

Dear Reviewer:

Thank you for your truly helpful comments on this manuscript. We have carefully studied the comments and further improved the manuscript through following the suggestions. Our detailed responses are attached below.

General comments: This manuscript describes the application of the enhanced DNDC model for the simulation of carbon and nitrogen fluxes from soils at the watershed scale. The enhancement of the model regard the simulation of lateral water flow by implementing two commonly used methods, the SCS and MUSLE equations for surface water runoff and soil erosion, respectively. Such a feature is very useful in biogeochemical models in order to combine their complexity in the description of biogeochemical processes while still delivering important information on nitrogen loading of a watershed, which are a major issue of concern, in particular for agricultural areas. The manuscript is well written and structured. It conveys essential information in a clear way.

The manuscript relies heavily on a prior paper of the authors (Deng et al., 2011), which have developed the model and tested it at the field scale. Also, several factors required for the new functions at the watershed scale have been overtaken from the prior paper. The authors make this very transparent when describing the methodology, but I would nevertheless suggest that more emphasis is put on the field application in the manuscript.

Even though it reads well, the discussion of the manuscript still falls short with respect to the presented results. The discussion should touch all major results, especially on the simulated N-gases fluxes.

In conclusion, I believe that the manuscript should be published, but I think that it could benefit from a revision along the lines sketched above.

Response: In the general comments from Reviewer, the major weakness of the manuscript is stated as “the discussion of the manuscript still falls short with respect to the presented results. The discussion should touch all major results, especially on the simulated N-gases fluxes”. To respond the general comments, we further discussed the simulated N-gases fluxes in the revised manuscript. In general, the manuscript mainly focuses on the application of the enhanced DNDC for the simulation of N loading from a small agricultural watershed. Along with the modeled N loading, the manuscript also presented the modeled results on N-gases emissions. Following the suggestions from Reviewer, we added a paragraph to discuss the simulated N-gases emissions in the revised manuscript (mentioned at Line 425-447). The modeled high rate of NH_3 volatilization from the croplands within the watershed has been discussed in the revised manuscript (mentioned at Line 427-431). We also discussed the modeled nitrification and denitrification across different types of land use, which determined the modeled emissions of N_2O , NO , and N_2 from the soils (mentioned at Line 431-443). Because the new added hydrological features help to integrate the water and N processes in DNDC, they should also improve DNDC's

predictions on N gases fluxes. However, as mentioned at Line 368-370 and Line 443-447 in the revised manuscript, the modeled emissions of N-gases remain large uncertain because of the limited observations for model validation. Therefore, further tests are needed to verify the model capacity of quantifying nitrogenous gas emissions.

In addition, the Reviewer suggested that more emphasis should be put on the field application in the manuscript. To respond this suggestion, we briefly summarized the model application at the field scale in the revised manuscript (mentioned at Line 390-396). In a prior study, the DNDC was enhanced by incorporating two hydrological functions and the new model was successfully applied for modeling N loading from a dry land field within the Yanting watershed (Deng et al., 2011). This type of field occupied area of 15.0 ha (accounted for approximately 78% of the total crop fields), hence was representative within the Yanting watershed. Encouraged by the successful test of the model at the field scale, we further tested the model at the watershed scale through integrating the model with the GIS input database in this manuscript. The results reported in this manuscript and a prior study demonstrated that biogeochemical models such as the DNDC can be used for quantifying N loading if the hydrological components of the models were appropriately enhanced.

Minor comments: The term ‘precisely’ is a bit exaggerated to describe the capabilities of the model.

Response: Revised according to the comment. The term ‘precisely’ has been reworded as ‘correctly’ at Line 162 in the revised manuscript.

Minor comments: Please avoid the use of etc. in the Table 1. For most categories the missing items will not be many and could easily be written out.

Response: Revised according to the comment. The missing items have been added in the Table 1 in the revised manuscript.

Minor comments: Why does Table 2 not report N in plant uptake in order to “close the balance”. For dry land, the difference of 213 kg N/ha/yr seems like an impressive yield in a mono-cropping (?) system. Why does the table not report any nitrogen leaching (TN refers only to surface runoff)?

Response: The DNDC simulated all components of N balance (i.e., nitrogenous fertilizer addition, N deposition, N mineralization, N fixation, N uptake, N transported with runoff, and emissions of N-gases). However, because the manuscript emphasis on simulating N losses from a small watershed, we just list the modeled results on N loss in Table 2. The summer maize-winter wheat rotation (rather than a mono-cropping system) was adopted in the dry land within the watershed (clarified at Line 124-127 in the revised manuscript) and the modeled N uptakes (about 240 kg N/ha/yr) were comparable with the result of local field investigations (Zhu et al., 2006). In Table 2, TN refers total nitrogen transported with surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow (i.e., including nitrogen leaching), which has been clarified at Line 669 in the revised manuscript.

Minor comments: The Figure 2 is very little informative. I would suggest to skip it or to make it more informative by including model-links/feedbacks/loops into the schematization, in particular with regard to the newly introduced modules.

Response: Revised by following this suggestion. The figure has been deleted in the revised manuscript.

Minor comments: It would be good to add information on management practices (timing of fertilizer application, tillage etc.) in the Figure 6.

Response: Revised by following this suggestion. In the revised manuscript, the arrows have been added in this Figure to indicate the dates of tillage and fertilization.

We appreciate for you warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with your approval. Please also note the attached revised manuscript to this comment.

Sincerely yours,

Bo Zhu and co-authors

**Modeling Nitrogen Loading in a Small Watershed in Southwest China using
a DNDC Model with Hydrological Enhancements**

Jia Deng[±], Zaixing Zhou[±], Bo Zhu^{†*}, Xunhua Zheng[±], Changsheng Li[‡], Xiaoguo
Wang[†], Zhang Jian[†]

[±]The State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric
Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
100029, P. R. China

[†]Key Laboratory of Mountain Environment Evolution and Regulation, Institute of
Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu,
610041, China

[‡]Complex Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and
Space, University of New Hampshire, 39 College Road, Durham, NH 03824, USA

