf cuticular chemistry model, as compared with the measured flux (F_{mg}) at 1 m and at z_0 as corrected for advection using the FIDES model. The model base run (Burkhardt et al., 2009) applied the cuticular adsorption/desorption resistance (R_d) as a function of $100 \times$ ionic strength (I). A sensitivity test is shown here for R_d as $f(400 \times I)$. (b) The component fluxes for the base run are shown: F_d , dynamic cuticular flux; F_s , stomatal flux; F_g , ground flux. (c) The measured air concentration (χ_a) is compared with the modeled surface concentrations for stomata (χ_s), leaf surface (χ_d) and the litter (χ_{litter}) as estimated in the model base run.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion