

*Biogeosciences Discussions* is the access reviewed discussion forum of *Biogeosciences*

# Changes in mobility and solubility of the redox sensitive metals Fe, Mn and Co at the seawater-sediment interface following CO<sub>2</sub> seepage

**M. V. Ardelan and E. Steinnes**

Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Department of Chemistry,  
7491 Trondheim, Norway

Received: 7 April 2009 – Accepted: 13 April 2009 – Published: 12 June 2009

Correspondence to: M. V. Ardelan (murato@nt.ntnu.no)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

The impact of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage on the solubility and distribution of the redox-sensitive metals iron, manganese, and cobalt in seawater and sediment pore water has been studied in experiments in laboratory-scale 0.6 m<sup>3</sup> chambers. The mobility and solubility of Fe, Mn and Co were investigated in seawater, membrane filtered seawater, and DGT samplers deployed in water and sediment during a 26 day CO<sub>2</sub> seepage study.

During the first phase of the experiment of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (0–16 days), total acid-leachable (pH≈1) and “dissolved” (<0.2 μm) concentrations of Fe, Mn and Co (DFe, DMn and DCo) in the seawater increased significantly; the ratios of concentrations of DFe, DMn and DCo in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to the corresponding values in the control chamber ( $R_{DFe}$ ,  $R_{DMn}$  and  $R_{DCo}$ ) were as high as 6, 65 and 58, respectively. The second phase of experiment consisted of an additional 10 days of incubation, where the concentrations of all the metals studied still increased but at reduced rates for DMn and DCo. The highest values of  $R_{DFe}$ ,  $R_{DMn}$  and  $R_{DCo}$  were about 3 for all metals during this part of the experiment.

DGT (diffusive gradients in thin film) labile fractions denoted Fe<sub>DGT</sub>, Mn<sub>DGT</sub> and Co<sub>DGT</sub> were, respectively 50, 25 and 22 times higher in the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage chamber than in the control chamber in the first phase of the experiment. During the second phase, all DGT labile metal concentrations still increased considerably, most notably for Fe. The ratio of Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to that in the control ( $R_{DGT-Fe}$ ) was still high, about 5, in the second phase of the experiment, whereas the increase in Mn<sub>DGT</sub> and Co<sub>DGT</sub> slowed down.

Our results indicate that acidification following CO<sub>2</sub> seepage enhances the mobility and solubility of Fe Mn and Co in sediment and overlying water with contribution of changing in redox conditions and seepage related re-suspension.

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 1 Introduction

Finding appropriate disposal methods for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> as a means of mitigating CO<sub>2</sub>-induced global warming has been an important topic in recent years. One of the mitigation measures suggested is the injection of large amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> into deep saline aquifers. In the North Sea, a pioneering injection program has been run by the Statoil company since 1996 at the Sleipner Vest platform (Korbol and Kaddour, 1995). The success of this disposal strategy is dependent on the permanent retention of CO<sub>2</sub> in the aquifer. Large-scale leakage from man-made storage sites is not considered probable (Hawkins, 2004). However, man-made puncture in the cap rock formation due to oil wells, natural fractures (Lewicki et al., 2007), and CO<sub>2</sub> reactions with the sealing cap-rock which consists of highly reactive rocks (Gherardi et al., 2007) may cause leakage of the stored CO<sub>2</sub> into the overlying sediment and seawater. Therefore, the possible effects associated with such a leakage and a subsequent seepage need to be studied.

A recent model study indicates that Pb (and possibly also other toxic metals) solubility increases especially in a poorly buffering (low CaCO<sub>3</sub>) aquifer containing galena (PbS) (Wang and Jaffe, 2004). The simulation results indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> injection in an aquifer may cause an unusually high increase in the concentration of trace metals (Wang and Jaffe, 2004). An experimental study on CO<sub>2</sub> and rock interaction in a deposition site (Kharaka et al., 2006) indicates a large increase in Fe solubility after injections of CO<sub>2</sub> in saline sedimentary aquifers. Their geochemical modeling results show that the dissolution of iron oxyhydroxides could mobilize toxic trace metals and toxic organic compounds into groundwater (Kharaka et al., 2006).

The chemical erosion rate is known to be enhanced by the acidity from magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> releases (Aiuppa et al., 2000). Thus, possible CO<sub>2</sub> leakage from geological storage sites into surface sediment and deep sea seepage is likely to cause metal release to the pore water (interstitial) of the sediment and to the sediment-water interface, followed by an enhanced metal flux to the water column.

If seepage occurs, CO<sub>2</sub> acidification i) can adversely affect benthic organisms that

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

### CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

have calcium carbonate shells (Shirayama and Thornton, 2005), ii) may cause high mortality rates for nearby in-faunal deep-sea communities (Barry et al., 2004) and, iii) may have significant impacts on the structure and function of microbial communities and consequently on nutrient (Widdicombe and Needham, 2007) and trace metal flux at the sediment-seawater interface.

The increase in various trace metals in the acidified seawater by CO<sub>2</sub> seepage may have effects on organisms in the surface sediment and seawater. Therefore the indirect biological effects of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage may extend beyond the direct effects. Moreover, stripping the already low dissolved O<sub>2</sub> from sediment pore water by the excess CO<sub>2</sub> gas in addition to the oxygen consumption by organic matter oxidation in the sediment and the sediment-water interface can cause a quick reduction in redox potential (pE) in the leakage zone. Changing the two master parameters, pH and pE, may lead to a cascade of biogeochemical alterations with detrimental effects in the marine systems. Decreasing pH and pE together will change the solubility, speciation, mobility, and biological availability of redox-sensitive metals in the pore water, sediment-water interface, and the water column (Öztürk, 1995; Hamilton et al., 1996; Morford and Emerson, 1999). The change in pH, pE and the availability of Fe and Mn will affect bacterial production (Coffin et al., 2004) and cause a significant change in the microbial community structure (Nold and Zwart, 1998). In turn, changes in the microbial community may alter the fundamental cycling of carbon, iron, manganese, oxygen and some other vital elements that control the ecosystem.

In this study we performed a laboratory-scale experiment involving the direct release of CO<sub>2</sub> through a sediment layer and overlying seawater in a chamber in order to gain more insight into the possible effects of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage on the geochemistry of the redox-sensitive metals Fe, Mn, and Co in sediments near the sediment-water interface. To our knowledge, our study is the first experimental research on the impact of CO<sub>2</sub> leakage on the solubility and distribution of trace metals in seawater and sediment pore water. While the experimental set up was a batch type due to logistic constraint, we try to simulate a more realistic situation of continuous water exchange over the sediment by

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

replacing the overlying water during the experiment. Since there was no record of any leakage from the man-made CO<sub>2</sub> storage zones, our selection of the magnitude and duration of the artificial CO<sub>2</sub> seepage was chosen to stay a pH between 6.5–7, which is representing a minimal seepage.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Experimental setting and sampling

Two laboratory-scale non-pressurized high-density polyethylene reactors/chambers with 1.5 m height and 0.72 m diameter were developed for CO<sub>2</sub> exposure experiments (Fig. 1). The chambers have two sections; a lower sediment section consisting of 35 cm depth for sediment in a PE container (0.58 m diameter), which is removable, and an upper water section with a capacity of ca. 450 L. The chambers were equipped with various sampling units. Pure CO<sub>2</sub> gas was used as the experimental treatment while N<sub>2</sub> gas was used in the control in order to mimic the sediment suspension occurring in the CO<sub>2</sub> reactor.

Prior to the experiments, all sampling bottles, hoses, tubes and all other plastic ware were acid washed carefully. Further, the chambers were conditioned for two days with seawater pumped from a depth of 90 m (1 m above the sea floor) in the Trondheim Fjord, Norway, after which the water was removed again from the chambers.

Sediment samples for CO<sub>2</sub> seeping experiments in the laboratory-scale non-pressurized reactors/chambers were taken by box corer from the Trondheim Fjord (at 61°41' N and 10°51') at the depth of 250 m. Seawater was again pumped from a depth of 90 m in the Trondheim Fjord and the chambers were equilibrated for 3 days before the actual start of the experiment.