*Corresponding author: Bo Zhu, Email: bzhu@imde.ac.cn

Abstract

The degradation of water quality has been observed worldwide, and inputs of nitrogen (N), along with other nutrients, play a key role in the process of contamination. The quantification of N loading from non-point sources at a watershed scale has long been a challenge. Process-based models have been developed to address this problem. Because N loading from non-point sources result from interactions between biogeochemical and hydrological processes, a model framework must include both types of processes if it is to be useful. This paper reports the results of a study in which we integrated two fundamental hydrologic features, the SCS (Soil Conservation Service) curve function and the MUSLE (Modified Universal Soil Loss), into a biogeochemical model, the DNDC. The SCS curve equation and the MUSLE are widely used in hydrological models for calculating surface runoff and soil erosion. Equipped with the new added hydrologic features, DNDC was substantially enhanced with the new capacity of simulating both vertical and horizontal movements of water and N at a watershed scale. A long-term experimental watershed in Southwest China was selected to test the new version of the DNDC. The target watershed's 35.1 ha of territory encompass 19.3 ha of croplands, 11.0 ha of forest lands, 1.1 ha of grassplots, and 3.7 ha of residential areas. An input database containing topographic data, meteorological conditions, soil properties, vegetation information, and management applications was established and linked to the enhanced DNDC. Driven by the input database, the DNDC simulated the surface runoff flow, the subsurface leaching flow, the soil erosion, and the N loadings from the target watershed. The modeled water flow, sediment yield, and N loading from the entire watershed were compared with observations from the watershed and yielded encouraging results. The sources of N loading were identified by using the results of the model. In 2008, the modeled

runoff-induced loss of total N from the watershed was 904 kg N yr⁻¹, of which approximately 67% came from the croplands. The enhanced DNDC model also estimated the watershed-scale N losses (1,391 kg N yr⁻¹) from the emissions of the N-containing gases (ammonia, nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, and dinitrogen). Ammonia volatilization (1,299 kg N yr⁻¹) dominated the gaseous N losses. The study indicated that process-based biogeochemical models such as the DNDC could contribute more effectively to watershed N loading studies if the hydrological components of the models were appropriately enhanced.

Keywords: Nitrogen loading, small watershed, DNDC, hydrology

1. Introduction

In modern agriculture, intensive fertilizer applications are used to maintain optimum yields. However, the high application rates of nitrogenous fertilizer and the low efficiency associated with its use mean that superfluous nitrogen (N) remains in the soil. This excess nitrogen could eventually move from the soil into the atmosphere or into water bodies. This source of nitrogen loading has produced a series of environmental problems at the local, regional or global scales (e.g., Carpenter et al., 1998; Cassman et al., 2002; Galloway et al., 2003; Moffat, 1998; Vitousek et al., 1997). Increases in N loading originating from agricultural lands have been observed worldwide (e.g., Haycock et al., 1993; Rabalais et al., 1996; Zhu Z et al., 2006). The excessive N imported into aquatic ecosystems has increased the risks of human cancer, water body hypoxia, and biodiversity loss (e.g., Rabalais, 2002; Seitzinger, 2008; World Health Organization, 2004). Furthermore, the emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O), nitric oxide (NO), and ammonia (NH_3) from terrestrial ecosystems contribute to global warming, acid rain, and other sources of deterioration of the atmospheric environment (e.g., IPCC, 2007; Vitousek et al., 1997).

Quantifying the impacts of alternative management practices on the N losses from terrestrial ecosystems is essential for mitigating the N loading. Many studies have been conducted to investigate N losses at different spatial scales by making relevant measurements (e.g., Jaynes et al., 2001; Jordan et al., 1997a, 1997b; Kramer et al., 2006; Schlesinger et al., 1999). However, measurement approaches are often limited by their spatial or temporal coverage. The heterogeneity of N activity in the soil

70 means that extrapolation of the measured results to large regions or over extended
71 time periods is an enduring challenge. Modeling approaches have been developed to
72 address this limitation. In general, two kinds of modeling approaches have been used
73 to predict nutrient loadings. On one hand, a number of hydrology-oriented models,
74 such as MIKE SHE (Refsgaard and Storm, 1995), RHESSys (Band et al., 2001; Tague
75 and Band, 2004), SWAT (Arnold et al., 1998; Neitsch et al., 2001; Saleh et al., 2000),
76 and HSPF (Bicknell et al., 1997), have been enhanced to predict nutrient loadings by
77 including nutrient transport and transformation into the framework of a
78 hydrology-based model. On the other hand, several biogeochemistry-oriented models
79 that portray the carbon (C) and N cycles have been enhanced by including more
80 accurate hydrologic features (e.g., Johnsson et al., 1987; Li et al., 2006; Zhang et al.,
81 2002). The two approaches, hydrology- and biogeochemistry-based models, have
82 contrasting advantages and disadvantages. The spatially distributed hydrologic
83 models incorporate hydrologic algorithms for simulating water movement. When
84 applied at a watershed scale, they are usually equipped with a spatially distributed
85 input database to reflect the spatial heterogeneity of the environmental variables that
86 drive water movement. However, the hydrology-oriented models are usually relatively
87 weak in describing biogeochemical processes such as C and N transformations, which
88 are crucial for simulating the losses of soil N (e.g., Band et al., 2001; Boyer et al.,
89 2006; Hu et al., 2007; Li et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2003). In contrast, the
90 representations of C and N processes in biogeochemistry-based models are relatively
91 detailed, but these models are unable to account for the transport of nutrients through

lateral flow (e.g., Li et al., 2006; Tonitto et al., 2010). Because N loading from watersheds are jointly controlled by water flow and N transformation (e.g., Band et al., 2001; Kimura et al., 2009), integration of the hydrologic and biogeochemical processes into a single framework is vitally important for modeling N loading. A process-based biogeochemistry model, the Denitrification-Decomposition, or DNDC, model, was recently enhanced by incorporating two fundamental hydrologic features, the SCS (Soil Conservation Service) curve function for quantifying surface runoff and the MUSLE (Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation) for quantifying soil erosion (Deng et al., 2011). These two new features have enabled DNDC to simulate water and nutrient movements in both the horizontal and the vertical dimension (Deng et al., 2011). The innovation has provided a new opportunity for DNDC to be applied for modeling N loading at a watershed scale. This paper discusses our use of the enhanced DNDC to quantify N loading from a small watershed dominated by agro-ecosystems in Southwest China.

2. Watershed Description

A small watershed (N 31°16', E 105°28', 400 to 600 meters above sea level; hereafter termed the Yanting watershed) in Yanting county in Sichuan province, China was selected to test the new hydrology-enhanced DNDC. The Yanting watershed is located along the upstream reaches of the Yangtze River, the longest river in China. Eutrophication is widespread in the Yangtze (e.g., Wang et al., 2006; Zhu B et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2009). The watershed possesses a well-defined boundary and is located within a mountainous area. An agroecological experimental station, a

component of the Chinese Ecological Research Network (CERN), was established in the watershed in 1980. Measurements of meteorology, hydrology, vegetation, soil properties, sediment and nutrient loadings, and emissions of N gases have been continuously conducted at this station. The resulting datasets have furnished a sound basis for testing the enhanced model at a watershed scale.

