6179

Biogeosciences Discuss., 6, 6179–6203, 2009 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/6179/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Biogeosciences Discussions is the access reviewed discussion forum of Biogeosciences

# Contribution of root and rhizosphere respiration to the annual variation of carbon balance of a boreal Scots pine forest

J. F. J. Korhonen<sup>1,2</sup>, J. Pumpanen<sup>2</sup>, P. Kolari<sup>2</sup>, E. Juurola<sup>2</sup>, and E. Nikinmaa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, P.O. Box 48, 00014, University of Helsinki, Finland <sup>2</sup>Department of Forest Ecology, P.O. Box 27, 00014, University of Helsinki, Finland Received: 31 May 2009 – Accepted: 8 June 2009 – Published: 24 June 2009

Correspondence to: J. F. J. Korhonen (janne.fj.korhonen@helsinki.fi)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





### Abstract

A large part of gross primary production (GPP) is consumed in root and rhizosphere respiration  $(R_r)$ . To measure  $R_r$ , a group of evergreen coniferous Scots pine (*Pinus*) sylvestris) trees were girdled in a 45-year-old even aged forest in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland. In the girdling, phloem and bark were removed from breast height around the 5 trees. We measured soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes with a dynamic chamber at the girdled plot and at a non-girdled control plot in close vicinity. Before the girdling, effluxes were 22% higher at the plot to be girdled compared to the control plot. We scaled the measurements so that before girdling the effluxes representing total soil respiration  $(R_s)$  were at the same level. We compared the  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  to GPP measured with eddy covariance 10 system. Our results show that  $R_r$  has higher seasonal variation than  $R_d$ , and also spatial variability was higher for  $R_r$ . The annual  $R_r:R_s$  and  $R_r:GPP$ -ratios were 0.36 and 0.21, respectively.  $R_r:R_d$  varied seasonally and in late summer and in autumn  $R_r$  exceeded  $R_d$ .  $R_r$  followed GPP with a delay of several weeks. During winter and spring  $R_r$  was very low, even when GPP and soil temperature had significantly risen. 15 We conclude that  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  have different response to the environment and that for  $R_r$ the substrate availability is a more important explaining variable than soil temperature.

#### 1 Introduction

As gross primary production (GPP) determines the  $CO_2$  flux to an ecosystem, total ecosystem respiration (TER) determines the  $CO_2$  flux from the ecosystem to the atmosphere. In a forest ecosystem TER is the sum of aboveground respiration ( $R_a$ ) and soil respiration ( $R_s$ ).  $R_s$  consists of respiration originating from decomposition ( $R_d$ ) and root and rhizosphere respiration ( $R_r$ ). Plants act as sources of easily decomposable carbohydrates to soil by releasing root exudates, which sustain mycorrhizal fungal symbionts,

<sup>25</sup> but also other groups of specialized micro-organisms. Recent studies have shown that the consumption of carbohydrates by the mycorrhizae is important in the carbon bal6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest



ance of forest ecosystems (Högberg and Read, 2006). It has also been shown that root exudates and other easily decomposable carbon can enhance the decomposition of old soil organic matter (Subke et al., 2006; Fontaine et al., 2007).

- The environmental response of belowground respiration is complex. For example on a short time-scale, temperature explains soil respiration well, but on a longer time-scale substrate availability is much more important (Gu et al., 2004). Substrate availability has a confounding effect on the temperature response of soil respiration if it varies with measurement temperature (Kirschbaum, 2006). This is particularly important in boreal forests where the biological activity has a strong seasonal cycle.
- $R_r$  and  $R_d$  respond differently to the environment, and the responses may change during the year (Epron et al., 2001; Reichstein et al., 2005). Most of the substrate for  $R_r$  is fixed within preceding few days in photosynthesis and therefore the feedback between photosynthesis and  $R_r$  is relatively fast (Högberg et al., 2008; Knohl et al., 2005). In comparison, there is always substrate available for  $R_d$ , though substrate production of above and below ground litter also has a very strong seasonal cycle in
- boreal forest ecosystems. During the winter the vegetation is dormant and most of the respired  $CO_2$  is originated from the decomposition of detrital matter, whereas in the summer the vegetation is active and introduces easily decomposable carbon into the soil. Moreover, respiratory processes are interconnected with each other and there
- <sup>20</sup> are feedbacks to other processes, such as nutrient uptake of plants, as it is one of the main functions of the root system. Due to these reasons, the dynamics of  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  are different, and should be treated separately.

The partitioning of soil  $CO_2$  effluxes within forest ecosystems have been studied with different approaches described in Hanson et al. (2000). In many studies  $R_r$  is deter-

<sup>25</sup> mined as root and rhizosphere respiration to total soil respiration ratio  $(R_r:R_s)$ , which further can be used to calculate  $R_r$  from  $R_s$ . As  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  respond differently on environment,  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio changes on an annual scale. However, often only summertime and not annual  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio has been defined (Subke et al., 2006). To our knowledge, so far, there is no ecosystem-level study of boreal Scots pine forests, in which annual

# BGD 6, 6179-6203, 2009 Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest J. F. J. Korhonen et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version**



Interactive Discussion

 $R_r$  or  $R_r$ : $R_d$ -ratio is being determined, or compared to the GPP on an annual basis. For an overview of the studies separating  $R_s$  to  $R_r$  and  $R_r$ , see Bond-Lamberty et al. (2004) and Subke et al. (2006).

