Biogeochemistry of manganese in Lake Matano, Indonesia
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Abstract

This study explores Mn biogeochemistry in a stratified, ferruginous lake. Intense Mn cycling occurs in the chemocline where Mn is recycled at least 15 times before sedimentation. The kinetics of Mn oxidation in Lake Matano are similar to other studied environments, implying that Mn oxidation is relatively insensitive to environmental parameters and may be controlled by similar mechanisms in diverse settings. The product of biologically catalyzed Mn oxidation in Lake Matano is birnessite. Although there is evidence for abiotic Mn reduction with Fe(II), Mn reduction likely occurs through a variety of pathways. The flux of Fe(II) is insufficient to balance the reduction of Mn at 125 m depth in the water column, and Mn reduction could be a significant contributor to CH₄ oxidation. By combining results from synchrotron-based X-ray fluorescence and X-ray spectroscopy, extractions of sinking particles, and reaction transport modeling, we find the kinetics of Mn reduction in the lake’s reducing waters are sufficiently rapid to preclude the deposition of Mn oxides from the water column to the sediments underlying anoxic water. Rather, Mn is likely sequestered in these sediments as pseudo kutnahorite. This has strong implications for the interpretation of the sedimentary Mn record.

1 Introduction

Relative to its average environmental concentration, Mn plays a disproportionally large role in the cycling of many biogeochemically relevant compounds in nature, such as organic matter (Sunda and Kieber, 1994), trace metals (Kepkay, 1985; Taillefert et al., 2002; Crowe et al., 2007) and iron (Postma, 1985; Duckworth et al., 2008). In aquatic environments, Mn is most commonly found in three valence states: reduced Mn(II), intermediate Mn(III), and oxidized Mn(IV). While all three valence states are found in the environment as minerals, only Mn(II) and complexed Mn(III) are observed in solution (Canfield et al., 2005). Owing to these multiple oxidation states and the
possibility of diffusive transport in its reduced state, manganese is actively cycled by oxidation and reduction reactions in sediments and stratified water columns (Canfield et al., 1993; Murray et al., 1995).

Abiotic manganese oxidation is kinetically limited at circumneutral pH. Under most environmental circumstances, Mn oxidation is a biologically catalyzed process (Tebo et al., 2007), but to date, it has not been conclusively linked to autotrophic metabolism or cell growth (Canfield et al., 2005). Mn oxidation has long been hypothesized to occur enzymatically via a membrane-bound multicopper oxidase (Brouwers et al., 2000), but recently, a Mn oxidizing heme peroxidase, similar to the one found in fungi, was identified in two Alphaproteobacteria (Anderson et al., 2009). In 2011, it was reported that the Alphaproteobacterium, *Roseobacter* sp. AzwK-3b mediates the oxidation of Mn(II) indirectly by enzymatically producing superoxide, which in turn reacts with Mn(II) (Learman et al., 2011). As of yet, however, the molecular mechanisms underpinning prokaryotic Mn oxidation have not been determined conclusively.

Mn oxide reduction, on the other hand, proceeds rapidly through the abiotic oxidation of species such as Fe(II) or sulfide, and Mn oxides can also be reduced biologically, either through fermentative or dissimilatory metabolisms (reviewed in Lovley, 1991). Luther et al. (1997) suggested NH$_4^+$ as a potential reductant for Mn oxides, though a later study found no evidence of this reaction in the environment (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000). Recent studies, including one at Lake Matano, have linked biological Mn (and Fe) oxide reduction to methane oxidation (Beal et al., 2009; Crowe et al., 2011). Smemo and Yavitt (2010) recently cited the Crowe et al. (2011) study of CH$_4$ cycling in Lake Matano as some of the most compelling evidence to date of CH$_4$ oxidation coupled to Fe/Mn oxide reduction given the dearth of both SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ in the lake.

Most studies of manganese redox cycling have focused on sediments (reviewed in Thamdrup, 2000), whereas substantially fewer have looked at chemically stratified water columns (Bratina et al., 1998; Taillefert et al., 2002; Balistrieri et al., 1992; Murray et al., 1995). A conceptual model of Mn and O$_2$ water column profiles generally
applicable to most stratified aquatic environments (e.g., Lake Vanda, the Black Sea, Lake Bret, etc.) (see Davison (1993) for a review) is shown in Fig. 1. Reactions controlling the oxidation and reduction of Mn are shown in Table 1. Commonly, Mn oxidation occurs where Mn(II) and oxygen coexist, with concomitant Mn particle formation. Just below the depth of oxygen penetration, a peak in the dissolved manganese concentration develops from reduction of these particles as they settle. Contrary to the generally accepted cascade of terminal electron acceptors used in stratified environments (Froelich et al., 1979), Mn reduction can occur in oxic environments (Bratina et al., 1998; Canfield et al., 2005), so oxidation and reduction can occur simultaneously in the same water mass. Dissolved Mn concentrations typically decrease with depth in anoxic water (Davison, 1993), potentially through precipitation of a Mn(II)-bearing mineral phase.

Perhaps the best-studied, stratified water column with respect to Mn geochemistry is the Black Sea, where N and S may play key roles in Mn cycling. For example, hydrogen sulfide, the dominant reducing species in the euxinic water column, is possibly oxidized by complexed Mn(III) (Yakushev et al., 2009), first identified in the environment in the Black Sea and Chesapeake Bay (Trouwborst et al., 2006). This Mn(III) may be what is sustaining the zone where oxygen and sulfide are both undetectable, which extends for 5–10 m below the depth of oxygen penetration (Yakushev et al., 2009). One-dimensional modeling implicated nitrate, as opposed to O$_2$, as an alternative oxidant for Mn in this zone (Murray et al., 1995), but this has yet to be corroborated with field studies (Clement et al., 2009; Schippers et al., 2005).

