Biogeosciences Discuss., 8, 6281–6305, 2011 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/8/6281/2011/ doi:10.5194/bgd-8-6281-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Economic optimal nitrogen application rates for rice cropping in the Taihu Lake region of China: taking account of negative externalities

Y. Xia and X. Yan

State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, 210008, China

Received: 19 June 2011 - Accepted: 20 June 2011 - Published: 5 July 2011

Correspondence to: Y. Xia (yqxia@issas.ac.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Nitrogen application rates (NARs) is often overestimated over the rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) growing season in the Taihu Lake region of China. This is largely because only individual nitrogen (N) losses are taken into account, or the inventory flows of reactive N have
⁵ been limited solely to the farming process when evaluating environmental and economic effects of N fertilizer. Since N can permeate the ecosystem in numerous forms commencing from the acquisition of raw material, through manufacturing and use, to final losses in the farming process (e.g., N₂O, NH₃, NO₃⁻ leaching, etc.), the costs incurred also accumulate and should be taken into account if economically-optimal N rates (EONRs) are to be established. This study integrates important material and energy flows resulting from N use into a rice agricultural inventory that constitutes the hub of the life-cycle assessment (LCA) method. An economic evaluation is used to determine an environmental and economic NAR for the Taihu Lake region. The analysis reveals that production and exploitation processes consume the largest proportion of

- resources, accounting for 77.2 % and 22.3 % of total resources, respectively. Regarding environmental impact, global warming creates the highest cost with contributions stemming mostly from fertilizer production and raw material exploitation processes. Farming process incurs the biggest environmental impact of the three environmental impact categories considered, whereas transportation has a much smaller effect.
- ²⁰ When taking account of resource consumption and environmental cost, the marginal benefit of 1 kg rice would decrease from 2.4 to only 1.01 yuan. Accordingly, our current EONR has been evaluated at 185 kg N ha⁻¹ for a single rice-growing season. This could enhance profitability, as well as reduce the N losses associated with rice growing.





1 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) fertilizer plays an important role in agricultural systems in terms of food yield, and both environmental and economic impact (UN-DSD, 2000). For China, there is a significant positive linear correlation between annual food production and annual

- ⁵ chemical fertilizer-N (CF-N) consumption (Zhu and Chen, 2002). Nevertheless, excessive N fertilization has resulted in serious environmental problems and economic harm because of atmospheric, soil, and water enrichment by reactive N (Smith, 2003; Wang et al., 2004; Ju et al., 2009).
- Economically-optimal N rate (EONR) strategies implemented in various agriculture systems have been well-documented. The aim of the optimization is to maximize profit and reduce overall N application (Wang et al., 2004; Zhu, 2006; Liang et al., 2008). It is related to gross revenues associated with food production and mitigation of possible N permeation throughout ecosystems (Xia and Yan, 2011). Therefore, a comprehensive analysis of N pathways associated with N use in agriculture systems is desirable to give more reliable valuations.

The environmental and economic impacts of N losses have been analyzed in numerous studies. However, these have largely focused only on specific effects such as ammonia volatilization, nitrogen oxide emission, or nitrate leaching (Bouwman, 1996; Brye et al., 2001; Emissions, 2008; Chen et al., 2010), but neglecting N permeation at regional scales. Moreover, inventory flows of reactive N were solely limited to N losses in the farming process, and neglected other processes, such as raw material exploitation, fertilizer production, and transportation, these processes consume utilities which in turn have environmental impacts. To examine and evaluate the entire environmental burden from the N fertilizer connection throughout agricultural production, it is neces-

²⁵ sary to consider concurrently the whole environmental impact of N losses (Brentrup et al., 2004; Kim and Dale, 2008).





Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a methodology designed to study just such impacts starting with the acquisition of raw materials, through to manufacture and use, and finally disposal (Payraudeau and van der Werf, 2005). In LCA, all aspects of such impact are related to one common valuation unit and are summarized according to environ-

- mental effects or aggregated into a comprehensive environmental index. This indexing allows the ranking of different products or production alternatives according to their overall environmental performance (Klöpffer, 1997). Developed primarily for industrial products, LCA has in more recent years been applied increasingly to agricultural production. With the incorporation of LCA into the ISO14040, more and more studies
 specifically applying LCA are needed to analyze environmental impacts resulting from
- human intrusion into ecosystems (ISO, 2006).