The aim of this study was to 1) quantify the proportions of root and rhizosphere respiration and respiration originating from decomposition from total soil respiration on both monthly and annual time scales, to 2) determine the environmental responses of  $R_d$  and  $R_r$  and 3) to estimate how much of the carbon assimilated in photosynthesis by trees is consumed in root and rhizosphere respiration on monthly and annual time scales. We determined the  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio using a girdling experiment and compared the different components of soil respiration to GPP measured with eddy covariance system.

### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Site description

The study site was in a 45-year-old coniferous evergreen Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) dominated stand at SMEAR II measurement station in Hyytiälä, Southern Finland (61°51′ N, 24°17′ E, 180 m a.s.l.). The mean annual precipitation in Hyytiälä is 709 mm and mean annual temperature 3.8°C (1960–1990; Climatological statistics in Finland, 1991). The stand at the station has been regenerated by sowing after prescribed burning and plowing in 1962. Currently, the stand density (trees with diameter at 1.3 m height >5 cm) is 1370 ha<sup>-1</sup>, basal area 24.0 m<sup>-2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> and summertime all-sided-LAI 6.7 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> (Ilvesniemi et al., 2009). In FAO classification the soil is haplic podzol and soil depth is 0.05–1.6 m. For more details on the station, see Hari and Kulmala (2005).

### 2.2 The girdling experiment

We established a girdling experiment approximately 100 m NW of the eddy-covariance mast of the SMEAR II station in the beginning of June 2007 for studying the allocation

# BGD 6, 6179-6203, 2009 Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest J. F. J. Korhonen et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



of assimilated carbon to root and rhizosphere. The purpose of the girdling was to stop sugar flow in phloem into the soil and consequently stop root and rhizosphere respiration ( $R_r$ ), leaving only respiration originating from decomposition ( $R_d$ ) of soil organic matter. Girdling allows studying  $R_r$  over annual scale without stopping the transpiration stream of the trees and thus not significantly affecting the soil moisture. We girdled 19 dominant trees from an approximately rectangular area with a size of  $13.5 \times 18$  m on 18 June 2007 (Fig. 1). Also understorey trees, mainly aspen (*Populus tremula*), rowan (*Sorbus aucuparia*) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), were girdled. Forest floor vegetation was kept intact. In the girdling-procedure, vertically at least 3 cm of bark

- and phloem around the tree at breast height were removed. An aluminum scaffold was built to study changes in the physiology of the canopy and at different heights of the stem. Four trees close to the scaffold were girdled just below the canopy, making it possible to study changes in girdled stems at different distances from the rhizosphere. The continuous soil monitoring plot at SMEAR II station acted as an intact control area.
- <sup>15</sup> Data was recorded from 30 May 2007 to 1 November 2008. We estimated the effect of girdling to transpiration by measuring sap flow of one girdled and two control trees using the Granier method (Granier, 1985) approximately at breast height. PAR, air temperature, soil temperature and soil water content were measured at the SMEAR II station (Fig. 2). Overall, the weather conditions during the measurement period were quite normal, for example no severe droughts occurred.

### 2.3 Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes

CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from the soil at the girdled and control plots were measured in the mornings of two consecutive days. Effluxes were measured on a weekly basis from May to October 2007, fortnightly from April to August 2008 and monthly during the winter
 time. The effluxes were measured using a non-transparent non-vented non-steady state flow-through chamber attached to permanent collars as described in Kolari et al. (2006). The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and temperature within the chamber were recorded at 15-s intervals during a 3-min-long chamber deployment time with a portable EGM-4

# BGD 6, 6179-6203, 2009 Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest J. F. J. Korhonen et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



 $CO_2$ -analyzer (PP Systems, Hitchin, UK). Temperature in the chamber was measured with a K-type thermocouple connected to a portable temperature meter. The  $CO_2$ effluxes were calculated from the  $CO_2$  concentration change within the chamber by fitting a linear regression over 3 min period after the installation of the chamber. The

- <sup>5</sup> first 30 s following the chamber installation were excluded from the calculation to avoid the disturbance in the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux resulted from the chamber installation. From 26 May 2008 onwards the CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were measured with an identical chamber, but using a Vaisala GMP343 diffusion type CO<sub>2</sub>-probe (Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland). The CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured with Vaisala GMP343 and EGM-4 were very close to each other according to the tests performed with calibration tank described in Pumpanen et
- al. (2004).

At the control plot, the collars (0.21 m in diameter and 0.05 m in height) were installed to approximately 0.05 m depth in the soil several years before the measurements, and thus the disturbance resulted from the installation of the collars was assumed to have

- <sup>15</sup> disappeared. To avoid damaging the roots at the girdled area the collars (0.22 m in diameter and 0.05 m in height) were installed gently on the top of the soil and quartz sand was laid outside the collar at 2 cm radius for sealing. At the control plot totally 14 collars were installed randomly over an area of 1100 m<sup>2</sup> and at the girdled area totally 12 collars were installed systematically (Fig. 1). The smallest distance between the collare at the girdled area privately in the collare were installed site and the particular privately in the smallest distance between
- $_{\rm 20}$   $\,$  the collars at the girdled site and the nearby non-girdled trees was 4.4 m.

