Biogeosciences Discuss., 8, 9503–9534, 2011 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/8/9503/2011/ doi:10.5194/bgd-8-9503-2011 © Author(s) 2011. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Anammox, denitrification and fixed-nitrogen removal in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary

S. A. Crowe<sup>1</sup>, D. E. Canfield<sup>1</sup>, A. Mucci<sup>2</sup>, B. Sundby<sup>2</sup>, and R. Maranger<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nordic Center for Earth Evolution (NordCEE), Institute of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, McGill University, Montréal, Canada
<sup>3</sup>Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada

Received: 11 July 2011 - Accepted: 19 July 2011 - Published: 21 September 2011

Correspondence to: S. A. Crowe (sacrowe1@gmail.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





### Abstract

Introduction

al., 2006; Gilbert et al., 2007).

1

15

20

25

Incubations of intact sediment cores and sediment slurries reveal that anammox is an important sink for fixed nitrogen in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE), where it occurs at a rate of 5.5 ± 1.7 µmol N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in the sediment. Anammox is responsible for
 <sup>5</sup> up to 33% of the total N<sub>2</sub> production, and both anammox and denitrification are mostly (>95%) fueled by nitrate and nitrite produced in situ through benthic nitrification. Nitrification accounts for >15% of the benthic oxygen demand and contributes significantly to the development and maintenance of hypoxic conditions in the LSLE. The rate of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium is three orders of magnitude lower than
 denitrification and anammox and is therefore insignificant to N-cycling. Tests for NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> oxidation by sedimentary Fe(III) and Mn(III/IV), using slurry incubations with N isotope labels, revealed that it does not occur at measurable rates, and we found no evidence

The Laurentian Great Lakes-St. Lawrence drainage basin covers about  $1.32 \times 10^6$  km<sup>2</sup>

and is home to approximately 35 million North Americans. The St. Lawrence River-

Estuary provides the second largest freshwater discharge  $(11\,900\,\text{m}^3\,\text{s}^{-1})$  to the ocean in North America and is subject to extensive anthropogenic N loading from urban,

industrial and agricultural sources (Gilbert et al., 2007). In estuarine systems, N often limits primary production (Capone et al., 2008), and coastal eutrophication resulting

from nitrogen loading to rivers and estuaries is a growing global concern (Cloern, 2001;

Capone et al., 2008; Breitburg et al., 2009). In a stratified body of water, eutrophication

is most often reflected by increased microbial oxygen demand and decreased oxygen availability to both benthic and pelagic organisms (Cloern, 2001; Breitburg et al., 2009).

Eutrophication has been implicated in the progressive development of hypoxic bottom

waters in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) over the last century (Thibodeau et

for  $NH_4^+$  oxidation by added Mn(III)-pyrophosphate.

# Discussion Paper **BGD** 8, 9503-9534, 2011 Anammox, denitrification and fixed-nitrogen **Discussion** Paper removal S. A. Crowe et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References **Figures Tables |**◀ Back Close **Discussion Paper** Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



The ability of a system to buffer anthropogenic N loading and resist the ensuing eutrophication rests largely on its capacity to remove fixed forms of N through the production and loss of N<sub>2</sub> gas (Capone et al., 2008). Two biogeochemical reactions, denitrification and anammox (see Fig. 1 for a schematic representation of the sedimentary N-cycle), account for nearly all  $N_2$  production and fixed-N loss from marine and fresh-5 water ecosystems (Canfield et al., 2005; Capone et al., 2008). The microorganisms responsible for these reactions are highly sensitive to oxygen, and therefore marine  $N_2$ production is largely confined to anoxic environments, including coastal sediments and oxygen minimum zones (Capone et al., 2008; Canfield et al., 2005). Bottom waters over much of the LSLE are hypoxic (Gilbert et al., 2005) with O<sub>2</sub> concentrations as 10 low as 50  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup>, but water column denitrification is only known to occur at O<sub>2</sub> concentrations  $<4 \mu mol l^{-1}$  (Codispoti et al., 2001) and the series of enzymes responsible for complete denitrification exhibit varying degrees of sensitivity to  $O_2$  (Zumft, 1997). Anammox bacteria are believed to be more  $O_2$  tolerant, but still appear to require  $O_2$ concentrations below 10 µmol l<sup>-1</sup> (Kuypers et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2008). Thus, 15

most fixed-N loss in the LSLE likely occurs in the underlying sediment.

Rates of sediment N<sub>2</sub> production in the LSLE have been measured directly using the original Isotope Pairing Technique (IPT) (Wang et al., 2003), estimated from  $NO_3^-$  and N<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Thibodeau et al., 2010; Katsev et al., 2007) and water column nitrogen

- <sup>20</sup> deficits (Thibodeau et al., 2010), and derived from diagenetic modeling (Katsev et al., 2007). Although there is variability in the reported rates, the diverse methods used yield a generally coherent picture of fixed-N removal in the LSLE: relatively high rates of  $N_2$  production in the sediment with in situ nitrification playing an important role in  $NO_3^-$  supply. The most recent study suggests that fixed-N removal through sedimentary  $N_2$
- <sup>25</sup> production is nearly sufficient to balance nitrate inputs from the St. Lawrence River and little river-borne nitrate exits to the Gulf of St. Lawrence (Thibodeau et al., 2010). Despite our relatively comprehensive understanding of the LSLE N budget, the different fixed-N removal pathways have yet to be determined (Thibodeau et al., 2010) and the importance of anammox is unknown. Accurately partitioning N-removal pathways is



now possible with a recent refinement of the original Isotope Pairing Technique (IPT) to quantitatively determine anammox rates in sediments (Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2003; Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009; Trimmer et al., 2006). Our ability to predict productivity, eutrophication, hypoxia and their relationships in the LSLE depends on our knowledge of the specific biogeochemical processes involved.

The ubiquity of anammox in continental shelf sediments and the deep sea is becoming clear, but the factors regulating its relative importance to total N<sub>2</sub> production remain poorly known (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009; Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008; Francis et al., 2007). In shelf and deep-sea sediments, the importance of anammox to total N<sub>2</sub> production is positively correlated with water depth (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2002; Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009). This correlation was explained in terms of the pro-

10

- gressive decrease in availability of reactive organic matter in the sediments underlying deeper waters (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2002; Dalsgaard et al., 2005); heterotrophic denitrification would be limited by the availability of these organic substrates and the
- chemoautotrophic anammox process should be comparably insensitive, sensu lato. Anammox activity is also modulated by temperature (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2002; Rysgaard et al., 2004) and the supply of nitrite (Meyer et al., 2005; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2005; Trimmer et al., 2005), either from in-situ production via nitrification or as a diffusive flux from overlying waters. Anammox has also been detected in a num-
- <sup>20</sup> ber of estuaries (Trimmer et al., 2003, 2005; Meyer et al., 2005; Rich et al., 2008), where the most comprehensive study to date (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009) reports that anammox is important to N<sub>2</sub> production in numerous estuaries of the UK with a maximum contribution of 11 % in the Medway. In the UK estuaries, the contribution of sedimentary anammox to N<sub>2</sub> production is positively correlated with nitrate concentra-
- <sup>25</sup> tions in the overlying waters and with sediment organic carbon content (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). Thus, given the ubiquity of the anammox reaction in marine sediments and its importance to N<sub>2</sub> production in the UK estuaries, it is also likely important to N<sub>2</sub> production in the LSLE. However, most of the historical information on anammox activity is based on slurry incubations, which translate poorly to in situ rates, and the





heterogeneity of estuarine ecosystems precludes reliable extrapolation of data from UK estuaries to estuaries in general (Capone et al., 2008).