In China, the Taihu Lake region is known as a region where N application is intensive and N enrichment in soils, waterways and atmosphere has led to serious environmental problems (Zhu, 2006). To decrease NARs while maintaining relatively high economic

- returns as well as high rice yields, an EONR needs to be obtained. However, NARs is often overestimated as not all environmental impacts and processes associated with N use have been identified in evaluations from previous studies. For example, Zhu (2006) recommended an EONR, in which only the efficiency of the fertilizer N and its prime cost were considered. While the eutrophication cost is further added to the calculation,
- Lu and Cheng (2000) could not evaluate other aspects of environmental impact, such as global warming and acidification effects of N losses. In a more comprehensive study conducted by Xia and Yan (2011), the focus was only on the farming process. Moreover, the study did not specifically address problems and issues related to LCA within the N use chain. Therefore, there is a need to evaluate the overall environmental impact of N permeation from all N use, and provide a more reliable NAR during the
- rice-growing season in the Taihu Lake region.

In this paper, we provided an LCA method that integrates the environmental effects stemming from the entire N cycle pertinent for a rice farming system in China. Three more important aspects of the environmental impact, viz. global warming,





eutrophication, and acidification, were then synthesized under an economic valuation scheme. By this means, we derived a more reliable EONR that accounts for the environmental harm in N-using processes caused in producing one kilogram of rice.

2 Materials and methods

5 2.1 Study site

10

The study was conducted in the Taihu Lake region in the eastern part of China $(30^{\circ}5'-32^{\circ}8' \text{ N}, 119^{\circ}8'-121^{\circ}55' \text{ E})$. Historically, this is one of China's more ancient agricultural regions with a history of rice-wheat cultivation reaching back several thousands of years. Irrigated summer rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) has been grown in the region each year from June to October. Traditional manuring practices have almost been abandoned

- in favor of heavy mineral N fertilizer applications, at doses often above 300 kg N ha⁻¹ per crop. Current N fertilizer practices split the application into three periods each year, namely, a basal fertilizer (40–50 %) between 16–30 June, topdressing at tillering (20 %) between 10–21 July, and topdressing at pinnacle initiation (30–40 %) between,
- 15 1–21 August. The intermittent irrigation regime leads to high N conversion losses and low N uptake efficiencies by crops of 28–41 % (Zhu and Wen, 1992). Detailed site information and N management over the rice-growing season have been previously described (Guo et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Xia and Yan, 2011).

2.2 Life-cycle assessment

The LCA methodology involves four major steps: goals and scope, life-cycle inventory, LCA, and interpretation (Nemecek, 2011; Heller, 2003). These are described separately below.

Discussion Pa	B(8, 6281–6	BGD 8, 6281–6305, 2011		
iper Discussion	Economi nitrogen a rates f cropping Y. Xia ar	Economic optimal nitrogen application rates for rice cropping in China Y. Xia and X. Yan		
Paper	Title	Title Page		
—	Abstract	Introduction		
Discus	Conclusions	References		
sion	Tables	Figures		
Pape	14	۶I		
_	•	•		
	Back	Close		
iscussio	Full Screen / Esc			
n Pa	Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion			
aper				



2.2.1 Goals and scope

10

15

20

The goals identify the purpose, scope and boundaries of the study, and the functional unit. In this study, the purpose of the analysis was to quantify and evaluate the impact of different processes of N permeation throughout the whole environment associated with

- rice production systems. To enable a ranking of the different processes encountered towards an overall environmental effectiveness, all impact values are given relative to a common unit, which is gain of 1 kg rice. The system boundary is subdivided into four main unit processes (Fig. 1), which are described as follows:
 - 1. Energy exploitation: Energy is made ready for use as fuel or/and raw material in the phases of energy exploitation, urea production, transportation, and farming phase. According to the International Energy Agency's classification of energy (http://www.iea.org/), it can be divided into primary energy and secondary energy. Evaluation parameter of energy exploitation is the amount of energy consumption (unit: kJ) and pollution emission (unit: g) to the environment for 1 kg energy production, the functional units of which are kJ kg⁻¹ and g kg⁻¹.
 - Fertilizer production: Domestic urea production is based mainly on coal, which account for about 80% of the total raw material and the rest is based on crude oil (Wang, 2010). Two processes are associated with fertilizer production, petrochemical manufacture of ammonia and its subsequent conversion into urea. The key chemical reactions in urea production are:

 $N_2 + 3 H_2 \leftrightarrow 2 NH_3$

 $2 \text{ NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NH}_2 \text{ CONH}_2$ (urea)

- 3. Fertilizer transportation: the process of moving fertilizer from manufacturing outlets to farms by motor vehicles.
- Farming: paddy-field farming practices that involve planning, growing, and harvest.