In Lake Matano, a 590 m deep meromictic lake located on Sulawesi Island, Indonesia, Mn(II) is mixed into the oxic zone where it is oxidized. The oxides sink below the redox boundary where there is very little sulfide to act as reductant, but there is ample Fe(II), NH$_4$, and CH$_4$. Therefore, Lake Matano offers a contrasting glimpse of manganese biogeochemical cycling in a unique, Fe-rich, S-poor system. Here, we use lake water incubations, synchrotron-based X-ray spectroscopy and X-ray fluorescence analyses of water column particles, selective chemical extractions of water column
particles, and reaction transport modeling to quantify the oxidation and reduction kinetics of Mn. We then place the results of this work into geobiological context and offer an alternative interpretation for Precambrian sedimentary Mn deposits.

2 Location

The Malili Lake system is situated on the southeastern peninsula of Sulawesi Island, Indonesia (Crowe et al., 2008b). The Lake Matano basin (164 km²) is formed by a horst and graben depression, and at nearly 600 m deep, it is the eighth deepest lake in the world. Between one and four million years in age, it is also one of only a handful of ancient lakes on the planet. It has a catchment made predominantly of ophiolitic rock and weathered lateritic soils (Golightly, 1981), which contribute to the high (40–60%, Crowe et al., 2004) iron (hydr)oxide concentrations in the lake sediments. The lake is persistently stratified by weak thermal and salinity gradients (Crowe et al., 2008b) that have likely been maintained for centuries (Katsev et al., 2010). Lake Matano has been suggested as the best modern analogue for the Precambrian, ferruginous oceans (Crowe et al., 2008a).

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Sampling

Sampling was conducted at a central, deep-water station (2°28′00″ S and 121°17′00″ E) in January–March 2009. Water samples were collected by one of two methods. In the first, we used 5 L Go-Flow (Niskin) bottles attached in series to a stainless steel cable placed at depth with a precision and accuracy of ±1 m using a commercial sonar device (Furuno FCV585) to monitor the position of the bottles within the water column. In the second method, we collected water with a Johnson WPS 2.9 diaphragm pump through 150 m of 1 cm internal diameter plastic tubing. The depth of the
pump inlet was set using a conductivity, temperature, depth probe (CTD, Sea and Sun Technology) mounted just above the pump inlet and interfaced to a computer at the surface using a hydrowire. This set-up allowed real-time positioning of the pump inlet with a vertical accuracy of 0.1 m and a between-cast precision of 0.25 m. Prior to sampling, the tubing was flushed with at least three times its internal volume (12 L) using the diaphragm pump. Following the flushing period, water was pumped for sampling using a peristaltic pump (Masterflex L/S computerized drive, model number 7523-60) through a combination of Tygon® and Marprene® tubing.

Samples for dissolved manganese and major ion concentrations were taken directly from the Niskin bottle spout or pump stream with a syringe and filtered through a 0.2 µm pore, 25 mm diameter cellulose acetate filter into acid washed HDPE 125 mL bottles that were rinsed three times with sample water. Samples were acidified to 2% with trace-metal grade HNO₃. Samples for Fe(II) were taken directly from the Niskin bottle spout or from the pump stream with a pipette and placed immediately in ferrozine reagent to avoid oxidation. These samples were unfiltered as Fe particles could contribute at most 200 nM to the Fe(II) determination, and filtration would likely have oxidized some of the Fe(II) (Troup et al., 1974). All Fe and Mn samples were refrigerated and maintained at 4°C until analysis within 8 h. Surface water particles (collected from 20 and 90 m depth) were sampled by pumping water and filtering 10–20 L through a 0.2 µm pore, 142 mm diameter, polycarbonate filter housed on an in-line (allowing no contact with atmosphere) filtration device. Filters for bulk X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) analysis were sealed in Kapton® tape prior to storage. Kapton® is a strong, low molecular weight polyimide that is nearly transparent to hard X-rays (> 4000 eV) and resists damage from radiation (Alkire and Rotella, 1997), so it makes an excellent protective sheath for samples to be analyzed by hard X-ray techniques. The filters were stored frozen at −20°C in 50 mL plastic tubes until analysis. Chemo-cline particle samples were taken in a similar fashion, except they were filtered and handled in a glove bag on board a local fishing boat under an atmosphere of high purity nitrogen. Like surface water particle filters, chemocline particle filters for bulk
XANES were sealed in Kapton® tape, which also served as an additional oxygen barrier before storage. All chemocline particle filters were stored in nitrogen-filled glass vials at –20°C until analysis.

3.2 Sample analyses

Dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, and turbidity were measured in situ using a multiparameter probe (Sea and Sun Technology). Mn, Fe, and major ion concentrations were determined on a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300DV ICP-OES. Fe(II) was analyzed by the ferrozine method (Viollier et al., 2000; Stookey, 1970). Chemocline carbon fixation rates were measured in situ at 122, 124, 126, 128, 130, and 135 m using the H\textsuperscript{13}CO\textsuperscript{3} technique (Slawyk et al., 1977) and integrated to attain an area specific rate. Methane and ammonium data are reproduced from Crowe et al. (2011).

3.3 Mn oxidation rate incubations

Samples for determining manganese oxidation rates were acquired by pumping water from four depths (118, 119, 120, and 121 m) into triplicate, acid cleaned, 60 mL glass vials, first rinsed 3 times with sample water, then crimp sealed with rubber septa. Within 4 h, these samples were amended with a MnCl\textsubscript{2} solution to a total Mn concentration of approximately 40 µmol L\textsuperscript{−1}. Controls from each depth were additionally amended with 100 µL of 37% formaldehyde. Incubations were kept at 28°C in the dark and subsampled by injecting an equal volume of sterile-filtered air as the sample was removed (4 cm\textsuperscript{3}). A time-zero sample was taken immediately from each vial. Mn(II) concentrations were analyzed in duplicate spectrophotometrically by the formaldoxime method (Brewer and Spencer, 1971).

