

Interactive comment on “The emission factor of volatile isoprenoids: caveats, model algorithms, response shapes and scaling” by Ü. Niinemets et al.

T. Karl

tomkarl@ucar.edu

Received and published: 19 February 2010

I challenge the main conclusion put forward in this review/opinion piece by arguing that one of the biggest emission uncertainties inherent in regional and global CTMs arises not exclusively from subtle differences in definitions of parametrized emission algorithms, but from uncertainties associated with up-scaling to the ecosystem and regional scale. This is true for most ecosystems, certainly for tropical ecosystems due to the inherent species diversity. We have recently demonstrated (Karl et al., 2007) that ecosystem scale flux measurements in combination with a VAT model can be used to derive a weighted ecosystem scale Es using an inverse modeling approach. I argue

C86

that a more integrated approach than presented by Niinemets et al. is clearly necessary. Uncertainties of species aggregation in higher scale emission models can only be assessed by ecosystem scale flux measurements, which can largely eliminate aggregation errors discussed by Niinemets et al. and put the discussion of uncertainties in context of quantitative improvements of BVOC emissions in CTMs. Global observations of photooxidation products in combination with CTM inversions can also provide useful top-down constraints for VOC emission models. It is surprising that only little discussion is devoted to these emerging topics and a whole body of recent literature is simply ignored. Yet without a more integrated approach little progress in reducing errors in bottom-up emission models will be made.

Some important missing references for isoprenoids include:

Karl T., et al., The tropical forest and fire emissions experiment: Emission, chemistry, and transport of biogenic volatile organic compounds in the lower atmosphere over Amazonia, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D18302, doi:10.1029/2007JD008539, 2007.

Pressley S. et al., Relationships among canopy scale energy fluxes and isoprene flux derived from long-term, seasonal eddy covariance measurements over a hardwood forest, AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST METEOROLOGY, 2006.

Karl T., et al., Virtual Disjunct Eddy Covariance Measurements of Organic Trace compound Fluxes from a Subalpine forest Using Proton Transfer Reaction Mass Spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 279-291, 2002.

Holzinger R. et al., Seasonal variability of monoterpene emission factors for a Ponderosa pine plantation in California, ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS, 2006

Lee A et al., A comparison of new measurements of total monoterpene flux with improved measurements of speciated monoterpene flux, ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND PHYSICS, 2005

C87

Graus M, et al., A relaxed-eddy-accumulation method for the measurement of isoprenoid canopy-fluxes using an online gas-chromatographic technique and PTR-MS simultaneously, *ATMOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENT*, 2006

Greenberg JP et al., Biogenic VOC emissions from forested Amazonian landscapes, *GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY*, 2004

Helmig D et al., Vertical profiling and determination of landscape fluxes of biogenic non-methane hydrocarbons within the planetary boundary layer in the Peruvian Amazon, *JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-ATMOSPHERES*, 1998.

Kim S. et al., Measurements of sesquiterpenes by proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry (PTR-MS), *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 2009.

Barkley M. P. et al., Regulated large-scale annual shutdown of Amazonian isoprene emissions?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, 10.1029/2008GL036843, 2009.

Palmer PI, et al., Mapping isoprene emissions over North America using formaldehyde column observations from space, *JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-ATMOSPHERES*, 2003.

Interactive comment on *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 7, 1233, 2010.