2.2.2 Life-cycle inventory

A life-cycle inventory involves essentially itemizing and quantifying all inputs and outputs of the analyzed system associated with the production of a single 1 kg of rice. We summarized the collected data and present such an inventory in Table 1.

According to the characteristics of energy production, it can be divided into two categories. First, all of the energy is used as a "fuel", such as coal which is completely combusted in power generation process. Second, energy is partly used as a process fuel, and the remaining part is taken as the raw materials, such as coal exploitation process. Some coal is used as fuel in combustion process (producing emissions), and the remaining part is utilized as a raw material (not producing emissions) such as fertilizer production process. The quantities of energy consumption in energy exploitation and fertilizer production processes can be obtained from literature and databases (Table 1).

Since most cities in the Taihu Lake region have their own manufacturing outlets of chemical fertilizer, the average transport distance was assumed to be 50 km, the average distance of rural areas to the nearest city. The average energy consumption in transportation is 51 of gasoline for a vehicle with a 5t carrying capacity.

As referred by Zhang et al. (2009), the environmental emission of energy consumption is calculated by:

$$\mathsf{TEF}_{j} = \sum \mathsf{DE}_{i} \left(\mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{fuel},i}^{j} + K_{1} \, \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{pro},i}^{j} + K_{2} \, \mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{raw},i}^{j} \right) \tag{1}$$

where DE_i is direct energy consumption of each energy output units, kJ kg⁻¹; K₁ and K₂ are loss coefficient for exploitation and raw material phases, respectively; TEF_j is the total emission of No. *j* pollutant, g kg⁻¹; EF^j_{pro,i} is the emission of the No. *j* pollutant in the No. *i* energy in the exploitation process, g kg⁻¹, EF^j_{raw,i} is the emission of the No. *j* pollutant in the No. *i* energy used as raw material process, g kg⁻¹, EF^j_{fuel,i} is No. *j* pollutant direct emission factor of the No. *i* fuel combustion, g kg⁻¹. These emission factors are generally achieved through data investigation. For SO₂ and CO₂ emissions





can, nevertheless, be calculated by sulfur and carbon balance, respectively (Zhang et al., 2009).

$$\mathsf{EF}_{\mathsf{SO}_2} = 1\ 000\ 000/\mathsf{LHV} \times \rho \times S_{\mathsf{ratio}} \times 64/32 \tag{2}$$

$$EF_{CO_2} = \left[1\ 000\ 000/LHV \times \rho \times C_{ratio} - \left(0.5\ EF_{VOC} + 0.43\ EF_{CO} + 0.75\ EF_{CH_4}\right)\right] \times 44/12 \quad (3)$$

- ⁵ where LHV is low calorific value of the fuel, kJ kg⁻¹; ρ is the density of fuel, kgm⁻³; S_{ratio} and C_{ratio} is sulfur and carbon mass fraction of fuel, respectively, g kg⁻¹; EF is the emission factor of the emission gas, g kg⁻¹. The calculation results are listed in Table 2.
- In regard to the farming process, the rice production system analyzed in this study is an idealized typical system based on long-established field experiments within the Taihu Lake region. As compiled by Xia and Yan (2011), the effects of different N rates on rice yields, N₂O emission, NH₃ volatilization, NO₃⁻ – N and NH₄⁺ – N lost through leaching and runoff were considered in the field experiments across the region. Based on the collected measurements, the statistical analyses showed that the N rate was a highly sensitive factor and could be used to model rice yields and N losses (Xia and Yan, 2011). As a consequence, the fertilizer-induced yield gain [F_{yield} (N_{rate}), kg ha⁻¹] can be estimated by the following quadratic equation:

$$F_{\text{yield}}(N_{\text{rate}}) = (-0.032 \pm 0.005) N_{\text{rate}}^2 + (16.6 \pm 1.8) N_{\text{rate}}(R^2 = 0.558, P < 0.0001).$$
 (4)

The total N₂O emission [TE_{N₂O} (N_{rate}), kg N ha⁻¹] was estimated by an exponential equation:

20

$$TE_{N_2O}(N_{rate}) = (0.31 \pm 0.05) \exp [(0.0048 \pm 0.0005) N_{rate}] (R^2 = 0.56, P < 0.0001).$$
 (5)

The average amount of NH₃ volatilization [F_{NH_3} (N_{rate}), kg N ha⁻¹] was about 18% of the total chemical N applied,

$$F_{\rm NH_3}(N_{\rm rate}) = (0.18 \pm 0.03) \times N_{\rm rate} \left(R^2 = 0.54, P < 0.0001\right).$$
 (6)
6288