3.4 Water column particle extractions

Particulate manganese concentrations were determined from particles collected on 0.2 µm pore, 142 mm diameter, polycarbonate filters. A known fraction of each filter...
was extracted following the parallel, selective sediment extraction protocols of Neaman et al. (2004). This involved extracting with 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\) hydroxylamine HCl for 2 h to obtain Mn oxides, although this extraction also incompletely dissolves the Al-Li-Mn oxide, lithiophorite and could also attack Fe oxides (Neaman et al., 2004). A parallel extraction used 30% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) with 0.5 N HNO\(_3\) for 0.5 h. As the peroxide first oxidizes the Mn(IV) to Mn(VII) in the Mn oxides, this extraction should not attack Fe oxides or Mn contained within Fe oxide structure. It will attack organic matter, however (Neaman et al., 2004). In addition to these two parallel extractions, a sequential extraction of 0.5 N HCl for 1 h was followed by digestion in 6 N HCl for 24 h at 100 °C to obtain reactive Fe and total extractible Fe and Mn, respectively (Lovley and Phillips, 1986; Poulton and Canfield, 2005). Filter extracts were analyzed on a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300DV ICP-OES for Fe, Mn, and other major ions.

### 3.5 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Particles for TEM analyses were collected on 142 mm diameter polycarbonate filters as described above. Particles were washed from the filter surface with anaerobic deionized water, pipetted onto TEM grids, and dried. All manipulations were done in an anaerobic chamber. TEM micrographs were collected on a Tecnai 20 D491 X-Twin transmission electron microscope. The TEM operates at 200 kV using a field emission gun in STEM nP LM zoom diffraction mode as an electron source and is equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) operated at 4.2 kV extraction voltage.

### 3.6 Synchrotron-based X-ray fluorescence and spectroscopy

Particles for synchrotron X-ray spectroscopic analyses were collected and preserved on 142 mm diameter polycarbonate filters as described above. Micro X-ray Fluorescence (µXRF) maps and (micro) X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES and µXANES) spectra were collected on beamline 20-BM-B (PNC-CAT) at the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory, Illinois. The filter sample was mounted on a vertical plastic sample holder oriented at 45° to the beam in the horizontal direction.
plane. The incident X-ray beam was focused using a pair of Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors, and a monochromatic incident beam was achieved using a Si(111) double crystal monochromator. Filters used for μXANES and μXRF analyses were subsampled, and a single piece was placed particle-side down on kapton film then sealed in kapton tape under a nitrogen atmosphere prior to spectra collection.

μXRF elemental maps were collected with monochromatic incident X-rays (Si(111)) tuned to 14,000 eV (λ = 0.5580 Å) and focused to a spot size of 5 µm by 5 µm using a pair of Kirkpatrick-Baez mirrors. The sample was rastered through the X-ray beam in 5 µm steps with a count time of 1 s per step. The emitted fluorescence X-rays of 9 elements (Ti Kα, V Kα, Cr Kα, Mn Kα, Fe Kα, Co Kα, Ni Kα, Cu Kα, and Zn Kα) were collected simultaneously with a 13-element Germanium detector (Canberra). In μXRF mapping, Fe and Mn raw intensity counts were calibrated to moles by integrating the raw intensity counts, less the average blank, in a given area and dividing by the concentration of Fe or Mn per given filter area as determined by total extractions.

μXANES spectra were collected in fluorescence mode on selected areas of the μXRF map where Mn counts were high. The Si(111) monochromator was calibrated to the Mn Kα edge at 6539 eV using the first peak of the first derivative XANES spectrum for the Mn metallic foil. The energy scale for each sample was referenced to the edge in the Mn foil spectrum collected in transmission mode simultaneously with sample data. The X-ray absorption structure (XAS) data were normalized and processed using Athena 8.054 (Ravel and Newville, 2005).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Limnological features

Lake Matano is a deep, steep-sided, tropical lake that maintains a persistent pycnocline near 100 m depth. This stratification is largely due to weak thermal and salinity gradients that persist because of the lake bathymetry and the lack of large seasonal temperature fluctuations in the tropics (Crowe et al., 2008b; Katsev et al., 2010).
Figure 2 shows temperature and density profiles from 2004 to 2010. These profiles depict seasonal, surface water differences but highlight the stability of the ~100 m deep, persistent pycnocline. In 2009, however, the depth of the oxic mixed layer was ~10 m deeper than previous years for reasons that remain unknown. In 2010, the depth of the oxic mixed layer shallowed to near its pre-2009 position. When discussing concentration profiles, we will refer to the depths and concentrations measured in 2009, unless otherwise stated, as incubations were done this year.

The chemical structure of the Lake Matano water column has been previously described (Crowe et al., 2008b), and in general, our observations are consistent with this earlier work (Fig. 3). The dissolved Mn(II) concentration profile develops as a balance between sources and sinks, where Mn(II) oxidation is a sink, Mn(III/IV) reduction is a source, and precipitation of a Mn-bearing mineral is a sink. As it is currently understood, Mn oxidation requires molecular oxygen despite previous suggestions that NO$_3^-$ could act as an oxidant (Luther et al., 1997; Murray et al., 1995; Schippers et al., 2005; Clement et al., 2009). In Lake Matano, oxygen concentration gradients reflect the physical structure of the water column and oxygen demands. In the surface water, oxygen concentrations are near atmospheric saturation and decline due to respiration with increasing depth as the persistent pycnocline is approached (Fig. 3). Oxygen becomes undetectable with our methods at 120 m (±3 m between casts, conservatively, due to seiching/internal waves, Katsev et al., 2010). The steep oxygen, Mn, and Fe gradients define a chemocline, and the point of undetectable oxygen defines a redox boundary that separates aerobic from anaerobic processes. We will reference other chemical species and processes to these features. As conceptualized in Fig. 1 and depicted for the specific case of Lake Matano in Fig. 3, dissolved manganese concentrations are sub-micromolar in the surface waters and high (~6 µmol L$^{-1}$) in the bottom waters with a peak in concentration of ~17 µmol L$^{-1}$ below the redox boundary, near 125 m. Particulate Mn and Fe accumulate to concentrations of 360 and 170 nmol L$^{-1}$, respectively, at 118.6 m.
4.2 Mn oxidation and reduction: evidence from water column particles