An alternative pathway for N<sub>2</sub> production, through the direct oxidation of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> by (hydr)oxides of Fe and Mn in sediment of the LSLE, has also been proposed (Luther et al., 1997; Anschutz et al., 2000). Although thermodynamically favorable (Luther et al., 1997), conclusive evidence for the operation of this pathway in the environment remains elusive. Early attempts found no evidence for Mn-dependent NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> oxidation in the Mn-rich Skagerrak sediments, but did provide the first evidence for anammox in natural environments (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000). More recently, Fe-dependent NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> oxidation has been reported in wetland soils (Clement et al., 2005; Shrestha et al., 2009) and wastewaters (Park et al., 2009), but the veracity of these reports remains untested and their significance unknown. Porewater profiles in deep Indian

Ocean sediments have recently provided indirect evidence for the oxidation of  $NH_4^+$  by sulfate, despite the marginal thermodynamic yield of this reaction (Schrum et al., 2009).

- <sup>15</sup> The discovery of soluble Mn(III) species in the anoxic waters of the Black Sea and Chesapeake Bay (Trouwborst et al., 2006) and porewaters of the LSLE (Madison et al., 2011) raises the possibility that an additional oxidant, with the thermodynamic potential to oxidize  $NH_4^+$  to  $N_2$ ,  $NO_2^-$  or  $NO_3^-$  in the absence of  $O_2$ , may play a role in the N-cycle. Overall, the available evidence for alternative pathways of fixed-N conversion to  $N_2$  is
- inconclusive and warrants further investigation. In this work, we report quantitative rate measurements of anammox and denitrification, partition the fixed-N removal reactions, and test for alternative pathways to N<sub>2</sub> in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary.

### 2 Methods

## 2.1 Sampling

<sup>25</sup> All samples were collected during a cruise in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE) on the *R/V Coriolis II* in July of 2009. Surface and bottom water samples were collected





using a 12 × 12-L Niskin bottle/CTD rosette (SeaBird SBE 911). Sediments were recovered at station 23 with minimal disturbance using an Ocean Instruments Mark II box corer ( $20 \times 30 \times 50$  cm). The sediments were sectioned at various intervals in a glove box continuously flushed with  $N_2$  to avoid oxidation artifacts (Edenborn et al., 1986)

and porewaters were extracted with a  $N_2$  overpressure using modified "Reeburgh-type" 5 squeezers (Reeburgh, 1967; Mucci et al., 2000). Sediments for intact core incubations were sub-sampled from a box core with 6 acrylic tubes (5.2 cm in diameter and 60 cm in length). Fresh bottom water was added to these sub-cores to replace the water lost during box core recovery and subsequent sub-coring.

### 2.2 Slurry incubations 10

15

Sediment slurries were prepared by mixing sediment from the top 2 cm of the box core with an equivalent volume of bottom water that was previously purged with ultra-highpurity He gas to remove O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. The sediment slurry was subsequently purged with He for an additional 12 h to remove residual N<sub>2</sub> gas and allow NO<sub>3</sub> present in the bottom water and sediment porewaters to be consumed. Following this 12-h period, the sediment slurry was transferred, with no headspace, into ninety 12-ml gas

- tight vials (Exetainers, LabCo). Isotopic labels, substrates, and specific inhibitors were added as shown in Table 1. The sediment slurries were incubated at 4 °C, close to the in-situ bottom water temperature of 4.7 °C, mixed periodically by inversion, and sacri-
- ficed over an interval of 36 h. Upon sacrificing, 1 ml of slurry was removed from the 20 Exetainer using a needle and syringe and replaced with He gas and 200 µl of a 37 % formaldehyde solution to stop microbial activity. The withdrawn sediment slurry (1 ml) was syringe-filtered through a 0.2 µm nominal pore size filter and the filtrate frozen for later analysis. The formaldehyde-fixed sediment slurry was stored upside down in the 25







### 2.3 Intact core incubations

Our intact core incubations followed the refined IPT protocol described by Trimmer and Nicholls (2009), in which the isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> within the zone of denitrification is determined from the isotopic composition of N<sub>2</sub>O produced as an intermediate during denitrification, but not anammox. Unlike previous versions of the IPT protocol, 5 which relied either on slurry incubations or a concentration series of intact core incubations to estimate the isotopic composition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction zone, the refinement permits the calculation of both denitrification and anammox rates using a single set of intact sediment cores without slurries. Following sub-coring and replacement of the overlying water, magnetic stirring devices were inserted into the tubes and placed 3 cm above the sediment-water interface. Each sub-core was allowed to stand and re-equilibrate at 4°C for approximately 12 h to near in situ temperatures while the overlying water was stirred. After the equilibration period, 1.5 m of a 100 mmol l<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was added to the overlying water. Following an additional 6 h, the overlying water was sampled for the determination of N species, and the sub-cores were sealed with no headspace using thick butyl rubber stoppers. The 6 sub-cores were periodically sacrificed over the next 34 h, upon which stirring was halted and the stoppers carefully removed. The overlying water was sampled for NO<sub>x</sub> and NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> analyses. Subsequently, the top 2 cm of the sediment were gently mixed into the overlying water using a plastic rod. A syringe fitted with a steel canula was used to withdraw 20 the slurry from >10 cm below the water surface to avoid atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> contamination. This slurry was transferred to 12-ml Exetainers, which were flushed with ~36 ml (3x the Exetainer volume) of slurry prior to closing without headspace. To stop microbial activity, 200 µl of 37 % formaldehyde was added and the Exetainers closed without headspace. Samples were collected using this same technique for membrane inlet

mass spectroscopy (MIMS) (Kana et al., 1994). Triplicate samples were collected in 7-ml glass tubes, fixed with  $25 \,\mu$ l 0.1 mol l<sup>-1</sup> HgCl<sub>2</sub> and sealed with a ground glass stopper. These tubes were submerged in water and kept cold until analysis. An additional





portion of the slurry was transferred to a plastic centrifuge tube and frozen for later analysis of  ${}^{15}$ N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Rate calculations based on these measurements are described in Appendix.

### 2.4 Analyses

- Porewater O<sub>2</sub> measurements were conducted with a Unisense PA2000 picoammeter and a Unisense "Clark" type microelectrode fitted with a stainless steel needle-tip to prevent breakage. The electrode was calibrated with two points: Lower St. Lawrence Estuary bottom water saturated in O<sub>2</sub> by vigorous stirring in ambient atmosphere, and an anoxic, alkaline ascorbate solution. The detection limit for O<sub>2</sub> was 0.2 µmol I<sup>-1</sup>,
   calculated from the standard deviation of five background measurements taken in an anoxic, alkaline ascorbate solution. Samples for the measurement of N species concentrations were transported on dry ice back to the NordCEE lab in Denmark and stored frozen until analysis. NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> concentration measurements were conducted using the gas-exchange, flow-injection method (Hall and Aller, 1992) with a detection limit of 0.1 µmol I<sup>-1</sup> and a reproducibility of 5 % RSD. NO<sub>x</sub> (combined NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> and NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>) concentrations were determined by chemiluminescence (Braman and Hendrix, 1989), (NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer model 42c, Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc.) with a detection limit of
- <10 nmol I<sup>-1</sup> and a reproducibility of better than 5 % RSD. The slurry samples designated for isotopic analyses were maintained in their Exetainers at room temperature,
- and upside down when possible. The isotopic composition of N<sub>2</sub> was determined by injecting 25–50 µl of headspace gas into an in-house built injection system. Following injection, CO<sub>2</sub> was trapped using Ascarit (III), N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O separated using a Poropak R GC column, and the sample stream passed through a reduction reactor to reduce N<sub>2</sub>O to N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O. H<sub>2</sub>O was trapped using Mg perchlorate and the sample stream passed using Mg perchlorate and the sample stream was introduced using a Conflo III to a Thermo Electron delta V plus IB-MS operated
- $_{25}$  was introduced using a Conflo III to a Thermo Electron delta V plus IR-MS operated in continuous flow mode.  $N_2$  was measured at masses 28, 29 and 30. Similarly, the N isotopic composition of  $N_2O$  was measured by injecting 200–1000  $\mu l$  of headspace, but the reduction reactor was bypassed and isotopic measurements were made on





