

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Hysteresis response of daytime net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange during a drought” by N. Pingintha et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 5 January 2010

The manuscript well documents the hysteresis phenomenon in whole ecosystem day-time NEE that can result from stomatal closure associated with water deficits. The manuscript describes the consequences of this hysteresis for the estimation of net ecosystem carbon balances, a pressing scientific and policy concern. The research was conducted in a rainfed peanut field as a model ecosystem to better understand the phenomenon in a homogeneous environment with well understood plants. The work will make a valuable contribution to an improved understanding of whole ecosystem gas exchanges associated with variable precipitation and also lead to improved estimation of current and likely future net terrestrial-atmospheric exchanges. I recommend only minor revisions to this manuscript.

A general concern was the assertion that no mechanistic understanding of the hystere-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



sis is available. Several authors have identified hysteresis or afternoon depressions in photosynthesis-PAR relationships and have attributed this effect to stomatal closure in response to water deficit in wildland (Tuzet et al. 2003, Wilson et al. 2003, Jenerette et al. 2009) and the effects of water stress has previously been described for peanut (Ferreira et al. 2003) systems. While I still find the present work valuable and interesting, the manuscript overstates the lack of present understanding.

For the EC system can some estimate of measurement uncertainties be assessed? Can energy closure be assessed? This might be difficult without an estimate of ground heat flux. How well does the water balance between precipitation and ET and is there an estimate of runoff or infiltration from this field? How do your estimates compare with previous estimates of peanut leaf physiology, for example (Hammer and Wright 1994)?

#### Minor Comments

Pg 3 In 3-5: Citation for recent definitions of NEE (Chapin et al. 2006).

Pg 3 In 15-20: The use of mechanistic models is left out of this list and combined with inversion procedures, these can also be used to generate integrated measurements (Braswell et al. 2005, Luo et al. 2009).

Pg 6 In 5: Subject-verb agreement.

Pg 6 In 24: “DDuring”

Pg 7 In 3: Subject-verb agreement

Pg 7 In 14: Was the LAI meter calibrated against other measurements at this site?

Pg 9 In 11: Check grammar after “due...”

Pg 11 In 25: kPa is more commonly used.

#### Citations

Braswell, B. H., W. J. Sacks, E. Linder, and D. S. Schimel. 2005. Estimating diurnal

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



to annual ecosystem parameters by synthesis of a carbon flux model with eddy covariance net ecosystem exchange observations. *Global Change Biology* 11:335-355.

Chapin, F. S., G. M. Woodwell, J. T. Randerson, E. B. Rastetter, G. M. Lovett, D. D. Baldocchi, D. A. Clark, M. E. Harmon, D. S. Schimel, R. Valentini, C. Wirth, J. D. Aber, J. J. Cole, M. L. Goulden, J. W. Harden, M. Heimann, R. W. Howarth, P. A. Matson, A. D. McGuire, J. M. Melillo, H. A. Mooney, J. C. Neff, R. A. Houghton, M. L. Pace, M. G. Ryan, S. W. Running, O. E. Sala, W. H. Schlesinger, and E. D. Schulze. 2006. Reconciling carbon-cycle concepts, terminology, and methods. *Ecosystems* 9:1041-1050.

Ferreira, R. A., J. L. Dardanelli, L. B. Pachepsky, D. J. Collino, P. C. Faustinelli, G. Giambastiani, V. R. Reddy, and J. W. Jones. 2003. Nonlinear effects of water stress on peanut photosynthesis at crop and leaf scales. *Ecological Modelling* 168:57-76.

Hammer, G. L. and G. C. Wright. 1994. A theoretical-analysis of nitrogen and radiation effects on radiation use efficiency in peanut. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 45:575-589.

Jenerette, G. D., R. L. Scott, G. A. Barron-Gafford, and T. E. Huxman. 2009. Gross primary production variability associated with meteorology, physiology, leaf area, and water supply in contrasting woodland and grassland semiarid riparian ecosystems. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Biogeosciences* 114, G04010:doi:10.1029/2009JG001074.

Luo, Y. Q., E. S. Weng, X. W. Wu, C. Gao, X. H. Zhou, and L. Zhang. 2009. Parameter identifiability, constraint, and equifinality in data assimilation with ecosystem models. *Ecological Applications* 19:571-574.

Tuzet, A., A. Perrier, and R. Leuning. 2003. A coupled model of stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration. *Plant Cell and Environment* 26:1097-1116.

Wilson, K. B., D. Baldocchi, E. Falge, M. Aubinet, P. Berbigier, C. Bernhofer, H. Dol-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

man, C. Field, A. Goldstein, A. Granier, D. Hollinger, G. Katul, B. E. Law, T. Meyers, J. Moncrieff, R. Monson, J. Tenhunen, R. Valentini, S. Verma, and S. Wofsy. 2003. Diurnal centroid of ecosystem energy and carbon fluxes at FLUXNET sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 108:4664, doi:4610.1029/2001JD001349.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 6, 10707, 2009.

**BGD**

6, C3837–C3840, 2010

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3840