Particles collected from the upper 130 m of the water column were analyzed by selective and total extractions (Table 2), XAS, and μXRF (Figs. 4, 5, and 6). A TEM micrograph of particles from 118.6 m is presented in Fig. 7. The TEM image shows aggregates of dark, fibrous minerals, similar in morphology to Mn oxides studied previously (Cheney et al., 2008). Also in the figure, EDS elemental spectra confirms that these dark fibrous particles are predominately Mn and O.

In general, particulate Mn concentrations as determined by our extraction methods reached a maximum at 118.6 m. Extraction of particles in 6 N HCl (Table 2) demonstrates a sharp particulate Mn concentration peak with very little Mn in particles below 118.6 m or in the surface water. Fe particles show a peak above background levels at 118.6 m as well, but higher concentrations are sustained to deeper depths suggesting the persistence of authigenic Fe phases to depth in the lake. To summarize, while both Mn and Fe particles peak at 118.6 m, authigenic Fe particles persist deeper than Mn particles. There could be several reasons for this observation: sinking Mn oxides produced during Mn oxidation may be generating the Fe oxides by oxidizing Fe(II) diffusing up from below. This would produce a profile with a Mn oxide peak just above an Fe oxide peak, which may not be discernable at the resolution of our sampling. In addition, Mn oxides are more easily reduced than Fe oxides (Krauskopf, 1957; Crowe et al., 2007), so Fe particles may be sustained deeper than Mn particles due to their relatively lower reactivity.

Water column particles were extracted with two different methods; one uses acidified \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and one uses hydroxylamine HCl (Neaman, 2004). These extractions allowed the discrimination of pure Mn oxides (e.g. birnessite, pyrolusite, \( \partial\text{MnO}_2 \)) from Mn contained in Fe oxides, as well as Al-containing Mn minerals like lithiophorite, which, together with Mn oxides, are common in the lateritic catchment soils of Lake Matano (Golightly, 1981). For our samples, both of the extractions dissolved approximately the same amount of Mn (Table 2), suggesting that lithiophorite, despite being a component of the catchment soils, is not common in the water column, and at the depths we
sampled, most of the Mn was not incorporated into Fe oxides. These results verify that Mn oxides are the dominant Mn-bearing phase in the chemocline.

At 118.6 m, a large fraction of the total extractable Mn is only extracted by sub-boiling 6 N HCl. This suggests the presence of an authigenic form of Mn that is not reactive to the selective extractions described above. These Mn phases, however, are subsequently dissolved by reduction as they sink through the water column (see below). Additionally, the 0.5 M HCl extraction failed to extract most of the particulate Fe in the surface and deep waters. This suggests that a large fraction of the Fe particles raining through the water column is relatively unreactive.

The average oxidation state of the particles at four depths (20, 118.6, 123.5, and 129 m) was determined by bulk Mn XANES spectra, and the results are shown in Fig. 4. In the surface water sample where particulate Mn is present at concentrations much less than at 118.6 m, the oxidation state is predominantly Mn(II). Surface waters are extremely clear, with secchi depths greater than 23 m, and particles at these depths are predominantly cellular material. The observed Mn(II) may, therefore, be incorporated into bacterial enzymes and oxygen-evolving complexes of oxygenic phototrophs, although Mn(II) incorporated into silicates cannot be ruled out, as the 6 N HCl extraction would not attack silicate minerals. In contrast, the oxidation state of Mn in particles at 118.6 m is predominantly Mn(IV).

To identify the mineralogy of these Mn(IV) particles, the bulk XAS spectrum from 118.6 m has been plotted along with the spectrum of a birnessite standard (Fig. 5), and these two spectra are nearly identical. The dominant Mn mineralogy at 118.6 m appears, therefore, to be birnessite, consistent with previous studies of biological Mn oxidation products in lake environments (Friedl et al., 1997). By 123.5 m, the bulk XANES spectrum suggests that particulate Mn is predominantly Mn(II), and as mentioned above, particulate Mn concentrations are much lower than at 118.6 m. The particulate Mn at 123.5 m may represent cellular Mn(II), Mn(II) adsorbed onto Fe oxides or other water column particles, or Mn(II) minerals like pseudo kutnahorite or rhodochrosite. At 129 m, no particulate Mn was detectable by bulk XANES.
In Fig. 6, μXRF maps of particles from the same four depths are shown. These maps illustrate the spatial distribution of Fe and Mn on the filters at the micrometer scale. As noted above, concentrations of both Mn and Fe in particles increase at 118.6 m. μXRF maps show considerable spatial association between Mn and Fe at this depth. Molar ratio calculations for these particles, however, show two distinct populations: a high Mn, low Fe population with a Mn:Fe molar ratio averaging approximately 3, and a high Fe, low Mn population with a molar ratio averaging approximately 0.3. Individual molar ratios span from 31.14 to 0.07 Mn:Fe. The high Mn:Fe particles likely represent the authigenic Mn oxides formed by Mn oxidation with oxygen. The high Fe particles with low Mn content are likely the product of Fe oxidation, as Fe(II) is oxidized by Mn oxides. The small amounts of associated Mn may be adsorbed on the surface, a minor coprecipitate, or incorporated into the Fe oxide structure. At all other depths analyzed, there is a small, background population of Fe particles with no discernable Mn. Chemical extractions, μXRF maps, and molar ratio plots all indicate this is a poorly reactive “background” of Fe particles supplied from the catchment.