# 2.4 Contribution of root and rhizosphere respiration $(R_r)$ to total soil respiration $(R_s)$

We measured soil  $CO_2$  effluxes at the plot to be girdled and at the control plot 4 times within 25 days before the girdling and noticed that the effluxes from the site to be girdled were 22% higher than those of the control plot. In order to determine the effect of the girdling on the effluxes we scaled the soil  $CO_2$  effluxes measured at the girdled plot to represent the ecosystem respiration originating from decomposition ( $R_d$ ). We took into account the 22% difference in the initial soil  $CO_2$  effluxes at the plots and calculated

# BGD 6, 6179-6203, 2009 Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest J. F. J. Korhonen et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Figures Tables I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



respiration originating from decomposition at given moment  $(R_d(t_i))$  as follows

 $R_d(t_i) = 0.82 F_g(t_i),$ 

10

where 0.82 is the ratio of the average soil  $CO_2$  efflux at the control and girdled plots before the girdling and  $F_g(t_i)$  is the soil  $CO_2$  efflux at the girdled plot at given moment

 $_{5}$  ( $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). In the calculation we assumed that without girdling, the root and rhizosphere to total soil respiration ratio ( $R_r$ : $R_s$ ) between the plots would have been the same regardless of the time, and that without girdling, the relative systematic difference in  $R_s$  between the plots would not have changed over the time.

Respiration originating from roots and rhizosphere at given moment  $R_r(t_i)$  was calculated as follows

 $R_{r}(t_{i}) = R_{s}(t_{i}) - R_{d}(t_{i}).$ <sup>(2)</sup>

### 2.5 Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration

We determined temperature responses for  $R_r$ ,  $R_d$  and  $R_s$  using a commonly used Arrhenius type exponential function (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994)

15 
$$R = R_{10} e^{E(1 - \frac{T_0}{T_s})}$$
,

where *R* is  $R_r$ ,  $R_d$  or  $R_s$ ,  $T_s$  is soil temperature (°C),  $R_{10}$  average soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux at 10°C and *E* temperature sensitivity.  $R_{10}$  and *E* are fitted parameters. Fittings were made to two approximately half year periods (11 July 2007–23 January 2008 and 18 February–29 July 2008) capturing maximal range of soil temperatures for both time periods. The used soil temperatures were averages of three replicates of temperatures measured in the humus layer and in the A-horizon at 2 cm depth in the mineral soil. Soil temperatures were measured at 15-min intervals using silicon based temperature sensors (Philips KTY81-110, Philips Semiconductors, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Sensors were connected to AD-converters (Nokeval, Nokeval Oyj, Nokia, Finland) and

the data was recorded to a computer. Respirations were modeled using half hour averages of soil temperatures, and integrated for the whole year.

(1)

(3)

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





### 2.6 Net ecosystem exchange and gross primary production

Net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of the stand was measured using eddy covariance (EC) technique at the SMEAR II station (Vesala et al., 2005). TER and GPP were extracted from the measured NEE as described in Mäkelä et al. (2006). Half-hourly TER
<sup>5</sup> was modeled from night-time NEE measurements applying Eq. (3) to soil humus layer temperature, and the temperature sensitivity of TER was determined from night-time flux data measured in June–August 2007. The temperature dependence of night-time TER was applied to daytime, and the half-hourly GPP was computed by subtracting the estimated TER from the measured NEE. When measured NEE was not available
<sup>10</sup> for a half-hour period, GPP was estimated as a saturating function of light using GPP derived from the accepted NEE records. The base level of TER (respiration in standard temperature) and GPP (light-saturated photosynthesis) were estimated daily in a moving time window of 11 days.

### 3 Results

### 15 3.1 Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes

After the girdling, the measured  $CO_2$  effluxes ranged from 0.64 to 7.81  $\mu$ mol  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the control plot and from 0.63 to 5.35  $\mu$ mol  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the girdled plot (Fig. 3). Before the girdling, the control plot showed 22% lower values than the girdled plot. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.05, n=46). After the girdling the effluxes at the girdled plot decreased relative to the control plot; in August 2007 the effluxes at the girdled plot were 74% of those at the control plot. When the initially 22% higher effluxes at the girdled plot were scaled to the same level as the effluxes at the control plot, the efflux at the girdled plot showed a decrease of 40% relative to the control plot.

<sup>25</sup> Before the girdling the standard error of the mean calculated from daily soil CO<sub>2</sub> ef-

### BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





flux measurements at different collars was on average 0.12 and 0.13  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for control and girdled plots, respectively. From July to August after the girdling the corresponding values were 0.33 and 0.15, showing larger relative spatial variability in the soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes at the control plot.

<sup>5</sup> The ratio of the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux between the control and girdled plots from July to September was 1.54 in 2007 and decreased to 1.32 in 2008, one year after the girdling. The annual non-scaled CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes from the control and girdled plots were 1029 and 769 g C m<sup>-2</sup>.

# 3.2 Contribution of root and rhizosphere respiration $(R_r)$ to total soil respiration $(R_s)$

10

We multiplied the measured  $CO_2$  effluxes from the girdled plot with 1.22 to convert them to respiration originating from decomposition. We calculated the annual values using the modeled values from temperature response (Eq. 3) and monthly values from the measurements.

