Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, C1618–C1625, 2010 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/7/C1618/2010/
© Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Methane oxidation in permeable sediments at hydrocarbon seeps in the Santa Barbara Channel, California" by T. Treude and W. Ziebis

T. Treude and W. Ziebis

ttreude@ifm-geomar.de

Received and published: 30 June 2010

Reviewer 1, Paul Dando

<u>Authors:</u> We would like to thank Paul Dando for his very useful comments on seepage behavior through sandy seabed, which we think improved specifically the method and the discussion part of our manuscript. Below are listed our answers to individual comments of the reviewer.

Reviewer 1: This paper makes a useful contribution to the limited data on shallow gas seeps, although it is a very incomplete story without deeper samples and with the limited number of cores taken, given the variability of the environment.

C1618

<u>Authors</u>: We added a statement on the variability of parameters and the low amount of samples, which makes it difficult to draw general conclusion.

Reviewer 1: The studies most related to those in the present paper were those carried out on the methane seeps that occur in sandy sediments, from the intertidal to 12 m depth, in the Kattegat (Jensen et al. 1992, Dando et al. 1994b, Schuster 1994). Schuster carried out extensive studies with labelled methane to determine the zones of methane oxidation and current carbonate deposition. It would be useful to include a comparison with the Kattegat studies.

<u>Authors</u>: We included more of the Kattegat studies (Dando et al., 1994a; Jensen et al., 1992; O'Hara et al., 1995) and compared them with our site. Unfortunately, the recommended work of Schuster was not accessible.

Reviewer 1: Interpreting geochemical profiles around gas outlets in coarse sediment in shallow water is difficult, because the gas escape routes are rarely vertical, buried shells and stones disrupt flow patterns (Dando et al. 1994 a) and sand movement due to storms continually disturb the sediment profiles and can bury methane gas pockets. Taking sediment cores is a problem due to sediment disturbance when the core is inserted and the near impossibility of sealing the core base without disturbance of the profiles at the base of the cores. Hammering 5 cm diameter cores into the sand will disrupt the profiles, when compared with those seen using sippers, or diffusion plates that are left in place until equilibrium has been achieved. This was demonstrated by the core at 400 cm that voided gas when the tube was hammered into the sand.

<u>Authors</u>: We are fully aware of these problems; however, there is hardly a good alternative if the goal is to measure microbial turnover rates and to compare them with geochemical profiles and other sediment parameters. Rate measurements (with the exemption of some new in-situ applications, which were not available at the time of our measurements) are conducted in the laboratory, i.e. one would always argue that these cannot fully reflect the natural situation. In our combined setup we preferred to

treat all samples equally (rate, porewater, microprofile, and sediment-parameter cores). Otherwise, comparisons would have gotten even harder.

Reviewer 1: With cores, we used to gently push a bung, with an open tap, into the core tap, to minimise applied pressure, and then close the tap to seal. After digging down to the base of the core base was capped externally. However, a comparison of similar cores of different lengths showed that at least the bottom 5 cm of each core had a profile modified by the ingress of seawater.

<u>Authors</u>: We think it is difficult to decide whether the lower layers of the cores were altered due to sealing or if geochemistry just changed at the bottom of the sand layer, i.e. at the transition to the gravel bed. Both could be true.

Reviewer 1: In the present study, the cores were very short and many showed a methane peak in the region of 4-6 cm. This may be due to disturbance or to a higher porosity layer at this depth. The porosity data is not shown, to establish if there is a link. With a gravel layer underneath, the highest methane would otherwise be expected at the core base.

<u>Authors</u>: We added the porosity/permeability profiles. We find that there is no clear correlation between porosity and methane concentration. There might be many factors in the sediment (as also mentioned by the reviewer elsewhere) that can channel and retain methane in certain sediment layer. Of course, hammering can also open new pathways.

<u>Reviewer 1:</u> We are informed that at the Brian site the penetration problem was due to gravel, rather than carbonates, although carbonate deposits are reported at the seep.

<u>Authors</u>: Both are true: the deeper penetration of cores was impeded by the gravel bed, which also contains carbonates.

Reviewer 1: In the Kattegat there were high aerobic oxidation rates on exposed carbonates and aerobic oxidation activity was found from 0 - 2 cm to 0 -13 cm depth at different

C1620

sites (depending on current). Oxygen penetrated to 5 cm depth at some sites, probably deeper in the high intertidal. Peak sulphate reduction rates were usually within 10 cm of the surface, and there was not always a second minor peak at depth. Sulphate reduction rates were often high due to organic matter buried after sand movement.

