Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, C877–C879, 2010 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/7/C877/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

7, C877-C879, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Autotrophic and heterotrophic metabolism of microbial planktonic communities in an oligotrophic coastal marine ecosystem: seasonal dynamics and episodic events" by O. Bonilla-Findji et al.

P. Williams (Referee)

pjlw@bangor.ac.uk

Received and published: 7 May 2010

As the authors correctly note, there has been a lively debate over the past decade concerning the balance of metabolism in marine systems. It has proven to be a much more intractable problem than most of us imagined and we have tended to select physically isolated offshore locations for study to simplify the problem. The authors have really grasped the nettle and gone for a complex inshore location and much to their credit achieved more than a fair degree of success – for which they are to be congratulated. The present paper makes a valuable contribution to the debate and I would recommend

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



its publication - few changes are needed.

- 1) I believe there are two papers that relevant and should be considered in the discussion: Serret et al (1999) Seasonal compensation of microbial production and respiration. MEPS 187 43-57 and Moncoiffel et al (2000) Seasonal and short-time-scale dynamics of microplankton community production and respiration in an inshore upwelling system. MEPS 196 111-128.
- 2) There is a form of analysis limit cycle which I have always felt might provide insights in studies like this; it is to plot the properties against one another, connecting the points up in time sequence— it's used for Lotka-Volterra type studies, which has affinities with the resp/production balance problem. It's dead easy to do and worth giving a try
- 3) Minor points now:
- p. 2040, I. 20: "westerly and the deposition". Also "ash/ashes" is a funny one in English I can think of no hard rule but you empty the <u>ashes</u> of your fire but we have Icelandic volcanic <u>ash</u> causing mayhem over the UK at the present. So, I think it should be "ash" in this case not ashes.
- p. 2042, l. 9 I'm pretty certain the units should be milli-mol/m2 d not micro-mol/m2 d, please check
- p. 2042, l. 16: I think Fig 7b should be 7a, please check
- p.2043, I. 1: I think Fig 5a should be 8b, please check
- p. 2061, Fig 5 I think the units 5c should be micro-mol, not milli-mol/I please check. Note graphs are not lettered 5a, 5b etc
- p. 2063 Fig 7a I cannot find a clear statement in the Figure whether the GPP:R ratio is volumetric or areal rates– the former is my guess please make clear in the figure caption. Again lettering missing

BGD

7, C877-C879, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Peter Williams

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 2033, 2010.

BGD

7, C877-C879, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