<sup>15</sup> The annual root and rhizosphere respiration to total soil respiration ratio was  $(R_r:R_s)$ 0.36 and root and annual rhizosphere respiration to respiration originating from decomposition ratio  $(R_r:R_d)$  0.56. We observed high seasonal variation in  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  and also  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio varied markedly (Fig. 4). The seasonal pattern of  $R_r$  followed  $R_d$  with approximately one month delay. Between February and May when the respiration rates <sup>20</sup> were low, the  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio could not be determined (the calculated values were from -0.02 to -0.06).  $R_r:R_d$  increased very rapidly in June and was 0.82 from June to July and reached its maximum (on average 1.39) between August and September.  $R_r:R_d$ ratio decreased during October to a rather constant value of 0.20 until February. 60% and 91% of the annual  $R_d$  and  $R_r$ , respectively, occurred during the four most active <sup>25</sup> months from June to September ( $R_r:R_s=0.44$ ).

Annual soil efflux from the control plot  $F_{ca}$  (1029 g C m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>) was 64% higher than the mean annual total soil respiration of Hyytiälä forest ( $R_{sa}$ =625 g C m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>) (Kolari

## BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





<sup>5</sup>  $R_d$  was typically about 15 g C m<sup>-2</sup> and peaked 70 g C m<sup>-2</sup> during the summer. In late summer and autumn  $R_r$  exceeded  $R_d$ , the  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio being 1.52 and 1.25 in August and September, respectively. To test the importance of all-year-round measurements,

The annual  $R_r$  and  $R_d$  were 225 and 400 g C m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. In wintertime monthly

et al., 2009). For comparing the absolute carbon budget, we scaled  $F_{ca}$  to the same level as the ecosystem annual total soil respiration ( $R_{sa}$ ). We multiplied the annual CO<sub>2</sub>

we estimated annual  $R_r$  using summertime  $R_r$ : $R_s$ -ratio (0.44) for the whole year, and we got 23% overestimation for the annual  $R_r$ .

### **10 3.3 Environmental response of soil respiration**

effluxes by the ratio between  $R_{sa}$  and  $F_{ca}$  (0.61).

We compared the sapflow measurements from the control and girdled plots from a 17 day period just before girdling to a 17 day period beginning on 10 August and the result was that transpiration was reduced 31% because of the girdling (data not shown).

Calculated temperature response values  $(Q_{10})$  for spring and autumn ranged from

<sup>15</sup> 4.4 to 6.4 for total soil respiration ( $R_s$ ), from 4.0 to 4.4 for respiration originating from decomposition ( $R_d$ ) and from 5.3 to 31.5 for root and rhizosphere respiration ( $R_r$ ), respectively (Table 1). The highest temperature sensitivity was observed for  $R_r$  and the lowest for  $R_d$ .  $R_s$  is a combination of  $R_r$  and  $R_d$ , and therefore  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_s$  should be between the  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_d$  and  $R_r$ , as we observed. The temperature responses were higher during the spring compared to the autumn, although the fitted time periods were selected so that both periods included both the highest and the lowest temperatures observed. Overall, the modeled respirations fit well to the measured CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes (Fig. 4). However, the fitting over the time period between June 2007 and February 2008 underestimated  $R_r$  in July and overestimated it in wintertime. Soil moisture did not have a notable effect on the soil respiration, as extreme wetness or drought were 6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





# 3.4 Proportion of root and rhizosphere respiration $(R_r)$ to gross primary production (GPP)

From 1 July 2007 to June 31 2008 the accumulated GPP calculated from eddy covariance was  $1078 \, g \, C \, m^{-2}$ , which is in the range of a typical year in Hyytiälä (Kolari et

al., 2009). 88% of the GPP was occurring between May and September. Wintertime photosynthesis was very low, the GPP between November and March contributing 2.3 % of the annual GPP. Annual total ecosystem respiration was  $825 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ , leaving net ecosystem productivity 246 g C m<sup>-2</sup> positive. The forest stand was a carbon sink from April to September.

<sup>10</sup> Annual root and rhizosphere to gross primary production ratio ( $R_r$ :GPP) was 0.21%. Overall,  $R_r$ :GPP was rather constant during the year and the highest anomaly was observed in spring.  $R_r$  between April and May was so low that we could not determine it reliably, whereas during the same period respiration originating from decomposition was 15% and GPP 21% of the annual sum. In the autumn  $R_r$  was at its highest com-<sup>15</sup> pared to  $R_d$  and GPP (Fig. 3). Annual  $R_s$ :GPP was 0.58.

### 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Contribution of root and rhizosphere respiration $(R_r)$ to total soil respiration $(R_s)$

According to our measurements, it is important to take into account the seasonal variability in  $R_r$ : $R_s$ -ratio and not only study the  $R_r$ : $R_s$ -ratio of the growing season. To our knowledge, however, annual  $R_r$ : $R_s$ -ratio of boreal Scots pine forests has not been studied before. Nevertheless, there is another girdling study of Scots pine forest (Högberg et al., 2001), where on average 54% percent decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes was observed due to girdling within the same growing season. Based on the same experiment, Bhupinderpal-Singh et al. (2003) reported that the decrease in the soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes was 65% one year after the girdling. They suggest that the data from one year after 6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest



the girdling gives more realiable results of  $R_r$ , because during the first year, decaying of mycorrhizal fungi and consumption of starch storages in the roots may increase the CO<sub>2</sub> efflux at the girdled plot. On the contrary, we saw that after one year the effect of girdling decreased.

In overall, our result on the ratio of summertime and early autumn (between June and September) root and rhizosphere respiration to total soil respiration ratio ( $R_r$ : $R_s$ =0.52) is consistent with previous studies of root and rhizosphere respiration ( $R_r$ ) on various ecosystems (see review by Subke et al., 2006).