There was a significant exponential relationship between the N rate and TN masses in leachate (TL_{TN} (N_{rate}), kg N ha⁻¹) and runoff [TR_{TN} (N_{rate}), kg N ha⁻¹] during the rice-growing season:

$$TL_{TN} (N_{rate}) = (1.10 \pm 0.21) \exp [(0.0038 \pm 0.0006) N_{rate}) (R^2 = 0.50, P < 0.001), (7)$$

⁵ TR_{TN} (N_{rate}) = (8.29 ± 2.11) exp [(0.0042 ± 0.0009) N_{rate}] (R^2 = 0.51, P < 0.001). (8)

In this paper, the above concrete relationship between the N rates, rice production and N losses will be used in assessing final depositions of N fertilizer for a rice production system in the Taihu Lake region. As the mean NAR is about 300 kg N ha⁻¹ for a single rice season (Ju et al., 2009), we can derive the inventory data associated with rice production and total quantities for all N losses in the farming process.

2.2.3 Life-cycle impact assessment

The life-cycle impact assessment involves procedures whereby environmental impact of each of the inventory entries can be assessed, and the overall environmental performance of the product is determined (Charles et al., 2006). Impact assessment incorporates three stages: classification, characterization and valuation. In the first stage, outputs are classified according to the type of environmental problem, i.e. whether this is global warming, eutrophication, or acidification effects. Characterization quantifies the relative contribution of each input or output in regard to each environmental problem according to an impact score (Table 3). The higher the equivalence factor, the
20 greater is the contribution of an emission to the respective effect.

To assist in a comparison of various facets of an environmental impact that have been quantified initially in heterogeneous units, the valuation is performed by weighing these facets so that an overall value can be obtained for the total extent of the environmental effects. This is the most contentious stage of the LCA, and the most subjective (Craighill and Powell, 1995), because it involves trade-offs between differ-

²⁵ subjective (Craighill and Powell, 1995), because it involves trade-offs between different environmental problems. A relatively new alternative, which is described in detail





in Moomaw and Birch (2005) and Xia and Yan (2011), is to use economic valuation methodologies to value environmental and social costs and their benefits. We derived an approximate valuation for the external cost of managing one ton of each material and the environmental effect that we have displayed in Table 3.

5 2.2.4 Interpretation

In the last step of a LCA, results that have been extracted are used to identify hot-spots and the possibilities of reducing the negative environmental effects of the systems under analysis.

3 Results

10 3.1 Resource usage

There are great differences in economic importance between processes and resources usage. As shown in Fig. 2a, the production process is the largest resource consumer, contributing 77.2% of the resource cost in total, and is followed by the exploitation process (22.3%). For these two processes, fossil fuel consumption contributes 84.9%
¹⁵ and 87.4% of their respective resource usage in total. When comparing their economic costs to gain 1 kg of rice, the fossil fuel cost is as high as 0.11 and 0.38 yuan for the exploitation process and the N production process, respectively. Electricity is the second costly consumed resource, equivalent to 0.04 yuan for production process and 0.02 yuan for exploitation process. Water depletion is also important contributor to
²⁰ resource consumption in material exploitation and N fertilizer production processes,

20 resource consumption in material exploitation and N fertilizer production processes, amount to 0.01 yuan for the total process considered; whereas, it is ignored in the farming process as water irrigation is not directly associated with the amount of N use.





3.2 Environmental impacts

Three impact categories, viz. global warming, acidification, and eutrophication, were considered in terms of equivalent quantities of the relevant reference substance. Economic valuations recast in homogenous units were further used to aggregate inventory results.

3.2.1 Global warming

5

Figure 2b presents a bar graph of the results after weighting. The figure indicates that the highest global warming contribution accrues from the fertilizer production process, which accounts for 60.0 % of total global warming costs, followed successively by farming (20.7 %), exploitation (18.4 %), and transportation (0.9 %) processes. In regard to impacts of different global warming gases, CO₂ is most frequently to emit in the whole phases. The emission of CH₄ and CO₂ provide 43.8 % and 48.6 % to total global warming potential in the production process, respectively, and the total resulting cost is as high as 0.22 yuan per kg rice. For the farming process, N₂O and CH₄ emissions are the most important global warming gas sources, cost about 0.02 and 0.03 yuan per kg rice, respectively.

3.2.2 Acidification

The emissions of NH_3 , NO_x , and SO_2 contribute to acidification is quite different in Nuse processes (Fig. 2c). The majority of acid gas is emitted as NH_3 in the farming process, with smaller amounts of NO_x , and SO_2 emission in other processes. Accordingly, its acidification cost is estimated to be as high as 0.23 yuan per kg rice, contributing 97.1 % to the total acidification cost. The production process is the dominant source of NO_x and SO_2 emission, contributing 2.7 % to the total acidification cost, whereas acidification is potentially very low in the exploitation and transportation processes (together adding 0.2 % of total acidification cost).