The first phase of experiment lasted for 16 days. After completion of the first phase the water was removed from the chambers and new seawater was pumped onto the sediment layer for a second phase of experiment lasting 10 days in order to mimic

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the real water-sediment interaction situation as far as possible. The sediment and water were purged intermittently with pure CO<sub>2</sub> gas from a sprinkler hose with holes (GARDENA, 05–17/A-B) placed as a spiral below the sediment layer. The flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> was around 0.045 L s<sup>-1</sup> (with 1.5 bar pressure). The cumulative total CO<sub>2</sub> seepage time was, respectively 65 and 50 min during the first and second phases of the experiment. At beginning of the experiments the duration of seepage was about 20 min d<sup>-1</sup> in the first days in order to bring pH < 7, and after that the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage was about 3 min d<sup>-1</sup>. Experiments were performed at 6.5 ± 0.3 °C. The CO<sub>2</sub> seepage chamber was placed under an exhaust hood in order to remove excess CO<sub>2</sub> from the laboratory.

The focus of the experimental design was the CO<sub>2</sub> effect on the metal distribution. However CO<sub>2</sub> seepage caused some re-suspension of sediment in the chambers, which was observed as a change in the turbidity. Despite the fact that re-suspension time of sediment was short (each gas seepage was about 3 min a day), it was still necessary to de-couple the effects of acidification and sediment re-suspension. Therefore, we applied an equal amount N<sub>2</sub> seepage to induce similar re-suspension in the control chamber.

The flow rate of N<sub>2</sub> was adjusted to be equal to the flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub> (0.045 L s<sup>-1</sup> with 1.5 bar pressure). Hence the shear stress of the two gases could create a similar amount of re-suspension. In order to distinguish the effect of re-suspension from that of CO<sub>2</sub>, and from the combined effects of re-suspension and CO<sub>2</sub> on the solubility and transport of trace metals, two different sampling procedures were employed: On day 9 in the first experiment and on day 3 in the second, the sampling was performed when sediment suspension was still active in both the CO<sub>2</sub> and the control chambers. On the contrary, for the sampling on day 15 in the first experiment and on days 6 and 9 in the second experiment we waited 6 h in order to allow settling of the re-suspended sediment after CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> seepage in CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers, respectively.

The seawater in the chambers was mixed continuously by vertically moving the disk where diffusive gradients in thin film (DGT, see below) units were deployed (Fig. 1).

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and  
redox sensitive  
metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

5 Triplicate seawater samples were taken from the tanks (see Fig. 1) and filtered using a Sartobran cartridge (double layer Sartorius filter with 0.4–0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  pore size). Both non-filtered (total acid-leachable metal – TMe) and filtered seawater samples (DMe) were diluted into acid-washed PE tubes (10 ml) by Milli-Q water (18.2 m $\Omega$ ) and were acidified by trace-metal free HNO<sub>3</sub> (Scan-pure, Chem. Scan AS) to 0.1 M final HNO<sub>3</sub> concentration. TMe samples were centrifuged for 1 min with 1000 rpm in order to settle the remaining refractory particles at the bottom of the PE tube immediately before the measurements. The final determinations of metals were performed by high-resolution Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS).

10 Diffusive gradients in thin film (DGT) samplers for water and sediment (Davison et al., 2000) were purchased from Analytica AB (Sweden). DGT units consist of a 0.4  $\mu\text{m}$  pore-size cellulose acetate filter, a polyacrylamide hydrogel diffusion layer, and a Chelex-100 impregnated binding phase. Chelex-100 is a strong complexing resin with immunodiacetic acid functional ligands. The pore size within the polyacrylamide hydrogel is dependent upon the amount and type of the cross-linker used, and varies within the range 2–20 nm (Sangi et al., 2002). The DGT units were used to collect the “DGT labile” fraction of metals which have an affinity to the Chelex-100 resin, i.e. the free cation, inorganic complexes, and weak metal organic complexes with relatively fast dissociation kinetics (Zhang and Davison, 1995; Scally et al., 2003)

20 DGT water samplers were deployed for 9 days in the first experiment and 2, 4, 6 and 9 days in the second experiment. DGT water samplers were attached to a moving (12 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) platform at the medium depth of the water phase. Standard sediment DGT units (Analytica AB, Sweden) with an overall size of 24×4×0.5 cm and a 15×1.8 cm active window were deployed for 9 days in order to determine the vertical profiles of trace metals in the upper 15 cm of the surface sediment. The DGT-sediment units were degassed prior to deployment by immersing them for 12 h in Chelex – 100 treated 0.01 M NaCl under nitrogen gas. The available metal fraction was collected on Chelex-100 resin.

## 2.2 Analyses

### 2.2.1 DGT extractions

The elution of metals from the Chelex 100 binding phase was carried out by immersion in 1.0 ml of 2.0 M HNO<sub>3</sub> (Scan-pure, Chem. Scan AS) in a PE centrifuge tube for 24 h, at 60°C with gentle agitation by a heater-shaker at 70 rpm (Grant, OLS 200). The elution extracts were diluted with Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ, Millipore) to 10 ml prior to metal determinations. The mean flux of metal in the pore water at the surface of the device during its deployment was derived from the determined mass of metal in the DGT Chelex-100 layer. For the sediment DGT units, after discarding the membrane filter and diffusive gel, the resin gel was sliced at 3-cm intervals (each section being 5.4 cm<sup>2</sup>) using a Teflon-coated stainless steel razor. Details of the methods and calculations of flux and time-average concentrations can be found in Garmo et al. (2003). All sample treatments and digestion of Chelex 100-gel layer from DGT units were done in a Class 100 trace metal clean laboratory.

### 2.2.2 ICPMS analysis

Trace metal determinations in total and filtered water samples plus the eluted DGT fractions were carried out using HR-ICP-MS (Thermo Finnigan Element) as previously reported (Garmo et al., 2003). The accuracy of the determinations of total and dissolved fractions of metals were verified by regular analysis of the standard reference materials CASS-4 (Nearshore Seawater Reference material for Trace Metals), NASS-5 (Seawater Reference material for Trace Metals), both supplied from National Research Council of Canada, and a spike solution which was prepared in our laboratory (Table 1a). Precision (RSD) was determined from routine replicate analyses of standards and seawater samples (Table 1b). The blanks and detection limits of the measurements of dissolved fractions and DGT labile fractions are shown in Table 1. Details of DGT applications for trace metal determination in natural waters and sediments can be

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



found elsewhere (Zhang et al., 1995, 2002; Harper et al., 1998; Davison et al., 2000; Munksgaard and Parry, 2003; Fones et al., 2004; Larner et al., 2006).

During the analysis by HR-ICP-MS, plasma and mass spectrometer parameters were optimized daily at medium resolution ( $r$ : 4000). The mass window was 100%.

Further, the nebulizer gas flow optimization was performed daily. Flushing of the sampler probe and sample loop was done by 0.3 M ultra pure  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The sample uptake rate to plasma was  $0.25 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$  and the sample/peak ratio was 20. Each sample analysis used 0.8 ml sample and 1.2 ml washing acid. In order to lower the instrumental Fe blank values, 0.3 M ultra pure  $\text{HNO}_3$  was run before sample measurements.

### 2.2.3 Sediment analysis

The readily oxidizable organic carbon content was determined by the Walkey-Black method (Loring and Lantala, 1992). Briefly, about 0.5 gr dry sediment is oxidized with potassium dichromate and concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The excess dichromate is titrated with 0.5 N ferrous ammonium sulfate solution. Oxidation of  $\text{Cl}^{-1}$  can be prevented by the use of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{SO}_4$  in the digestion mixture.