masses 44, 45 and 46. Changes in N<sub>2</sub> concentrations and N<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratios were measured directly using MIMS (Kana et al., 1994). Measurements of <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> were conducted by converting NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> to N<sub>2</sub> following oxidation by hypobromite, as described by Rysgaard and Risgaard-Petersen (1997). In the case of the slurry incubations, NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> was extracted using 2 mol l<sup>-1</sup> KCl prior to hypobromite oxidation and isotopic analysis. The reactive Mn and Fe (hydr)oxide content of the sediment used for our slurry incubations (the upper 2 cm of the sediment core) was determined using 1 M hydroxylamine-HCl and citrate-dithionite sequential, selective extractions (Poulton and Canfield, 2005).

### 3 Results

### 10 3.1 Porewater profiles

Porewater profiles of  $O_2$ ,  $NO_x$  and  $NH_4^+$  are shown in Fig. 2. After re-establishing thermal equilibrium over several hours open to the ambient atmosphere,  $O_2$  concentrations in the water overlying the sediment-water interface were between 40 and  $60 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{I}^{-1}$ . These values are similar to those measured in the bottom waters using both the oxygen sensor (Seabird SBE-42) on a CTD and by Winkler titration (Gilbert et al., 2005). Dissolved oxygen concentrations decreased logarithmically and became undetectable  $(<0.2 \mu mol I^{-1})$  6 to 9 mm below the sediment-water interface (SWI). These values are consistent with O<sub>2</sub> profiles measured previously (Anschutz et al., 2000; Luther et al., 1998; Katsev et al., 2007). The NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was 23  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup> in the bottom waters and decreased from  $3.5 \,\mu$ mol l<sup>-1</sup> in the first sediment sampling interval (i.e. 0–0.5 cm) to 20 0.8  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup> 0.5 to 1 cm below the SWI. Traces of dissolved NO<sub>x</sub>(<1  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup>) were detected throughout the core and a small peak in NO<sub>v</sub> was observed between 6 and 8 cm below the SWI. Ammonium was undetectable ( $<0.5 \mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup>) in the bottom waters and increased below the sediment-water interface, reaching a maximum of  $115 \mu mol l^{-1}$ approximately 17 cm below the SWI. The NO<sub>v</sub> and NH<sup>+</sup> profiles are consistent with 25 previous measurements (Katsev et al., 2007; Anschutz et al., 2000).





### 3.2 Slurry incubations

The production of  ${}^{15}N$ -labeled N<sub>2</sub> in slurry incubations is shown in Fig. 3.  ${}^{29}N_2$  is produced from the coupling of a single, unlabeled <sup>14</sup>N atom with a labeled <sup>15</sup>N atom (Fig. 3a), whereas  ${}^{30}N_2$  is produced from the coupling of two labeled  ${}^{15}N$  atoms (Fig. 3b). Using combinations of different labeled N species, it is possible to identify the source of N used to produce  $N_2$ . Volume specific rates were calculated by least squares regressions through the linear periods of N<sub>2</sub> production. These rates are presented in Table 1. In treatments A, D, and E, in which the only labeled nitrogen was in the form of ammonium, there was no production of labeled N<sub>2</sub>. In treatments B, C and F, which all contained labeled nitrate, there was abundant production of labeled 10  $N_2$ . Thus, in these experiments, the production of isotopically labeled  $N_2$  requires the addition of labeled nitrate. Measurements of dissimilatory nitrate reduction (DNRA) in treatment B yielded volume specific rates of  $1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6} \,\mu$ mol cm<sup>-3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Details of each incubation series and their interpretation with respect to sediment N transformations are discussed below. 15

### 3.3 Extractions

A 1 M hydroxylamine HCl extraction of wet sediments from the upper 2 cm of the sediment core liberated  $1.5 \pm 0.1 \,\mu$  mol Mn g<sup>-1</sup> and  $37 \pm 3 \,\mu$ mol Fe g<sup>-1</sup>, and a citrate-dithionite extraction liberated  $0.3 \pm 0.03 \,\mu$ mol Mn g<sup>-1</sup> of wet sediment and  $37 \pm 4 \,\mu$ mol Fe g<sup>-1</sup> of wet sediment. A porosity of 0.87 (Mucci, unpublished results) and a sediment density of 2.65 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Anschutz et al., 2000) yields the volume specific solid phase Mn and Fe (hydr)oxide concentrations presented in Table 2.

### 3.4 Intact core incubations

Intact cores allow  $O_2$  and  $NO_x$  to enter the sediment from the overlying water. Some of this  $O_2$  is used to drive benthic nitrification, which in turn generates  $NO_x$  that fuels





both denitrification and anammox. As a result, anammox and denitrification function in the intact cores without the addition of  $NO_x$ , which is rapidly consumed in the closed slurries and therefore must be supplemented. The only purpose of the added  $NO_3^-$  in the intact core incubations is to provide the isotopic tracer. The other advantage

- of using intact sediment cores over slurries is the retention of the sediment structure, which can play an important role in biogeochemical processes (Nielsen et al., 2010). Results of the intact core incubations (Table 3) provide direct measurements of N<sub>2</sub> production rates and the identity of the responsible pathways. Both denitrification and anammox contribute to N<sub>2</sub> production in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary and in-situ
   nitrification accounts for a large fraction of the NO<sub>x</sub> supplied for both pathways. The
- calculations used to compute the rates we report in this paper are the same as those used by Trimmer and Nicholls (2009), and are summarized in Appendix.

### 4 Discussion

The modern N-cycle and its evolution through time have been recently reviewed (Canfield et al., 2010). A schematic representation of the sedimentary N-cycle is illustrated in Fig. 1. Of particular importance to the work presented here are the following processes: nitrification, the aerobic transformation of  $NH_4^+$ , via  $NH_2OH$  and  $NO_2^-$ , to  $NO_3^-$ ; denitrification, the anaerobic transformation of  $NO_3^-$ , via  $NO_2^-$ , NO, and  $N_2O$ , to  $N_2$ ; anammox, the anaerobic transformation of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_2^-$ , via  $N_2H_4$ , to  $N_2$ ; and dissimilatory nitrate reduction, the anaerobic reduction of  $NO_3^-$ , via  $NO_2^-$ , to  $NH_4^+$ .

### 4.1 Porewater profiles

25

Given that the nitrate concentration in the LSLE bottom water is on the order of  $23 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{I}^{-1}$ , the NO<sub>x</sub> porewater profiles (Fig. 2) show that the surface sediment is a sink for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from the overlying water. Undetectable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in the overlying water and a strong sub-surface NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> gradient also implies a large upward flux of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> towards the sediment-water interface. Within the classical view of the N-cycle, these profiles





would be taken to indicate that denitrification occurs just below the sediment oxygen penetration depth (i.e. 8–10 mm below the SWI), providing a sink for  $NO_3^-$ , whereas nitrification, in the oxic layer of the sediment, is the likely sink for ammonium. Nitrification and denitrification are often tightly coupled near the oxic-anoxic boundary of the sediment with little fixed nitrogen ( $NO_x$  and  $NH_4^+$ ) loss to the overlying water (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2008). With the relatively recent discovery of the anammox process in natural environments, this anaerobic metabolism may also serve as a sink for both  $NH_4^+$  and  $NO_3^-$ , through the reactive intermediate  $NO_2^-$ .