In the literature, annual  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio for coniferous forests varies and our result (0.41) is a bit smaller than what has usually been reported. Our  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio was lower than Vogel et al. (2005) reported for three Black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forests in Alaska (0.41–0.58), but higher than that of O'Connel et al. (2003), reported for a mature black spruce forest on feather-moss covered moderately drained soil (0.22). Lavigne et al. (2003) reported that  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio was highly variable in three balsam fir (*Abies balsemea*) sites, but increased systematically as climate got warmer from 0.22 to 0.5. Saiz et al. (2006) observed that annual  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio decreased as stand age increased from 0.59 to 0.5 in 10 to 47 year-old Sitka Spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) stands in temperate conditions in Ireland.

#### 4.2 Environmental response of respiration

<sup>20</sup> Traditionally the seasonal course of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux is most often been explained by soil temperature. Besides that, it is driven by changes in substrate availability (Gu et al., 2004; Kirschbaum, 2006) and  $Q_{10}$  values determined at different temperature ranges cannot be compared directly (Tjoelker et al., 2000). We defined  $Q_{10}$  values for temperature sensitivity of respirations for approximately half year periods, temperatures varying by approximately 15°C. Therefore, we realize that the absolute values of the determined  $Q_{10}$  values may be biased. However, their relative values can be compared to each other.

The  $Q_{10}$  values of  $R_r$  (5.2–31.5) and  $R_d$  (4.0–4.4) show higher temperature sensi-

## BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

### Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





tivity for  $R_r$  than for  $R_d$ . Because  $R_r$  is probably not more sensitive to temperature (Bhupinderpal-Singh et al., 2003; Bååth and Wallander, 2003; Irvine et al., 2005), high values of  $Q_{10}$  reflect changes in other factors, such as high seasonal variation in labile carbon in soil. The variation in substrate availability for  $R_r$  (photosynthates), indeed, s is much higher than in the case of  $R_d$  (litter). The main reason is that the lability of

- photosynthates is much higher than the lability of litter. Availability of photosynthates is dependent on GPP, which itself is seasonally dependent on temperature and in short term mainly on irradiation. As irradiation and soil temperature have a very strong causal relationship, statistical relationship between soil temperature and  $R_r$  can also be seen.
- <sup>10</sup> The modeled  $R_d$  from temperature response fits better to the measured  $R_d$  than the modeled  $R_r$  to the measured  $R_r$ , indicating further that other factors than soil temperature affect severely  $R_r$  (Fig. 5). Therefore, modeling the dynamics of  $R_r$  using only soil temperature (and moisture if it is limiting) is not sufficient. However, we believe that it is reasonable to assume that the annual  $R_r$  determined from the modeled  $R_r$  is close to correct.

#### 4.3 Allocation of photosynthates

We estimated that annually 21% of the GPP is used in  $R_r$ , which is in agreement with Pumpanen et al. (2009), who reported that for Scots pine seedlings 26% of the GPP is used in  $R_r$ . In general,  $R_r$  followed GPP very well. However, our measurements show that before June,  $R_r$  stayed at a very low level, although both GPP and soil temperatures had significantly increased. It is known, that growing foliage and new shoots can be a very strong sink for carbon in the early part of growing season (Konôpka et al., 2005), leaving less carbon available for the root system to respire. Increase in plant sugar and starch pools may also partly explain the delayed increase of  $R_r$ . Therefore, plant allocation dynamics should not be forgotten when explaining the dynamics of  $R_r$ .

Widen and Majdi (2001) reported that the proportion of root respiration from  $R_s$  in a mixed Norway spruce and Scots pine forest in eastern central Sweden was at its highest in May. They measured fine root effluxes from excavated roots at temperature

# BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





close to current soil temperature, but during May they measured the efflux at ambient air temperature. Though their result of actual  $R_r$  in May may be biased, we can conclude, that there was substrate available for root respiration. This indicates that the delay in respect to GPP we noticed in  $R_r$  in spring would be limited by plant allocation and growth strategies and not by low substrate availability. However, the changes in soil temperature and  $R_r$  are rapid during the spring and the spring was earlier in their study indicated by soil temperature, which varied in their study in May from 6 to 10°C, and in our study from 4.2 to 6.0°C.

Högberg et al. (2002) estimated that 75% of the carbon allocated belowground is respired and 25% used in growth. They assumed that  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio is one, based on measurements during growing season (Högberg et al., 2001). Using formulas presented in their study and our result of annual  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio of 0.79, we get that 55% and 45% of the belowground carbon allocation is used in  $R_r$  and growth, respectively. We used annual  $R_r:Rd$ -ratio instead of summertime values, which probably mostly explains the difference. Also our summertime  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio is lower than what Högberg et al. (2002) used, probably explaining the rest of the difference. Pumpanen et al. (2009) reported 56% and 44% of belowground carbon allocation for Scots pine seedlings, which agrees very well with the values calculated using the method of Högberg et al. (2002) and our annual  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio.

#### 20 4.4 Soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes

After the girdling, relative spatial variation of  $CO_2$  efflux reduced in the girdled plot compared to the control plot. Our results indicate that  $R_r$  plays a significant role in the spatial variation of soil  $CO_2$  effluxes.