A modified sequential extraction technique of the Bureau Communautaire de Reference (BCR) (Usero et al., 1998) was applied to determine acid soluble, reducible, and residual Fe, Mn and Co in the sediment. About 0.5 g sediment were treated with 10 ml 0.11 M ultra pure acetic acid (Sigma) for 16 h to extract the easily soluble Fe, Mn and Co fractions (exchangeable ions and carbonates). After removal of the liquid fraction, the same sediment was dried at  $70^\circ\text{C}$  and treated with 10 ml 0.1 M hydroxylamine ( $\text{NH}_2\text{OH HCl}$ ) and 0.01 M ultra pure  $\text{HNO}_3$  mixture to extract reducible fractions of metals (iron-manganese oxides). Finally, the sediment was treated with 7.7 M ultra pure  $\text{HNO}_3$  in an "easyClave" laboratory microwave reactor (MLS/Milestone) under 50 atm pressure and  $240^\circ\text{C}$  to extract residual fractions of metals from the sediment. All extraction solutions were diluted with Milli Q water ( $18.2 \text{ m}\Omega$ ) to appropriate levels before analysis by HR-ICP-MS.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 2.3 DGT calculations

Calculations of time-averaged DGT-labile metal concentrations in water were undertaken using the equation

$$C_{\text{DGT}} = \frac{m \cdot L}{t \cdot D \cdot A} \quad (1)$$

5 where  $m$  is the mass collected by Chelex-100 in the DGT unit,  $L$  is the total thickness of the diffusion gel layer (about 0.1 cm),  $t$  is the total deployment time (s),  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient for each metal ( $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ ) at 6.5°C, and  $A$  is the cross-sectional area of the active surface of the DGT unit ( $3.14 \text{ cm}^2$ ). Diffusion coefficients of trace metal ions at 6.5°C ( $3.39 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for both Fe and Mn and  $3.44 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for Co) were calculated by interpolation among reported diffusion coefficients (Zhang, 2007).

The flux of DGT-labile metals in the sediment pore water was calculated by the equation

$$F_{\text{DGT}} = \frac{m}{T \cdot A} \quad (2)$$

15 where  $F_{\text{DGT}}$  ( $\text{nmol cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) is the flux of metal through the active DGT surface into Chelex-100 layer and  $A$  is the cross sectional area of the DGT unit.

Some trace metals are apparently strongly bound to the sediment and may have a limited re-supply of DGT labile fraction from the solid phase to the pore water and to the surface of the DGT unit (Larner et al., 2006). Calculated concentrations based on accumulated elements in the DGT and its diffusion coefficients in the gel may thus not represent the actual dissolved metal concentration in the sediment pore water because of the possible non-steady state concentration of the elements at the DGT surface. In this study we therefore decided to use the flux to the DGT unit rather than concentration in sediment pore water.

25 We follow the convention referring to the  $0.2 \mu\text{m}$  filterable fraction of Fe, Mn and Co as “dissolved” metal (DME), although it has been shown that the filtrate after membrane

## BGD

6, 5623–5659, 2009

### CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

filtration may contain a substantial fraction associated with colloidal material (Benes and Steinnes, 1974).

In calculation of the concentrations of DGT-labile fractions of trace metals on the basis of their “apparent” diffusion coefficient, it should be taken into consideration that the diffusion coefficients of organic-metal complexes are about one or two orders of magnitude lower than the free metal ion diffusion coefficients in the gel under the same conditions (Zhang and Davison, 1999; Li et al., 2005). The metals in seawater which are strongly complexed with large organic ligands would be considerably underestimated the average diffusion coefficient in calculation of DGT-labile concentration. This is especially true for Fe, where 99% of DFe exist as complexes with organic ligands in seawater (Rue and Bruland, 1995; Wu and Luther, 1995) and sediments pore water. Therefore we also presented the total accumulated mass of the metal in the DGT units in the figures together with the calculated time-averaged DGT labile concentrations.

## 2.4 pH, TIC, TAlk and $p\text{CO}_2$

pH and total alkalinity (TAlk) were measured regularly using a combined glass electrode with an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Radiometer). Partial pressure/fugacity of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ) and total inorganic carbon (TIC) were calculated based on the measurements of pH and total alkalinity (Öztürk et al., 2003). The pH electrode was calibrated using NBS buffer solutions at 6°C. In order to convert the measured pH ( $\text{pH}_{\text{NBS}}$ ) to  $\text{pH}_{\text{total}}$ , the apparent activity coefficient of  $\text{H}^+$  ( $f_{\text{H}^+}$ ) was determined by a four-point titration of 50 ml seawater using 15 ml standard acid with normality in the range of 0.008–0.014 N HCl. The partial pressure/fugacity of  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $p_{\text{CO}_2}$ ) and TIC was calculated after the conversion of  $\text{pH}_{\text{NBS}}$  to  $\text{pH}_{\text{total}}$  (Öztürk et al., 2003). The maximum relative standard deviation of pH and TAlk measurements are about 0.5% and 3%, respectively, causing a maximum error of <10% in the  $p_{\text{CO}_2}$  calculations.

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## **CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 pH, TIC and $p\text{CO}_2$

The fluctuations in pH, TIC, and  $p\text{CO}_2$  in the two consecutive experiments are shown in Fig. 2. In the  $\text{CO}_2$  chamber, pH decreased from 7.9 and 7.7 to 6.6 and 7.0, respectively, at the beginning of the first and the second experiment, and fluctuated between 6.5 and 7.0 during the experiments (Fig. 2a and b).  $p\text{CO}_2$  increased from about 620 to 15 000  $\mu\text{atm}$  and from 1300 to 20 000 in the first and the second experiments, respectively (Fig. 2e and f). TALK and TIC increased from about 2.1 and 2.0 to about 2.5 and 3.4, (Fig. 2c–f), respectively, in the two experiments, presumably due to dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  in the sediment.

#### 3.2 Fe, Mn and Co

When  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage was in effect, the distribution of Fe, Mn and Co in seawater was changed and these metals were mobilized and transported from the sediment to the overlying water both as dissolved ( $<0.2 \mu\text{m}$ ) and suspended particulate forms of the metals (Figs. 3a–d, 4a–d, 5a–d).

In order to show the effect of  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage on the solubility and the mobility of the different fractions of metals, we calculated the percentages of dissolved portions in the total acid-leachable fractions of the studied metals (see Table 2). Additionally, the ratios of concentrations of total acid leachable, dissolved, and DGT labile fractions in seawater in the  $\text{CO}_2$  chamber to the corresponding concentrations in the control chamber ( $R_{\text{TMe}}$ ,  $R_{\text{DMe}}$  and  $R_{\text{DGT}}$ ) were calculated, respectively (see Table 3).

Sediment analyses show similar characteristics of the sediments used in both chambers (Table 4). Regarding  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , water and organic matter contents, sediments in both chambers were nearly similar. Trace metal contents showed some variations. While easily soluble fractions of Fe in the sediment increased in both chambers, easily reducible fraction decreased at the same time (Table 4). These changes may indicate

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

### **$\text{CO}_2$ seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

a transformation of sedimental iron due to the development of sub-oxic conditions, CO<sub>2</sub> acidifications and re-suspension. However, the degree of relative standard deviations also indicates some extent of vertical and horizontal in-homogeneity, as well as uncertainty regarding the various Fe fractions in the sediment. There is apparently a transformation of different forms of Fe during experiment. In order to be able to understand the main mechanisms of the transformation of the various metal fractions in the sediment due to CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, there is an apparent need for a more extensive sediment analysis including more replicates and depth profiles of various fractions of metals in the sediment. This however was beyond the objective of the present study.

Uncertainties in the results of Mn and Co determination in the sediment are not as high as Fe but still considerable (Table 4). The contrast between Fe and Mn fractionations in the sediment is apparent; while only easily reducible Fe fraction decreased in the sediment during CO<sub>2</sub> seepage both the easily reducible fractions and the easily exchangeable fractions of Mn were effectively decreased in the sediment under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (Table 4). This preliminary sediment analysis suggests that Mn mobility increases as a product of both the reducing redox potential and via CO<sub>2</sub> acidifications. Easily exchangeable and total residual fractions of Co also show considerable decreases in the surface sediment of the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber (Table 4). In general, metal values in the sediment clearly suggest that a more detailed study with higher resolution is necessary to understand intra-sedimental changes and to fully de-couple the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, redox changes and re-suspension of sediment.

### 3.2.1 Fe

#### TFe and DFe

During the experiments, most of the mobilized Fe was in total acid-leachable forms (TFe, in the non-filtered seawater samples at pH: 1) (Fig. 3a, b) in both chambers. In the first phase of the experiment in addition to CO<sub>2</sub> acidification, sediment re-suspension contributed to the increase in TFe concentrations in seawater (Fig. 3a).