The Yanting watershed (total area 35.1 ha) is dominated by croplands (19.3 ha, of which 78% was used for upland crops and 22% for paddy rice during the study period). The rest of the watershed consists of 11.0 ha of forest lands, 3.7 ha of residential areas, and 1.1 ha of grassplots (Figure 1). During the study period, the major crops in the watershed were maize (*Zea mays L.*), winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*), cole (*Brassica napus L.*), and paddy rice (*Oryza sativa*). The summer maize-winter wheat rotation, summer rice-winter cole rotation, and paddy rice were adopted in the dry land, seasonal paddy, and paddy field, respectively, under the local conventional management. The crop fields were intensively managed and the application rates of nitrogenous fertilizer were as high as 130-330 kg N ha⁻¹ per year. The major fertilizer types were ammonium bicarbonate and urea. Neither the forest lands nor the grassplots received any fertilizer. The animal and human wastes produced in the residential areas were initially stored in the local digesters and subsequently applied to the croplands as manure fertilizer. During periods of rainfall, the stored manure could be leached by the runoff and could then flow directly into the drainage system in the watershed. The dominant soil in the watershed is classified as Pup-Orthic Entisol in the Chinese Soil Taxonomy or Entisol in the U.S. Soil

Taxonomy and is distributed along the hill slopes (Zhu et al., 2009). Because of its purple color, the soil is locally called purple soil. Rice paddies dominate the low-lying areas of the watershed. The paddy soil is classified as Stagnic Anthrosols in the Chinese Soil Taxonomy or Anthrosols in the U.S. Soil Taxonomy (Gong, 1999).

The Yanting watershed exhibits a typical subtropical monsoon climate. During the period 1981-2006, the annual mean temperature was 17.3°C, and the precipitation was 826 mm. According to the meteorological data for the area, 5.9%, 65.5%, 19.7% and 8.9% of the annual precipitation occurred in spring, summer, autumn and winter, respectively (Zhu et al., 2009). Lysimeters with various slopes are permanently installed in the fields to measure surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow. During the rainy period, surface runoff and subsurface drainage flows are collected by the drainage network and then discharged from the watershed outlet (Figure 1). The natural and manmade channels in the Yanting watershed form a drainage system that produces steady water flows during the rainy season (Figure 1). During the period 2007-2008, the amount of water flow and the concentrations of sediment, particulate N, nitrate and total N (including particulate and dissolved forms of N) were measured at the outlet of the watershed. The monthly watershed fluxes of water flow, sediment, and N loading were calculated based on the data measured at the watershed outlet.

3. Modification of the DNDC

The DNDC is a process-based model originally developed for quantifying C sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions from terrestrial ecosystems. The DNDC family consists of three related models: DNDC for agro-ecosystems (Li, 2000; Li et

al., 1992a, 1992b), Forest-DNDC for forest ecosystems (Li et al., 2000; Stange et al., 2000) and Manure-DNDC for livestock operations systems. A relatively complete suite of biochemical and geochemical processes (e.g., plant uptake, decomposition, nitrification, denitrification, ammonia volatilization, fermentation) have been embedded in the model. These capabilities enable the model to correctly compute the complex transformations of C and N in terrestrial ecosystems. Traditionally, the DNDC only calculated the vertical water movement driven by precipitation, transpiration, evaporation, infiltration, and drainage at a site or a field scale (e.g., Li et al., 2006; Tonitto et al., 2007, 2010; Zhang et al., 2002). The model did not explicitly simulate surface runoff and was therefore unable to estimate the transport of sediment or nutrients in the horizontal dimension. This limitation prevented the model from simulating the movements of water, C, and N at a watershed scale. To correct this deficiency, a novel modification was made to allow the DNDC to calculate the horizontal movements of water and nutrients by incorporating the SCS curve and MUSLE functions in the model framework in a pilot study (Deng et al., 2011). The SCS curve is a widely-used method for calculating surface runoff based on precipitation and on soil hydraulic parameters such as curve number (CN), slope, and initial water abstraction (the value that must be exceeded by accumulated precipitation before surface runoff can occur) (Mockus, 1972; Williams, 1995). The MUSLE functions calculate soil erosion based on the surface runoff and other soil properties, including factors of soil erodibility, surface cover, management, topography, and the soil coarse fragment (Williams, 1975, 1995; Wischmeier and

Smith, 1978). The two fundamental hydrologic features of surface runoff and soil erosion have been incorporated in the DNDC at the code level so that the biogeochemical and hydrologic processes can exchange data at each daily time step. The details of the model modification and the results of the preliminary tests of the model using the field-scale observations for the Yanting watershed have been reported by Deng et al. (2011). This paper reports the results of our further tests of the enhanced DNDC at a watershed scale.

In this study, the enhanced DNDC was used to estimate the N losses across the watershed, including croplands, grassplots, and forest lands. New interfaces were also constructed to allow the modeling framework to address the different ecosystems in the watershed.

4. Database Construction

To implement the simulations with the DNDC for the target watershed, we established a database that would contain all the input information required by the enhanced DNDC. The basic unit chosen for the modeling database was the hydrologic response unit (HRU), which is frequently chosen for use with a suite of hydrologic models (e.g., Leavesley et al., 2002; Neitsch et al., 2001). An HRU is defined as a land area possessing uniform land cover, soil properties, and management practices. The HRUs for a given watershed can be represented by using geographic information system (GIS) tools to integrate topography, land-use types, and soil properties (e.g., Leavesley et al., 2002; Neitsch et al., 2001).

The channel system, or drainage network, plays a key role in aggregating the flows of water and nutrients at the watershed scale. The drainage network in the Yanting watershed was represented based on the gridded digital elevation model (DEM) by referring topographic and hydrological knowledge (Jenson and Domingue, 1988; Martz and Garbrecht, 1993; Turcotte et al., 2001). In this study, the GIS software ArcGIS (ESRI, USA) was used to convert the DEM data for the Yanting watershed into the topographic parameters required, such as surface slope, slope length, and channel length. The watershed delineation and discretization were performed by (1) preprocessing the DEM data using the sink filling technique; (2) calculating the water flow direction and the amount of accumulated water based on the steepest gradient for each grid cell; (3) fixing the threshold value of the drainage area by comparing the actual drainage network with the delineated drainage network; (4) delineating the channel system; (5) determining the catchment outlet and the interactions of linked channels; and (6) partitioning the whole watershed into subwatersheds based on the channel system. The procedure was designed according to methods for watershed delineation and discretization described in relevant publications (e.g., Maidment, 2002; Martz and Garbrecht, 1993; Whiteaker et al., 2003). The main characteristics of the study watershed, including the watershed boundary, the channel network, the subwatersheds, and the spatial configuration of each subwatershed, were fixed subsequent to the discretization of the watershed. We assumed that the water, sediment, and N released from the land phase were transported along the channel network and routed directly into the watershed outlet.