It has also been proposed that NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> can be anaerobically oxidized to N<sub>2</sub>, NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> or NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> by Mn (hydr)oxides or organic complexes of Fe(III) and Mn (Mn(III/IV) which are ubiquitous and abundant in many soils and sediments (Luther et al., 1997; Hulth et al., 1999). These reactions are thermodynamically favorable under a variety of environmental conditions and could be globally important contributors to N cycling (Luther et al., 1997). The Mn- and Fe- dependent reactions are conceptually consistent with observed N species distributions in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (Luther et al., 1997; Anschutz et al., 2000), and diagenetic models incorporating these reactions accurately reproduce N-species profiles (Katsev et al., 2007).

### 4.2 Slurry incubations and N<sub>2</sub> production pathways

Our slurry incubations place further constraints on the N-transformation pathways operating in the St. Lawrence Estuary sediments. In our treatment A, which received an addition of <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, there was no production of <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> or <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and none of the added NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> was converted to N<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3). This demonstrates the absence of direct oxidation of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to N<sub>2</sub> by the Mn(III, IV) or Fe(III) species present in these sediments. In conjunction with the results of treatment B, it also demonstrates that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is not oxidized to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> because, if it were, <sup>15</sup>N originating from <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> would register in the N<sub>2</sub> pool following denitrification of the newly produced <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>x</sub>. Our treatment B, which received <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, produced ample <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3), confirming active denitrification (see below for further discussion).



It could be argued that the reactive Mn and Fe pools in the sediment were rapidly consumed during the equilibration period prior to our incubations and thus not available for the oxidation of  $NH_4^+$ . We can place constraints on this argument by considering the size of the reactive Mn and Fe pools, the potential rates of Mn and Fe reduction from organic matter oxidation, and the duration of our experiments. Assuming that most of the labile organic matter mineralization occurs within the upper 2 cm of the sediment, the volume specific demand for oxidants can be estimated from the published oxygen uptake rates. Taking the value of 0.43 µmol cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> for the O<sub>2</sub> uptake rate (Katsev et al., 2007) and normalizing for the stoichiometry of oxic respiration, we estimate maximum volume specific C mineralization rates of 0.22 µmol C cm<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. These are maximum

- rates because we assume that anaerobic C mineralization, as would occur in our slurries, would be as rapid as aerobic C mineralization, though other studies indicate that C mineralization rates during Fe and Mn reduction in other marine sediments (Magen et al., 2011) are slower than during oxic respiration. Considering the stoichiometry of
- <sup>15</sup> Mn and Fe respiration and the reactive Fe and Mn (hydr)oxide concentrations operationally defined by the 1 M hydroxylamine-HCl extractions, we estimate that the reactive Mn and Fe pools would be exhausted in closed anoxic incubations after 2 and 25 days, respectively. Stated differently, less than 25 % and 2 % of the total reactive Mn and Fe, respectively, would have been consumed during our 12-h incubation period at these C mineralization rates. Thus, it is unlikely that the supply of reactive Mn and Fe would
- have limited Mn and Fe dependent  $NH_4^+$  oxidation during our experiments.

We also tested the hypothesis that organically-complexed Mn(III) species, which have recently been discovered in the Black Sea and Chesapeake Bay (Trouwborst et al., 2006) and quantitatively measured in the LSLE sediment porewaters (Madison et al., 2011), could serve as oxidants for NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>. Our treatment E received both <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> and Mn(III)-pyrophosphate at a concentration of 635 µmol I<sup>-1</sup>. As with treatment A, neither <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> nor <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> were generated during the incubation (Fig. 3), demonstrating that Mn(III)-pyrophosphate is not an effective oxidant of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> in the LSLE sediments, even at relatively high Mn(III) concentrations. It could be argued that Mn(III)-pyrophosphate





is a strong complex which may not be kinetically reactive or (bio)available for  $NH_4^+$  oxidation. Even though the Mn(III)-pyrophosphate complex stability constant is poorly constrained (Klewicki and Morgan, 1998), information on its reactivity can be gleaned from published experimental data. For example, the complex reacts readily with Fe(II) and HS<sup>-</sup> and can be used as an electron acceptor in the respiration of simple organic acids by *Shewanella putrefaciens* MR1 (Kostka et al., 1995). Thus, the available experimental evidence attests to both the kinetic reactivity and bioavailability of Mn(III)pyrophosphate, making it an appropriate analogue of natural Mn(III) complexes. Our slurry experiments therefore provide no evidence for the coupling of Mn or Fe reduction with the oxidation of  $NH_4^+$  to  $N_2$ ,  $NO_2^-$  or  $NO_3^-$ , and we conclude that these reactions

with the oxidation of  $NH_4^+$  to  $N_2$ ,  $NO_2^-$  or  $NO_3^-$ , a are unlikely to take place in the LSLE sediments.

15

20

In contrast, our slurry incubations reveal that anammox occurs at high rates in LSLE sediments. In the absence of <sup>14</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub>, which was completely consumed during the 12-h pre-equilibration period, denitrification cannot produce <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> in treatment B, which only received <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. In other words, there was no <sup>14</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> available during denitrification to pair with the <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and form <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub>. Thus, we attribute the observed <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> formation (Fig. 3) to the anammox reaction, which in our experiment produced <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> at rates of  $6.6 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{mol cm}^{-3} \,h^1$ , coupling <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, produced from added <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, with naturally occurring <sup>14</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. As the sediment was diluted 1:1 with seawater, we can scale these rates up by a factor of two to estimate in situ, volume specific, anammox rates of  $1.32 \pm 0.14 \times 10^{-3} \,\mu\text{mol cm}^{-3} \,h^{-1}$ .

Dissimilatory NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> could in principle produce <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> from the <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> added, which would translate to <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production via anammox and a corresponding underestimation of total anammox rates by only considering the <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> pool.
 <sup>25</sup> Similarly, denitrification based on <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production would be overestimated. However, measured rates of dissimilatory NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> are two orders of magnitude lower than the denitrification and anammox rates. Thus, dissimilatory NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> has an insignificant effect on our estimates of anammox and denitrification





rates. From the data presented in Fig. 3b, we can estimate maximum N<sub>2</sub> production rates from denitrification of  $3.3 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{cm}^{-3}\,\text{h}^{-1}$ , and in-situ rates of  $6.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{cm}^{-3}\,\text{h}^{-1}$ . In our slurry incubations, anammox would therefore account for  $\geq 67$ % of the total N<sub>2</sub> production.

- <sup>5</sup> We also tested for NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> limitation of anammox and the possibility that trace leakage of oxygen into the Exetainers might cause nitrification. To test for NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> limitation of anammox, <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> and <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> were added in treatment C. Both <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production rates were statistically equivalent to those in treatment B (Fig. 3). This demonstrates that NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> was not limiting for anammox and confirms that little <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> is incorporated
- into the <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> pool during anammox. This validates our measurements of dissimilatory nitrate reduction rates, which are very low, and confirms that <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production is exclusively due to denitrification. Lack of <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production in treatments A, D, and E demonstrates that nitrification rates in our slurry experiments are insignificant. Otherwise, any nitrification would be recorded in the <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> and <sup>30</sup>N<sub>2</sub> pools due to subsequent desire from the subsequent.
- denitrification. The addition of allylthiourea (ATU) to treatment F resulted in a weak, but statistically significant stimulation of both anammox and denitrification (Fig. 3). The reasons for this stimulation are unclear, but one possible explanation could be that ATU is used as an electron donor or carbon substrate by denitrifying or anammox bacteria.