3.2.3 Eutrophication

Eutrophication represents the contribution of N or phosphorus (P) to river and lakes that can create oxygen-starved conditions in the bottom strata owing to increased algal growth. For rice production system in the Taihu Lake Region, the farming process con-

tributes greatest to eutrophication (96.9%), followed by production (2.6%), exploitation (0.4%), and transportation (0.1%) processes (Fig. 2d). Among the environmental impacts, NH₃ volatilization and nitrate leaching, happened in the farming process, are the largest eutrophication sources, costing 0.033 and 0.005 yuan per kg rice, respectively. While other processes emitted the largest amount NO_x emissions, contribute least to eutrophication, with a cost of 0.002 yuan per kg rice.

4 Discussion

4.1 Life-cycle impact assessment

We introduced LCA method to evaluate the environmental impact of N-use in a given rice production system. Previous ways in common environmental evaluation focused only on individual effects or N permeation in the farming process (Wang et al., 2004; Kim and Dale, 2008; Xia and Yan, 2011). Very few studies have concurrently considered all environmental impacts associated with the entire N-use processes. This is likely due to the lack of an effective evaluation method to account for the cascade of N fluxes. Outside the industrial applications, for which it was original designed, LCA is an

evolving quantitative tool that has the potential to be used in various areas. The central concept of LCA is to combine, with a small number of indicators, pollutant emissions and resources utilized over a product life-cycle (Burgess and Brennan, 2001). For this reason, the method is applicable to rice farming systems. It enables a comprehensive analysis of all other environmental impacts related to such systems. This is currently





of particular interest because of increasing public concern and interest in the environmental impact of food production (Zhu and Chen, 2002).

The consideration of sub-processes in LCA quantifies the environmental impacts of each process, which then allows the identification of contentious environmental hot-

- ⁵ spots in the total production system. As illustrated by life-cycle inventories of rice production, resource usage of production and exploitation processes was a great contribution to the cost of N application (Fig. 3). As such, reducing N fertilizer and increasing their production efficiencies are key points to decrease resource consumption. With respect to the environmental impact, the most significant pollution impact happened in
- the farming process, amount to 0.56 yuan per kg rice for the total environmental costs considered, followed by fertilizer production (0.20 yuan) and raw material exploitation (0.06 yuan) processes (Fig. 3), whereas transportation has a much smaller effect. This suggests that we should pay more attention to farming activities to minimize those environmental burdens throughout the N cycle. As the mean NAR is about 300 kg N ha⁻¹
- ¹⁵ for a single rice season in the Taihu Lake region, the marginal benefit of 1 kg rice would decrease from 2.4 to only 1.01 yuan when subtracted resources and environmental costs (Fig. 3).

This study has ranked different aspects of environmental impact through a single unit-based economic evaluation. It is helpful for regulators and researchers to adopt the most effective means of abatement, and accordingly may encourage a closer look at the environmental impact of industrial agriculture as a whole. Our results showed that global warming contributes the greatest cost, with 0.244 yuan kg⁻¹ rice in total. Other studies have also shown that it plays an important role in corn and soybean cultivation in terms of both economic and environmental aspects (Landis et al., 2007;

²⁵ Kim and Dale, 2008). Nevertheless, the impact of global warming has been ignored in most previous studies that evaluated the environmental degradation resulting from N application (Yadav et al., 1997; Lu and Cheng, 2000; Zhu, 2006). This remarkably underestimated the environmental impact of N use, and accordingly may lead to the neglect of related measurements. As acidification and eutrophication result mostly





from the farming process, reducing NH_3 emission and NO_3^- runoff/leaching in farming activities are especially important if decreases in environmental impact from N use in rice production systems are desired.

4.2 Implications to economically optimal nitrogen rates

Economic effects of N fertilizer rates on crop production have been documented by many studies (Lambert et al., 2006; Zhu, 2006; Hernandez and Mulla, 2008). Due to the response of rice yields and environmental impacts to NARs, researchers have been trying to determine regional EONR to maximize profit (Roelcke et al., 2004; Zhu, 2006). In contrast to these studies, most began only with a particular goal or target to improve N-use efficiency, ignoring NAR effects on the environmental impact of rice production. Wang et al. (2004) suggested that sustainable cropping systems (in terms of total environment cost equal to the prime cost of fertilizer) can be achieved by applying an appropriate NAR, but detailed guidance was not given. This may bring maximum returns for farm owners, but not necessarily lead to maximum net returns that benefit
 the public, although it requires the integration of environmental impacts.