4.3 Oxidation of Mn

Experimental rates of Mn oxidation were determined by incubating lake water from 118, 119, 120, and 121 m depth at roughly in situ temperatures and augmented with 40 μmol L⁻¹ Mn(II). The results of these incubations are shown in Fig. 8a, and the average oxidation rates calculated (∂[Mn(II)]/∂t) from these experiments are given with relative standard deviations in Table 3. An initial decrease in Mn(II) in the 118 m incubations may be Mn sorption onto Fe and Mn oxides present at this depth in the water column, as no further change is observed. The oxidation rates are highest in the 120 and 121 m depth incubations, which we conclude reflects the relative abundance of Mn oxidizing microbes at this depth. No Mn oxidation occurs in controls amended with formaldehyde or at 118 m, where Mn is normally absent from the water column (Fig. 3). These observations support our conclusion that Mn oxidation is biologically catalyzed. Averaging the experimental rates from 119, 120, and 121 m gives a mean rate of 0.15 ± 0.03 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹.
4.4 Mn(II) mineral precipitation

In the bottom waters of Lake Matano, the Mn(II) concentration decreases to 6 µmol L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3). Removal of dissolved Mn(II) at depth is expected, since the waters reach saturation with respect to a variety of Mn(II) containing minerals, consistent with thermodynamic saturation calculations (Table 4). Indeed, the bottom waters (at 200 m) of Lake Matano are oversaturated with respect to rhodochrosite, pseudo kutnahorite, and the hypothetical mineral, MnHPO\(_4\), with pseudo kutnahorite having the saturation index closest to zero and, therefore, being the most likely mineral buffering bottom water dissolved Mn(II) concentrations. As our deepest water column particle samples were from 129 m where we found no Mn particles, further work is needed to verify these predictions.

4.5 Modeling

We used flux calculations and a one dimensional (1-D) reaction transport model to explore Mn dynamics in Lake Matano. The 1-D reaction transport model allows us to estimate rates of biogeochemical processes and sinking rates of particles in the water column. It also allows us to test our experimental rate measurements by using the constants derived from the incubations to simulate the in situ profiles. The 1-D model uses AQUASIM software (Reichert, 1994), and assumes steady-state conditions and no lateral input. The model considers transport of Mn solutes and solids by turbulent eddy diffusion, sinking of Mn particles through the water column, reduction of particulate Mn oxides to Mn(II) in the anoxic water column by Fe(II) and other mechanisms, oxidation of Mn(II) by O\(_2\) above the redoxcline, and precipitation of a Mn(II) mineral in the deep water. The eddy diffusion rates were specified explicitly based on their depth distribution in Lake Matano reported in an earlier study (Katsev et al., 2010). These diffusion rates carry an uncertainty factor of \(\sim 5\). Rate expressions used in the model are presented in Table 5. The concentrations of Fe(II) in the model rate expressions were specified as functions of depth from their measured distributions (Fig. 3). Oxidation of
Mn(II) by O$_2$ was represented by a first order rate equation, to best compare the model rate constant to values obtained in our incubation experiments and from the literature.

Model parameters were adjusted to fit the measured profiles (Fig. 3). Sensitivity of the model to the reaction rate constants is illustrated in Fig. 9. The corresponding parameters for each run are presented in Table 6. The Mn(II) oxidation rate constant, $k_{\text{MnO}_x}$, was specified based on the results of our incubations and fluctuated to demonstrate the best fit and the sensitivity of the model to the constant. The rate constant for Mn oxide reduction by Fe(II), $k_{\text{MnRedFe}}$, was chosen from values reported in sediment modeling studies (Hunter et al., 1998; Van Cappellen and Wang, 1995, 1996) for lack of experimental values in comparable environments. The other Mn oxide reduction rate constant, $k_{\text{MnRed}}$, represents Mn oxide reduction by all other pathways. The rate constant for precipitation of the Mn(II) mineral phase was obtained by fitting the measured Mn(II) profile.

The model best fits the field measurements in runs 4 and 5. These fits resulted from the following parameter values: an input of Mn to the lake surface of 120 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$; a Mn oxidation first order rate constant of 0.1 d$^{-1}$; a settling velocity for Mn particles on the order of 4.5 m d$^{-1}$; and a first order Mn(II) mineral formation rate of 0.0005 d$^{-1}$. The settling velocity of the Mn oxide particles was set in order to meet mass balance requirements and corresponds to Stokes's law of settling of a birnessite (density of 3.4 g cm$^{-3}$) particle of 5 µm diameter. To explain the sharp gradient in particulate Mn at the redoxcline, a sufficiently high rate of Mn reduction was achieved by a combination of two Mn reduction pathways (runs 4 and 5): e.g., with a second order rate constant for Mn oxide reduction by Fe(II) of 1000 m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and a first order rate constant for other pathways of 50 d$^{-1}$. Alternatively, the rate constant for Mn oxide reduction by Fe(II) could be 10 000 m$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ and the rate constant for other pathways 5 d$^{-1}$. Trying to fit the profiles using only one of these pathways resulted in unrealistic values of rate constants. All simulated profiles in Fig. 9 exhibited epilimnetic Mn oxide concentrations higher than the observed values, which suggests that the Mn oxides are supplied into the lake predominantly as slumps along the steep bottom slopes, rather...
than through the lake surface. This is consistent with previous conclusions about the
supply of Fe to the lake’s deep waters and sediments (Crowe et al., 2008).