Results of our slurry incubations demonstrate that Mn and Fe dependent ammonium

<sup>20</sup> oxidation is not a significant component of the sedimentary N-cycle in the LSLE. Anammox, on the other hand, is an important pathway for N<sub>2</sub> production. The estimated volume specific denitrification and anammox rates are 0.66 and  $1.3 \times 10^{-3} \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{cm}^{-3}\,\text{h}^1$ , respectively. Assuming that these rates are representative of the upper 2 cm of sediment and that all N<sub>2</sub> production occurs within this interval, these rates translate to area-specific rates of 13 and 26  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for N<sub>2</sub> production through denitrification and anammox, respectively.





### 4.3 Intact core incubations and in situ rates

Although slurry incubations can constrain potential rates and the importance of different reaction pathways, many biogeochemical reactions are stimulated in such slurries and incubations with intact sediment cores provide more realistic estimates of in situ

- rates. The recent development of a method to measure both denitrification and anammox within intact sediment cores lets N<sub>2</sub> production be partitioned between these reactions and provides a robust estimate of their in situ rates (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009). Our intact sediment core measurements confirm the results of our slurry experiments to the effect that both anammox and denitrification are important components of the
- <sup>10</sup> sedimentary N-cycle in the LSLE (Table 1). Nevertheless, the anammox reaction accounts for only 33% of total N<sub>2</sub> production in intact cores compared to  $\geq$ 67% in the slurries. Although both denitrification and anammox were stimulated in the slurries in comparison to in situ conditions, anammox was stimulated to a much larger extent. In the absence of nitrification, it is likely that the first step in denitrification, the conver-
- <sup>15</sup> sion of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, provided <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to fuel anammox. Given that the initial step of denitrification is energetically the most favorable (Zumft, 1997), it is possible that complete denitrification was inhibited under the electron acceptor-limiting conditions in the slurry incubations, thus augmenting the relative importance of anammox in the slurry experiments relative to the whole core incubations.
- <sup>20</sup> Our measurements of N<sub>2</sub> production rates in intact cores are in good agreement with previous measurements and model predictions (Table 1) (Katsev et al., 2007; Thibodeau et al., 2010), though the measurements made by MIMS are slightly, but not irreconcilably, lower (see Table 3 footnote). Despite the agreement between our measured rates and those modeled by Katsev et al. (2007), the modeled rates are based on
- <sup>25</sup> a different set of biogeochemical reactions than those we observe. The model includes Fe and Mn dependent NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> oxidation and neglects anammox (Katsev et al., 2007). These differences would not affect the ability of the model to reproduce current rates because it was calibrated using existing measurements. Model-based predictions of





future changes would, however, be questionable if the active sedimentary processes respond differently than model reactions to environmental changes. Similarly, previous reaction rate estimates were based on different techniques with different assumptions. For example, rates based on  $NO_3^-$  fluxes across the sediment-water interface

- <sup>5</sup> are blind to tightly-coupled, in situ, sedimentary nitrification and denitrification and cannot distinguish between the different possible sinks for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Thibodeau et al., 2010). As anammox contributes a third of the total N<sub>2</sub> production in sediments of the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary, it should be considered in any predictions about the future of the N-cycle in the LSLE.
- In UK estuaries, the importance of anammox to  $N_2$  production positively correlates with the concentration of  $NO_3^-$  in the overlying water and with sediment organic carbon content, but apparently not the reactivity of the latter (Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). The much greater percentage of  $N_2$  production attributed to anammox in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary cannot be ascribed to differences in organic carbon content
- as the sedimentary organic carbon content at our study site varies between 1.2 and 1.7 wt. %, similar to that at Medway (2.0 wt. %), which had the highest percent anammox of all the UK estuaries surveyed. Furthermore, the reactivity of organic carbon in the sediments of the LSLE, as characterized by the pseudo-first order oxic respiration reaction rate ( $k = 1.8 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ; Katsev et al., 2007), is broadly comparable to that of the UK estuaries (0.6 yr<sup>-1</sup>; Nicholls and Trimmer, 2009). Whereas NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in wa-
- ter overlying the Medway sediments (7–790  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup>) are much higher than those in the LSLE (~25  $\mu$ mol I<sup>-1</sup>), the relationship between NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations and the importance of anammox to N<sub>2</sub> production does not appear to apply to cross system comparisons over large geographical distances.
- <sup>25</sup> By comparing the isotopic composition of the nitrate in the overlying waters and that of the N<sub>2</sub> produced through denitrification and anammox, we can discriminate between N<sub>2</sub> generated from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> diffusing from the overlying water and that produced in the sediment via nitrification (see Appendix for calculation details). These calculations suggest that most of the NO<sub>x</sub> converted to N<sub>2</sub> through denitrification and





anammox is supplied through sedimentary nitrification and that diffusion of NO<sub>x</sub> from the overlying water accounts for only ~5% (1 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) of the total N<sub>2</sub> production. Summing the diffusive NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes of 14 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3) with the rates of nitrification measured by the IPT (>13 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) yields a total nitrate supply to the surface sediment layer of >27 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Subtracting NO<sub>x</sub> consumption through N<sub>2</sub> production (11.3 and 2.75 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> by denitrification and anammox, respectively) from the total NO<sub>x</sub> supply yields an unaccounted NO<sub>x</sub> sink of >13 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. As our measurements indicate that dissimilatory NO<sub>x</sub> reduction rates are low, benthic NO<sub>x</sub> assimilation may account for the discrepancy and would therefore constitute a ma-

- <sup>10</sup> jor intermediate pathway in the removal of nitrate from the St. Lawrence Estuary. At present, however, the ultimate fate of this putative, assimilated N is unknown and is difficult to reconcile with current estimates of N burial (Gobeil, 2006). Alternatively, as the porewater profiles and the computed NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site 23 could account for some of the difference between the presented NO<sub>x</sub> fluxes were generated from a separate box core, spatial heterogeneity at site presented for some of the difference between the presented for the
- the measured  $NO_x$  sinks and the calculated sources. Our finding that DNRA rates in the LSLE are very low is consistent with the observation that DNRA is much more important in tropical estuaries compared to temperate estuaries (Dong et al., 2011).

A comparison of  $O_2$  uptake rates (179  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>; Katsev et al., 2007) with the oxygen demand generated from nitrification (26  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) also indicates that nitri-

fication is an important benthic sink for O<sub>2</sub> in the St. Lawrence Estuary. Assuming that the sediment oxygen uptake rates are representative of the benthic C mineralization rates, and given the typical molar C:N ratio of sedimentary organic matter in the LSLE (12–14) (Gobeil, 2006), suggests that N is liberated from organic matter at a rate of 12–15 µmol N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, somewhat lower, but very similar to our measurements of benthic N<sub>2</sub> production rates (Table 3). This agreement is consistent with the idea that benthic N<sub>2</sub> production is limited by the supply of organic matter and the resulting availability of electron donors. The marginally higher rates of N<sub>2</sub> production than N release from







the overlying water column. The agreement between the calculated rates of N release from organic matter and our measurements of nitrification indicate that nitrification is very efficient. In contrast, rates of N<sub>2</sub> production may be up to 40% lower than the total NO<sub>x</sub> supply (diffusion + nitrification), revealing an inefficient removal of fixed-N through denitrification and anammox. As mentioned above, these N budgets are uncertain due to spatial heterogeneity at LSLE site 23. The extent of heterogeneity and its implications for these N budgets should be addressed in future studies.