Our purpose was to girdle a large enough area, so that roots from non-girdled trees would not reach the area where CO<sub>2</sub> efflux was measured. In our experiment, the minimum distance between the collars used in CO<sub>2</sub> efflux measurements at the girdled plot and the closest non-girdled trees was 4.4 m (Fig. 1). In a recent study, Göttlicher et al. (2008) found that in a 48–59-year-old Scots pine forest in Northern Sweden the

### BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





lateral spread of Scots pine roots was typically 4 to 5 m. Also Kalliokoski et al. (2008) got similar results in a 51-year-old Scots pine stand near Hyytiälä in Finland. The mean proximal root length was 4.2 m and maximum radial root length was 9.5 m. This suggests that some roots from non-girdled trees may have been influencing the area <sup>5</sup> where CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were measured. Before the girdling, we noticed that CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes

- were the lowest in the middle of the girdled plot, but there was same trend also after the girdling, suggesting that only few roots of non-girdled trees were affecting the measured  $CO_2$  effluxes. However, it may be that during the second year roots of non-girdled trees had already grown to the girdled area, and this would explain the difference in ob-
- <sup>10</sup> servations of us and Bhupinderpal-Singh (2003). It can also be, that  $R_d$  was enhanced after one year of the girdling as the death of the roots causes an increase in the substrate availability for  $R_d$ , but this was not observed in the study of Bhupinderpal-Singh et al. (2003). After the first year, based on visual observations, the needle litterfall was somewhat higher at the girdled plot compared to the control plot, also increasing the substrate availability for  $R_d$ .

Our results represent respiration of tree roots and associated rhizosphere not including the ground vegetation, because we kept it intact at the girdled plot. However, our measurements also include autotrophic respiration of ground vegetation increasing our values of  $R_d$ . On the other hand, girdling probably reduced also  $R_d$ , as root exudates released by trees are known to boost decomposition of soil organic matter (Subke et al., 2004; Fontaine et al., 2007), and these effects may counteract each other.

20

Our measured soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes were very high compared to previous ten years measurements at the SMEAR II site (Ilvesniemi et al., 2009). This may reflect temporal and spatial variation in the effluxes or systematic errors in the measurements. We changed the chamber and analyzer during the experiment, but we were not able to see any change in the soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes before and after the change. Also our tests performed in a calibration tank (see Pumpanen et al., 2004) showed very similar results for the two chambers and gas analyzers. We did not see an annual trend in total ecosystem respiration calculated from the eddy covariance measurements. However, the eddy co-

## BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest





variance measurements represent much larger area than our chamber measurements, and can not detect changes that occur on small areas.

### 5 Conclusions

Large part of GPP is being consumed in root and rhizosphere respiration  $(R_r)$  in boreal Scots pine forests. Annually respiration originating from decomposition  $(R_d)$  is higher than  $R_r$  and also has a stronger seasonal cycle.  $R_r:R_d$ -ratio is changing radically during the course of a year, hence using  $R_r:R_s$ -ratio of growing season and a given annual total soil respiration to calculate annual  $R_r$  can result in a large overestimation of  $R_r$ . Our results suggest lower annual  $R_r:R_s$  for boreal Scots pine forests that have been used previously, indicating that smaller part of the carbon allocated belowground is actually being respired and more is being used in growth.

 $R_d$  is mostly regulated by soil temperature, but also substrate availability is apparently affecting it. Soil temperature explains statistically  $R_r$  rather well, but substrate availability is a more important factor. Spatial variability seems to be higher for  $R_r$  than for  $R_d$ . There is also indication that plant allocation dynamics may play an important role in the seasonal variation of  $R_r$ .

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by Maj and Thor Nessling foundation, the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence program (project number 1118615) and the Academy of Finland project 213093. We gratefully acknowledge Sirpa Rantanen, Heikki Laakso and Joan Albert Porcar-Castell for their assistance in the field work.

References

15

Bhupinderpal-Singh, Nordgren, A., Ottosson Löfvenius, M., Högberg, M. N., Mellander, P.-E., and Högberg, P.: Tree root and soil heterotrophic respiration as revealed by girdling of boreal Scots pine forest: extending observations beyond the first year. Plant Cell Environ.,

<sup>25</sup> 26, 1287–1296, 2003.

Bond-Lamberty, B., Chuankuan, W., and Gower, S. T.: A global relationship between the heterotrophic and autotrophic components of soil respiration?, Glob. Change Biol., 10, 1756–1766, 2004.

BGD 6, 6179-6203, 2009 Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest J. F. J. Korhonen et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Tables Figures I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

- Bååth, E. and Wallander, H.: Soil and rhizosphere microorganisms have the same  $Q_{10}$  for respiration in a model system, Glob. Change Biol., 9, 1788–1791, 2003.
- Climatological statistics in Finland 1961–1990. Supplement to the meteorological yearbook in Finland, 90. Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland, 1991.
- <sup>5</sup> Epron, D., le Dantec, V., Dufrene, E., and Granier, A.: Seasonal dynamics of soil carbon dioxide efflux and simulated rhizosphere respiration in a beech forest, Tree Physiol., 21, 145–152, 2001.
  - Fontaine, S., Barot, S., Barre, P., Bdioui, N., Mary, B., and Rumpel, C.: Stability of organic carbon in deep soil layers controlled by fresh carbon supply, Nature 450, 277–280, 2007.
- Gu, L., Post, W., and King, A.: Fast labile carbon turnover obscures sensitivity of heterotrophic respiration from soil to temperature: A model analysis, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB1022, doi:10.1029/2003GB002119, 2004.