Flux calculations allow estimation of Mn recycling rates, which in turn allow us to con-
strain the potential contributions of various reductants in the reduction of authigenic Mn
oxides, within a factor of 5. Fluxes of solutes, J, were calculated based on concentra-
tion gradients measured in 2009 and eddy diffusivity coefficients, K_z (Table 7), as

\[ J = -K_z \times \frac{\partial [\text{Mn(II)}]}{\partial z} \]  

(1)

Using a steady state assumption, the volume specific rate calculated from the upward
flux of Mn(II) is

\[ R_{\text{MnO}_x} = \frac{J}{z} \]  

(2)

Assuming a 3 m zone of oxidation (118.5 to 121.5 m), the measured gradients yield an
average rate of \( R_{\text{MnO}_x} \) = 0.27 µmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\). Using this rate, a range of Mn(II) concen-
trations from 1–15 µmol L\(^{-1}\), and assuming a pseudo first order rate law:

\[ R_{\text{MnO}_x} = k_{\text{ox}} \times [\text{Mn(II)}] \]  

(3)

we calculate a range of Mn oxidation rate constants \( (k_{\text{ox}}) \) from 0.27 d\(^{-1}\) to 0.01 d\(^{-1}\). As
discussed above, incubations of lake water augmented with Mn(II) suggested Mn oxida-
tion rate constants around 0.015 d\(^{-1}\), near the lower estimate of the range calculated
here. Using a \( k_{\text{ox}} \) value of 0.1 d\(^{-1}\) (chosen from within these derived values) in our 1-D
model, we are able to reproduce the Mn(II) and particulate Mn oxide profiles (Fig. 9).

The Mn oxidation rate constant used in the best-fit model (0.1 d\(^{-1}\)) is nearly an or-
der of magnitude greater than the one estimated by our incubations (0.015 d\(^{-1}\)). The
incubations, by design, were cut off from sources of substrates other than Mn(II) and
\( \text{O}_2 \) and were given no organic carbon to sustain natural populations of heterotrophic
bacteria. As the incubations lasted > 180 d, this isolation may have affected the ability
of populations to, for example, produce and excrete superoxide or maintain enzymatic heme peroxidase activity. Therefore, while the incubations allowed us to ensure that Mn(II) oxidation was biologically catalyzed, they likely underestimate in situ rates and rate constants.

The Mn oxidation rates in our incubations displayed first order reaction kinetics (Fig. 8b), which allows us to compare our results with first order rate constants reported from other environments (Table 8). In this comparison, we note a marked similarity between rate constants from diverse water column settings. These rate constants vary from 0.04 to 0.74 d\(^{-1}\), with a mean of 0.26 d\(^{-1}\). This may imply that the mechanism of Mn oxidation is similar in diverse situations and relatively insensitive to environmental parameters other than [Mn(II)] and the presence of O\(_2\). Multiple enzymes have been suggested to play a role in the oxidation of manganese (e.g., Anderson et al., 2009; Brouwers et al., 2000). In Lake Matano, the water column concentrations of Cu are below 180 pmol L\(^{-1}\) (S. A. Crowe, unpublished data), whereas Fe is abundant, which suggests that heme peroxidase enzymes would be used in favor of multicopper oxidase enzymes. Thus, the ubiquitous finding of similar rate constants might suggest that these enzymes function in a related manner, and Mn oxidation rate depends mainly on O\(_2\) concentrations in a variety of different environments.

4.6 Recycling rates and potential reductants

Comparing calculated fluxes of Mn(II) allows us to quantify water column Mn recycling and constrain the potential reductants of Mn oxides (Fig. 10). Assuming pseudo-steady state, the rate of Mn leaving the water column must equal the rate of Mn input. Thus, we can equate the downward flux of Mn(II) (driven by Mn(II) mineral precipitation/sedimentation), 61 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Table 7), with the total flux of Mn into the system. Since Mn leaves the water column as a Mn(II) mineral, Mn reduction can be calculated as the sum of the upward (oxidative) and downward (precipitation driven) fluxes (821 + 61 = 882 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). This rate of Mn reduction is a factor of 4 higher than estimated rates of Fe reduction in Lake Matano (Crowe et al., 2011). Mn reduction is
roughly 15 times the Mn input, suggesting that Mn is recycled at least 15 times within the water column before removal by sedimentation.

As noted by reactions in Table 1, Mn oxides can be reduced using a number of electron donors. Figure 3 shows the concentration profiles of some of these reductants in Lake Matano, where Fe(II), NH$_4^+$, and CH$_4$ are all in abundant supply. Fe(II) is first detectable (> 1 µmol L$^{-1}$) at 121 m, below the peak in Mn oxides at 118.6 m. By 250 m, Fe(II) reaches a concentration of 140 µmol L$^{-1}$. Both CH$_4$ and NH$_4^+$ display similar shaped concentration profiles, with maximum concentrations of 1.3 mmol L$^{-1}$ and 200 µmol L$^{-1}$, respectively, below 250 m depth. CH$_4$, as opposed to Fe(II), coexists with oxygen. Other potential electron donors are organic carbon and sulfide.

Based on the distribution and oxidation state of Mn particles (Figs. 3 and 5), authigenic Mn oxides are reduced in the water column by 120.5 m. Comparing the Mn reductive flux with the upward flux of Fe(II) across the specific depth interval where Fe(II) may contribute to Mn reduction (119 to 121 m) shows that Fe(II) may account for up to 56% of Mn reduction. There is, of course, ample Fe(II) in the deep basin to reduce all the Mn oxides, but the upward Fe(II) fluxes calculated in the vicinity of Mn reduction are not sufficient; therefore, there must be an additional reductant for Mn oxides.