The proportion of dissolved Fe (DFe) in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber was lower (0.6±0.1% of TFe) than that of in the control (8±3% of TFe, Table 2) when the re-suspension was in effect on day 9 during the first experiment.  $R_{TFe}$  ( $=TFe_{CO_2}/TFe_{control}$ ) reached values up to 26 (Table 3) during the first phase of the experiment when the re-suspension was in effect, but decreased to about 2 while there was no re-suspension of sediment. It seems that, under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, the re-suspension contributed mostly to the enhancement of TFe. After re-suspension of a considerable amount of sediment, dissolution of suspended Fe by CO<sub>2</sub> acidification and subsequent re-adsorption of soluble iron onto the high load of small suspended particles was probably the reason for the high TFe concentration and low proportion of DFe in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber compared to the control. There may also be some fractionation or transfer of iron from the larger and easily-settled particles to the smaller and easily-suspended particles due to CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. This suspended particulate fraction may also contain some Fe forms that can easily dissociate and diffuse into DGT units (see below). The distinct enhancement of the leachable particulate Fe was also reported in various coastal waters where sediment re-suspension into the water column occurs (Obata et al., 2007). Dissolved fractions of the trace metals in the water may not be influenced as significantly as the acid-leachable particulate metal fractions by re-suspension (Van den Berg, 2001). However, the proportion of DFe was higher (10%) in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber than that of the control (3.4% of TFe) when there was no significant re-suspension of sediment (Table 2). When re-suspension was not in effect, most of the previously suspended particles rapidly re-settled back to the sediment and, due to enhancement of solubility of particulate iron in the relatively acidic condition under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, considerable Fe dissolved or desorbed from suspended matter may remain in the water. The ratios of DFe in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to DFe in the control ( $R_{DFe}=DFe_{CO_2}/DFe_{control}$ , see Table 3) also indicate significant increases in DFe (2 to 6 times, Table 3) under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage relative to the control. In the first phase of the experiment, the re-suspension produced an extra increase in DFe in the control chamber (Fig. 3c and Table 2), therefore  $R_{DFe}$  with re-suspension was lower than  $R_{DFe}$  without re-suspension (Table 3).

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



One of the distinct differences between the first and the second phases of the experiment in the control chamber was a sharp increase in TFe in the overlying water in the second phase (day 3 Fig. 3b). Apparently the progressing sub-oxic/anoxic condition in the sediment and the sediment re-suspension together led to the increase in TFe in the overlying water in the control chamber. In the second phase the differences in the proportion of DFe between CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers were small compared to the corresponding during the first phase of the experiment (Table 2). When the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage experiment was continued with the same sediment but replenished seawater (the second phase), the re-suspension in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber did not seem to contribute too much to the enhancement of the TFe (see Fig. 3b and  $R_{TFe}$  in Table 3). This is partially due to i) a considerable portion of the acid-leachable fractions of Fe that had been already mobilized and removed during the first phase and ii) a significant increase in TFe in both chambers (Fig. 3b), while there was no suspension, probably due to change of the redox condition in the sediment. High TFe in both control and CO<sub>2</sub> chambers can be explained by re-precipitation of upward migrated reduced and dissolved Fe from the pore water into the oxic overlying water as suspended authigenic Fe oxyhydroxides colloids (Öztürk, 1995). Acidification may have additional effects to keep these colloids longer in the overlying water by hindering further coagulation and removal from water column in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber. However, considerably higher  $R_{DFe}$  ( $3 \pm 1$ ) during the re-suspension (Table 3) indicated that CO<sub>2</sub> acidification together with increased anoxia in the sediment and re-suspension were able to extract more dissolved Fe in the overlying water.

**Fe<sub>DGT</sub>**

Time-averaged Fe<sub>DGT</sub> concentrations were about 250 and 375 nM during the first and the second phases of the experiment, respectively, which are significantly higher than DFe (Fig. 3c–f) under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. When Fe<sub>DGT</sub> is higher than DFe it indicates that a significant proportion of particulate Fe ( $>0.2 \mu\text{m}$ ) consists of forms that are easily

dissociable at the surface of DGT units, and hence were DGT labile under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. It is important to keep in mind that the DGT labile fraction depends on change in the transformation kinetics of various forms of the metals during the course of the deployment, while the measured dissolved fractions, on the other hand, represent a snap-shot of the situation. Therefore, comparison of the concentration of the dissolved fraction of metal with time-average DGT labile fractions should be done with caution.

Fe<sub>DGT</sub> was relatively low (about 6 nM) during the first phase in the control; however, Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the control increased to a level of 175–100 nM during the second phase. The development of sub-oxic/anoxic conditions in the sediment and enhancement of easily dissociable TFe may have caused the increase in Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the control during the second experiment. The high values of the ratios of Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to the Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the control ( $R_{Fe-DGT}$ ) (>50, in the first phase and about 5 in the second phase of the experiment, Table 3) indicate that CO<sub>2</sub> seepage effectively produces a large amount of DGT-labile Fe. With the continuation of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, the increase in Fe<sub>DGT</sub> seemed to be reduced almost 10 times, though it was still considerably high (Table 3). This reduction in  $R_{Fe-DGT}$  was simply due to the relative increases in the enhancement of Fe<sub>DGT</sub> in the control probably due to the changing redox condition in the sediment as explained above.

Our results indicate that not only the dissolved fraction but also the total suspended concentration is important in order to elaborate the metal solubilization and bioavailability under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. Based on these facts, the results of Fe<sub>DGT</sub> provide not only information on the time-averaged concentration of existing DGT labile iron in the studied environment, but also on the transformation kinetics of non-bioavailable forms of the metal into bioavailable fractions. Therefore, the DGT technique is quite suitable for speciation of trace metals especially at dynamic conditions (Ryan et al., 2005). These kinds of information are especially relevant for Fe which is the prime metal in controlling biological productivity (Boyd et al., 2007) in almost 30% of the world's oceans.

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 3.2.2 Mn

### TMn and DMn

TMn increased in both phases of the experiment under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. It was about 2000 nM (Fig. 4a) in the first phase and ranged between 400–750 nM (Fig. 4b) in the second phase of the experiment during CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. TMn in the control ranged between 11 and 50 nM during the first phase and increased to a value around 200 nM (Fig. 4a, b) in the second phase of the experiment.

Most of the mobilized Mn appeared to be in the dissolved fraction both in the CO<sub>2</sub> and the control chambers (Fig. 4b and d, and Table 2). In the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber, the DMn proportion was 92% of TMn with and without re-suspension. It is apparent that re-suspension of sediment did not affect the DMn proportion during the early phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (Table 2). In the control, however, the proportion of DMn was 75% (with re-suspension) and 58% of TMn (no suspension). In the second phase of the experiment, there was also no apparent effect of re-suspension on the mobility and solubility of Mn in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber (Fig. 4b and d), and the proportions of DMn were around 80% of TMn in both the CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers (Table 2).

The increase in DMn in the seawater suggests that Mn is effectively mobilized from the sediment and transported to the overlying water in the early phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. Most of the re-suspended Mn particles were dissolved very quickly by CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. Our results indicate that the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the dissolution of Mn oxyhydroxides was much greater than on the dissolution of Fe oxyhydroxide. The development of sub-oxic/anoxic conditions due to removal of dissolved oxygen from sediment and water by gas seepage may contribute to the enhancement of Mn solubility both in the CO<sub>2</sub> and the control chambers. Especially in the second phase of the experiment, a possible intensification of sub-oxic/anoxic conditions in the sediment might contribute to the increase in TMn and DMn in the control (Fig. 4b and d) like it was for Fe.

While the relative enhancement of TMn and DMn was very high ( $R_{TMn}$  was around 40 and  $R_{DMn}$  ranged between 53 to 65, Table 3) during the first phase,  $R_{TMn}$  and  $R_{DMn}$

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

### CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



both decreased sharply and ranged between 1.4 and 3 (Table 3) in the second phase of the experiment. This indicates that while the contribution of CO<sub>2</sub> acidification to Mn mobility and solubility decreases with time, the effect of the redox change on the mobility/solubility of Mn increases in the control and the CO<sub>2</sub> chambers.

## 5 Mn<sub>DGT</sub>

Mn<sub>DGT</sub> increased strongly during the first phase of the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (Fig. 4e). However, during continuation of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage the further increase in Mn<sub>DGT</sub> was moderate compared to the increase in Fe<sub>DGT</sub> (Figs. 4f and 3f). During the second phase there was a decrease in the enhancement of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber and a relative increase in Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the control (see Fig. 4e and f). Therefore a sharp decrease in  $R_{Mn-DGT}$  (Table 3) was observed in the second phase. As explained above the development of the sub-oxic/anoxic condition in the sediment may also have caused the increase in Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the control, like in the case of DMn.