Based on the local DEM with a resolution of 5m x 5m, we fixed the threshold value of the drainage area by referring to the actual drainage network. The Yanting watershed was partitioned into 13 subcatchments, hydrologically connected through the channel system. In combination with the land-cover and soil types, 166 HRUs were determined within the entire watershed. The HRU system was used to organize all the input information into a geospatial database. In the database, topographic parameters (e.g., surface slope, slope length, channel length, and HRU area) were determined based on the local DEM by using ArcGIS for spatial analysis. The crop fields were generalized into three categories (i.e., summer maize-winter wheat rotation, summer rice-winter cole rotation, and paddy rice). The forested lands were dominated with cypress (*Cypressus funebris*) based on field survey. The required meteorological data (e.g., daily temperature, precipitation, and maximum 0.5-hour rainfall) were obtained from the meteorological station located within the watershed. Soil data (e.g., bulk density, soil organic C content, pH, clay fraction, field capacity, and wilt point) were measured in situ. The plant phenology and the physiological input parameters for the crops, grass or forest were obtained from local observations. Detailed information on management practices was obtained from a field survey. This information included crop type, planting/harvest dates, tillage, fertilization, irrigation/flooding, manure amendment, and residue management for croplands or grassplots; and forest type and forest age for forested lands. To support the simulations related to the SCS curve and MUSLE functions, we empirically estimated several hydrological parameters, including the initial CN value, the soil erodibility factor (K), the soil surface cover

and management factor (C), and the soil conservation management factor (P) in a preliminary study focusing on upland crop fields within the same watershed (Deng et al., 2011). In this study, the value of the soil erodibility factor (K) for the watershed was set at 0.4, the same value used for the uplands, based on the homogeneity of soil properties across the watershed. The soil conservation management factor (P) for the forest lands and the grassplots was set as 1.0 because no specific soil conservation management practices (e.g., contour tillage, contour strip-cropping or terrace land-reforming) were used in these areas. The value of P for the rice paddy fields was set at 0.01, the same value reported by previous studies (Cai et al., 2000; Hua et al., 2007). Calibration against the observations made at the watershed outlet during 2007 was used to fix the respective values of the initial curve number (CN) and the soil surface cover and management factor (C) at 77 and 0.18 for paddies, 70 and 0.01 for forest lands, and 77 and 0.06 for grassplots. The major input parameters are summarized in Table 1. All of the above-listed input parameters were used in the hydrology-enhanced DNDC to quantify the N loading from the various ecosystems in the Yanting watershed. The modeled runoff, sediment yield, and N loading from the Yanting watershed were compared with the field data measured during 2008.

5. Model tests

The DNDC was run at the HRU level across the entire watershed. For each HRU, the DNDC simulated plant growth, soil climate, surface runoff, subsurface leaching flow, sediment yield from soil erosion, and soil N dynamics using a daily or hourly time step. When surface runoff or subsurface drainage flow occurred, a fraction of the soil

N was released from the soil into the runoff flow. The soil N lost with the runoff flow included the particulate N (e.g., adsorbed ammonium or organic N) and the dissolved N (e.g., nitrate or ammonium). The sediment yield, particulate N loss, and dissolved N loss from the residential areas were estimated to be 4.3 tons ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, 14 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, and 33 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, respectively, based on a previous study by Luo et al. (2008). During the transport of the sediment and N in the channels, we assumed that the sediment and N discharged into the channel system were reduced during transport by 10% and 30%, respectively, owing to the sedimentation or N transformation occurring in the channel system, based on the findings of Luo et al. (2009). The watershed-scale runoff (the sum of surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow), sediment, and N loading fluxes on a monthly or annual basis for 2008 were calculated by summing the daily fluxes modeled for all of the HRUs.

The watershed-scale modeling results for 2008 were compared with the corresponding observations on a monthly and on an annual basis. Two statistical indexes, the coefficient of determination (R^2) and the Nash–Sutcliffe index of model efficiency (ME), were utilized to make quantitative comparisons between the modeled and measured results. The R^2 value examines the correlation between model predictions and field measurements (Eq. 1). The ME is a measure of the improvement in the predictions relative to the mean of the measurements (Eq. 2). A positive value of ME indicates that the model predictions are better than the mean of the measurements, and the best model performance has ME value equal to 1 (Miehle et al., 2006; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970).

$$R^2 = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (o_i - \bar{o})(p_i - \bar{p})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (o_i - \bar{o})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - \bar{p})^2}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$ME = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i - o_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (o_i - \bar{o})^2} \quad (2)$$

where o_i and p_i are the measured and simulated values, \bar{o} and \bar{p} are their means and n is the number of values.

5.1. The temporal patterns of runoff, sediment, and N loading fluxes

The patterns and magnitudes of modeled monthly runoff (the sum of surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow) and sediment loading are consistent with the data gathered at the outlet of the Yanting watershed during 2008 (Figure 3b and c). The modeled monthly runoff fluxes varied between 0 to 47,552 m³ month⁻¹ with a mean of 10,746 m³ month⁻¹. The results are comparable to the observed monthly runoffs, which varied between 0 to 41,101 m³ month⁻¹ with a mean of 10,462 m³ month⁻¹. The modeled sediment fluxes varied between 0 to 32 ton month⁻¹ with a mean of 9.5 ton month⁻¹. These results are in agreement with the measured sediment fluxes, which ranged from 0 to 31 ton month⁻¹ with a mean of 10 ton month⁻¹. The correlations between the simulated and measured monthly results are statistically significant (ME = 0.95, R² = 0.96, p < 0.01 for runoff, and ME = 0.95, R² = 0.96, p < 0.01 for sediment fluxes). The satisfactory performance of the DNDC for runoff or sediment yield should provide a sound basis for further modeling of N losses produced by the runoff flow or by eroded sediment.

The DNDC calculates the soil N losses for particulate and dissolved forms of N. The particulate N loss is quantified based on the organic and inorganic N contents in the eroded soil, and the dissolved N loss is quantified by simulating the runoff flow and the distribution of N between the liquid and solid phases in the soil profile (Deng et al., 2011; Li et al., 2006). For 2008, the modeled monthly total N loadings varied between 0 to 259 kg N month⁻¹, with a mean of 52 kg N month⁻¹. The observed monthly total N loadings varied between 0 to 216 kg N month⁻¹, with a mean of 55 kg N month⁻¹. The modeled and measured results show substantial agreement. The means of the modeled monthly particulate N and nitrate loading rates were 8.5 and 31.9 kg N month⁻¹, respectively. These results are comparable to the observations, whose means were 9.8 and 31.5 kg N month⁻¹ for particulate N and nitrate loading rates, respectively. Both the measured and modeled data indicate that dissolved nitrate loading accounted for approximately 60% of the total N loading from the Yanting watershed. The comparisons showed significant correlations ($p < 0.01$) between the measured and modeled particulate N loadings ($R^2 = 0.89$ and $ME = 0.87$), nitrate loadings ($R^2 = 0.92$ and $ME = 0.70$), and total N loadings ($R^2 = 0.97$ and $ME = 0.93$). These results indicate that the magnitudes and patterns of the modeled monthly N loading rates agree with the observations (Figure 4).