### 5 Conclusions

5

- In summary, we find that anammox contributes significantly to N removal from the LSLE, whereas (hydr)oxides of Fe and Mn and organic complexes of Mn(III) do not appear to play an active role in sedimentary NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> oxidation and N<sub>2</sub> production. Although these pathways are thermodynamically favorable, quantitative tests of their operation in marine sediments reported here and earlier (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000) using isotope labeling fail to detect them. Dissimilatory reduction of NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> to NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> (DRNA) in
- <sup>15</sup> LSLE sediments occurs at rates that are 3 orders of magnitude lower than denitrification and anammox. Though more studies are needed to assess if our findings apply across the entire estuary, its various sediment lithologies, and wide range of bottom water O<sub>2</sub> concentrations (~60 to 150  $\mu$ M), it is clear that anammox plays an important role in mitigating continental fluxes of fixed N to the ocean via the LSLE.





### Appendix A

### **Rate calculations**

The revised isotope pairing technique (r-IPT)(Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009) was used to estimate total N<sub>2</sub> production,  $p_{14}$ (as N) as: 5

$$r - IPT p_{14} = 2r_{14} [p^{29} N_2 + p^{30} N_2 (1 - r_{14})]$$
(A1)

and anammox ( $p_{14}$  anammox) as:

$$p_{14} anammox = 2r_{14} (p^{29}N_2 - 2r_{14} p^{30} N_2)$$
 (A2)

Denitrification is calculated by subtracting  $p_{14}$  anammox (Eq. 2) from r-IPT  $p_{14}$  (Eq. 1).  $p^{29}N_2$  and  $p^{30}N_2$  are the production rates of  ${}^{29}N_2$  and  ${}^{30}N_2$  after the addition of  ${}^{15}N_2$ 10 NO<sub>3</sub>. The advantage of the latest version of the r-IPT (Trimmer and Nicholls, 2009) lies in the estimation of the  ${}^{15}N$  distribution in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> within the zone of sedimentary denitrification (r<sub>14</sub>) from measurements of the isotopic composition of N<sub>2</sub>O, which is assumed to be produced only via denitrification.  $r_{14}$  is estimated as:

 $r_{14} = p^{45} N_2 O/2 p^{46} N_2 O$ 15

The contribution of  $p_{14}$  supported by NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> diffusing from the overlying water column,  $p_{14}$ w, versus nitrate produced though sedimentary nitrification,  $p_{14}$ n, can be calculated as follows:

$$p_{14}w = p_{14}r_{14}w/r_{14}$$

$$p_{14}n = p_{14} - p_{14}w$$

where  $r_{14}$  w is the ratio of  ${}^{14}NO_3^-$  to  ${}^{15}NO_3^-$  in the overlying water, which is calculated from measurements of  $NO_3^-$  concentrations before the addition of the  ${}^{15}N-NO_3^-$  label and the concentration and volume of the <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub> label added. The contributions of



(A3)

(A4)

(A5)



denitrification and anammox to  $p_{14}w$  and  $p_{14}n$  were assigned by substitution of  $p_{14}$ anammox and  $p_{14}$  denitrification into Eqs. (4) and (5).

Rates of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) were calculated as follows:

DNRA =  $r_{14} p^{15} NH_{4}^{+}$ 5

where  $p^{15}NH_4^+$  is the production rate of labeled  ${}^{15}N-NH_4^+$ .

Acknowledgements. We thank Loreto De Brabandere for help setting up the N-isotope measurements, Bo Thamdrup for helpful suggestions and discussion, Constance Guignard for help in processing the sediment samples and extracting porewaters, George Luther and Brad Tebo for help in collecting and processing sediment cores and for helpful suggestions. We thank 10 Captain Baumelle and the crew of the R/V Coriolis II for providing essential assistance during field operations. We also thank CarriAyne Jones for comments and editorial suggestions. This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) through Ship-Time Allocation, Strategic and Discovery grants to AM and BS and a DNRF grant to DEC. SAC was supported by a NSERC PDF.

### References

15

- Anschutz, P., Sundby, B., Lefrancois, L., Luther, G. W., and Mucci, A.: Interactions between metal oxides and species of nitrogen and iodine in bioturbated marine sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 64, 2751-2763, 2000.
- Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic models and their implementation, Springer-Verlag, New York, 414 pp., 1996.
  - Braman, R. S. and Hendrix, S. A.: Nanogram nitrite and nitrate determination in environmental and biological-materials by vanadium(III) reduction with chemi-luminescence detection, Anal. Chem., 61, 2715-2718, 1989.
- Breitburg, D. L., Hondorp, D. W., Davias, L. A., and Diaz, R. J.: Hypoxia, nitrogen, and fisheries: 25 Integrating effects across local and global landscapes, Annual Review of Marine Science, 1, 329-349, doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163754, 2009.



(A6)



 Tables
 Figures

 I
 I

 I
 I

 I
 I

 Back
 Close

 Back
 Close

 Full Screer / Esc

Printer-friev Version
Interactive Discussion

Conclusions

Canfield, D. E., Kristensen, E., and Thamdrup, B.: Aquatic geomicrobiology, Advances in mariine biology, edited by: Alan J. Southward, P. A. T., Craig M. Young, Lee A. Fuiman, Elsevier, San Diego, 640 pp., 2005.

Canfield, D. E., Glazer, A. N., and Falkowski, P. G.: The evolution and future of earth's nitrogen cycle, Science, 330, 192–196, doi:10.1126/science.1186120, 2010.

- Capone, D. G., Bronk, D. A., Mulholland, M. R., and Carpenter, E. J.: Nitrogen in the marine environment, in, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, Burlington, 1729, 2008.
- Clement, J. C., Shrestha, J., Ehrenfeld, J. G., and Jaffe, P. R.: Ammonium oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron under anaerobic conditions in wetland soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37, 2323–2328, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.03.027, 2005.
- Cloern, J. E.: Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 210, 223–253, 2001.
- Codispoti, L. A., Brandes, J. A., Christensen, J. P., Devol, A. H., Naqvi, S. W. A., Paerl, H. W., and Yoshinari, T.: The oceanic fixed nitrogen and nitrous oxide budgets: Moving targets as we enter the anthropocene?, Scientia Marina, 65, 85–105, 2001.
- Dalsgaard, T., Thamdrup, B., and Canfield, D. E.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in the marine environment, Res. Microbiol., 156, 457–464, doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2005.01.011, 2005.

Dong, L. F., Sobey, M. N., Smith, C. J., Rusmana, I., Phillips, W., Stott, A., Osborn, M., and Ned-

- well, D. B.: Dissimilatory reduction of nitrate to ammonium, not denitrification or anammox, dominates benthic nitrate reduction in tropical estuaries, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56, 279–291, 2011.
  - Edenborn, H. M., Mucci, A., Belzile, N., Lebel, J., Silverberg, N., and Sundby, B.: A glove box for the fine-scale subsampling of sediment box cores, Sedimentology, 33, 147–150, 1986.
- Francis, C. A., Beman, J. M., and Kuypers, M. M. M.: New processes and players in the nitrogen cycle: The microbial ecology of anaerobic and archaeal ammonia oxidation, ISME Journal, 1, 19–27, doi:10.1038/ismej.2007.8, 2007.
  - Gilbert, D., Sundby, B., Gobeil, C., Mucci, A., and Tremblay, G. H.: A seventy-two-year record of diminishing deep-water oxygen in the st. Lawrence estuary: The northwest atlantic con-
- <sup>30</sup> nection, Limnol. Oceanogr., 50, 1654–1666, 2005.