Only a few studies have actually considered the environmental impact of N use. Estimations of EONR over a rice-growing season differed one from another due to different environmental issues being considered. However, these overestimated EONRs as not all aspects of the environmental impact and processes associated with N use had been

- included in the evaluation. In the Taihu Lake region, Zhu (2006) only considered the efficiency of the fertilizer N and its prime cost, and obtained a result of 218 kg N ha⁻¹ over a rice-growing season. Including eutrophication costs, the resulting EONR was 328–372 kg N ha⁻¹ for the entire rice and wheat-growing season, as obtained by Lu and Cheng (2000). In a more comprehensive study conducted by Xia and Yan (2011),
- the EONR was 202 kg N ha⁻¹ for a rice-growing season if acidification, global warming, and eutrophication costs were calculated altogether. In this study, not only were the whole environmental costs considered, but also process costs associated with the entire N chain were evaluated. If we assume there is a linear relationship between NAR





and its environmental impact, with the exception of the farming process, our current EONR that yields a maximum public benefit is estimated to be as low as 185 kg N ha^{-1} (Fig. 4), implying that any further increase in NAR generates no additional profit but an environmental burden.

- Our method may provide a means to quantify EONR and maximize economic return over a rice season in the Taihu Lake region. As admitted by Xing and Zhu (2000), there is great potential in reducing the current amounts of N applied in rice production systems of the Taihu Lake region, but detailed reasons were not given. From the economic perspective presented in this study, the N-stimulated rice production ben efit would decrease from 5150 to 4841 yuan ha⁻¹ (a 6.07 % decrease) if synthetic N fertilizer application rates decreased from 300 kg N ha⁻¹ to 185 kg N ha⁻¹ (38.33 % decrease). This would lead to an approximate 38.6 % decrease in resource usage and environmental cost during a single rice-growing season. As a result, the net economic benefit was 2795 yuan ha⁻¹ at a N rate of 185 kg N ha⁻¹, 920 yuan greater than that
- society and diminish the N burden on soil, water and air resources in the Taihu Lake region, which has approximately 330 000 ha of paddy soil.

5 Conclusions

The LCA method was used to evaluate the environmental impact of N application for a

- typical rice farming system from raw material exploitation to arable farming processes. We used economic values to weigh the potential of each impact category, and a monetary database to derive weights of the environmental impact categories for a single kilogram of rice produced. This evaluation revealed environmental hot-spots in the total production system and allowed for a clear ranking of different environmental impact
- factors under a common economic evaluation scheme. As a result of this strategy, a sharp decrease in EONR is expected over a single rice-growing season in China's Taihu Lake region, attributable to a more comprehensive inclusion of environmental





impact factors. In reality, applying our EONR could both enhance farm profitability and reduce environmental impacts.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the Chinese Academy Sciences Innovation Program (KZCX2-YW-440), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2010609), and the National Key Basic Research Project of China (2009CB118600).

References

5

10

- Bouwman, A. F.: Direct emission of nitrous oxide from agricultural soils, Nutr. Cycl. Agroe-cosys., 46, 53–70, 1996.
- Brentrup, F., Küsters, J., Kuhlmann, H., and Lammel, J.: Environmental impact assessment of agricultural production systems using the life cycle assessment methodology I. Theoretical
- concept of a LCA method tailored to crop production, Eur. J. Agron., 20, 247–264, 2004.
- Brye, K. R., Norman, J. M., Bundy, L. G., and Gower, S. T.: Nitrogen and Carbon Leaching in Agroecosystems and Their Role in Denitrification Potential, J. Environ. Qual., 30, 58–70, 2001.
- ¹⁵ Burgess, A. A. and Brennan, D. J.: Application of life cycle assessment to chemical processes, Chem. Eng. Sci., 56, 2589–2604, 2001.
 - Charles, R., Jolliet, O., Gaillard, G., and Pellet, D.: Environmental analysis of intensity level in wheat crop production using life cycle assessment, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 113, 216–225, 2006.
- ²⁰ Chen, C. R., Phillips, I. R., Wei, L. L., and Xu, Z. H.: Behaviour and dynamics of di-ammonium phosphate in bauxite processing residue sand in Western Australia – I. NH₃ volatilisation and residual nitrogen availability, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 17, 1098–1109, 2010.
 - Craighill, A. L. and Powell, J. C.: Lifecycle assessment and economic evaluation of recycling: A case study, Resour. Conserv. Recy., 17, 75–96, 1995.
- ²⁵ Emissions, A.: Ammonia Assessment from Agriculture: US Status and Needs, J. Environ. Qual., 37, 515–520, 2008.
 - Guo, H. Y., Zhu, J. G., Wang, X. R., Wu, Z. H., and Zhang, Z.: Case Study on Nitrogen and Phosphorus Emissions from Paddy Field in Taihu Region, Environ. Geochem. Health, 26, 209–219, 2004.