The modeled data demonstrate that N loading from the watershed varied seasonally. In the spring, autumn, and winter of 2008, the low N loading rates were associated with the low precipitation that occurred during those seasons (Figure 3a and 4). The DNDC simulated the accumulation of inorganic N in the soil profile during the spring,

autumn, and winter resulting from fertilization. When high precipitation occurred during the summer, the accumulated N was allocated by the model to the surface runoff or the subsurface drainage flow. This discharge of N produced high N loading rates from June through September. This seasonal pattern of N loading was also observed by other investigators in the Yanting watershed (Wang et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2009). However, discrepancies still remained between the modeled results and the observations. For example, the modeled N loading rates were a little higher than the corresponding observed values during the rainy season (e.g., September 2008) (Figure 4). This overestimation could be the result of the model's simplification of in-channel biogeochemical processes such as aquatic plant uptake or denitrification.

5.2. The sources of N loading

Identifying the sources of N loading is critical for mitigating N contamination. By linking the DNDC to the database containing the spatially differentiated information on land use, topography, soil, and management practices, we were able to calculate the spatial distribution of the total runoff-induced N loss across the entire watershed (Figure 5). Our results indicated that the total N loss rates during 2008 varied from 5.7 to 47.2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ across the HRUs in the watershed (Figure 5). The spatial distribution of the modeled total N loss rates was correlated with the types of land use in the watershed. This result is consistent with the findings of previous studies (e.g., Jordan et al., 1997b; Smith et al., 1997). The modeled results indicated that the major source of N loading was the fertilized crop fields, which accounted for 67% of the watershed N loading (Table 2). The N released from the residential areas, which was

estimated based on field measurement, accounted for 19% of the total N loading (Table 2). The model's results are consistent with the findings of local field investigations (Zhu B et al., 2006).

5.3. Nitrogenous gas emissions

As important components of terrestrial N cycling, emissions of nitrogenous gases result in removal of N from the plant-soil systems. This process inherently affects the loading of soil N to the water systems. During the simulations, the DNDC automatically calculated daily fluxes of ammonia (NH_3), nitrous oxide (N_2O), nitric oxide (NO), and dinitrogen (N_2). The performance of the DNDC for modeling N gas emissions has been widely reported and has also been tested for the Yanting watershed (Wang et al., 2009). Figures 6 and 7 show the measured and modeled daily N_2O emissions from both the cropland and forest land within the Yanting watershed.

The modeled annual NH_3 , N_2O , NO, and N_2 emissions from the Yanting watershed (excluding the residential areas) were 1,299, 34.1, 5.6, and 51.9 kg N, respectively, for 2008 (Table 2). The NH_3 emission from croplands dominated the losses of gaseous forms of N. The high rate of nitrogenous fertilizer application in croplands evidently produced the high emission levels of N gases. However, because the available data are not sufficient for validating the modeled emissions of N gases for the target watershed, the modeled results remain large uncertain.

6. Discussion

The N loading from agricultural non-point sources threaten water quality worldwide

(e.g., Gile, 2005; Rabalais, 2002; Seitzinger et al., 2005). There is an urgent need to quantify and mitigate N loading at a watershed scale. The interaction between N biogeochemical and hydrological processes is intrinsic to and plays a key role in N loading. This interaction should therefore receive emphasis in connection with the estimation and mitigation of N loading (e.g., Cirimo and McDonnell, 1997; Kimura et al., 2009; Li et al., 2006). Because of the detailed biochemical and geochemical processes integrated in their model framework, process-based biogeochemical models have been recognized as a powerful tool for understanding the complex transformations of N in terrestrial ecosystems. However, most extant biogeochemical models are unable to account for the transport of nutrients through lateral flow because of the lack of relevant hydrologic processes. This deficiency limits the application of biogeochemical models in simulations of N loading at the watershed scale. To meet the challenge, it is necessary to enhance biogeochemical models by incorporating hydrologic features.

Can a biogeochemical model be enhanced to quantify N loading at a watershed scale by incorporating basic hydrologic features into the model framework? Based on the studies reported in this paper and a previous study (Deng et al., 2011), our answer is positive. In the early study, the DNDC was modified by including two hydrologic features in the model framework, and the new model was successfully tested for simulating the surface runoff flow, subsurface drainage flow, sediment yield, and N loading from a typical dry land field (15.0 ha, accounted for approximately 78% of the total crop fields) within the Yanting watershed (Deng et al., 2011). The study has

395 set a sound basis for the model to be applied for simulating N loading from the entire
396 watershed. In this study, we further tested the model at the watershed scale through
397 integrating the model with the GIS input database. The DNDC model possesses
398 relatively detailed and thoroughly tested algorithms simulating transformations of soil
399 N. The model is therefore able to provide reliable estimates of N losses across a wide
400 range of climatic, soil, and management conditions (Li et al., 2006; Giltrap et al.,
401 2010). In view of the complexity and extreme variability of N dynamics, the
402 comprehensive N transformation capacity represents an attractive feature for
403 simulating N loading at the watershed scale. The new version of the DNDC includes
404 this capability. Because the new added hydrological features help to integrate the
405 water and N processes in DNDC, they should also improve DNDC's predictions on N
406 gases fluxes. Implementation of the two hydrological functions embedded in the
407 enhanced DNDC requires additional input information, particularly information on
408 the topographic features that characterize the land surface. As reported in this paper,
409 we used the DEM data with universal GIS software (ArcGIS, ESRI, USA) to generate
410 the topographic features required to run the SCS curve and MUSLE functions at the
411 watershed scale. However, several soil hydrologic parameters, such as the initial CN,
412 K, C, and P, were empirically fixed in this study by calibration against field data. This
413 approach could have produced uncertainties in the modeled results. To quantify the
414 potential uncertainty derived from the calibration processes, we performed uncertainty
415 analysis on selected agricultural fields (uplands with summer maize-winter wheat
416 rotation) within the watershed using Monte Carlo method (Deng et al., 2011). This

analysis showed that variance in the input parameters could introduce high potential uncertainties. However, the modeled results fell within the range of variation reported from the Monte Carlo simulations and were comparable with the means obtained across all simulations (Deng et al., 2011). In general, our study indicated that process-oriented biogeochemical models, such as DNDC, could contribute to N loading studies if enhancements relating to hydrology were included in the models. The enhancements of DNDC should provide new opportunities to estimate N loading and to assess agroecosystem management at a watershed scale.

