Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, C1613–C1614, 2010 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/7/C1613/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

7, C1613-C1614, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Upscaling reflectance information of lichens and mosses using a singularity index: a case study of the Hudson Bay Lowlands, Canada" by T. Neta et al.

T. Neta

talineta@gmail.com

Received and published: 29 June 2010

Dear Referee.

First I would like to thank you for your comments!

I can answer the second and first comments. With regards to the first comment, I forwarded it to the second author, and I will reply as soon as possible.

The repetition in line 19 of page 11, "the slope between the log reflectance and the log resolution" was made so that it would be easier for people to understand which slope we refer to.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



In the text of the Table 1, it is said that "Ref represents reflectance values in nm." We should of written that the wavelengths are in nm and the reflectance is in percentage units.

Thank you again, for your comments!

Tali Neta

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 3551, 2010.

BGD

7, C1613-C1614, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