Heller, M. C. and Keoleian, G. A.: Assessing the sustainability of the US food system: a life cycle perspective, Agric. Sys., 76, 1007–1041, 2003.

- Hernandez, J. A. and Mulla, D. J.: Estimating uncertainty of economically optimum fertilizer rates, Agron. J. 100, 1221–1229, 2008.
- ISO International Organization for Standardization: Environmental management life cycle assessment – principles and framework, ISO 14040, available at: http://www.iso.org/iso (last access: June 2011), 2006.
 - Ju, X. T., Xing, G. X., Chen, X. P., Zhang, S. L., Zhang, L. J., Liu, X. J., Cui, Z. L., Yin, B., Christie, P., and Zhu, Z. L.: Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106, 3041–3046, 2009.
- Kim, S. and Dale, B. E.: Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application on greenhouse gas emissions and economics of corn production, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 6028–6033, 2008.

10

Klöpffer, W.: Life cycle assessment: From the beginning to the current state, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 4, 223–228, 1997.

- Lambert, D. M., Lowenberg-Deboer, J., and Malzer, G. L.: Economic analysis of spatialtemporal patterns in corn and soybean response to nitrogen and phosphorus, Agron. J., 98, 43–54, 2006.
 - Landis, A. E., Miller, S. A., and Theis, T. L.: Life Cycle of the Corn- Soybean Agroecosystem for Biobased Production, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1457–1464, 2007.
- ²⁰ Lu, Y. and Cheng, X.: Nitrogen pollution from agricultural non-point source in Lake Tai Region and its environmental eonomic analysis, Shanghai Environ. Sci., 19, 143–148, 2000.
 - Moomaw, W. R. and Birch, M. B.: Cascading costs: an economic nitrogen cycle, Sci. Chin. Ser. C, 48, 678–696, 2005.

Nemecek, T., Huguenin-Elie, O., Dubois, D., Gaillard, G., Schaller, B., and Chervet, A.: Life

- cycle assessment of Swiss farming systems: II. Extensive and intensive production, Agric. Sys., 103, 233–245, 2011.
 - Payraudeau, S. and van der Werf, H. M. G.: Environmental impact assessment for a farming region: a review of methods, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 107, 1–19, 2005.

Roelcke, M., Han, Y., Schleef, K. H., Zhu, J. G., Liu, G., Cai, Z. C., and Richter, J.: Re-

- ³⁰ cent trends and recommendations for nitrogen fertilization in intensive agriculture in eastern China, Pedosphere, 14, 449–460, 2004.
 - Smith, V. H.: Eutrophication of freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems a global problem, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 10, 126–139, 2003.





BGD 8, 6281-6305, 2011 **Economic optimal** nitrogen application rates for rice cropping in China Y. Xia and X. Yan **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures |**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



- UN-DSD U. N. D. f. S. D.: Promoting sustainable agriculture and rural development, United Nations (UN), New York, 2000.
- Wang, D. J., Liu, Q., Lin, J. H., and Sun, R. J.: Optimum nitrogen use and reduced nitrogen loss for production of rice and wheat in the Yangtse Delta region, Environ. Geochem. Health, 26, 221–227, 2004.
- Wang, L.: Urea Industry in China, available at: http://www.sinofi.com/english/show_news.asp? id=525 (last access: June 2011), 2010.

5

20

- Xia, Y. Q. and Yan, X. Y.: Optimal nitrogen application rates for rice cropping in the Taihu Lake region of China, Sustain. Sci., in review, 2011.
- ¹⁰ Xing, G. X. and Zhu, Z. L.: An assessment of N loss from agricultural fields to the environment in China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 57, 67–73, 2000.
 - Yadav, S. N., Peterson, W., and Easter, K. W.: Do farmers overuse nitrogen fertilizer to the detriment of the environment?, Environ. Resour. Econ., 9, 323–340, 1997.
 - Zhang, X., Wang, J. and Huang, Z.: Life cycle assessment on energy consumption of building
- ¹⁵ materials production, available at: http://www.inive.org/members_area/medias/pdf/Inive% 5CIAQVEC2007%5CZhang_5.pdf (last access: June 2011), 2009.
 - Zhu, Z. L.: On the methodology of recommendation for the application rate of chemical fertilizer nitrogen to crops, Plant Nutr. Fert. Sci., 12, 1–4, 2006.

