Biogeosciences Discuss., 6, C1420–C1421, 2009 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/6/C1420/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.





6, C1420-C1421, 2009

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "A model-based assessment of the TrOCA approach for estimating oceanic anthropogenic carbon" *by* A. Yool et al.

C. GOYET

cgoyet@univ-perp.fr

Received and published: 3 August 2009

The title of this manuscript is misleading. This manuscript presents a comparison of results from three different models, not an objective assessment of the TrOCA approach. There are many inaccuracies in this manuscript. For example, the text indicates that the TrOCA method was tuned using the WOCE I01 cruise data. This is not true (see Touratier et al., 2007). The text further indicates that "negative concentrations are common with TrOCA" however Figure 7 shows that only the ïĄĎC* results of globally averaged vertical profiles present negatives values. Large negative concentrations are much more common with ïĄĎC* than with TrOCA. The authors rightly indicate that the OCCAM model presents (like all 3-D models) some deficiencies in the hydrography but then, they use these model results as "reference"! There are many hypotheses in





this OCCAM model (hydrography, redfield ratios, monthly restored surface freshwater, salinity, air-sea fluxes, etc.) that influence the model results. These results from a deficient model should NOT be taken as "reference". The Taylor diagrams presented in this manuscript are meaningless. A Taylor diagram can be used only with measured data as reference. I could go on.... The only conclusion that can be drawn from this study is that results from the OCCAM 3-D model are different from the TrOCA and from the "ĄĎC* models. This is not surprising (since the various hypotheses of these three models are different)! There is nothing new here.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 6, 7231, 2009.

BGD

6, C1420-C1421, 2009

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

