1	Effects of precipitation on soil acid phosphatase activity in three
2	successional forests in southern China
3	
4	W. Huang ^{1,2} , J. Liu ¹ , G. Zhou ¹ , D. Zhang ¹ , and Q. Deng ^{1,2}
5	¹ South China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou
6	510650, China
7	² Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049,
8	China
9	Correspondence Author: G. Zhou, South China Botanical Garden, Chinese
10	Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China; Tel: 86 20 3725 2708; Fax:
11	86 20 3725 2615; E-mail: gyzhou@scib.ac.cn

Phosphorus (P) is often a limiting nutrient for plant growth in 1 Abstract. tropical and subtropical forests. Global climate change has led to alterations in 2 precipitation in the recent years, which inevitably influences P cycling. Soil acid 3 phosphatase plays a vital role in controlling P mineralization, and its activity 4 reflects the capacity of organic P mineralization potential in soils. In order to 5 study the effects of precipitation on soil acid phosphatase activity, an 6 7 experiment with precipitation treatments (no precipitation, natural precipitation in three forests 8 and doubled precipitation) of early-, midand 9 advanced-successional stages in southern China was carried out. Results 10 showed that driven by seasonality of precipitation, changes in soil acid phosphatase activities coincided with the seasonal climate pattern, with 11 12 significantly higher values in the wet season than in the dry season. Soil acid phosphatase activities were closely linked to forest successional stages, with 13 enhanced values in the later stages of forest succession. In the dry season, 14 soil acid phosphatase activities in the three forests showed a rising trend with 15 increasing precipitation treatments. In the wet season, no precipitation 16 17 treatment depressed soil acid phosphatase activity, while doubled precipitation treatment exerted no positive effects on it, and even significantly lowered it in 18 the advanced forest. These results indicate that the potential transformation 19 rate of organic P might be more dependent on water in the dry season than in 20 the wet season. The negative responses of soil acid phosphatase activity to 21 precipitation suggest that P supply in subtropical ecosystems might be 22

reduced if there was a drought in a whole year or more rainfall in the wet
season in the future.

3 NP, no precipitation; Control, natural precipitation; DP, double precipitation.

4

5 **1** Introduction

Phosphorus (P) limitation to forest primary productivity and other ecosystem 6 7 processes is widespread in tropical forests (Attiwill and Adams, 1993). Most soil P is bound in detritus as organic P and remains inaccessible to plants. 8 9 Apart from the weathering of parent material in soils, P input is reliant on the 10 mineralization of soil organic matter. Phosphatases, originating from fungi, bacteria and root exudates, catalyze the hydrolysis of ester bonds between 11 12 phosphate and carbon compounds in organic substrates to enhance P availability to ecosystems (Turner and Haygarth, 2005). The production of 13 phosphatase would be increased when the requirement for P by forest 14 ecosystems is increased. These enzymes therefore play an important role in 15 maintaining and controlling the rate of P cycling in forest ecosystems. Of the 16 17 phosphatases, acid phosphatase is predominant in forest soils due to their pH optima (Juma and Tabatabai, 1988). Its activity can provide useful information 18 on organic P mineralization potential and biological activity of soils (Speir and 19 Ross, 1978; Dick and Tabatabai, 1993; Krämer and Green, 2000). Acid 20 phosphatase activity has been used as an indicator in several studies to 21 evaluate P limitation in forest ecosystems (Schneider et al., 2001; Gress et al., 22

1 2007).

Climate changes to global precipitation (Houghton et al., 2001) have 2 potential to greatly influence P cycling dynamics, since soil moisture is a key 3 factor of controlling P availability in soils through several processes, including 4 affecting mineralization processes, influencing P demand for plant growth and 5 impacting microbial activity (Leiros et al., 1999; Raghothama, 1999; Grierson 6 and Adams, 2000; Sardans et al., 2007). In some increased drought areas, 7 such as the Mediterranean, dry conditions lead to a great degree of P limitation 8 to plant growth because of a decrease in P supply through impairing soil 9 10 phosphatase activity (Garcia et al., 2002; Sardans and Penuelas, 2005). In China during the latter part of the 20th century, there has been a decreasing 11 12 trend in precipitation over northern China, while a significant increase in precipitation was also detected over the middle and lower reaches of the 13 Yangtze River and west China (Zhai et al., 1999). Our study also showed that 14 the monthly precipitation in northern Guangdong province had changed a lot in 15 the past decades (Luo et al., 2008). Changes in precipitation are, therefore, 16 likely to affect the rate of P turnover and soil P availability. Soil acid 17 phosphatase associating with P cycling in forest ecosystems is an exceptional 18 entry point for accessing the effects of variations in precipitation on P supply in 19 tropical and subtropical forests of China, which often suffer from P deficiency. 20 Some studies have reported changes in soil acid phosphatase activity in 21 22 response to a more pronounced drought (Sardans and Penuelas, 2005).

However, to the best of our knowledge, such investigations of acid
 phosphatase activity have not been conducted in any of the tropical and
 subtropical forests in China.

The Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve (DBR) possesses typical forests in 4 southern China, consisting of a pioneer community (coniferous Masson pine 5 forest, MPF), a transition community (coniferous and broad-leaved mixed 6 7 forest, MF) and a climax community (monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest, MEBF). These forests provide an excellent opportunity to study P cycling along 8 9 with a natural forest successional gradient under climate change. Previous 10 studies have suggested that soil available P is relatively low in this region (Huang et al., 2009) and P is possibly one of the factors limiting the plant 11 12 productivity in MEBF of the DBR (Mo et al., 2000). In this case, it is interesting to examine different responses of soil acid phosphatase activity to precipitation 13 in the three forests with different P requirements. We conducted a field 14 experiment of precipitation treatments, including no precipitation (NP), natural 15 precipitation (control) and doubled precipitation (DP) in the three forests with 16 17 the aim to test our hypotheses that: (1) driven by seasonality of precipitation, soil acid phosphatase activity would be higher in the wet season than in the dry 18 season; (2) soil acid phosphatase activity would be greater in the later stages 19 of forest succession and exhibit different responses to precipitation treatments 20 depending on forest types; (3) precipitation treatments would exert different 21 22 effects on soil acid phosphatase activity between the dry and wet seasons due

1 to the uneven distribution of seasonal rainfall within a year.