During the simulation of N loading, DNDC automatically calculated emissions of nitrogenous gases (NH_3 , N_2O , NO , and N_2) across different land use types within the watershed. The simulated NH_3 volatilization fluxes showed as one of the major N losses from the croplands, ranging from $19 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the paddy field to $78 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the dry land. The modeled high NH_3 volatilization rates were related to the high application rates of ammonium bicarbonate and urea ($130\text{--}330 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) as well as the high soil pH (about 8.3). The modeled results demonstrated high diversity of nitrification or denitrification rates across the land use types. In the dry land, low soil moisture restricted denitrification; as a result, DNDC predicted low N_2 emission rate ($0.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and the simulated N_2O and NO emissions were mainly produced through nitrification. The modeled denitrification rate was relatively higher in the seasonal paddy and paddy field under flooded condition. Especially in the seasonal paddy, DNDC simulated the accumulation of nitrate in the soil profile during the cultivation of upland crop and a significant portion of the accumulated nitrate was

then denitrified into N_2 (approximately $11.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) during the continuing flooded season. For the paddy field with continuous flooding, DNDC predicted low N_2O and NO emissions mainly due to the restricted nitrification. For grassplot and forest land, both nitrification and denitrification were restricted by the availability of soil N; therefore, DNDC simulated low emissions of N-gases. However, it may be noteworthy that the modeled emissions of N-containing gases for the target watershed remain large uncertain because of the limited observations for model validation. Further tests are needed to verify the model capacity of quantifying nitrogenous gas emissions.

Modeling N transport and transformation at a watershed scale is in a very early stage. The process of applying the model to the Yanting watershed taught us that much additional work would be required to build a relatively comprehensive watershed model. For example, aquatic biogeochemistry dominates the channel processes. Our ignorance of these processes could produce significant uncertainty in the simulation of N loading from the watershed. When the various N species carried by the runoff or sediment enter the channel or stream systems, a series of complex processes will occur in the aquatic environment. These processes could include sediment deposition, uptake by aquatic plants, retention of nutrients, microorganism-mediated transformations (e.g., decomposition, mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification), and substrate exchange at the sediment-water interface (Alexander et al., 2000; Rabalais, 2002; Seitzinger et al., 2002; Vymazal, 2007). Most of these processes cause the removal of N during its transport through channel or stream systems and thereby

461 reduce the N loading rate at the outlet of the watershed. As a terrestrial model, the
462 DNDC does not incorporate aquatic biogeochemistry. In this study, empirical
463 reduction efficiency indices were adopted, based on local observations, to estimate the
464 losses of sediment or N during the transport through the channels. The modeled
465 results using this simplification appeared acceptable for this small watershed.
466 However, a model incorporating this simplification may not be applicable to large
467 watersheds, in which the N biogeochemical processes occurring in water bodies
468 would play an important role in altering the concentration or chemical status of N. To
469 improve the applicability of the DNDC to modeling N loading at a watershed scale,
470 we plan to gradually develop the capability to incorporate representations of the
471 aquatic biogeochemical processes in the overall model framework.

472 **Acknowledgement**

473 This study was supported by the National Program on Key Basic Research Project of
474 China (2012CB417106, 2012CB417101), the National Natural Science Foundation of
475 China (41021004), and the European Union (NitroEurope IP 017841).

References

- Alexander, R. B., Smith, R. A., and Schwarz, G. E.: Effect of stream channel size on the delivery of nitrogen to the Gulf of Mexico, *Nature*, 403, 758-761, 2000.
- Arnold, J. G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R. S., and Williams, J. R.: Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment part I: model development 1, *J. Am. Water Resour. As.*, 34, 73-89, 1998.
- Band, L. E., Tague C. L., Groffman P., and Belt, K.: Forest ecosystem processes at the watershed scale: hydrologic and ecological controls of nitrogen export, *Hydrol. Process.*, 15, 2013-2028, 2001.
- Bicknell, B. R., Imhoff, J. C., Kittle, J. L., Donigian, A. S., and Johanson, R. C.: Hydrologic simulation program – FORTRAN user's manual for release 11, Environmental Protection Agency of United States, Georgia, USA, 1997.
- Boyer, E. W., Alexander, R. B., Parton, W. J., Li, C., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Donner, S. D., Skaggs, R. W., and Delgrosso S. J.: Modeling denitrification in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems at regional scales, *Ecol. Appl.*, 16, 2123-2142, 2006.
- Cai, C., Ding, S., Shi, Z., Huang, L., and Zhang, G.: Study of applying USLE and geographical information system IDRISI to predict soil erosion in small watershed, *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 14, 19-24, 2000.
- Carpenter, S. R., Caraco, N. F., Correll, D. L., Howarth, R. W., Sharpley, A. N., and Smith, V. H.: Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphors and nitrogen, *Ecol. Appl.*, 8, 559-568, 1998.
- Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., and Walters, D. T.: Agroecosystems, nitrogen-use efficiency, and nitrogen management, *AMBIO*, 31, 132-140, 2002.

499 Cirno, C. P. and McDonnell, J. J.: Linking the hydrologic and biogeochemical
 500 controls of nitrogen transport in near-stream zones of temperate-forested
 501 catchments: a review, *J. hydrol.*, 199, 88-120, 1997.

502 Deng, J., Zhu, B., Zhou, Z., Zheng, X., Li, C., Wang, T., and Tang, J.: Modeling
 503 nitrogen loadings from agricultural soils in Southwest China with modified
 504 DNDC, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, doi:10.1029/2010JG001609, 2011.

505 Galloway, J. N., Aber, J. D., Erisman, J. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Howarth, R. H.,
 506 Cowling, E. B., and Cosby, B. J.: The nitrogen cascade, *BioScience*, 53, 341-356,
 507 2003.

508 Gile, J.: Nitrogen study fertilizes fears of pollution, *Nature*, 433, 791, 2005.

509 Giltrap, D. L., Li, C., and Saggar, S.: DNDC: a process-based model of greenhouse
 510 gas fluxes from agricultural soils, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 136, 292-300, 2010.

511 Gong, Z. T.: *Chinese Soil Taxonomy*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 160-166, 1999.

512 Haycock, N. E., Pinay, G., and Walker, C.: Nitrogen retention in river corridors:
 513 European perspective, *AMBIO*, 22, 340-346, 1993.

514 Hu, X., McIsaac, G. F., David, M. B., and Louwers, C. A. L.: Modeling riverine
 515 nitrate export from an East-Central Illinois watershed using SWAT, *J. Environ.*
 516 *Qual.*, 36, 996-1005, 2007.

517 Hua, L., He, X., and Zhu, B.: Soil erosion distribution of a small watershed in the
 518 hilly area of central Sichuan basin, *Bulletin of Soil and Water Conservation*, 27,
 519 111-115, 2007.

520 IPCC: *Climate change 2007: the physical science basis; Contribution of Working*
 521 *Group I to the fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on*

Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and
New York, NY, USA, 2007.