The depth of peak anaerobic methane oxidation also varied from core to core but was commonly at 10 - 20 cm depth in seep and near-seep cores. There was a greater variability in the depth of the peak rate of deposition of methane-C as carbonate (probably because of varying DIC pool sizes). These depths were between 10 and 25 cm in seep and near-seep cores. In general, the anaerobic methane oxidation maximum occurred in a zone containing carbonate-cemented sand. Total methane oxidation, integrated over 0-20 cm sediment depth, was above background within 30 cm of a gas outlet, with values up to 15 mmol m2 d-1. Given the lower oxygen penetration at the Brian site, a peak in anaerobic methane oxidation within the upper 15 cm of sediment would be expected. Peaks in methane oxidation usually coincided with peaks in methane concentration in the cores examined.

<u>Authors</u>: Two our knowledge (referring to Dando et al., 1994a) methane oxidation rates available from Kattegat sediments were determined only in-vitro with the addition of methane and air, i.e. they represent potential aerobic rates and are therefore difficult to compare with our samples measured ex-situ, i.e. close to environmental conditions. It is no surprise, that aerobic methane oxidation was restricted to the upper sediment layers. Anaerobic oxidation of methane, however, can penetrate much deeper as long as sulfate and methane are available in thermodynamically favorable amounts. Deep AOM was for instance observed in the sandy seep sediments of the Tommeliten area (Niemann et al., 2005). Therefore it would not be correct to assume that methane oxidation at Brian Seep should be restricted to the surface sediment. Of course we don't know if methane reaches higher values below the Brian Seep sand burden. Some methane profiles indicated concentration increase with depths, whereas others did not. Methane distribution seemed to be dictated by a variety of sediment characteristics

(see also discussion above and below).

Reviewer 1: The authors should be aware that most of the methane in the sand is in the form of free gas, rather than dissolved in the pore water. This could have been seen if the pore water samples were analyzed for methane. The assumption appears to have been made that it was all dissolved in the pore water. A consequence of this is that methane is not evenly distributed across the core at any one horizon, or within the samples used for methane oxidation measurements.

Authors: We fully agree and discussed this possibility in the manuscript.

Reviewer 1: The uncertainty about the geochemical profiles and the lack of ANME counts below about 8 cm, means that it is not correct to state in the abstract that "biogeochemical data suggests that the majority of methane is consumed by anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) coupled to sulfate reduction below the surface layer (>15 cm)". This may be correct but it is based on the assumption that the rates of MOX at the Brian seep are actually considerably higher than those measured. The authors should consider the implications of the gravel layer for carrying oxygen-containing water underneath the reducing sand. Such a situation is known from much deeper sites. It would depend on where the gravel outcrops and the tidal currents and storm surges.

<u>Authors</u>: We agree that we cannot make final conclusions about methanotrophic activity at depth from our data. We strongly shortened this hypothesis and concentrated with the discussion on the depth sections we measured. The old conclusion has been deleted from the abstract (the abstract has been re-written according to the new discussion).

Reviewer 1: Another statement in the abstract that is doubtful regards the "known deep-sea seep habitats, where upward fluid advection through more fine-grained sediments leads to an accumulation of AOM activity within the top 10 cm of the sediments". This activity can also be very much deeper at such sites. Studies at methane seeps at approximately 330 m depth in the Skaggerak (Dando et al. 1994b, Dando et al. 2008)

C1622

found this maximum to be 18 cm in one actively bubbling core and 40 cm in another seep core, all in very fine-grained sediment. In near seep samples the active zone could be as deep as 175 cm. Seeps are extremely variable environments and it is very seldom that two similar cores are collected.

<u>Authors</u>: The examples given by the reviewer refer to seep sediments that contain pogonophora. Tube-forming animals can transport sulfate very deep into the sediment enabling AOM at much greater depth (Cordes et al., 2005; Niemann et al., 2006). We concentrated our comparisons on sediments without pronounced bioirrigation/bioturbation (see Table 2). Here fine-grained seep sediments of deep-sea environments typically show a nearly complete depletion of sulfate within the top 15-20 cm (Joye et al., 2004; Treude et al., 2003), restricting AOM to this upper sediment layer.

Reviewer 1: Geochemical profiles around seep outlets are not uniform, since a buried shell or stone will disrupt the convective flow and neighbouring gas vents can have an influence.