Most of the increased fraction of easily mobilized and dissolved Mn due to acidification by CO<sub>2</sub> or by redox change does not appear to be DGT labile either in the sediment or in the water column (Fig. 4c–f). Despite very high DMn, the relatively low proportion of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage might be due to the stable colloidal character of the mobilized DMn. Considering the pore opening of the gel in the DGT units and the possibility of slow dissociation of colloidal Mn, DGT may not capture mobilized Mn.

## 20 3.2.3 Co

### TCo and DCo

The increases in TCo and DCo were significant ( $R_{TCo}$ : 24–27,  $R_{DCo}$ : 41–58, see Table 3) during the first phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and considerably lower ( $R_{TCo}$ : 1.5–4,  $R_{DCo}$ : 1.7–2.8, Table 3) in the second phase (see also Fig. 5a–d). In the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber, re-suspension may have caused an additional TCo increase, which was detected

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

as a peak on day 9 in the first phase (Fig. 5a). Re-suspension of sediment did not create any enhancement in the TCo or DCo concentrations in the control during the first phase (Fig. 5a and c). Considering the DCo proportions in the water, which were about 50% (with re-suspension) and 90% of TCo (no suspension) in the first phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage compared to 37–38% of TCo in the control (Table 2), it is clear that although the re-suspension of sediment under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage may have contributed to a minor increase of TCo in the water, it did not contribute to an additional release of DCo. On the contrary,  $R_{\text{DCo}}$  ratios (Table 3) indicate that some portion of DCo was probably removed by adsorption on the enhanced particles during re-suspension.

In the second phase of the experiment, the increase in TCo and DCo was moderate (Fig. 5b and d) and the difference between the proportions of DCo in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber and in the control was minimal (Table 2), similar to that of Mn (see Table 3) in the second phase. The  $R_{\text{TCo}}$  and  $R_{\text{DCo}}$  ratios also decreased considerably, as in Mn, due to i) the decrease in the enhancement of dissolution of Co by CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and, ii) the increase in both TCo and DCo in the control due to the development of sub-oxic/anoxic conditions in the sediment with the elongation of the seepage.

**Co<sub>DGT</sub>**

Co<sub>DGT</sub> also increased strongly in the first phase of the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (Fig. 5e). However, during continuation of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage a further increase in Co<sub>DGT</sub> was moderate as it was for Mn<sub>DGT</sub> while the increase in Fe<sub>DGT</sub> was still considerable (Figs. 3f, 4f and 5f). The ratios of Co<sub>DGT</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to Co<sub>DGT</sub> in the control ( $R_{\text{Co-DGT}}$ ) were about 22 and 2.2 in the first and the second phases, respectively (Table 3). This was in accordance with the corresponding decreases in the  $R_{\text{TCo}}$  and  $R_{\text{DCo}}$  ratios (Table 3).

The patterns of change and distribution of TCo, DCo and Co<sub>DGT</sub> (and  $R$  ratios) were almost similar to those of the TMn, DMn and Mn<sub>DGT</sub> during the experiment. Co chemistry in seawater is strongly connected to Mn and partially to Fe (Öztürk, 1995). When Mn oxyhydroxides dissolved by acidification or anoxic conditions, previously adsorbed

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and  
redox sensitive  
metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Co on the particulate Mn is released (Öztürk, 1995). When the reduced Fe, Mn and released Co were transported upward from the suboxic/anoxic zones of the sediment into oxic overlying water, Fe(II) would be oxidized first. The formation of particulate Fe with oxidation of Fe(II) in the seawater may behave as a scavenging mechanism for some portion of DCo and hence we observed some decrease in DCo compared to DMn. The similarity between the distribution of TCo and TFe in the second phase of the experiment and the relatively low proportion of DCo in the total acid-leachable Co compared to the proportion of DMn in TMn (Table 2) supports this argument. Another difference between the Co and Mn distribution was the relatively high proportion of Co<sub>DGT</sub> in the DCo (about 29±1 and 52±11% of DCo were Co<sub>DGT</sub> in the first and second phase of the experiment, respectively) compared to the proportion of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the DMn (about 19±1 and 35±10% of DMn were Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the first and second phases of the experiment, respectively) in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber. The reasons why Co has a higher DGT labile portion in the DCo compared to the proportion of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in DMn are probably; i). The Co affinity to Chelex-100 that is much higher than that of Mn at the low pH and, ii) DCo consists of more easily diffusible forms than DMn under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage.

### 3.2.4 DGT-labile fractions of Fe, Mn and Co in the sediment pore water

The DGT sediment probe detected only the flux of the DGT labile fractions of released metal that diffused from the sediment into the sediment DGT probe and was collected there by Chelex-100. It does not give direct information about the flux of metal from sediment into the overlying water.

The DGT technique is based on the assumption that the transport of DGT labile metal in the bulk solution towards the DGT unit is fast in comparison to the actual diffusion and capturing rate in the DGT units, meaning that the concentration of all DGT labile metal species is approximately the same in the bulk medium and at the DGT unit surface. However, this assumption cannot be true in the sediment pore water. The relationship between metal concentrations in the bulk of pore water to the concentration at the surface of the DGT unit depends upon the supply of metal from the solid phase

to the solution (Fones et al., 2004) and the capture of DGT labile metals by Chelex-100 depends on the dissociation kinetics of the metal species at the surface. Therefore, in the sediment, DGT does not directly measure the concentrations of metals in bulk pore water, but rather the time averaged concentration at the surface of the DGT unit during deployment (Fones et al., 2004). Therefore, we quantify the flux of DGT labile metal fractions rather than calculating the time average bulk concentration of the studied metals in the pore water.

In the sediment pore water the fluxes of  $\text{Fe}_{\text{DGT}}$  and  $\text{Co}_{\text{DGT}}$  increased significantly during the first phase of  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage. In the second phase of  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage, however, the fluxes of  $\text{Fe}_{\text{DGT}}$  and  $\text{Co}_{\text{DGT}}$  were generally higher in the control treatment except in the deepest layer of the sediment.

The situation with  $\text{Mn}_{\text{DGT}}$  was completely opposite to that in the water; the  $\text{Mn}_{\text{DGT}}$  flux in sediment pore water was much higher in the control than in the  $\text{CO}_2$  chamber (Fig. 4g and h) in both phases of the experiment. Rapid dissolution of Mn from sediment due to the redox condition and its consecutive rapid transport from sediment to the overlying water due to  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage were probably the reasons for the low Mn flux into the DGT ( $\text{Mn}_{\text{DGT}}$  flux) units in the  $\text{CO}_2$  chamber compared to the flux of Mn in the control chamber in the sediment. Because of the experimental setup, sub-oxic conditions might be enhanced in the sediment due to decreased oxygen penetration in the chambers and stripping the existed  $\text{O}_2$  by  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{N}_2$ . When the sub-oxic condition prevails in the sediment or in the water column, Mn-hydroxide particles are very rapidly reduced to soluble Mn(II) (Öztürk, 1995; Morford and Emerson, 1999). The enhanced flux of Mn into DGT units in the sediment of the control chamber (Fig. 4h) was a clear indication of a sub-oxic and weakly anoxic condition at 6–9 cm depth in both experiments. A sudden decrease in pH due to  $\text{CO}_2$  seepage might quickly mobilize the reduced Mn from the sediment to the overlying water. Under this condition there would not be as much DGT labile Mn as in the control, because most of the easily reducible Mn (the probable reservoir of the DGT labile Mn) had already been removed from the sediment into the overlying water due to  $\text{CO}_2$  acidification and seepage.

## **$\text{CO}_2$ seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Considerable decreases in the easily exchangeable fraction of Mn (Mn-A) in the sediment of CO<sub>2</sub> chamber (Table 3) compare to almost constant Mn-A in the control also support the effective mobilization of Mn from sediment in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber into the overlying water.