Granier, A.: Une nouvelle méthode pour la mesure du flux de sève brute dans le tronc des arbes, Ann. Sci. Forest., 42, 193–200, 1985.

<sup>15</sup> Göttlicher, S., Taylor, A., Grip, H., Betson, N., Valinger, E., Högberg, M. N., and Högberg, P.: The lateral spread of tree root systems in boreal forests: Estimates based on 15N uptake and distribution of sporocarps of ectomycorrhizal fungi, Forest Ecol. Manag., 255, 75–81, 2008.

Hanson, P., Edwards, N., Garten, C., and Andrews, J.: Separating root and soil microbial

- contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations, Biogeochemistry, 48, 115–146, 2000.
  - Hari, P. and Kulmala, M.: Station for Measuring Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR II), Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 315–322, 2005.

Högberg, P., Högberg, M. N., Göttlicher, S. G., Betson, N. R., Keel, S. G., Metcalfe, D. B.,

- <sup>25</sup> Campbell, C., Schindlbacher, A., Hurry, V., Lundmark, T., Linder, S., and Näsholm, T.: High temporal resolution tracing of photosynthate carbon from the tree canopy to forest soil microorganisms, New Phytol., 177, 220–227, 2008.
  - Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., Buchmann, N., Taylor, A. F. S., Ekblad, A., Högberg, M. N., Nyberg,
     G., Ottoson-Löfvenius, M., and Read., D. J.: Large-scale forest girdling shows that current photosynthesis drives soil respiration, Nature, 411, 789–792, 2001.

30

Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., and Ågren, G. I.: Carbon allocation between tree root growth and root respiration in boreal pine forest, Oecologia, 132, 579–581, 2002.

Högberg, P. and Read, D. J.: Towards a more plant physiological perspective on soil ecology,

## BGD

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest



Trends Ecol. Evol., 21, 548-554, 2006.

10

- Ilvesniemi H., Levula J., Ojansuu R., Kolari P., Kulmala L., Pumpanen J., Launiainen S., Vesala T., and Nikinmaa E.: Long-term measurements of the carbon balance of a boreal Scots pine dominated forest ecosystem, Boreal Environ. Res., 14, 731–753, 2009.
- <sup>5</sup> Irvine, J., Law B. E., and Kurpius, M. R.: Coupling of canopy gas exchange with root and rhizosphere respiration in a semi-arid forest, Biogeochemistry, 73(1), 271–282, 2005.
  - Kalliokoski, T., Nygren, P., and Sievänen, R.: Coarse Root Architecture of Three Boreal Tree Species Growing in Mixed Stands, Silva Fenn., 42(2), 189–210, 2008.
  - Kirschbaum, M.: The temperature dependence of organic-matter decomposition still a topic of debate, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38(9), 2510–2518, 2006.
- Knohl, A., Werner, R. A., Brand, W. A., and Buchmann, N.: Short-term variations in  $\delta$ 13C of ecosystem respiration reveals link between assimilation and respiration in a deciduous forest, Oecologia, 142, 70–82, 2005.

Kolari, P., Kulmala, L., Pumpanen, J., Launiainen, S., Ilvesniemi, H., Hari, P., and Nikinmaa,

- E.: CO2 exchange and component CO2 fluxes of a boreal Scots pine forest, Boreal Environ. Res., 14, 761–783, 2009.
  - Kolari, P., Pumpanen, J., Kulmala, L., Ilvesniemi, H., Nikinmaa, E., Grönholm, T., and Hari, P.: Forest floor vegetation plays an important role in photosynthetic production of boreal forests, Forest Ecol. Manag., 221, 241–248, 2006.
- Konôpka, B., Yuste, J. C., Janssens, I. A., and Ceulemans, R.: Comparison of fine root dynamics in Scots pine and Pedunculae oak in sandy soil, Plant Soil, 276, 33–45, 2005.
  - Lavigne, M. B., Boutin, R., Foster, R. J., Goodine, G., Bernier, P. Y., and Robitaille, G.: Soil respiration responses to temperature are controlled more by roots than by decomposition in balsam fir ecosystems, Can. J. Forest Res., 33, 1744–1753, 2003.
- Mäkelä, A., Kolari, P., Karimäki, J., Nikinmaa, E., Perämäki, M., and Hari, P.: Modelling five years of weather-driven variation of GPP in a boreal forest, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 139, 382– 398, 2006.
  - O'Connel, K. E. B., Gower, S. T., and Norman, J. M.: Net Ecosystem Production of Two Contrasting Boreal Black Spruce Forest Communities, Ecosystems, 6, 248–260, 2003.
- <sup>30</sup> Pumpanen, J., Heinonsalo, J., Rasilo, T., Hurme, K.-R., and Ilvesniemi, H.: Carbon balance and allocation of assimilated CO<sub>2</sub> in Scots pine, Norway spruce and Silver birch seedlings determined with gas exchange measurements and <sup>14</sup>C pulse labelling in laboratory conditions, Trees-Struct. Funct., (23)3, 611–621, 2009.