2

3

2 Materials and methods

4 **2.1 Site description**

study was carried out in the DBR (23⁰09'21"N-23⁰11'30"N, 5 This 112⁰30'39"E–112⁰33'41"E), located in the central part of Guangdong province, 6 South China. DBR is the first natural reserve in China with an area of 1133 ha. 7 The reserve is characterized by a typical subtropical monsoon humid climate 8 with an annual average relative humidity of 80%. The mean annual 9 10 temperature is 21°C, with a minimum monthly average temperature at 12.6°C in January and a maximum one at 28°C in July. The annual average 11 precipitation of 1927 mm has a distinct seasonal pattern, with about 80% of it 12 falling from April to September (wet-warm season) and 20% occurring from 13 October to March (dry-cool season). The bedrock is sandstone and shale. 14 Soils are classified in the ultisol group and udult subgroup according to USDA 15 soil classification system (Buol et al., 2003). 16

In the DBR, key vegetation types consist of MPF, MF and MEBF, and they represent a sequence of natural successional stages, from pioneer community (MPF), transition community (MF) to regional climax vegetation (MEBF). MPF, belonging to the first stage of the successional processes, occurs in the periphery of the reserve at an elevation of about 200 m. It was planted in the 1950s. The dominant species in MPF is *Pinus massoniana* Lamb. (Brown et al.,

1 1995). The texture of top soil in MPF is medium gravel-medium loam, and the capacity of field moisture and wilting coefficient in the soil are 26.0% and 2 10.9%, respectively (Zhang and Zhuo, 1985). The MF is distributed between 3 the core area and periphery of the reserve at an elevation of about 200-300 m. 4 It originated from MPF planted in the 1930s. Due to a gradual invasion of some 5 pioneer broadleaf species through natural succession, the plant composition in 6 7 MF had greatly changed. Dominant species in the canopy layer of MF are P. massoniana, Schima superba Gardn. et Champ, Castanopsis chinensis Hance, 8 and Craibiodendron scleranthum var. kwangtungense (S. Y. Hu) Judd. If 9 10 sufficient time was allowed, MF is believed to develop into MEBF (Wang and Ma, 1982). The texture of top soil in MF belongs to medium gravel-heavy loam 11 12 with field capacity and wilting point at 25.3% and 8.2%, respectively (Zhang and Zhuo, 1985). MEBF is distributed in the core area of the reserve at an 13 elevation varying from 200 to 300 m. It has been undisturbed for more than 14 400 years (Zhou, G. Y. et al., 2006). Major species in MEBF include C. 15 chinensis, Machilus chinensis (Champ. ex Benth.) Hemsl., S. superba, 16 Cryptocarya chinensis (Hance) Hemsl., Syzygium rehderianum Merr. et Perry 17 in the canopy and sub-canopy layers. The texture of top soil in MEBF is light 18 gravel-heavy loam. The water retention ability of top soil is the highest with the 19 capacity of field moisture and wilting coefficient at 34.6% and 11.4%, 20 respectively (Zhang and Zhuo, 1985). 21

22

1 2.2 Experiment design

The precipitation experiment was started within the three forests in December 2 2006. Three precipitation treatments consisted of no precipitation (NP), natural 3 precipitation (control) and doubled precipitation (DP). Each treatment was 4 replicated three times. In each forest, we selected the plots with similar slope 5 aspect, slope degree, slope position and community structure in order to 6 7 minimize the heterogeneity among them. Each plot had a dimension of 3 m × 3 m, and the distance between plots was more than 1 m. Precipitation was 8 9 intercepted in the NP plots using polyvinyl chloride (PVC) sheer roof and was redistributed to the DP plots (Borken et al., 2006; Zhou, X. H. et al., 2006). The 10 control plot receiving natural precipitation was built beside these treatment 11 12 plots. Around each NP plot, the thick PVC plates were inserted into grounds at 15 cm deep to prevent surface runoff and lateral movement of water from the 13 surrounding soil. The litter falling on precipitation interception roofs of NP plots 14 was removed manually and periodically sprinkled on soils below the plates 15 (Chen et al., 2010). Soil moisture of the top 5 cm soil layer, determined as 16 volumetric soil water content (%, cm³/cm³), was measured on five random 17 locations twice a month throughout the experiment within a plot using a MPKit 18 (ICT, Australia, http://www.ictinternational.com.au/soils.htm), which 19 see consists of three amplitude domain reflectometry (ADR), moisture probes 20 (MP406) and a data logger (MPM160 meter) (Tang et al., 2006). 21

22

1 2.3 Soil sampling

We conducted soil sampling in February (the dry season) and June (the wet 2 season) 2009. For each plot, we collected soil samples from 0-20 cm mineral 3 soils after removal of the litter layer. Six soil cores (2.5 cm in diameter) were 4 randomly taken and evenly combined to one sample in each plot. Soil samples 5 were put in sealed plastic bags and immediately taken to the laboratory. Each 6 7 sample was passed through a 2 mm sieve after removing roots, stones and other impurities. We divided each sample into two aliguots. One aliguot was air 8 dried for analysis of related soil chemical properties. The other one was kept 9 10 without drying at 4°C until analysis of acid phosphatase activity was conducted. The measurement procedures of soil acid phosphatase activity were 11 12 completed within 28 days.

13

14 2.4 Soil chemical properties and acid phosphatase activity 15 measurements

Gravimetric soil moisture was determined from mass loss after drying for 24 h at 105°C. Soil pH was measured in a deionized water suspension using glass electrodes at a ratio of 25 ml water to 10 g soil (Liu et al., 1996). Total carbon (C) was determined by dichromate oxidation before titration with a Fe²⁺ solution (Liu et al., 1996). Total N was measured by semimicro-Kjeldahl digestion followed by steam distillation and final titration of ammonium (Liu et al., 1996). Total P was determined colorimetrically after digestion (Liu et al.,

1996). Available P was extracted with a solution containing 0.03 M NH₄F and
 0.025 M HCI (Liu et al., 1996).

