

Interactive comment on “Integrating field sampling, spatial statistics and remote sensing to map wetland vegetation in the Pantanal, Brazil” by J. Arieira et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 6 November 2010

This is an interesting application of geostatistical methods to map wetland vegetation communities. I think, however, that the title is somewhat misleading. The authors seem to be equating 'spatial statistics' with geostatistics and I suggest "geostatistics" or more specifically 'regression kriging' be added in place of 'spatial statistics'. Another mis-used term in the paper involves the authors' treatment of uncertainty– I think they are simply testing accuracy in the first method (cross-validation), and the simulation example they provide is not clear (has it been used in similar studies?). Also it's difficult to synthesize these results without having some kind of benchmark for comparison purposes. The authors seem to categorize this study as one type of a species distribution model (given their citing of Guisan and Zimmermann and Miller et al), but it's not really the

C3633

same concept. SDM are based on relationships between environmental gradients, whereas what the authors describe is more related to image classification applications in remote sensing. Many of the technical methods (particularly on uncertainty) are not clear, and specific details of the technical methods should be justified (for example, why was a specific variogram model used?). I think this paper could be a nice contribution to mapping wetlands methods literature, but I suggest revisions along the lines described above.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 6889, 2010.