5

10

15

Gilbert, D., Chabot, D., Archambault, P., Rondeau, B., and Hébert, S.: Appauvrissement en oxygène dans les eaux profondes du saint-laurent marin : Causes possibles et impacts écologiques., Nat. Can., 131, 67–75, 2007.



References

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

- Gobeil, C.: Biogeochemistry and chemical contamination in the St. Lawrence estuary, in: Estuaries, edited by: Wangersky, P. J., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 121–147, 2006.
- Hall, P. O. and Aller, R. C.: Rapid, small-volume, flow-injection analysis for  $\Sigma CO_2$  and  $NH_4^+$  in marine and fresh-waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 37, 1113–1119, 1992.
- 5 Hulth, S., Aller, R. C., and Gilbert, F.: Coupled anoxic nitrification manganese reduction in marine sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 63, 49-66, 1999.
  - Jensen, M. M., Kuypers, M. M. M., Lavik, G., and Thamdrup, B.: Rates and regulation of anaerobic ammonium oxidation and denitrification in the Black Sea, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 23-36, 2008.
- Kana, T. M., Dakrangelo, C., Oldham, J. B., Bennett, G. E., and Cornwell, J. C.: Membrane inlet 10 mass spectrometer for rapid high-precision determination of N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and Ar in environmental water samples, Anal. Chem., 66, 4166-4170, 1994.
  - Katsev, S., Chaillou, G., Sundby, B., and Mucci, A.: Effects of progressive oxygen depletion on sediment diagenesis and fluxes: A model for the Lower St. Lawrence River Estuary, Limnol.

Oceanogr., 52, 2555-2568, 2007, 15

20

30

- Klewicki, J. K. and Morgan, J. J.: Kinetic behavior of Mn(III) complexes of pyrophosphate, EDTA, and citrate, Environ. Sci. Technol., 32, 2916–2922, 1998.
- Kostka, J. E., Luther, G. W., and Nealson, K. H.: Chemical and biological reduction of Mn(III)pyrophsophate complexes - potential importance of dissolved Mn(III) as an environmental oxidant, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 59, 885-894, 1995.
- Kuypers, M. M. M., Lavik, G., Woebken, D., Schmid, M., Fuchs, B. M., Amann, R., Jorgensen, B. B., and Jetten, M. S. M.: Massive nitrogen loss from the benguela upwelling system through anaerobic ammonium oxidation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 102, 6478–6483, doi:10.1073/pnas.0502088102, 2005.
- Luther, G. W., Sundby, B., Lewis, B. L., Brendel, P. J., and Silverberg, N.: Interactions of manganese with the nitrogen cycle: Alternative pathways to dinitrogen, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 61, 4043-4052, 1997.
  - Luther, G. W., Brendel, P. J., Lewis, B. L., Sundby, B., Lefrancois, L., Silverberg, N., and Nuzzio, D. B.: Simultaneous measurement of O<sub>2</sub>, Mn, Fe, I, and S(II) in marine pore waters with a solid-state voltammetric microelectrode, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 325-333, 1998.
  - Madison, A., Tebo, B., and Luther, G. W.: Simultaneous determination of soluble manganese(III), manganese(II) and total manganese in natural (pore) waters, Talanta, 84, 374-381, 2011.





- Magen, C., Mucci, A., and Sundby, B.: Reduction rates of sedimentary Mn and Fe oxides: An incubation experiment with arctic ocean sediments, Aquatic Geochemistry, in press, 2011.
- Meyer, R. L., Risgaard-Petersen, N., and Allen, D. E.: Correlation between anammox activity and microscale distribution of nitrite in a subtropical mangrove sediment, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71, 6142–6149, doi:10.1128/AEM.71.10.6142-6149.2005, 2005.
- Microbiol., 71, 6142–6149, doi:10.1128/AEM.71.10.6142-6149.2005, 2005.
   Mucci, A., Sundby, B., Gehlen, M., Arakaki, T., Zhong, S., and Silverberg, N.: The fate of carbon in continental shelf sediments of eastern canada: A case study, Deep-Sea Res. Part II-Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 47, 733–760, 2000.

Nicholls, J. C. and Trimmer, M.: Widespread occurrence of the anammox reaction in estuarine sediments, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 55, 105–113, doi:10.3354/ame01285, 2009.

Nielsen, L. P., Risgaard-Petersen, N., Fossing, H., Christensen, P. B., and Sayama, M.: Electric currents couple spatially separated biogeochemical processes in marine sediment, Nature, 463, 1071–1074, doi:10.1038/nature08790, 2010.

10

30

Park, W., Nam, Y. K., Lee, M. J., and Kim, T. H.: Anaerobic ammonia-oxidation coupled with

- Fe<sup>3+</sup> reduction by an anaerobic culture from a piggery wastewater acclimated to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>/Fe<sup>3+</sup> medium, Biotechnol. Bioproc. E., 14, 680–685, doi:10.1007/s12257-009-0026-y, 2009.
   Poulton, S. W. and Canfield, D. E.: Development of a sequential extraction procedure for iron: Implications for iron partitioning in continentally derived particulates, Chem. Geol., 214, 209–221, 2005.
- 20 Reeburgh, W. S.: An improved interstitial water sampler, Limnol. Oceanogr., 12, 163–165, 1967.

Rich, J. J., Dale, O. R., Song, B., and Ward, B. B.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in chesapeake bay sediments, Microb. Ecol., 55, 311–320, doi:10.1007/s00248-007-9277-3, 2008.

- Risgaard-Petersen, N., Nielsen, L. P., Rysgaard, S., Dalsgaard, T., and Meyer, R. L.: Application of the isotope pairing technique in sediments where anammox and denitrification coexist, Limnol. Oceanogr. Meth., 1, 63–73, 2003.
  - Risgaard-Petersen, N., Meyer, R. L., and Revsbech, N. P.: Denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation in sediments: Effects of microphytobenthos and no3-, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 40, 67–76, 2005.
  - Rysgaard, S. and Risgaard-Petersen, N.: A sensitive method for determining nitrogen-15 isotope in urea, Mar. Biol., 128, 1493–1502, 1997.
  - Rysgaard, S., Glud, R. N., Risgaard-Petersen, N., and Dalsgaard, T.: Denitrification and anam-





mox activity in arctic marine sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 1493–1502, 2004.

- Schrum, H. N., Spivack, A. J., Kastner, M., and D'Hondt, S.: Sulfate-reducing ammonium oxidation: A thermodynamically feasible metabolic pathway in subseafloor sediment, Geology, 37, 939-.942, doi:10.1130/g30238a.1, 2009.
- Shrestha, J., Rich, J. J., Ehrenfeld, J. G., and Jaffe, P. R.: Oxidation of ammonium to nitrite under iron-reducing conditions in wetland soils laboratory, field demonstrations, and push-pull rate determination, Soil Science, 174, 156–164, doi:10.1097/SS.0b013e3181988fbf, 2009.
  - Thamdrup, B. and Dalsgaard, T.: The fate of ammonium in anoxic manganese oxide-rich marine sediment, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 64, 4157–4164, 2000.
- Thamdrup, B. and Dalsgaard, T.: Production of N<sub>2</sub> through anaerobic ammonium oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction in marine sediments, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 68, 1312–1318, 2002.

Thamdrup, B. and Dalsgaard, T.: Nitrogen cycling in sediments, in: Microbial ecology of the oceans 2nd Edn., edited by: Kirchman, D. L., John Wiley & Sons Inc., 2008.