Jaynes, D. B., Colvin, T. S., Karlen, D. L., Cambardella, C. A., and Meek, D. W.:
Nitrate loss in subsurface drainage as affected by nitrogen fertilizer rate, J.
Environ. Qual., 30, 1305-1314, 2001.

Jenson, S. K. and Domingue, J. O.: Extracting topographic structure from digital
elevation data for geographic information system analysis, Photogramm. Eng.
Rem. S., 54, 1593-1600, 1988.

Johnsson, H., Bergstrom, L., Jansson, P. E., and Paustian, K.: Simulated nitrogen
dynamics and losses in a layered agricultural soil, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 18,
333-356, 1987.

Jordan, T. E., Correll, D. L., and Weller, D. E.: Effects of agriculture on discharges of
nutrients from coastal plain watersheds of Chesapeake Bay, J. Environ. Qual., 26,
836-848, 1997a.

Jordan, T. E., Correll, D. L., and Weller, D. E.: Relating nutrient discharges from
watersheds to land use and streamflow variability, Water Resour. Res., 33,
2579-2590, 1997b.

Kimura, S. D., Hatano, R., and Okazaki, M.: Characteristics and issues related to
regional-scale modeling of nitrogen flows, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 55, 1-12, 2009.

Kramer, S., Reganold, J., Glover, J., Bohannon, B., and Mooney, H.: Reduced nitrate
leaching and enhanced denitrifier activity and efficiency in organically fertilized
soils, PNAS, 103, 4522-4527, 2006.

Leavesley, G. H., Markstrom, S. L., Restrepo, P. J., and Viger, R. J.: A modular
approach to addressing model design, scale, and parameter estimation issues in

distributed hydrological modeling, *Hydrol. Process.*, 16, 173-187, 2002.

Li, C.: Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*, 58, 259-276, 2000.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Frolking, T. A.: A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: 1. Model structure and sensitivity, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 97, 9759-9776, 1992a.

Li, C., Frolking, S., and Frolking, T. A.: A model of nitrous oxide evolution from soil driven by rainfall events: 2. Model applications, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 97, 9777-9783, 1992b.

Li, C., Aber, J., Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Papen, H.: A process-oriented model of N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils: 1. Model development, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 4365-4384, 2000.

Li, C., Farahbakhshazad, N., Jaynes, D. B., Dinnes, D. L., Salas, W., and McLaughlin, D.: Modeling nitrate leaching with a biogeochemical model modified based on observations in a row-crop field in Iowa, *Ecol. Model.*, 196, 116-130, doi:10.1016/j.ecomodel.2006.02007, 2006.

Luo, Z. X.: Stormwater runoff pollution and its control mechanism of natural ditch in a rural township - A case study of Linshan rural township in the hilly area of purple soils, China, Ph. D. thesis, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China, 2008.

Luo, Z. X., Zhu, B., Tang, J., Wang, T., Zhang, J., and Wang, Z.: Primary mechanisms of nitrogen and phosphorus removal from stormwater runoff by a natural ditch in a rural township, *China Environmental Science*, 29, 561-568, 2009.

Maidment, D. R.: *Arc Hydro: GIS for water resources*, Esri Press, USA, 2002.

569 Martz, L. W. and Garbrecht, J.: Automated extraction of drainage network and
 570 watershed data from digital elevation models, *Water Resour. Bull.*, 29, 901-908,
 571 1993.

572 Miehle, P., Liversley, S. j., Li, C., Feikema, P. M., Adams, M. A., and Arndt, S. K.:
 573 Quantifying uncertainty from large-scale model predictions of forest carbon
 574 dynamics, *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 1421-1434,
 575 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01176.x., 2006.

576 Mockus, V.: Hydrology, in: *National Engineering Handbook*, Section 4, United States
 577 Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 1972.

578 Moffat, A. S.: Ecology: Global nitrogen overload problem grows critical, *Science*,
 579 279, 988, 1998.

580 Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J. V.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models part
 581 I: A discussion of principles, *J. hydrol.*, 10, 282-290, 1970.

582 Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R., Williams, J. R., and King, K. W.: Soil and
 583 water assessment tool theoretical documentation, Blackland Research Center,
 584 Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Temple, Texas, 2001.

585 Rabalais, N. N.: Nitrogen in aquatic ecosystems, *AMBIO*, 31, 102-112, 2002.

586 Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., Justic, D., Dortch, Q., Wiseman, Jr. W., and Gupta, B.
 587 K. S.: Nutrient changes in the Mississippi river and system responses on the
 588 adjacent continental shelf, *Estuaries*, 19, 386-407, 1996.

589 Refsgaard, J. C. and Storm, B.: Mike She, in: *Computer Models of Watershed*
 590 *Hydrology*, Water Resources Publications, Colorado, USA, 1995.

591 Saleh, A., Arnold, J. G., Gassman, P. W., Hauck, L. M., Rosenthal, W. D., Williams, J.

592 R., and McFarland, A. M. S.: Application of SWAT for the upper North Bosque
 593 River watershed, T. ASAE, 43, 1077-1087, 2000.

594 Schlesinger, W. H., Abrahams, A. D., Parsons, A. J., and Wainwright, J.: Nutrient
 595 losses in runoff from grassland and shrubland habitats in Southern New Mexico:
 596 I. Rainfall simulation experiments, Biogeochemistry, 45, 21-34, 1999.

597 Seitzinger, S. P., Styles, R. V., Boyer, E. W., Alexander, R. B., Billen, G., Howarth, R.
 598 W., Mayer, B., and Breemen, N.: Nitrogen retention in rivers: model
 599 development and application to watersheds in the northeastern USA,
 600 Biogeochemistry, 57, 199-237, 2002.

601 Seitzinger, S.: Nitrogen cycle - Out of reach, Nature, 452, 162-163, 2008.

602 Seitzinger, S., Harrison, J. A., Dumont, E., Beusen, A. H. W., and Bowman, A. F.:
 603 Source and delivery of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous to the coastal zone: An
 604 overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watershed (NEWS) models and their
 605 application, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, GB4S01,
 606 doi:10.1029/2005GB002606, 2005.

607 Smith, R. A., Schwarz, G. E., and Alexander, R. B.: Regional interpretation of
 608 water-quality monitoring data, Water Resour. Res., 33, 2781-2798, 1997.

609 Stange, F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., Zechmeister-Boltenster, S., Li, C., and
 610 Aber, J.: A process-oriented model of N₂O and NO emissions from forest soils: 2.
 611 Sensitivity analysis and validation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 105, 4385-4398,
 612 2000.

613 Tague, C. L. and Band, L. E.: RHESSys: Regional Hydro-ecologic Simulation System
 614 - an object-oriented approach to spatially distributed modeling of carbon, water,
 615 and nutrient cycling, Earth Interactions, 8, 1-40, 2004.