Thus, cores taken at the same distance from a gas outlet can show very different profiles. For this reason alone, using Surfer to 'join' profiles along a transect (fig. 2) makes no sense and the profiles of the individual cores should be shown.

Authors: We deleted the 2 D plot and replaced it by the original profiles.

Reviewer 1: Note that sodium hydroxide strips adsorbed methane from inorganic surfaces so the methane measured is total sediment methane (dissolved + free gas + adsorbed), not just pore water methane and gaseous methane. This will also confuse the isotope ratio data since the adsorbed methane commonly has a very different ratio to the free methane.

<u>Authors:</u> As far as we are informed, such mobilization of methane from the absorbed fraction is only relevant when overall methane concentrations are very low, i.e. when the percentage of liberated methane from the absorbed fraction is very high. We doubt

that this is the case with our samples, where methane reaches concentrations still one magnitude below saturation limit.

Reviewer 1: The suggested vibrocoring is not ideal for good geochemical profiles and I would suggest using some form of diffusion devices that are left in the sediment to attain equilibrium before sampling.

<u>Authors</u>: We are aware of the destructive nature of vibro-coring but as mentioned above, a diffusion device would not work for the determination of microbial turnover rates. We included both methods in the discussion but pointed out that none of them would be satisfying alone.

Reviewer 1: The paper can be improved by giving details of exactly how the cores were removed, i.e. the steps taken to reduce disturbance of the profiles,

<u>Authors</u>: Additional information to the sampling procedure under water has been added.

Reviewer 1: and by revising the diagrams so that they are clear when printed on an A4 page. At present, some have to be expanded 4-fold in order to read some of the details

<u>Authors</u>: We re-worked all figures (increased font size, symbols etc., added color coding, re-organized) and split each figure into two to three sub-figures to increase the size of the single graphs.

Reviewer 1: The discussion would greatly benefit from revision with respect to the comments above.

References

Cordes, E. E., Arthur, M. A., Shea, K., Arvidson, R. S., and Fisher, C. R.: Modeling the mutualistic interactions between tubeworms and microbial consortia, PLoS Biology, 3, 1-10, 2005.

C1624

Dando, P. R., O'Hara, S. C. M., Schuster, U., Taylor, L. J., Clayton, C. J., Baylis, S., and Laier, T.: Gas seepage from carbonate-cemented sandstone reef on the Kattegat coast of Denmark, Mar. Petr. Geol., 11, 182-189, 1994a.

Jensen, P., Aagaard, I., Burke, R. A., Jr., Dando, P. R., Jørgensen, N. O., Kuijpers, A., Laier, T., O'Hara, S. C. M.-., and Schmaljohann, R.: 'Bubbling reefs'in the Kattegat: submarine landscapes of carbonate-cemented rocks support a diverse ecosystem at methane seeps, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 83, 103-112, 1992.

Joye, S. B., Boetius, A., Orcutt, B. N., Montoya, J. P., Schulz, H. N., Erickson, M. J., and Logo, S. K.: The anaerobic oxidation of methane and sulfate reduction in sediments from Gulf of Mexico cold seeps, Chem. Geol., 205, 219-238, 2004.

Niemann, H., Elvert, M., Hovland, M., Orcutt, B. N., Judd, A. G., Suck, I., Gutt, J., Joye, S. B., Damm, E., Finster, K., and Boetius, A.: Methane emission and consumption at a North Sea gas seep (Tommeliten area), Biogeosciences, 2, 334-351, 2005.

Niemann, H., Lösekann, T., De Beer, D., Elvert, M., Nadalig, T., Knittel, K., Aman, A., Sauter, E. J., Schlüter, M., Klages, M., Foucher, J.-P., and Boetius, A.: Novel microbial communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano and their role as a methane sink, Nature, 443, 854-858, 2006.

O'Hara, S. C. M., Dando, P. R., Schuster, U., Bennis, A., Boyle, J. D., Chui, F. T. W., Hatherell, T. V. J., Niven, S. J., and Yalor, L. J.: Gas seep induced interstitial water circulation: observations and environmental impliations, Continental Shelf Research, 15, 931-948, 1995.

Treude, T., Boetius, A., Knittel, K., Wallmann, K., and Jørgensen, B. B.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane above gas hydrates at Hydrate Ridge, NE Pacific Ocean, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 264, 1-14, 2003.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 1905, 2010.