Our results suggest that reduced and dissolved Mn in the sub-oxic or weakly anoxic sediment in the control chamber stayed in the sediment pore water and diffused into the DGT units in the sediment rather than to the overlying water. In the control chamber with pH>7.8, a portion of Mn transported into the overlying water due to the sub-oxic/anoxic condition might be precipitated together with Fe oxyhydroxide, on the surface of colloidal iron oxides that formed when the added Fe(II) was oxidized to Fe(III) (Nico et al., 2002). Although this precipitation appeared to be minor due to slow oxidation kinetics of Mn(II) compared to the rapid Fe(II) oxidation and precipitation, it was sufficient to hinder the buildup of DMn and Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the seawater overlying the sub-oxic sediment (Fig. 4g) in the control chamber especially during the second phase of the experiment. These mechanisms may explain why there was no corresponding increase in DMn and Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the overlying water in the control chamber while there was a substantial increase in Mn<sub>DGT</sub> in the sediment pore water.

The maxima in Co<sub>DGT</sub> were coincident with the Mn<sub>DGT</sub> flux maxima observed in the sediment in the 6–9 cm zones in both experiments (Fig. 4g and h). This was an additional indication of the sub-oxic conditions. It is known that at the redox boundary between oxic-anoxic zones, dissolved Mn and Co increase sharply in sub-oxic/anoxic water and sediments, due to reductive dissolution of manganese oxyhydroxides and subsequent release of Co adsorbed onto Mn-oxyhydroxides (Öztürk, 1995; Fones et al., 2004). The increase in DGT labile Mn at that depth apparently also caused a vertical transport of Mn(II).

In the first phase the flux of Fe<sub>DGT</sub> was significantly higher in the sediment of the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber than in the control (Fig. 3g). The highly pronounced peak at 6–12 cm depth corresponds to sub-oxic/anoxic conditions. The results of the second phase of the experiment indicate that almost all Fe<sub>DGT</sub> was extracted in the section of 6–12 cm

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

(Fig. 3h) during the early phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. This easy removal of Fe from the sediment, like it was Mn, supports the possibility of an early anoxia at that zone during the first phase of the experiment. Elongated CO<sub>2</sub> seepage started to extract more Fe<sub>DGT</sub> from the bottom section of the sediment (12–15 cm). Coincident enhancements of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> and Co<sub>DGT</sub> with Fe<sub>DGT</sub> at the 12–15 cm section might be an indication that anoxia started to develop in that part of the sediment in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber during the second phase.

There was a strong similarity between Co<sub>DGT</sub> and Mn<sub>DGT</sub> flux profiles in the control chamber sediment. The Co<sub>DGT</sub> and Fe<sub>DGT</sub> flux distribution trends in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber were also similar in both experiments. Taken together, these similarities support the projection that the reasons for remobilization of Co and Fe may be different from that of Mn<sub>DGT</sub> mobilization under CO<sub>2</sub> seepage. The similarity of Zn<sub>DGT</sub> and Ni<sub>DGT</sub> (Ardelan et al., 2009), with the Mn<sub>DGT</sub> profile in the sediment of the control chamber suggests that their mechanisms of remobilization in the sediment are related. The similarity of Co<sub>DGT</sub>, Ni<sub>DGT</sub> and Zn<sub>DGT</sub> in the CO<sub>2</sub> chambers in both experiments also indicates that their mobilization during CO<sub>2</sub> seepage is also somehow connected to Fe re-mobilization. Apparently mobilization of Zn, and Ni, as in the case of Co, was also due to reductive dissolution of manganese oxyhydroxides in sub-oxic or weakly anoxic zones (Öztürk, 1995; Davison et al., 1997; Fones et al., 2004) in the control chamber. However, the coupling of Zn and Ni dissolution with redox cycling of Mn was not as strong as of Co, as also reported by Fones et al. (2004). In the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber, besides the possible contributive effects of reductive solubility, the Co, Ni and Zn released and their correlation with Fe-oxyhydroxide dissolution was probably mainly induced by pH changes associated with CO<sub>2</sub> seepage.

## 4 Conclusions

The acidification of sediment and seawater by CO<sub>2</sub> seepage caused sharp pH decreases (from about 8 to 6.5) despite the buffering effect of dissolution in the sediment.

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Consequently, the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage dissolved metals in the sediment and suspended particles in the seawater and also mobilized Fe, Mn and Co. The CO<sub>2</sub> seepage continued to mobilize the studied metals during the second phase of the experiment, albeit to a lesser extent. Our results indicate that, as CO<sub>2</sub> seepage initially leads to an enhanced release of Fe, Mn, and Co from sediment. As CO<sub>2</sub> seepage continues the extent of this release decline.

CO<sub>2</sub> seepage caused a significant increase in the total and dissolved Fe, Mn and Co concentrations (30, 40, 50 times for the total and 20, 30, 40 times for the dissolved fractions, respectively) during the early phase. With the continuation of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, these enhancements of the metal concentrations in seawater decreased due to effective extraction of the easily leachable metal fractions from the sediment and suspended particles during the early phase of the seepage.

Comparison of DGT labile fractions of Fe, Mn, and Co (Fe<sub>DGT</sub>, Mn<sub>DGT</sub> and Co<sub>DGT</sub>) in the CO<sub>2</sub> and the control chambers indicates that especially during the early phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage, large amounts of DGT labile Fe, Mn and Co were mobilized. Mn<sub>DGT</sub>, Co<sub>DGT</sub> and especially Fe<sub>DGT</sub>, continued to increase significantly in the prolonged CO<sub>2</sub> seepage compared to the DGT labile concentrations in the control chamber. Smaller enhancement of dissolved and DGT labile fractions of Fe, Mn, and Co with the elongation of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage indicate that the easily soluble portions of the Fe, Mn and Co were quickly dissolved and mobilized during the early phase of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage.

The sediment re-suspension due to gas seepage contributes to the mobilization of suspended particulate and dissolved forms of Fe and to some extent of Co but not of Mn. Additionally, the removal of dissolved oxygen in sediment pore water by seepage of CO<sub>2</sub> augments sub-oxic/anoxic conditions and hence contribute to the reductive dissolution of Mn, Fe and Co in both the control and CO<sub>2</sub> chambers. A more detailed interpretation of the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage on trace metal transformation and remobilization would be possible with more information on metal speciation. In any case, the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage on redox chemistry in marine sediments and bottom waters are profound and biogeochemical implications thereof may cascade through microbial

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



life far into benthic and deep-water ecosystems.

*Acknowledgements.* This work has been supported by VISTA grant (Project No. 6149). The authors wish to thank S. Lierhagen for performing the HR-ICP-MS analyses and Ø. Garmo for valuable discussion on DGT techniques.

## 5 References

Aiuppa, A., Allard, P., D'Alessandro, W., Michel, A., Parello, F., Treuil, M., and Valenza, M.: Mobility and fluxes of major, minor and trace metals during basalt weathering and groundwater transport at Mt. Etna volcano (Sicily), *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 64, 1827–1841, 2000.

Ardelan, M. V., Steinnes, E., and Linde, S. O.: Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> seepage on solubility and transport of seven trace metals in seawater and sediment pore water, *Sci. Total Environ.*, submitted, 2009.

Barry, J., Buck, K. R., Lovera, C. F., Kuhnz, L., Whaling, P. J., Peltzer, E. T., Walz, P., and Brewer, P. G.: Effects of direct ocean CO<sub>2</sub> injection on deep-sea meiofauna, *J. Oceanogr.*, 60, 759–766, 2004.

Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., Coale, K. H., Cullen, J. J., de Baar, H. J. W., Follows, M., Harvey, M. C., Lancelot, C., Levasseur, M., Pollard, R. T., Rivkin, R. B., Sarmiento, J. L., Schoemann, V., Smetacek, V., Takeda, S., Tsuda, A., Turner, S., and Watson, A. J.: Mesoscale iron enrichment experiments 1993–2005: synthesis and future directions, *Science*, 315, 612–617, 2007.

Benes, P. and Steinnes, E.: In situ dialysis for determination of the state of trace elements in natural waters, *Water Res.*, 8, 947–953, 1974.

Coffin, R. B., Montgomery, M. T., Boyd, T. J., and Masutani, S. M.: Influence of ocean CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration on bacterial production, *Energy*, 29, 1511–1520, 2004.

Davison, W., Fones, G. R., and Grime, G. W.: Dissolved metals in surface sediment and a microbial mat at 100- $\mu$ m resolution, *Nature*, 387, 885–888, 1997.

Davison, W., Fones, G. R., Harper, M. P., Teasdale, P., and Zhang, H.: Dialysis, DET and DGT, In Situ diffusional techniques for studying water, sediments and soils, in: *In situ monitoring of aquatic systems: Chemical analysis and speciation*, edited by: Buffle, J. and Horvai, G., 495–569, 2000.