In Lake Matano's chemocline, area-specific sulfate reduction rates are 19 µmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (Crowe et al., 2008b). If all sulfide produced by sulfate reduction is in turn oxidized back to sulfate by Mn oxides, sulfide could account for 9% of total Mn reduction. Since some sulfide precipitates as solid Fe sulfides (Crowe et al., 2008a), however, this is an overestimate. Organic carbon is also a known reductant for Mn oxides. In the epilimnion, primary production rates were measured at $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in 2007 (Crowe et al., 2011). This should generate enough organic carbon to account for all Mn reduction, provided the organic carbon is not respired in the epilimnion. In the chemocline in 2009, however, area specific carbon fixation rates are $9.9 \times 10^{-3}$ mol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$. Therefore, there is ample organic carbon fixed in the vicinity of the chemocline to reduce the Mn oxides generated just above
the redox boundary. Another thermodynamically favorable reaction involves oxidation of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) via reduction of Mn oxides (Table 1). Theoretically, there is an ample \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) flux to account for all Mn reduction. To date, however, there is little experimental and environmental evidence for this process, and a detailed study found it absent from Mn-rich, marine sediments (Thamdrup et al., 2000). As previously proposed (Crowe et al., 2011), we suggest that \( \text{CH}_4 \) is an additional, potential reductant. While we have shown that Mn oxides are supplied with ample reductants, \( \text{CH}_4 \) is lacking oxidants (Crowe et al., 2011). At a rate of 882 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), Mn reduction could account for 5.3% of \( \text{CH}_4 \) oxidation in Lake Matano. If the maximum Mn reduction from the Fe(II) flux is first subtracted, Mn oxide reduction by \( \text{CH}_4 \) could be estimated to oxidize \( \sim 2.3\% \) of the total \( \text{CH}_4 \) flux.

5 Conclusions and geobiological implications

Mn oxidation occurs in a ferruginous chemocline at rates similar to other diverse environments. This suggests that Mn oxidation is insensitive to environmental conditions and may be controlled by a single, common mechanism. Birnessite produced from Mn oxidation settles less than 2 m in the water column before it is completely reduced, likely by a combination of Fe(II), \( \text{H}_2\text{S} \), organic matter, and \( \text{CH}_4 \). Pseudo kutnahorite precipitation is predicted to buffer the bottom water dissolved Mn(II) concentrations. Due to Mn recycling, Mn reduction rates exceed those of Fe despite the much lower concentrations of Mn in the water column. According to our findings, the kinetics of authigenic Mn oxide reduction in a ferruginous environment are so rapid that they prevent Mn oxide sedimentation through the underlying anoxic water column.

These conclusions could weigh heavily on our interpretation of Paleoproterozoic ocean water column chemistry from which large Mn formations were deposited. As far as current evidence suggests, Mn oxidizes only in the presence of \( \text{O}_2 \), and most Mn in marine sedimentary Mn formations must have originally deposited as Mn oxides (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996). Based on C isotope studies, it has been suggested...
that the carbonate in Mn carbonate minerals from these formations was produced during the diagenetic oxidation of organic matter during respiratory Mn reduction (Tsikos et al., 2003). As Mn oxides would be reduced in a ferruginous water column, we can conclude that the accumulation of Mn oxides in sediments, necessary for subsequent diagenetic Mn reduction, requires that overlying waters contained less Fe(II) than Mn, and the surface waters contained molecular oxygen. Additionally, H$_2$S reacts abiotically with Mn in much the same way as Fe(II) (Yao and Millero, 1993). Formation of Mn oxide deposits, therefore, would be most favorable in settings with bottom waters that have low concentrations of both Fe(II) and H$_2$S, and perhaps even CH$_4$. This scenario could be envisioned as the stratified ocean transitioned from a ferruginous to a euxinic one. The solubility of Fe sulfides is much lower than the solubility of Mn sulfides (Stumm and Morgan, 1996), so as Fe(II) is titrated from the oceans by increasing H$_2$S, Mn(II) is left to accumulate. This stratified, manganous ocean would be poised to deposit large quantities of Mn oxides until the source of H$_2$S overwhelmed the source of iron and the oceans became euxinic. Furthermore, we can assume that the concentration of Fe(II) in these anoxic bottom waters was $<$ 2 times the concentration of Mn(II) and sulfide concentrations were $<$ 4 times the concentration of Mn(II) based on the stoichiometries of the reducing reactions seen in Table 1. The sources of Fe (weathering and hydrothermal) would still be active during the transitional, manganous ocean, explaining the presence of considerable Fe in most Mn deposits, whereas the concentration of Mn in Fe deposits is low because the ferruginous conditions preclude Mn oxide sedimentation. An alternative explanation would be that Mn-oxide sedimentation occurred in sediments overlain by waters containing low oxygen concentrations and substantial dissolved Mn(II) (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996). These potential scenarios are being further investigated.
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Table 1. Reactions controlling Mn cycling in stratified water columns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mn oxidation reactions</th>
<th>Mn reduction reactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$</td>
<td>$2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+$</td>
<td>$2\text{FeOOH} + \text{Mn}^{2+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{NO}_3 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$</td>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{S} + 4\text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4\text{MnO}_2 + \text{N}_2 + 4\text{H}^+$</td>
<td>$\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 4\text{Mn}^{2+} + 6\text{OH}^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{HCO}_3^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \overset{\text{hv}}{\rightarrow}$</td>
<td>$\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^- + 4\text{MnO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{MnO}_2 + \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 3\text{H}^+_b$</td>
<td>$2\text{HCO}_3^- + 4\text{Mn}^{2+} + 7\text{OH}^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3\text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{NH}_4^+ + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4\text{MnO}_2 + \text{NH}_4^+ + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{NO}_3^- + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{CH}_4 + 4\text{MnO}_2 + 7\text{H}^+ \rightarrow$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{HCO}_3^- + 4\text{Mn}^{2+} + 5\text{H}_2\text{O}^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reactions marked with letter “a” have been suggested based on indirect evidence while that marked with letter “b” is only hypothesized.
**Table 2.** Selective and total extractions of Fe and Mn in water column particles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Fe (nmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mn (nmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O$_2$ + 0.5 N HNO$_3$</td>
<td>NH$_2$OH- HCl</td>
<td>0.5 N HCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 m</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 m</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.5 m</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.6 m</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.5 m</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.5 m</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.5 m</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.5 m</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129 m</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3.** Mn oxidation rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Avg. control</th>
<th>118 m</th>
<th>119 m</th>
<th>120 m</th>
<th>121 m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn ox rate</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4.** Saturation indices (SI) of Mn minerals in Lake Matano’s bottom waters calculated as $SI = \log(IAP/K_{sp})$, where IAP is the ion activity product and $K_{sp}$ is the solubility product. Calcite and MnHPO$_4$ $K_{sp}$ were obtained from the MINTEQA2 thermodynamic database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>$K_{sp}$</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>$K_{sp}$ reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhodochoresite</td>
<td>MnCO$_3$</td>
<td>$10^{-12.51}$</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>Jensen et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutnahorite</td>
<td>CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>$10^{-19.84}$</td>
<td>-0.862</td>
<td>Mucci (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo kutnahorite</td>
<td>CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>$10^{-20.77}$</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>Mucci (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>$10^{-8.48}$</td>
<td>-0.960</td>
<td>Allison et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>MnHPO$_4$</td>
<td>$10^{-25.4}$</td>
<td>8.050</td>
<td>Allison et al. (1991)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5. Reactions and rate laws used in the 1-D model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+$</td>
<td>$R = k_{\text{MnO}_2}[\text{Mn(II)}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow 2\text{FeOOH} + \text{Mn}^{2+}$</td>
<td>$R = k_{\text{MnRedFe}[\text{Fe(II)}][\text{MnO}_2]}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{MnO}<em>2 + \text{C}</em>{\text{org}} \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2$</td>
<td>$R = k_{\text{MnRed}}[\text{MnO}_2]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Mn(II) mineral}$</td>
<td>$R = k_{\text{MnPrec}}([\text{Mn(II)}] - [\text{Mn(II)}_{\text{deep}}])$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6. 1-D model parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
<th>Value 5</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Lit. value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{MnO}_x}$</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$\text{d}^{-1}$</td>
<td>See Table 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{MnRedFe}}$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>$\text{m}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$&lt;2000^1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{MnRed}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\text{d}^{-1}$</td>
<td>1 to 6$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{Mn(II)min}}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$\text{d}^{-1}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{MnO}_2\text{ settle}}$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>$\text{m}\text{d}^{-1}$</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7.** Flux calculation parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc. gradient (µmol L⁻¹ m⁻¹)</th>
<th>Flux direction</th>
<th>Diffusive flux (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Volume specific rate (µmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶ up</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.27, 0.15ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1 x 10⁻⁵ down</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶ down</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶ up</td>
<td>994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶ up</td>
<td>4190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶ up</td>
<td>998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All calculations are based on data collected in 2009 except CH₄ and NH₄ which were taken from Crowe (2011). Mn flux up (driven by Mn(II) oxidation) is calculated assuming a 3 m zone of oxidation. Other reactions assume a 7 m active zone.