Soil acid phosphatase activity was measured using para-nitrophenyl 3 phosphate (p-NPP) as an orthophosphate monoester analogue substrate. We 4 used the method of Schneider et al. (2000) based on the original one of 5 Tabatabai and Bremner (1969). We took 1 g of fresh soil (< 2 mm) in a 100 ml 6 7 Erlenmeyer flask, added 4 ml of modified universal buffer (pH 6.5) and 1 ml of 100 mM p-NPP substrate dissolved in the buffer, and swirled the flask slightly 8 9 for a few seconds to mix the contents. The flask was then sealed and 10 incubated at 30°C for 30 min. After incubation, we immediately placed the flask on ice and then added 1 ml of 2 M CaCl₂ and 4 ml of 0.2 M NaOH to terminate 11 12 the reaction and extract the para-nitrophenol (p-NP) formed. The sample was diluted with 90 ml of deionized water and then filtered through Whatman-42 13 Absorbance of released determined 14 filter paper. p-NP was 15 spectrophotometrically at 400 nm. Four replicates including one blank were used for each soil sample. For the blank, p-NPP was added after (instead of 16 17 before) the incubation. The acid phosphatase activity was expressed as µmol *p*-NP per gram dry soil and incubation time (μ mol *p*-NP g⁻¹ h⁻¹). 18

19

20 2.5 Statistical analysis

Data analyses were carried out with SPSS 11.5 for windows. We applied the T-test for independent samples to analyze differences between the dry and

wet seasons for soil moisture, soil chemical properties and soil acid 1 phosphatase activity in the three forests. We used ANOVA followed by Tukey 2 3 multiple comparison test to study: (1) the differences among the controls of three forests for soil moisture, soil chemical properties and soil acid 4 5 phosphatase activity; (2) the effects of precipitation intensity on soil moisture, soil chemical properties and soil acid phosphatase activity among the 6 7 treatments separately for each season and forest. In addition, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to show the relationships between soil 8 9 chemical properties and acid phosphatase activity. For all statistical tests, we 10 chose the probability level to reject the null hypothesis to be inferior or equal to 0.05 unless otherwise stated. 11

12

13 3 Results

14 **3.1 Soil moisture**

The mean soil moisture in the dry season (from October to March of the next 15 year) was relatively lower than in the wet season (from April to September) 16 (Fig. 1), but the difference in soil moisture between the two seasons was 17 significant only in MPF (P < 0.01). In controls, the values of annual mean soil 18 moisture were 19.2% for MPF, 27.2% for MF and 27.5% for MEBF, 19 respectively. MF and MEBF showed significantly greater annual mean soil 20 moisture than MPF (P < 0.01), but there was no significant difference between 21 MF and MEBF. In all the three forests, NP treatments significantly decreased 22

soil moisture (P < 0.01), while DP treatments had no significant effects on it except for MPF in the wet season, which showed significantly greater soil moisture in DP treatment than in control (P < 0.01).

4

5 **3.2 Soil chemical properties**

The wet season exhibited significantly higher soil pH but lower available P (P <6 0.01) than the dry season, while there were no significant differences in total C, 7 total N and total P between the two seasons (Table 1). For the dry season, 8 significantly higher soil pH but lower total C were detected in the control of 9 10 MPF than in those of MEBF (P < 0.01 for soil pH) and MF (P < 0.01 for both). Total P and available P were significantly higher in MEBF than those in MF (P 11 12 < 0.01 for both). There were no significant differences in total N among the three forests. Precipitation treatments did not significantly affect related soil 13 chemical properties except for available P in MEBF, which was significantly 14 reduced by DP treatment in comparison with NP treatment (Table 1). For the 15 wet season, MPF exhibited significantly higher soil pH and lower total P (P <16 0.01) than MEBF in the controls. Total C and total N in the controls of MEBF 17 and MF were significantly higher than those of MPF (P < 0.01 for all), while 18 significantly lower concentrations of available P were found in both MEBF and 19 MF than in MPF (P < 0.01 for both). The differences of the soil chemical 20 properties between MEBF and MF did not arrive at a significant level. Soil pH 21 exhibited an increasing trend with increasing precipitation treatments in three 22

forests, but it was only significantly lowered by NP treatment when compared with DP treatment and control (P < 0.01 for both) in MEBF. The other chemical properties were not greatly affected by precipitation treatments.

4

5 **3.3 Soil acid phosphatase activity**

In all cases, the wet season showed significantly higher soil acid phosphatase 6 activities than the dry season (P < 0.01). On average, the value of soil acid 7 phosphatase activity in the wet season was 1.33 times greater than in the dry 8 season. In the two seasons, the controls of MEBF and MF exhibited 9 10 significantly higher soil acid phosphatase activities than that of MPF (P < 0.01for both), and the difference between MEBF and MF was not significant. The 11 12 mean values of soil acid phosphatase activity in the two seasons were 4.89 μ mol p-NP g^{-1} h^{-1} for MPF, 12.41 μ mol p-NP g^{-1} h^{-1} for MF and 12.29 μ mol 13 p-NP g^{-1} h⁻¹ for MEBF, respectively. 14

The responses of soil acid phosphatase activity to precipitation varied with 15 seasons and forests (Fig. 2). In the dry season, increasing precipitation 16 treatments exerted positive impacts on soil acid phosphatase activities in the 17 three forests (Fig. 2A). For MPF, DP treatment significantly increased soil acid 18 phosphatase activity when compared with NP treatment and control (P < 0.0119 for both), and the difference between NP treatment and control was not 20 significant. For MF, soil acid phosphatase activity in NP treatment was around 21 21% and 23% lower than control and DP treatment, respectively. As for MEBF, 22

1	although the differences of soil acid phosphatase activity among treatments
2	were not significant, it showed a positive response to increasing precipitation.
3	The values were in this order: 8.70 μ mol <i>p</i> -NP g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ for NP treatment < 9.77
4	μ mol <i>p</i> -NP g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ for control < 10.54 μ mol <i>p</i> -NP g ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ for DP treatment. In the
5	wet season, soil acid phosphatase activities were all significantly lowered by
6	NP treatments in the three forests ($P < 0.01$ for all) (Fig. 2B). However, they
7	were not stimulated by DP treatments in both MPF and MF, and it was even
8	significantly depressed by DP treatment in MEBF. Among the three forests, the
9	responses of soil acid phosphatase activity to precipitation treatments were the
10	most pronounced in MEBF. The differences among the three treatments in
11	MEBF all came up to significant levels.