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Fones, G. R., Davison, W., and Hamilton-Taylor, J.: The fine-scale remobilization of metals in the surface sediment of the North-East Atlantic, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 24, 1485–1504, 2004.
- Garmo, O. A., Royset, O., and Steinnes, E.: Performance study of diffusive gradients in thin films for 55 elements, *Anal. Chem.*, 75, 3573–3580, 2003.
- 5 Gherardi, F., Xu, T., and Pruess, K.: Numerical modeling of self-limiting and self-enhancing caprock alteration induced by CO<sub>2</sub> storage in a depleted gas reservoir, *Chem. Geol.*, 244, 103–129, 2007.
- Hamilton, T. J., Davison, W., and Morfett, K.: A laboratory study of the biogeochemical cycling of Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu across the sediment-water interface of a productive lake, *Aquat. Sci.*, 10 58, 191–209, 1996.
- Harper, M. P., Davison, W., Zhang, H., and Tych, W.: Kinetics of metal exchange between solids and solutions in sediments and soils interpreted from DGT measured fluxes, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 62, 2757–2770, 1998.
- Hawkins, D. G.: No exit: thinking about leakage from geologic carbon storage sites, *Energy*, 15 29, 1571–1578, 2004.
- Kharaka, Y. K., Cole, D. R., Hovorka, S. D., Gunter, W. D., Knauss, K. G., and Freifeld, B. M.: Gas-water-rock interactions in Frio Formation following CO<sub>2</sub> injection: Implications for the storage of greenhouse gases in sedimentary basins, *Geology*, 34, 577–580, 2006.
- Korbol, R. and Kaddour, A.: Sleipner Vest CO<sub>2</sub> disposal-injection of removed CO<sub>2</sub> into the Utsira formation, *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, 36, 509–512, 1995.
- 20 Lerner, B. L., Seen, A. J., and Snape, I.: Evaluation of diffusive gradients in thin film (DGT) samplers for measuring contaminants in the Antarctic marine environment, *Chemosphere*, 65, 811–820, 2006.
- Lewicki, J. L., Oldenburg, C. M., Dobeck, L., and Spangler, L.: Surface CO<sub>2</sub> leakage during two shallow subsurface CO<sub>2</sub> release, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L24402, doi:10.1029/2007GL032047, 2007.
- 25 Li, W., Zhao, H., Teasdale, P. R., and Wang, F.: Trace metal speciation measurements in waters by the liquid binding phase DGT device, *Talanta*, 67, 571–578, 2005.
- Loring, D. H. and Rantala, R. T. T.: Manual for the geochemical analyses of marine sediments and suspended particulate matter, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 32, 235–283, 1992.
- 30 Morford, J. L. and Emerson, S.: The geochemistry of redox-sensitive trace metals in sediments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 63, 1735–1750, 1999.
- Munksgaard, N. C. and Parry, D. L.: Monitoring of labile metals in turbid coastal seawater using

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

---

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- diffusive gradients in thin-films, *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 5, 145–149, 2003.
- Nico, P. S., Anastasio, C., and Zasoski, R. J.: Rapid photo-oxidation of Mn(II) mediated by humic substances, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 66, 4047–4056, 2002.
- Nold, S. C. and Zwart, G.: Patterns and governing forces in aquatic microbial communities, *Aquatic Ecology*, 32, 17–35, 1998.
- Obata, H., Doi, T., Hongo, Y., Alibo, D. S., Minami, H., Kato, Y., and Maruo, M.: Manganese, cerium and iron in the Sulu, Celebes and Philippine Seas, *Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II*, 54, 38–49, 2007.
- Öztürk, M.: Trends of trace metals (Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb) distributions at the oxic-anoxic interface and in sulfidic water of Drammensfjord, *Mar. Chem.*, 48, 329–342, 1995.
- Öztürk, M., Vadstein, O., and Sakshaug, E.: The effects of enhanced phytoplankton production on iron speciation and removal in mesocosm experiments in a landlocked basin of Hopavågen, Norway, *Mar. Chem.*, 84, 3–17, 2003.
- Rue, E. L. and Bruland, K. W.: Complexation of iron(III) by natural organic ligands in the Central North Pacific as determined by new competitive ligand equilibration/adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetric method, *Mar. Chem.*, 50, 117–138, 1995.
- Sangi, M. R., Halstead, M. J., and Hunter, K. A.: Use of the diffusion gradient thin film method to measure trace metals in fresh waters at low ionic strength, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 456, 241–251, 2002.
- Scally, S., Davison, W., and Zhang, H.: In Situ Measurements of Dissociation Kinetics and Labilities of Metal Complexes in Solution Using DGT, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 1379–1384, 2003.
- Shirayama, Y. and Thornton, H.: Effect of increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on shallow water marine benthos, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, C09S08, doi:10.1029/2004JC002618, 2005.
- Usero, J., Gamero, M., Morillo, J., and Gracia, I.: Comparative study of three sequential extraction procedures for metals in marine sediments, *Environ. Int.*, 24, 487–496, 1998.
- Van den Berg, G. A., Meijers, G. G. A., Van der Heijdt, L. M., and Zwolsman, J. J. G.: Dredging-related mobilisation of trace metals: a case study in the Netherlands, *Water Res.*, 35, 1979–1986, 2001.
- Wang, S. and Jaffe, P. R.: Dissolution of a mineral phase in potable aquifers due to CO<sub>2</sub> releases from deep formations; effect of dissolution kinetics, *Energ. Convers. Manage.*, 45, 2833–2848, 2004.
- Widdicombe, S. and Needham, H. R.: Impact of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced seawater acidification on the

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

burrowing activity of *Nereis virens* and sediment nutrient flux, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 341, 111–122, 2007.

Wu, J. and Luther, G. W.: Complexation of Fe(III) by natural organic ligands in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean by a competitive ligand equilibration method and a kinetic approach, *Mar. Chem.*, 50, 159–177, 1995.

Zhang, H. and Davison, W.: Performance Characteristics of Diffusion Gradients in Thin Films for the in Situ Measurement of Trace Metals in Aqueous Solution, *Anal. Chem.*, 67, 3391–400, 1995.

Zhang, H., Davison, W., Miller, S., and Tych, W.: In situ high resolution measurements of fluxes of Ni, Cu, Fe, and Mn and concentrations of Zn and Cd in porewaters by DGT, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.*, 59, 4181–4192, 1995.

Zhang, H. and Davison, W.: Diffusional characteristics of hydrogels used in DGT and DET techniques, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 398, 329–340, 1999.

Zhang, H., Davison, W., Mortimer, R. J. G., Krom, M. D., Hayes, P. J., and Davies, I. M.: Localised remobilization of metals in a marine sediment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 296, 175–187, 2002.

Zhang, H.: Manual for DGT: <http://www.dgtresearch.com/dgtresearch/dgtresearch.pdf>, access: December 2007.

**BGD**

6, 5623–5659, 2009

---

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and  
redox sensitive  
metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 1.** (a) Accuracy and standard deviations of direct measurements of Fe, Mn and Co determination (by 10% dilution with Milli-Q water) by HR-ICP-MS using CASS-4, NASS-5 (Nearshore Seawater Reference Material for Trace Metals and Seawater Reference Material for Trace Metals, respectively, from National Research Council of Canada) and spikes in synthetic seawater. (b) Blanks and detection limits of the methods for determination of dissolved and DGT-labile fractions of Fe, Mn and Co.

(a) Elements	CASS-4		NASS-5		Spike	
	Certified (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Measured (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Certified (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Measured (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Added (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )	Measured (nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )
Fe	12.7±1.0	13.1±0.3	3.7±0.6	5.5±0.4	17.8±0.5	18.5±0.7
Mn	54.1±1.4	50.6±3.5	16.7±1.0	19.2±0.4	9.1±0.3	9.2±0.4
Co	0.44±0.05	0.45±0.11	0.19±0.05	0.25±0.11	17.01±0.6	17.2±0.7

(b)	Determination of dissolved fractions			Determination of DGT-labile fractions		
	1 ml TMF seawater + 9 ml MQ water in 0.1 M HNO <sub>3</sub> <sup>a</sup>			In 10 ml eluate <sup>c</sup>		
	Blank	St.dev.	DL <sup>b</sup>	Blank	St.dev.	DL <sup>d</sup>
	(nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )			(nmol L <sup>-1</sup> )		
Fe	3.6	0.9	2.7	14.54	3.95	6.44
Mn	0.40	0.35	1	2.11	0.63	1.03
Co	0.02	0.007	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.03

<sup>a</sup> Trace metal free (TMF) seawater was prepared by treating seawater with UVc and Chelex-100 resin.

