Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, C5085–C5086, 2011 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/7/C5085/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

7, C5085-C5086, 2011

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Soil organic matter dynamics in a North America tallgrass prairie after 9 years of experimental warming" *by* X. Cheng et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 15 February 2011

This ms addresses most interesting questions, i.e. if warming modifies C inputs belowground, C allocation to different SOC pools and their turnover time. The questions are very relevant and timely but I am sorry to say that the approach used is very questionable in this experimental setting. The authors completely omit to describe, in the methods section, how plants were sampled and analyzed for delta13C and delta15N, neither are plant delta values provided in the tables. On the other hand, those values are fundamental to the study since they are used as end members in the mixing models. Not knowing how plants were sampled, with which frequency along the 9 years period, how the data were integrated to obtain one delta value to use in the mixing model, it is hard to judge if this work is sound. However, considering the range of





differences in the delta values measured for soils from different treatments (below 2‰ and with SE intervals always overlapping) – it is hard to believe that the isotopic approach was applicable at this site. Infact, under the warming treatement C3 plants may have suffered from water stress and increased their delta13C by as much as 2‰ this could have explained the very small differences in the soil delta without necessarely impling a shift in vegetation between C3 and C4. This ms cannot be considered for publication before a full description of plant sampling strategy during the nine years of the experiments is provided and plant isotopic data shown. Also given the very narrow range of delta values, authors need to use the Phillips and Roth (2001) datasheet to calculate errors and confidence intervals on source partitioning. Again, I'll be surprised to see that this approach works and that they obtain reliable estimates on their C3/C4 sources. I have read the comments of the reviewer before me and I fully agree with him/her, therefore I do not add other comments in relation to the overall manuscript.

BGD

7, C5085-C5086, 2011

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 8381, 2010.