3.4 Relationships between soil chemical properties and soil acid phosphatase activity

In the two seasons, soil acid phosphatase activity had a significantly negative correlation with soil pH, whereas it had significantly positive correlations with total C, total N and total P. A significantly negative relationship between soil acid phosphatase activity and available P was monitored in the wet season, while no obvious relationship between them was found in the dry season (Table 2).

21

22 4 Discussion

4.1 Soil acid phosphatase activity in the dry and wet seasons

Phosphatases are inducible enzymes regulated by end-product inhibition. 2 Plant roots and microbes will increase the excretion of phosphatases into soils 3 when available P does not meet their demands (Goldstein et al., 1988). It is 4 expected that soil acid phosphatase activity would be clearly greater in the wet 5 season than in the dry season in our experiment, a seasonal pattern observed 6 7 previously by Grierson and Adams (2000). Actually, in the wet season, plants grow fast, and microbial biomass is always high (Table 3) (Deng et al. 2011). 8 9 This increasing enzyme activity would respond to meet the increasing P 10 demand by plant and microbe growth in the wet season. Since heavy rain in the wet season often leads to nutrient loss, low available P detected in the wet 11 12 season (Table 1) further intensifies the competition for P in ecosystems in the growing season. On the contrary, dry season is the least biologically active 13 period. Moreover, soil available P was relatively high (Table 1) to meet the 14 biological demands because of the accumulation of nutrients released from 15 litter decomposition and low soil nutrient diffusion (Xia et al., 1997). The fact 16 that a significantly negative correlation between soil acid phosphatase activity 17 and available P was found only in the wet season but not in the dry season 18 indicates that soil acid phosphatase activity is more dependent on soil 19 available P in the growing season than in the least biologically active season 20 21 (dry season).

22

4.2 Effects of forest succession on soil acid phosphatase activity in the 2 Controls

3 The values of soil acid phosphatase activity in the three forests of DBR fell in the range observed in previous studies of other acidic forest soils (Pang and 4 Kolenko, 1986; Schneider et al., 2000; Trasar-Cepeda et al., 2000; Dinesh et 5 al., 2004). That MF and MEBF exhibited higher soil acid phosphatase activities 6 7 than MPF indicates that soil acid phosphatase activity is correlated with forest succession. This evidence might also reflect an enhanced biological P 8 9 limitation in the later successional forests since soil acid phosphatase activity 10 is a prevailing indicator of the degree in P limitation (Turner et al., 2002). This was partly supported by Mo et al. (2000), suggesting that P was possibly one 11 12 of the factors limiting the plant productivity in the mature forest of the DBR.

The reason for the differences of soil acid phosphatase activity among the 13 three forests could be explained by the distinction in soil nutrient status and 14 vegetation conditions (Harrison, 1983; Ushio et al., 2010). Our results also 15 showed that soil chemical properties had close relationships with soil acid 16 phosphatase activity (Table 2), which was also partly confirmed by other 17 studies (Schneider et al., 2000; Turner and Haygarth, 2005; Chen et al., 2003, 18 2008), indicating that the better soil nutrient condition, the higher soil acid 19 phosphatase activity would be. In the DBR, soil nutrient condition in MF was 20 comparable with that in MEBF despite their different successional stages, but 21 they were both superior to that in MPF (Table 1). Moreover, soil microbial 22

biomass was elevated in the later stage of forest succession (Table 3). This 1 necessarily resulted in the high soil acid phosphatase activity in MF and MEBF 2 since this enzyme is partly originated from microbes. The results reinforce the 3 idea that soil acid phosphatase activity is strongly dependent on forest types. 4 With progressive forest succession (MPF \rightarrow MF \rightarrow MEBF), plant community 5 6 developed from almost monospecific with only occasional broad-leaved tree species to rich and diversiform species. The biomass, litterfall production 7 (Table 4) and litter decomposition rate (Mo et al., 2006), which were very 8 important in balancing loss and removal of P from mineral soil by plant uptake 9 10 and microbial activity (Chen et al., 2000, 2003), exhibited an increasing tendency with progressive successional stages. The biomass of fine root and 11 12 microbe (Table 3), associating with the production of soil acid phosphatase, were also enhanced with forest succession. 13

14

15 4.3 Effects of precipitation treatments on soil acid phosphatase activity Phosphatases are involved in hydrolyzing organic P into inorganic P, and their 16 17 activities are important in affecting soil P availability. In the dry season, our results showed that increasing precipitation treatments had positive effects on 18 soil acid phosphatase activity, especially in MPF and MF. The increased soil 19 acid phosphatase activity would facilitate the acquisition of available P to plant 20 and mitigate P limitation. Zhou and Yan (2001) studying in this area stated that 21 owing to moderate solar radiation input in dry season, plant growth potential 22

still kept high and demanded for moderate water, but less rainfall in the season 1 could not meet the need. Increased precipitation input, directly inducing the 2 enhancement of soil moisture, was conductive to the release of organic P to 3 ecosystems and, consequently, had an indirect benefit to plant growths. We 4 5 observed that precipitation treatments did not affect total P (Table 1), and the available P was not correlated with soil acid phosphatase activity (Table 2). 6 7 These results indicate that changes in soil acid phosphatase activity might be more dependent on soil moisture and less a consequence of an effect of 8 9 changes in the substrate and end-production of soil phosphatase. Therefore, 10 more rainfall occurring in this season would be helpful to promote forest productivity potential, especially for the early stages of forest succession. 11

12 However, the amount of water requirement varies with forest types. In MPF, our results showed that soil acid phosphatase activity was only greatly 13 enhanced by DP treatment, demonstrating that water was a key limiting factor 14 in controlling the P cycling due to its own guite low soil moisture (Fig. 1). As a 15 transition community MF, DP treatment did not exert any significant effect on 16 17 soil acid phosphatase activity. This indicates that increased water in MF is not as important as that in MPF because of its relatively high soil moisture. As a 18 regional climax community MEBF, it had a wholesome self-regulating 19 mechanism and a high capability of resisting outside interference, thus, 20 precipitation treatments did not markedly influence soil acid phosphatase 21 22 activity.

