

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “Remote sensing of ecosystem light use efficiency with MODIS-based PRI – the DOs and DON’Ts” by A. Goerner et al.***

**G. Wohlfahrt (Editor)**

georg.wohlfahrt@uibk.ac.at

Received and published: 15 November 2010

The paper bg-2010-239 has been assessed by three reviewers. Two of them (#2 and #3) were very favorable, reviewer #1 was more critical but also suggested that the paper should be published in BG after a number of major comments has been dealt with (reviewer #1 will also be available to check the revision). At least two reviewers comment on the title not properly reflecting the contents of the paper.

When submitting a revised paper the authors should take all reviewer comments into account and the revision should be accompanied by a point-by-point reply to these comments. I should add that in contrary to comment of reviewer #3, language editing does not belong to the editorial duties - rather the authors should have the revision thoroughly checked by a native speaker or ask for language editing by Copernicus (at

C3807

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



additional costs).

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 6935, 2010.

**BGD**

7, C3807–C3808, 2010

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3808