ᵃ Volume specific rates were also calculated from the Mn oxidation incubations.
Table 8. Pseudo-first order rate constants for Mn(II) oxidation from several aquatic environments (after Johnson et al., 1991).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k_{ox}$ (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tamar estuary</td>
<td>Vojak et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Oneida Lake</td>
<td>Chapnick et al. (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Rhone River</td>
<td>Balikungeri et al. (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lac de Bret</td>
<td>De Vitre (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010–0.39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Saanich Inlet</td>
<td>Tebo and Emerson (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Lake Matano</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Conceptualized Mn (dissolved and particulate) and O$_2$ profiles.
Fig. 2. Seasonal temperature and density in Lake Matano from 2004 to 2010.
Fig. 3. Lake Matano water column profile of dissolved Mn (left panel) and dissolved Fe (middle panel) from 2004 to 2010. Right panel shows representative dissolved O$_2$, NH$_4^+$, and CH$_4$ profiles. CH$_4$ and NH$_4^+$ profiles are reproduced from Crowe et al. (2011). Bottom panels are a closer view of the chemocline with the left panel displaying O$_2$, dissolved Mn(II), and Fe(II), while the bottom right panel shows particulate Fe and Mn.
Fig. 4. Bulk XANES spectra of standards and water column particles. $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3$ spectra courtesy of Steve Heald at Argonne National Laboratory.
Fig. 5. Averaged XAS spectra from 118.6 m water column particles, XAS spectrum of synthesized birnessite, and the residual spectrum from subtracting these two spectra.
Fig. 6. Two-dimensional contour maps (1 mm²) acquired by synchrotron-based µXRF showing Mn and Fe associations. The bottom row of graphs show scatter plots of fmol Fe vs. fmol Mn from the µXRF maps. Oxides are formed near 118.6 m, and two distinct populations are visible there. There is a background population of high Fe-low Mn particles visible at all other depths.
Fig. 7. TEM micrograph of particles collected from 118.6 m depth. Aggregates of dark, fibrous minerals seen in the TEM image are similar in morphology to birnessite (Cheney et al., 2008), and an EDS spectrum collected from the point indicated with red crosshairs shows predominately Mn and O present.
Fig. 8. Left panel: Mn oxidation rate incubation data showing Mn oxidation (as dissolved Mn disappearance) at 119 to 121 m depth. Incubations of water from 118 m are not significantly different than the controls killed with formaldehyde. Right panel: Natural log of Mn(II) concentration versus time demonstrating the applicability of first order reaction kinetics in Lake Matano.
Fig. 9. Five modeled profiles of dissolved and particulate Mn using parameters specified in Table 6. Mn\textsubscript{\text{d}} is green and Mn\textsubscript{\text{p}} is purple. Points represent field data, while the dashed line is the model.
Mn input = Mn sedimentation
61 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)

Mn reduction = 821 + 61
= 882 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)

Mn oxidation
821 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)

Mn sedimentation
61 µmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)

Mn is recycled in the chemocline
882/61 = ~15 times before sedimentation.

**Fig. 10.** Calculated fluxes and recycling rates of Mn in Lake Matano.