1 In the wet season, soil acid phosphatase activities in three forests exhibited different patterns in responses to precipitation treatments. That NP treatment 2 had a clearly negative effect on soil acid phosphatase activity was consistent 3 with the results reported in other literatures, representing that phosphatase 4 activity was well correlated with soil water availability (Krämer and Green, 5 2000; Sardans and Penuelas, 2005, Sardans et al., 2008). The decrease in 6 7 soil acid phosphatase activity produced by drought might result in a reduction of P supply to plants and further aggravate the pressure of P limitation in this 8 9 region in the long time. Apart from the decrease in soil moisture, the increasing 10 acidity of soil accompanied by the prolonged drought condition (Table 1) might be one of mechanisms involved in the reduction of soil acid phosphatase 11 12 activity. Previous studies have reported that a low soil pH value could cause a reduction in soil microbial biomass (Baath et al., 1980; Wang et al., 2008). This 13 was confirmed by Deng et al. (2011), showing that soil microbial biomass was 14 significantly depressed by NP treatments in the three forests (Table 3). A 15 considerable risk of aluminum toxicity also likely appeared in a relatively low 16 17 soil pH, which would inhibit plant root growth and nutrient absorption (Liu, 2000). These factors would greatly go against acid phosphatase since it is 18 closely bound up with microbe, root growth and plant demand for P (Tarafdar 19 and Claassen, 1988). 20

Nevertheless, in the wet season, no positive effects and even some negative
effects of DP treatments on soil acid phosphatase activity were detected in the

three forests. These indicate that water brought by natural rainfall is sufficient 1 for plant growths because of large rainfall occurring in the wet season, and that 2 more water has no competitive advantage under enhanced P demands in the 3 growing season. The results also imply that some other factors become more 4 important to impact soil acid phosphatase activity than water. High N content in 5 the rainfall of this area was observed in previous studies (Zhou and Yan, 2001; 6 7 Fang et al., 2008) resulting from the increasing industrial and agricultural activities. From our observation, the N input with the rainfall was about 34.60 8 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ since 1989, amounting to 75% of the total N deposition (Huang 9 10 et al., 2011). The fact that doubled rainfall brought about more available N input into ecosystems might account for the reduction of soil acid phosphatase 11 12 activity, especially in MEBF. Excessive available N input would result in a nutrient imbalance, which is deleterious to microbial growth that requires 13 balanced nutrient proportions (Kuperman, 1999), and eventually influence soil 14 acid phosphatase activity. As for MEBF, Mo et al. (2006) stated that this forest 15 was likely N saturated due to both long-term high atmospheric N deposition in 16 this region (Zhou and Yan, 2001) and self-accumulation in the mature forest 17 (Vitousek, 1982, 1984). Soil available N was relatively higher in MEBF than 18 those in MPF and MF (Table 4). More N input produced by increased 19 precipitation is detrimental to MEBF and, as a result, has a significant negative 20 on soil acid phosphatase activity, which would further intensify P deficiency 21 22 relative to the increasing N availability.

2 **5 Conclusions**

Driven by the seasonality of precipitation, soil acid phosphatase activities 3 presented an obvious seasonal pattern, with high values in the wet season and 4 5 low ones in the dry season, which corresponded to the most biological activity and lower soil available P in the growing season. Forest succession and soil 6 7 acid phosphatase activity were positively related, with the smallest values in MPF, followed by MF and MEBF. This suggests that the requirements for P by 8 9 ecosystems might be enhanced in the later stages of forest succession. Our 10 results also show that a reasonable distribution of water plays a critical role in controlling the rate of P cycling in subtropical forest soils. In the dry season, the 11 12 results exhibited a tendency of increased soil acid phosphatase activities with elevating precipitation treatments in the three forests, which implies soil water 13 content might be more important to relieve the stress of P limitation in this 14 region. In the wet season, drought was adverse to soil acid phosphatase 15 activities within the three forests, demonstrating that there would be a 16 reduction in P supplying to plants and/or microbes when there is a decrease in 17 soil water content. However, DP treatments exerted little effects on soil acid 18 phosphatase activity except for that in MEBF, showing clearly negative effects 19 on it. These effects indicate that factors rather than water primarily might affect 20 soil acid phosphatase activity in the growing season. The negative effects on 21 soil acid phosphatase activity suggest that if drought throughout the year or 22

more rainfall in the wet season happened in the further, P supply might be
further decreased, and this would be detrimental to plant growth in the
subtropical forests.

4

Acknowledgements. The study was supported by projects of National Natural
Science Foundation of China (NSFC 30725006), Guangdong Provincial
Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFG 8351065005000001) and Basic
Research Program of China (No. 2009CB421101). We thank Miss Yin Li, Mr.
Haiqing He, Miss Yanhong Li and others for their help in the field sample
collection and analysis.

11

12 **References**

Attiwill, P. M., and Adams, M. A.: Nutrient cycling in forest, New Phytol., 124,
561-582, 1993.

Baath, E., Berg, B., Lohm, U., Lundgren, B., Lundkvist, H., Rosswall, T.,
Soderstrom, B., and Wiren, A.: Effects of experimental acidification and
liming on soil organisms and decomposition in a Scots pine forest,
Pedobiologia, 20, 85-100, 1980.

Broken, W., Savage, K., Davidson, E. A., and Trumbore, S. E.: Effects of
 experimental drought on soil respiration and radiocarbon efflux from a
 temperate forest soil, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 177-193, 2006.