<sup>b</sup> Detection limit: 3 times standard deviation of the blank.

<sup>c</sup> 10 ml eluate: Unexposed Chelex-100 impregnated gel from both DGT units for water and sediments was treated in the same way as samples.

<sup>d</sup> Detection limit of DGT methods are calculated by Eq. (1) based on 3 times standard deviation of the blanks and 2 days exposure time of DGT units.

See text for more explanation.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steinnnes

**Table 2.** The proportion of dissolved fractions of Fe, Mn and Co in the total acid-leachable metals.

	DMe % of TMe							
	Phase 1				Phase 2			
	Control		CO <sub>2</sub>		Control		CO <sub>2</sub>	
	Resusp.	No-resp	Resusp.	No-resp	Resusp	No-resp	Resusp	No-resp
Fe	8±3	3.4±0.5	0.6±0.1	10±3	0.7±0.3	3±1	1.2±0.1	1.4±0.6
Mn	75±18	58±4	92±2	92±2	78±5	86±9	80±2	84±3
Co	37±11	38±9	50±1	90±2	23±7	36±10	27±1	30±13

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and E. Steinnnes

**Table 3.**  $R_{DTe}$ ,  $R_{DMe}$  and  $R_{DGT}$  representing the ratios of concentrations of total acid leachable (TMe), dissolved (DMe) and DGT labile ( $Me_{DGT}$ ) fractions in seawater in the CO<sub>2</sub> chamber to the corresponding concentrations in the control chambers, respectively.

		Phase 1		Phase 2	
		Resuspension	No-Resuspension	Resuspension	No-Resuspension
$R_{TMe} = \frac{TMe_{CO_2}}{TMe_{control}}$	Fe	26±3	2.1±0.2	1.5±0.1	4±1
	Mn	43±8	40±1	1.4±0.1	2.9±0.3
	Co	27±6	24±8	1.5±0.4	4±2
$R_{DMe} = \frac{DMe_{CO_2}}{DMe_{control}}$	Fe	2±0.4	6±2	3±1	1.8±0.7
	Mn	53±4	65±1	1.4±0.1	2.8±0.2
	Co	41±11	58±8	1.7±0.3	2.8±0.8
$R_{DGT} = \frac{Me_{DGT-CO_2}}{DM_{DGT-control}}$	Fe		51±12		5±2
	Mn		25±4		1.6±0.3
	Co		22±5		2.2±0.7

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and E. Steignes

**Table 4.** Type of sediment used in the experiment. 4 replicates from 10 cm homogenized surface sediment were analyzed. (a) % CaCO<sub>3</sub>, water and organic matter contents of sediments. (b) different fractions of Fe, Mn and Co in the sediments before and after completion of experiment. “Initial” and “final” denote the analysis of sediment before the start of experiment start and after finish of the second phase of experiment, respectively.

	Control Chamber		CO <sub>2</sub> Chamber	
% CaCO <sub>3</sub>	4.6±0.7		4.5±0.3	
% Water Content <sup>a</sup>	6.5±1.6		6.6±0.9	
% Organic Matter	1.31±0.06		1.26±0.12	
	Initial	Final	Initial	Final
Fe-A <sup>b</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	522±20	690±50	496±33	662±41
Fe-H <sup>c</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	1495±40	1430±6	1622±27	1529±90
Fe-R <sup>d</sup> %	3.2±0.3	3.4±0.3	3.4 ±0.2	3.3±0.2
Mn-A <sup>b</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	97±16	103±23	102±11	67±7
Mn-H <sup>c</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	36±2	33±3	37±2	31±3
Mn-R <sup>d</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	370±40	405±32	418±16	375±20
Co-A <sup>b</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	1.15±0.1	1.23±0.05	1.14±0.12	1.0±0.08
Co-H <sup>c</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	1.4±0.1	1.4±0.1	1.5±0.2	1.5±0.10
Co-R <sup>d</sup> (μg g <sup>-1</sup> )	12.2±1.2	13.5±1.2	13.7±0.9	12.8±1.0

<sup>a</sup> Sediment was dried at 70°C, 40 h in order to retain the clay mineral structure.

<sup>b</sup> Me-A indicates easily exchangeable fraction of metals in the sediment extracted by 0.11 M ultra pure acetic acid.

<sup>c</sup> Me-H indicates easily reducible fractions of metals extracted with 0.1 M hydroxylamine and 0.01 M ultra pure HNO<sub>3</sub> mixture.

<sup>d</sup> Me-R indicates the residual fractions of metals extracted with 7.7 M ultra pure HNO<sub>3</sub>. For total digestion of sediment with HNO<sub>3</sub> Certified soil GBV-07408 was used to assess the accuracy. Recovery of the method was found to be 88 and 85% for Mn and Co, respectively. No certified value is available for Fe.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion





**CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals**

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes



**Fig. 1.** Schematic representation of the CO<sub>2</sub> seepage chamber.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 2.** Variation of pH (a and b), total inorganic carbon, TIC (c and d), and partial pressure/fugacity of CO<sub>2</sub> ( $p\text{CO}_2$ ) (e and f) during both phases of the experiment in CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (full triangles) and in the control (open circles) chambers.

## CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and  
E. Steignes

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

CO<sub>2</sub> seepage and redox sensitive metals

M. V. Ardelan and E. Steinnes



**Fig. 3.** Concentrations of total acid-leachable Fe (TFe), dissolved Fe (DFe) and DGT labile Fe ( $\text{Fe}_{\text{DGT}}$ ) in water during the first (a, c, and e) and second (b, d and f) phases of the experiment in CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (full triangles) and in the control (open circles) chambers. Note that scales of TFe are logarithmic (a, b). The amounts of Fe collected in the DGT units are shown by columns (e and f): light columns for control, dark columns for CO<sub>2</sub> chamber. Flux of  $\text{Fe}_{\text{DGT}}$  from the sediment and pore water into DGT units in different sections of the sediment during the first (g) and second (h) phases of the experiment are shown as full triangles and open circles for CO<sub>2</sub> seepage control chamber, respectively. The total average flux of  $\text{Fe}_{\text{DGT}}$  into hole DGT unit in the sediment for CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers is indicated by a broken line and dotted line, respectively.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 4.** Concentrations of total acid-leachable Mn (TMn), dissolved Mn (DMn) and DGT labile Mn ( $Mn_{DGT}$ ) in water during the first (a, c, and e) and second (b, d and f) phases in CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (full triangles) and in the control (open circles) chambers. Note that scales of TMn and DMn in the first phase of the experiment (a and c) are logarithmic. The amounts of Mn collected in the DGT units are shown by columns (e and f): light columns for control, dark columns for CO<sub>2</sub> chambers. Flux of  $Mn_{DGT}$  from the sediment and pore water into DGT units in different sections of the sediment during the first (g) and second (h) phases of the experiment are shown as full triangles and open circles for CO<sub>2</sub> seepage control chamber, respectively. The total average flux of  $Mn_{DGT}$  in the sediment for CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers is indicated by a broken line and dotted line, respectively.



**Fig. 5.** Concentrations of total acid-leachable Co (TCo), dissolved Co (DCo) and DGT labile Co ( $Co_{DGT}$ ) in water during the first (**a**, **c**, and **e**) and second (**b**, **d** and **f**) phases of the experiment in CO<sub>2</sub> seepage (full triangles) and in the control (open circles) chambers. The amounts of Co collected in the DGT units are shown by columns (**e** and **f**): light columns for control, dark columns for CO<sub>2</sub> chambers. Flux of  $Co_{DGT}$  from the sediment and pore water into DGT units in different sections of the sediment during the first (**g**) and second (**h**) phases of the experiment are shown as full triangles and open circles for CO<sub>2</sub> seepage control chamber, respectively. The total average flux of  $Co_{DGT}$  in the sediment for CO<sub>2</sub> and control chambers is indicated by a broken line and dotted line, respectively.