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest



- Pumpanen, J., Kolari, P., Ilvesniemi, H., Minkkinen, K., Vesala, T., Niinisto, S., Lohila, A., Larmola, T., Morero, M., Pihlatie, M., Janssens, I., Yuste, J. C., Grunzweig, J. M., Reth, S., Subke, J. A., Savage, K., Kutsch, W., Ostreng, G., Ziegler, W., Anthoni, P., Lindroth, A., and Hari, P.: Comparison of different chamber techniques for measuring soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 123(3–4), 159–176, 2004.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A. Laurila, T. Lohila, A. Loustau, D. Matteucci, G. Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert,
- <sup>10</sup> G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: Review and improved algorithm, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 1–16, 2005.
  - Saiz, G., Byrne, K. A., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kiese, R., Blujdea, V., and Farrell, E. P.: Stand agerelated effects on soil respiration in a first rotation Sitka spruce chronosequence in central Ireland. Glob. Change Biol., 12, 1007–1020, 2006.
- Subke, J.-A., Hahn, V., Battipaglia, G., Linder, S., Buchmann, N., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Feedback interactions between needle litter decomposition and rhizosphere activity, Oecologia 139, 551–559, 2004.

Subke, J.-A., Inglima, I., and Cotrufo, M. F.: Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO2 efflux partitioning: A metaanalytical review, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 921–943, 2006.

- Tjoelker, M. G., Oleksyn, J., and Reich, P. B.: Modelling respiration of vegetation: evidence for a general temperature-dependent  $Q_{10}$ . Glob. Change Biol., 7, 223–230, 2000.
- Vesala, T., Suni, T., Rannik, Ü., Keronen, P., Markkanen, T., Sevanto, S., Grönholm, T., Smolander, S., Kulmala, M., Ilvesniemi, H., Ojansuu, R., Uotila, A., Levula, J., Mäkelä, A., Pumpa-
- nen, J., Kolari, P., Kulmala, L., Altimir, N., Berninger, F., Nikinmaa, E., and Hari, P.: Effect of thinning on surface fluxes in a boreal forest, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB2001, doi:10.1029/2004GB002316, 2005.

Vogel, J. G., Valentine, D. W., and Ruess, R. W.: Soil and root respiration in mature Alaskan black spruce forests that vary in soil organic matter decomposition rates, Can. J. Forest Res.,

<sup>30</sup> **35**, 161–174, 2005.

5

15

20

Widen, B. and Majdi, H.: Soil CO2 efflux and root respiration at three sites in a mixed pine and spruce forest: seasonal and diurnal variation, Can. J. Forest Res., 31, 786–796, 2001.

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
I.	►I			
•	<b>F</b>			
Back	Close			
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				



### BGD

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest

J. F. J. Korhonen et al.

Title Page				
Abstract	Introduction			
Conclusions	References			
Tables	Figures			
[∢	۶I			
•	<b>F</b>			
Back	Close			
Full Screen / Esc				
Printer-friendly Version				
Interactive Discussion				

**Table 1.** Calculated  $Q_{10}$  values for respiration originating from decomposition  $(R_d)$ , root and rhizosphere respiration  $(R_r)$  and total soil respiration  $(R_s)$ . II/07 represents time period 3 July 2007–23 January 2008 and I/08 time period 18 February–29 July 2008.  $R_s$  is a combination of  $R_r$  and  $R_d$ , and the  $Q_{10}$  or  $R_s$  is therefore between the  $Q_{10}$  of  $R_r$  and  $R_d$ .

	$egin{array}{c} Q_{10} \ (R_d) \end{array}$	Q <sub>10</sub> ( <i>R<sub>r</sub></i> )	Q <sub>10</sub> (R <sub>s</sub> )
II/07	3.99	5.20	4.44
I/08	4.43	31.52	6.41





**Fig. 1.** Schematic map of the girdling plot. Location of trees, scaffold (rectangle) and  $CO_2$  efflux measurement collars, distances between the collars, closest distance between a non-girdled tree and a collar, and closest distance between two non-girdled trees through the girdled area in two opposite directions are presented.

1.5 m

Collar for soil CO, efflux measurement

13.5 m

4 m

Non-girdled tree Girdled tree

18 m

## BGD

6, 6179-6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest







**Fig. 2. (a)** Daily mean values for air temperature (°C) at 4.2 m height and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR;  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). **(b)** Daily mean values for soil temperature at humus layer (°C), soil water content at humus layer (%V) and 5-day cumulative precipitation (mm).



**Fig. 3.** Measured soil  $CO_2$  effluxes from the girdled and control plots from 12 April 2007 to 12 December 2008. Error bars indicate standard errors of mean (n=12 for the girdled and 14 for the control plot). The vertical lines mark the two periods where the respiration temperature responses were fitted.

# BGD

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest







**Fig. 4.** Calculated monthly gross primary production (GPP), respiration originating from decomposition  $(R_d)$  and root and rhizosphere respiration  $(R_r)$  from July 2007 to June 2008.  $R_d$  and  $R_r$  are calculated from the monthly means of the measured effluxes. The negative values of  $R_r$  are related to inaccuracies in the measurements and the assumptions made. They occur when our estimation of respiration originating from decomposition is higher than total soil respiration. GPP is calculated from eddy covariance data using half-hourly values.



**BGD** 





**Fig. 5.** Scaled measured respirations originating from decomposition  $(R_d)$  and from root and rhizosphere  $(R_r)$  between 10 July 2007 and 31 July 2008. Lines represent modeled half hour respirations using Arrhenius-type temperature regression. Error bars of  $R_r$  indicate standard errors of mean (n=14).

## BGD

6, 6179–6203, 2009

Variation of root and rhizosphere respiration in a pine forest