Brown, S., Lenart, M. T., Mo, J. M., and Kong, G. H.: Structure and organic

1	matter dynamics of a human-impacted pine forest in a MAB reserve of
2	subtropical China, Biotropica, 27, 276-289, 1995.
3	Buol, S. W., Southard, R. J., Graham, R. C., and McDaniel, P. A.: Soil genesis
4	and classificantion, fifth edition, Iowa State Press, Iowa, USA, 339-347,
5	2003.
6	Chen, C. R., Condron, L. M., Davis, M. R., and Sherlock, R. R.: Effects of
7	afforestation on phosphorus dynamics and biological properties in a New
8	Zealand grassland soil, Plant Soil, 220,151-163, 2000.
9	Chen, C. R, Condron, L. M., Davis, M. R., and Sherlock, R. R.: Seasonal
10	changes in soil phosphorus and associated microbial properties under
11	adjacent grassland and forest in New Zealand, For. Ecol. Manage., 177,
12	539-557, 2003.
13	Chen, C. R., Condron, L. M., and Xu, Z. H.: Impacts of grassland afforestation
14	with coniferous trees on soil phosphorus dynamics and associated microbial
15	process: A review, For. Ecol. Manage., 255, 396-409, 2008.
16	Chen, X. M., Liu, J. X., Deng, Q., Chu, G. W., Zhou, G. Y., and Zhang, D. Q.:
17	Effects of precipitation intensity on soil organic carbon fractions and their
18	distribution under subtropical forests of South China, Chinese Journal of
19	Applied Ecology, (in Chinese with English abstract), 21, 1205-1211, 2010.
20	Deng, Q., Zhang D. Q., Zhou, G. Y., Liu, J. X., Liu, S. Z., Chu, G. W., Li, J., and
21	Hui, D. F.: Responses and mechanisms of soil respiration to precipitation

1	changes in three subtropical forests in China: a three-year field experimental
2	study, unpublished data, 2011.

Dick, W. A., and Tabatabai, M. A.: Soil microbial ecology: Application in
agricultural and environmental management, in: Significance and potential
uses of soil enzymes, edited by Metting, F.B., Marcel Dekker, New York,
USA, 95-125, 1993.

- Dinesh, R., Chaudhuri, S. G., and Sheeja, T. E.: Soil biochemical and microbial
 indices in wet tropical forests: Effects of deforestation and cultivation, J.
 Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.-Z. Pflanzenernahr. Bodenkd., 167, 24-32, 2004.
 Fang, Y. T., Mo, J. M., Zhou, G. Y., Gundersen, P., Li, D. J., and Jiang, Y. Q.:
- The short-term responses of soil available nitrogen of Dinghushan forests to
 simulated N deposition in subtropical China, Acta Ecologica Sinica, (in
 Chinese with English abstract), 24, 2353-2359, 2004.
- Fang, Y. T., Gundersen, P., Mo, J. M., and Zhu, W. X.: Input and output of
 dissolved organic and inorganic nitrogen in subtropical forests of South
 China under high air pollution, Biogeosciences, 5, 339-352, 2008.
- Garcia, C., Hernandez, T., Roldan, A., and Martin, A.: Effect of plant cover
 decline on chemical and microbiological parameters under Mediterranean
 climate, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 635-642, 2002.
- Goldstein, A. H., Baertlein, D. A., and McDaniel, R. G.: Phosphate starvation
 inducible metabolism in Lycopersicon esculentum 1. Excretion of acid
 phosphatase by tomato plants and suspension culture cells, Plant Physiol.,

87, 711-720, 1988.

2	Gress, S. E., Nichols, T. D., Northcraft, C. C., and Peterjohn, W. T.: Nutrient
3	limitation in soils exhibiting differing nitrogen availablities: What lies beyond
4	nitrogen saturation, Ecology, 88,119-130, 2007.
5	Grierson, P. F., and Adams, M. A.: Plant species affect acid phosphatase,
6	ergosterol and microbial P in a Jarrah (Eucalyptus marginata Donn ex Sm.)
7	forest in south-western Australia, Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 1817-1827, 2000.
8	Harrison, A. F.: Relationship between intensity of phosphatase activity and
9	physicochemical properties in woodland soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 15, 93-99,
10	1983.
11	Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P. J., Dai,
12	X., Maskell, K., and Johnson, C. A.: Climate Change 2001: the scientific
13	basis, Contribution of working Group I to the third assessment report of the
14	intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge University Press,
15	Cambridge, UK, 142-145, 2001.
16	Huang, W. J., Liu, J. X., Tang, X. L., Huang, Y. H., Liu, S. Z., Chu, G. W., and
17	Zhou, G. Y.: Inorganic nitrogen and available phosphorus concentrations in
18	the soils of five forests at Dinghushan, China, Chinese Journal of Applied
19	and Environmental Biology, (in Chinese with English abstract), 15, 441-447,
20	2009.
21	Huang, W. J., Zhou, G. Y., and Liu, J. X.: Nitrogen and phosphorus status and

22 their influence on aboveground production under enriching nitrogen

1	deposition in three successional forests, unpublished data, 2011.
2	Juma, N. G., and Tabatabai, M. A.: Comparison of kinetic and thermodynamic
3	parameters of phosphomonoesterases of soils and of corn and soybean
4	roots, Soil Biol. Biochem., 20, 533-539, 1988.
5	Krämer, S., and Green, D. M.: Acid and alkaline phosphatase dynamics and
6	their relationship to soil microclimate in a semiarid woodland, Soil Biol.
7	Biochem., 32, 179-188, 2000.
8	Kuperman, R. G.: Litter decomposition and nutrient dynamics in oak-hickory
9	forests along a historic gradient of nitrogen and sulfur deposition, Soil Biol.
10	Biochem., 31, 237-244, 1999.
11	Leiros, M. C., Trasar-Cepeda, C., Garcia-Fernandez, F., and Gil-Sotres, F.:
12	Defining the validity of a biochemical index of soil quality, Biol. Fertil. Soils,
13	30, 140-146, 1999.
14	Liu, G. S., Jiang, N. H., Zhang, L. D., and Liu, Z. L.: Soil physical and chemical
15	analysis and description of soil profiles. Standards Press of China, Beijing,
16	China, 121-265, 1996.
17	Liu, J. X.: Effect of aluminum toxicity on forests under acid deposition, Journal
18	of Tropical and Subtropical Botany, (in Chinese with English abstract), 8,
19	269-274, 2000.
20	Luo, Y., Liu, S., Fu, S. L., Liu, J. S., Wang, G. Q., and Zhou, G. Y.: Trends of
21	precipitation in Beijiang River basin, Guangdong province, China, Hydrol.
22	Process., 22, 2377-2386, 2008.