Zhu, Z. L. and Chen, D. L.: Nitrogen fertilizer use in China – Contributions to food production,

- impacts on the environment and best management strategies, Nutr. Cyc. Agroecosys., 63, 117–127, 2002.
- Zhu, Z. L. and Wen, Q. X.: Nitrogen in soils of China, Jiangsu Science and Technology Publishing House, Nanjing, China, 1992.

 Table 1. Inventory data of N use relate to 1 kg of rice.

Input/output	Source	Per 1 kg of rice	Reference
Fossil fuel	Energy exploitation	5.63 E-02 (kg)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	2.06 E-01 (kg)	Xu et al. (2006)
	Transportation	3.05 E-04 (kg)	Zhang andHuang (2007)
	Farming	3.48 E-04 (kg)	Kasmaprapruet et al. (2009)
Electricity	Energy exploitation	1.33 E-02 (kWh)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	8.88 E-02 (kWh)	Xu et al. (2006)
Water depletion	Energy exploitation	8.02 E-04 (m ³)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	5.33 E-03 (m ³)	Xu et al. (2006)
CO2	Energy exploitation	3.00 E-01 (kg)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	4.00 E-01 (kg)	Di et al. (2005); Xu et al. (2006)
	Transportation	1.44 E-02 (kg)	Zhang and Huang (2007)
CH ₄	Energy exploitation	4.13 E-06 (kg)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	2.31 E-02 (kg)	Di et al. (2005); Xu et al. (2006)
	Transportation	3.18 E-06 (kg)	Zhang and Huang (2007)
	Farming	7.55 E-02 (kg)	Xiong et al. (1999)
N ₂ O	Transportation	1.06 E-07 (kg)	Zhang and Huang (2007)
	Farming	3.96 E-04 (kg)	Xia and Yan (2011)
$NH_3 + NH_4^+ - N$	Farming	2.41 E+01 (g)	Xia and Yan (2011)
$NO_3^ N$	Farming	8.40 E+00 (g)	Xia and Yan (2011)
SO ₂	Energy exploitation	2.37 E-02 (g)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	8.82 E-01 (g)	Di et al. (2005); Xu et al. (2006)
	transportation	1.06 E-03 (g)	Liu et al. (2009)
NO _x	Energy exploitation	1.02 E-01 (g)	Ao (2006)
	Fertilizer production	5.74 E-01 (g)	Di et al. (2005); Xu et al. (2006)
	Transportation	9.28 E-04 (g)	Liu et al. (2009)



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Table 2. Environmental emissions of energy consumption upstream phase.

	SO ₂	CO ₂	NO_x	N ₂ O	CH_4
Coal (g kg ⁻¹)	5.9	2068.1	1.2	0	0.86
Crude oil (g kg ⁻¹)	12.1	2856.0	6.4	0	0
Electricity (g kWh ⁻¹)	6.6	1010.0	3.2	0.006	2.0





Impact category	Contributing elements	Characterization factors	Cost (yuan)	unit
Resource consumption	Raw minerals use Electricity Water consumption	1 1 1	1.90 0.60 2.70	kg kWh m ³
Global warming	CO_2 CH_4 N_2O	1 25 310	0.15	kg CO ₂ equiv.
Acidification	NH ₃ , NH ₄ NO _x SO ₂	1.88 0.7 1	5.00	kg SO ₂ equiv.
Eutrophication	$\begin{array}{c} NO_{x}\\ NO_3^-\\ NH_4^+, NH_3 \end{array}$	0.13 0.42 0.33	4.26	kg PO $_4$ equiv.

Table 3. Aggregation (classification and characterization) of N use life cycle.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Fig. 1. System boundary and sub processes for the N use life-cycle of a rice farming system.



Discussion Paper



Fig. 2. (a) Energy consumption cost, (b) global warming cost, (c) acidification cost, and (d) eutrophication cost of N use life cycle related to 1 kg rice production.











Fig. 4. Comparison with EONR and economic benefit for different evaluation methods.

Discussion Pa	BGD 8, 6281–6305, 2011			
per Discussion P	Economic optimal nitrogen application rates for rice cropping in China Y. Xia and X. Yan			
aper	Title	Title Page		
—	Abstract	Introduction		
Disc	Conclusions	References		
ussion	Tables	Figures		
Pap	14	►F		
Ð	•			
	Back	Close		
iscussi	Full Screen / Esc			
on P	Printer-friendly Version			
aper	Interactive Discussion			

(cc