<sup>15</sup> Thibodeau, B., de Vernal, A., and Mucci, A.: Enhanced primary productivity, organic carbon fluxes and the development of hypoxic bottom waters in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary, Eastern Canada: Micropaleontological and geochemical evidence, Mar. Geol., 231, 37–50, 2006.

Thibodeau, B., Lehmann, M. F., Kowarzyk, J., Mucci, A., Gelinas, Y., Gilbert, D., Maranger,

- R., and Alkhati, M.: Benthic nutrient fluxes along the Laurentian channel: Impacts on the N budget of the St. Lawrence marine system, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 90, 195–205, doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2010.08.015, 2010.
  - Trimmer, M. and Nicholls, J. C.: Production of nitrogen gas via anammox and denitrification in intact sediment cores along a continental shelf to slope transect in the North Atlantic, Limnol. Oceanogr., 54, 577–589, 2009.
  - Trimmer, M., Nicholls, J. C., and Deflandre, B.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation measured in sediments along the thames estuary, United Kingdom, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69, 6447–6454, doi:10.1128/aem.69.11.6447-6454.2003, 2003.

Trimmer, M., Nicholls, J. C., Morley, N., Davies, C. A., and Aldridge, J.: Biphasic behavior of anammox regulated by nitrite and nitrate in an estuarine sediment, Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,

anammox regulated by nitrite and nitrate in an estuarine sediment, Appl. Environ. Mici 71, 1923–1930, doi:10.1128/aem.71.4.1923-1930.2005, 2005.

25

Trimmer, M., Risgaard-Petersen, N., Nicholls, J. C., and Engstrom, P.: Direct measurement of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) and denitrification in intact sediment cores, Mar





Ecol-Prog Ser, 326, 37-47, 2006.

Trouwborst, R. E., Clement, B. G., Tebo, B. M., Glazer, B. T., and Luther, G. W.: Soluble Mn(III) in suboxic zones, Science, 313, 1955–1957, 2006.

Wang, F. H., Juniper, S. K., Pelegri, S. P., and Macko, S. A.: Denitrification in sediments of the

Laurentian trough, St. Lawrence Estuary, Quebec, Canada, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 57, 515–522, 10.1016/s0272-7714(02)00396-7, 2003.

Zumft, W. G.: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification, Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 61, 533–616, 1997.





	BGD 8, 9503–9534, 2011 Anammox, denitrification and fixed-nitrogen removal S. A. Crowe et al.				
5	Title	Page			
-	Abstract	Introduction			
	Conclusions	References			
2	Tables	Figures			
	I	►I.			
5	•	•			
_	Back	Close			
	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc			
5	Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version			
5)	Interactive	Interactive Discussion			



Table 1. Slurry incubation conditions and rates.

Treatment	$^{15}$ N-NO $_{3}^{-}$ (µmol cm $^{-3}$ )	$^{15}$ N-NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (µmol cm <sup>-3</sup> )	other	$^{29}N_2$ (x 10 <sup>-4</sup> µmol cm <sup>-3</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> )	$30 N_2$ (x 10 <sup>-4</sup> µmol cm <sup>-3</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> )
A		0.08			
В	0.08			$6.6 \pm 0.7$	$3.3 \pm 0.6$
С	0.08	0.08		6 ± 1	$3.8 \pm 0.2$
D		0.08	0.165 µmol cm <sup>−3</sup> ATU		
E		0.08	0.635 µmol cm <sup>-3</sup> Mn-PP*		
F	0.08	0.08	$0.165\mu molcm^{-3}$ ATU	9 ± 1	$5 \pm 0.3$

Series A received 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>; series B received 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; series C received 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10  $\mu$ l of a 100 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and <sup>15</sup>N-N  $NH_4^+$ , series D received 10 µl of a 100 mmol l<sup>-1</sup> solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and 200 µl of a 10 mmol l<sup>-1</sup> solution of allylthiourea (ATU), a specific inhibitor of nitrification; series E received  $10 \,\mu$ I of a  $100 \,mmol \,I^{-1}$  solution of  ${}^{15}N-NH_4^+$  and  $200 \,\mu$ I of a freshly prepared 40 mmol  $I^{-1}$  solution of Mn(III)-pyrophosphate; and, series F received 10 µl of a 100 mmol  $I^{-1}$  solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 10 µl of a 100 mmol  $I^{-1}$  solution of <sup>15</sup>N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 200 µl of a 10 mmol  $I^{-1}$  solution of ATU. \*PP stands for pyrophosphate.

Table 2. Solid phase Fe and Mn speciation in upper 2 cm of sediment.

	Mn ( $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Fe ( $\mu$ mol cm <sup>-3</sup> )
1 M Hydroxylamine-HCl	1.8 ± 0.2	45 ± 3
Citrate-Dithionite	$0.3 \pm 0.04$	$44 \pm 5$

Discussion Pa	<b>BC</b> 8, 9503–9	<b>BGD</b> , 9503–9534, 2011		
per   Discussion	Anam denitrifica fixed-ni rem S. A. Cro	nmox, ation and itrogen oval owe et al.		
Paper	Title	Page		
_	Abstract	Introduction		
Disc	Conclusions	References		
ussion	Tables	Figures		
Pape	14	►I		
<u>e</u>	•	•		
	Back	Close		
iscussio	Full Scre	en / Esc		
n Pa	Printer-friendly Version			
aper	Interactive Discussion			



Table 3. N	V reaction	rates (all in	$\mu$ mol N m <sup>-2</sup>	h <sup>-1</sup> ).
------------	------------	---------------	-----------------------------	--------------------

	Thibodeau et al. (2010)	Katsev et al. (2007)	Wang et al. (2003)	This Study Intact core	This Study Slurry
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>−</sup> flux	5.6	23.7	25.5	14*	
N <sub>2</sub> production	24.3	23.3	3.3	$16.8 \pm 2.0$	40
Denitrification	26.5			$11.3 \pm 1.1$	13
% Anammox				$5.5 \pm 1.7$	26
% in situ				95	
Nitrification				> 13	
Ammonification Assimilatory				> 16***	
nitrate reduction				> 13**	
DNRA				$0.005 \pm 0.0003$	$6 \pm 1 \times 10^{-5}$

\* Calculated using Fick's first law using a temperature and salinity corrected diffusion coefficient, and taking into account tortuosity (Boudreau, 1996).

\*\* Calculated as the difference between denitrification driven by a diffusive  $NO_3^-$  flux from the water column and the total diffusive  $NO_3^-$  flux. \*\*\*Calculated as the sum of sediment nitrification and 0.5 the anammox rate. N<sub>2</sub> production determined using

\*\*\*Calculated as the sum of sediment nitrification and 0.5 the anammox rate. N<sub>2</sub> production determined using MIMS:  $10.19 \pm 1.31 \mu mol m^{-2} h^{-2}$ .







**Fig. 1.** Schematic illustration of the sedimentary N-cycle in the Lower St. Lawrence Estuary (LSLE). Numbers in parentheses are the rates in  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.







Fig. 2. Porewater profiles (a) O<sub>2</sub>, (b) N species.





**Fig. 3.** Results from slurry incubations. **(a)**  $^{29}N_2$  production, **(b)**  $^{30}N_2$  production. Series A labeled with  $^{15}N-NH_4$ ; series B labeled with  $^{15}N-NO_3$ ; series C labeled with  $^{15}N-NO_3$  and  $^{15}N-NH_4$ ; series D labeled with  $^{15}N-NH_4$  and spiked with allylthiourea (ATU); series E labeled with  $^{15}N-NH_4$  and spiked with 40 mmol I<sup>-1</sup> Mn(III)-pyrophosphate; series F labeled with  $^{15}N-NH_4$  and  $^{15}N-NH_4$  and spiked with ATU.