1	Mo, J. M., Zhang, D. Q., Huang, Z. L., Yu, Q. F., and Kong, G. H.: Distribution							
2	pattern of nutrient elements in plants of Dinghushan lower subtropical							
3	evergreen broad-leaved forest, Journal of Tropical and Subtropical Botany,							
4	(in Chinese with English abstract), 8, 198-206, 2000.							
5	Mo, J. M., Brown, S., Xue, J. H., Fang, Y. T., and Li, Z. A.: Response of litter							
6	decomposition to simulated N deposition in disturbed, rehabilitated and							
7	mature forests in subtropical China, Plant Soil, 282, 135-151, 2006.							
8	Pang, P. C. K., and Kolenko, H.: Phosphomonoesterase activity in forest soils,							
9	Soil Biol. Biochem., 18, 35-40, 1986.							
10	Raghothama, K. G.: Phosphate acquisition, Annual Review of Plant Physiology							
11	and Plant Molecular Biology, 50, 665-693, 1999.							
12	Peng, S. L., and Zhang, Z. P.: Studies on the biomass, primary productivity and							
13	energy use efficiency of the mixed forest community in Mt. Dinghushan,							
14	Guangzhou, Acta Ecologica Sinica, (in Chinese with English abstract), 14,							
15	300-305, 1994.							
16	Sardans, J., and Penuelas, J.: Drought decreases soil enzyme activity in a							
17	Mediterranean Quercus ilex L. forest, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37, 455-461,							
18	2005.							
19	Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., and Estiarte, M.: Seasonal patterns of root-surface							
20	phosphatase activities in a Mediterranean shrubland. Responses to							
21	experimental warming and drought, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 43, 779-786, 2007.							

1	Sardans, J., Penuelas, J., and Ogaya, R.: Experimental drought reduced acid
2	and alkaline phosphatase activity and increased organic extractable P in soil
3	in a Quercus ilex Mediterranean forest, Eur. J. Soil Biol., 44, 509-520, 2008.
4	Schneider, K., Turrion, M. B., and Gallardo, J. F.: Modified method for
5	measuring acid phosphatase activities in forest soils with high organic matter
6	content, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 31, 3077-3088, 2000.
7	Schneider, K., Turrion, M. B., Grierson, P. F., and Gallardo, J. F.: Phosphatase
8	activity, microbial phosphorus, and fine root growth in forest soils in the
9	Sierra de Gata, western central Spain, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 34, 151-155, 2001.
10	Speir, T. W., and Ross, D. J.: Soil enzymes, in: Soil phosphatase and
11	sulphatase, edited by: Burns, R. G., Academic Press, New York, USA,
12	198-250, 1978.
13	Tabatabai, M. A., and Bremner, J. M.: Use of <i>p</i> -nitrophenyl phosphate for
14	assay of soil phosphatase activity, Soil Biol. Biochem., 1, 301-307, 1969.
15	Tang, X. L., Liu, S. G., Zhou, G. Y., Zhang, D. Q., and Zhou, C. Y.:
16	Soil-atmospheric exchange of CO2, CH4, and N2O in three subtropical
17	forest ecosystems in southern China, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 546-560,
18	2006.
19	Tarafdar, J. C., and Claassen, N.: Organic phosphorus-compounds as a
20	phosphorus source for higher-plants through the activity of phosphatases
21	produced by plant-roots and microorganisms, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 5, 308-312,
22	1988.

1	Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leirós, M. C., and Gil-Sotres, F.: Biochemical properties							
2	of acid soils under climax vegetation (Atlantic oakwood) in an area of the							
3	European temperate-humid zone (Galicia, NW Spain): specific parameters,							
4	Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 747-755, 2000.							
5	Turner, B. L., Baxter, R., and Whitton, B. A.: Seasonal phosphatase activity in							
6	three characteristic soils of the English uplands polluted by long-term							
7	atmospheric nitrogen deposition, Environ. Pollut., 120, 313-317, 2002.							
8	Turner, B. L., and Haygarth, P. M.: Phosphatase activity in temperate pasture							
9	soils: Potential regulation of labile organic phosphorus turnover by							
10	phosphodiesterase activity, Sci. Total Environ., 344, 27-36, 2005.							
11	Ushio, M., Kitayama, K., and Balser, T. C.: Tree species effects on soil enzyme							
12	activities through effects on soil physicochemical and microbial properties in							
13	a tropical montane forest on Mt. Kinabalu, Borneo, Pedobiologia, 53,							
14	227-233, 2010.							
15	Vitousek, P.: Nutrient cycling and nutrient use efficiency, Am. Nat., 119,							
16	553-572, 1982.							
17	Vitousek, P. M.: Litterfall, nutrient cycling, and nutrient limitation in tropical							
18	forests, Ecology, 65, 285-298, 1984.							
19	Wang, B. S., and Ma, M. J.: The successions of the Forest community in							
20	Dinhushan, Tropical and Subtropical Forest Ecosystem Research, (in							
21	Chinese with English abstract), 1, 142-156, 1982.							
22	Wang, H., Mo, J. M., Lu, X. K., Xue, J. H., Li, J., and Fang, Y. T.: Effects of							

1	elevated nitrogen deposition on soil microbial biomass carbon in the main
2	subtropical forests of southern China, Acta Ecologica Sinica, (in Chinese
3	with English abstract), 28, 470-478, 2008.
4	Wen, D. Z., Zhang, D. Q., Wei, P., and Kong, G. H.: Vegetation biomass,
5	coarse woody debris storage and litter dynamics of the community of
6	Castanopsis chinensis, Cryptocarya concinna, Tropical and Subtropical
7	Forest Ecosystem Research, (in Chinese with English abstract), 8, 32-39,
8	1998.
9	Xia, H. P., Yu, Q. F., and Zhang, D. Q.: The soil acidity and nutrient contents,
10	and their characteristics of seasonal dynamic changes under 3 different
11	forests of Dinghushan Nature Reserve, Acta Ecological Sinica, (in Chinese
12	with English abstract), 17, 645-653, 1997.
13	Zhai, P. M., Ren, F. M., and Zhang, Q.: Detection of trends in China's
14	precipitation extremes, Acta Meteorol. Sin., 57, 208-216, 1999.
15	Zhang, B. G., and Zhuo, M. N.: The physical properties of soil under different
16	forest types in Ding Hu Shan Biosphere Reserve, Tropical and Subtropical
17	Forest Ecosystem Research, (in Chinese with English abstract), 3, 1-10,
18	1985.
19	Zhou, G.Y., and Yan, J.H.: The influence of region atmospheric precipitation
20	characteristics and its element inputs on the existence and development of
21	Dinghushan forest ecosystems, Acta Ecologica Sinica, (in Chinese with
22	English abstract), 21, 2002-2012, 2001.

1	Zhou, G. Y., Liu, S. G., Li, Z. A., Zhang, D. Q., Tang, X. L., and Zhou, C. Y.:
2	Old-growth forests can accumulate carbon in soils, Science, 314, 1417,
3	2006.
4	Zhou, G. Y., Guan, L. L., Wei, X. H., Zhang, D. Q., Zhang, Q. M., Yan, J. H.,
5	Wen, D. Z., Liu, J. X., Liu, S. G., Huang, Z. L., Kong, G. H., Mo, J. M., and
6	Yu, Q. F.: Litterfall production along successional and altitudinal gradients of
7	subtropical monsoon evergreen broadleaved forests in Guangdong, China,
8	Plant Ecol., 188, 77-89, 2007.
9	Zhou, X. H., Sherry, R. A., An, Y., Wallance, L. L., and Lou, Y. Q.: Main and
10	interactive effects of warming, clipping, and doubled precipitation on soil
11	CO2 efflux in a grassland ecosystem – art. no. GB1003, Glob. Biogeochem.
12	Cycle, 20, B1003-B1003, 2006.

Table 1. Soil chemical properties and their responses to precipitation 1 treatments in 0-20 cm mineral soils of three forests in the dry and wet seasons 2 at Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve. Mean values within a column in each forest 3 for each season followed by different lowercase letters have significant 4 treatment differences at P < 0.05. MPF, Masson pine forest; MF, coniferous 5 and broad-leaved mixed forest; MEBF, monsoon evergreen broad-leaved 6 7 forest. NP, no precipitation; Control, natural precipitation; DP, double precipitation. 8

Time	Forest	Treatment	pH*	Total C	Total N	Total P	Available P*
					—— mg g⁻¹—		mg kg⁻¹
Dry	MPF	NP	4.06	15.49	1.16	0.16	1.61
season		Control	4.09	13.80	1.25	0.14	1.05
		DP	4.13	12.17	0.88	0.15	1.21
	MF	NP	3.82	24.10	1.51	0.16	0.72
		Control	3.81	29.69	1.81	0.16	0.65
		DP	3.93	23.95	1.52	0.16	0.74
	MEBF	NP	3.67	27.32	1.76	0.22	2.62a
		Control	3.81	23.90	1.49	0.21	1.47ab
		DP	3.77	27.38	1.67	0.21	1.44b
Wet	MPF	NP	4.14	21.32	1.10	0.16	1.45
season		Control	4.15	15.24	1.05	0.15	1.39
		DP	4.23	15.11	1.07	0.15	1.27
	MF	NP	3.95	22.84	1.64	0.17	0.22

		Control	3.99	27.04	1.88	0.20	0.30
		DP	4.01	26.14	1.74	0.17	0.22
	MEBF	NP	3.67b	24.51	1.94	0.21	0.74
		Control	3.90a	23.75	1.83	0.23	0.43
		DP	3.92a	22.76	1.77	0.22	0.21

¹ * Significant difference between the dry and wet seasons at P < 0.05.

1 Table 2. Pearson correlation coefficients between soil chemical properties and

	Pearson correlation coe	fficients				
Time		рН	Total C	Total N	Total P	Available P
Dry season	Soil acid phosphatase	-0.753*	0.851*	0.657*	0.584*	0.018
Wet season	activity	-0.513*	0.567*	0.822*	0.645*	-0.731*

2 soil acid phosphatase activity at Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve.

³ * Significant at *P* < 0.01.

Table 3. Mean soil microbial biomass C of the top 20 cm soil layer under different precipitation treatments in 2008 in three forests of Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve. Mean values within a column in each season followed by different lowercase letters have significant treatment differences at P < 0.05. MPF, *Masson* pine forest; MF, coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest; MEBF, monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest. NP, no precipitation; Control, natural precipitation; DP, double precipitation.

8

Time	Treatment	MPF	MF	MEBF
Dry season	NP	156c	175b	311b
	Control	279b	384a	451a
	DP	368a	411a	456a
Wet season	NP	287b	347c	483b
	Control	442a	527b	711a
	DP	542a	697a	705a

9 Data in the table cited from Deng et al. (2011).

Table 4. Relevant factors related to soil acid phosphatase activity in three
 forests of Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve. MPF, *Masson* pine forest; MF,
 coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest; MEBF, monsoon evergreen

4 broad-leaved forest.

Forest	MPF	MF	MEBF
Biomass (Mg C ha ⁻¹)	40.6	116.2	147.8
Litterfall production (g m ⁻² year ⁻¹)	356	861	849
Fine root biomass (Mg C ha ⁻¹)*	1.9	2.8	4.9
Soil available N (mg kg ⁻¹)	6.24	6.22	14.77

⁵ * Fine root biomass refers to root biomass in 0-20 cm depth of soils. Data in

6 table cited from Fang et al. (2004), Peng and Zhang (1994), Tang et al. (2006),

7 Wen et al. (1998), and Zhou et al. (2007).

1 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Seasonal dynamics of soil moisture of the top 5 cm soil layer under different precipitation treatments from October 2008 to September 2009 in *Masson* pine forest (A), coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest (B) and monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest (C) of Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve. NP, no precipitation; Control, natural precipitation; DP, double precipitation.

Fig. 2. Soil acid phosphatase activity in the dry (A) and wet (B) seasons under different precipitation treatments in 0-20 cm mineral soils of three forests at Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve. Error bars represent standard errors. Different lowercase letters denote significant differences between treatments at P < 0.05. MPF, *Masson* pine forest; MF, coniferous and broad-leaved mixed forest; MEBF, monsoon evergreen broad-leaved forest. NP, no precipitation; Control, natural precipitation; DP, double precipitation.