- 616 Tonitto, C., David, M. B., Drinkwater, L. E., and Li, C.: Application of the DNDC
617 model to tile-drained Illinois agroecosystems: model calibration, validation, and
618 uncertainty analysis, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*, 78, 51-63, 2007.
- 619 Tonitto, C., Li, C., Seidel, R., and Drinkwater, L. E.: Application of the DNDC model
620 to the Rodale Institute Farming Systems Trial: Challenges for the validation of
621 drainage and nitrate leaching in agroecosystem models, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.*,
622 87, 483-494, doi:10.1007/s10705-010-9354-8, 2010.
- 623 Turcotte, R., Fortin, J. P., Rousseau, A. N., Massicotte, S., and Villeneuve, J. P.:
624 Determination of the drainage structure of a watershed using a digital elevation
625 model and a digital river and lake network, *J. Hydrol.*, 240, 225-242, 2001.
- 626 Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler,
627 D. W., Schlesinger, W. H., and Tilman, D. G.: Human alteration of the global
628 nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences, *Ecol. Appl.*, 7, 737-750, 1997.
- 629 Vymazal, J.: Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands, *Sci. Total*
630 *Environ.*, 380, 28-45. 2007.
- 631 Wang, T., Zhu, B., Gao, M. R., Xu, T. P., and Kuang, F. H.: Nitrate pollution of
632 groundwater in a typical small watershed in the central Sichuan hilly region, *J.*
633 *Ecol. Rural Environ*, 22, 84-87, 2006.
- 634 Wang, X. G., Zhu, B., Gao, M., Wang, Y., and Duan, W.: Measurement and simulation
635 of N₂O emissions from an alder and cypress mixed plantation in hilly areas of
636 the central Sichuan Basin, *China Environmental Science*, 29, 242-247, 2009.
- 637 Whiteaker, T., Schneider, K., and Maidment, D.: Applying the ArcGIS Hydro Data
638 Model, University of Texas at Austin, Texas, 2003.
- 639 Williams, J. R.: Sediment-yield prediction with universal equation using runoff

energy factor, in: Present and prospective technology for predicting sediment yields and sources, United States Department of Agriculture, USA, 244-252, 1975.

Williams, J. R.: The EPIC model, in: Computer models of watershed hydrology, Water Resources Publications, Colorado, USA, 909-1000, 1995.

Wischmeier, W. H. and Smith, D. D.: Predicting rainfall erosion losses: a guide to conservation planning, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 1978.

World Health Organization: Guidelines for drinking water quality, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.

Yuan, Y. P., Bingner, B. L., and Rebich, R. A.: Evaluation of AnnAGNPS nitrogen loading in an agricultural watershed, J. AM. WATER RESOUR. AS., 39, 457-466, 2003.

Zhang, Y., Li, C., Trettin, C. C., Li, H., and Sun, G.: An integrated model of soil, hydrology and vegetation for carbon dynamics in wetland ecosystems, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 16, XXXX, doi: 10.1029/2001GB001838, 2002.

Zhu, Z., Noese, D., and Sun, B.: Policy for reducing non-point pollution from crop production in China, China Environmental Science Press, Beijing, China, 2006.

Zhu, B., Peng, K., and Xie, H. M.: Nitrogen balance of agro-ecosystem in a typical watershed in the hilly area of central Sichuan Basin, CJEAS., 14, 108-111, 2006.

Zhu, B., Wang, T., Kuang, F. H., Luo, Z. X., Tang, J. L., and Xu, T. P.: Measurements of nitrate leaching from a hillslope cropland in the Central Sichuan Basin, China, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 73, 1419-1426, 2009.

Tables

Table 1. Primary input variables

Items	Input ^a
Topographic data	Longitude, latitude, altitude, area, slope length, slope, channel length, channel slope.
Meteorological condition	Air temperature, precipitation, maximum half hour rainfall, wet nitrogen (N) deposition, wind speed.
Soil properties	Soil texture, depth, bulk density, pH, soil organic carbon content, initial soil N content, saturated hydrologic conductivity, porosity, field capacity, wilt point, soil erodibility factor, soil coarse fragment factor.
Vegetation information	Vegetation type, optimal yield, biomass fraction, plant N content, water use efficiency, N fixation index, forest age, thermal degree days.
Management applications	Crop rotation, planting date, harvest date, fertilization, manure amendment, irrigation, flooding, drainage, tillage, grazing, weeding, tree chopping, surface cover and management factor, soil conservation practices.

^a Details can be found in the manuals for the DNDC and the PnET-N-DNDC (<http://www.dnrc.sr.unh.edu/Models.html>).











Table 2. The modeled average and total N loss rates for different land use types in 2008


Land types	Area (ha)	FR	Average N loss rate (kg N ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)					Total N loss in the watershed (kg N year ⁻¹)				
			TN	NH ₃	N ₂ O	NO	N ₂	TN	NH ₃	N ₂ O	NO	N ₂
Dry land	15	329	35	78	1.8	0.3	0.5	525	1170	27	4.5	7.5
Seasonal paddy	2.5	283	19	37	1.8	0.3	11.8	48	93	4.5	0.8	29.5
Paddy field	1.8	130	17	19	0.1	0.1	3.3	31	34	0.2	0.2	5.9
Grassplot	1.1	0	5	1.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	5	2.0	0.2	0.1	0.2
Forest land	11	0	11	0	0.2	0	0.8	121	0	2.2	0	8.8
Residential region ^a	3.7	0	47					174				
Sum	35.1							904	1299	34.1	5.6	51.9

TN, Total nitrogen transported with runoff (the sum of surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow). FR, Fertilizer application rate, kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹.

^a N drainage rates for the residential region were based on observations.

Legend

-  Weather Station
-  Watershed Outlet
-  Channel System
-  Residential Region
-  Forest
-  Dry Land
-  Grassplot
-  Paddy Field
-  Seasonal Paddy
-  Road



673
674 **Figure 1.** Land use, channel system, and monitoring locations in the Yanting
675 watershed.




Figure 2. Monthly precipitation (a), simulated and measured runoff (the sum of surface runoff and subsurface drainage flow) (b), and sediment yields (c) for the calibration (2007) and validation (2008) years.





Figure 3. Simulated and measured monthly particulate nitrogen loadings (a), nitrate loadings (b) and total nitrogen loadings (c) for the calibration (2007) and validation (2008) years.



684

685 **Figure 4.** The spatial distribution of the annual total N loss rate in the Yanting
686 watershed. The N loss rate for the residential regions was based on local
687 observations.




Figure 5. Precipitation and air temperature (a), simulated and observed nitrous oxide emissions (b) from typical cropland planted with maize and winter wheat in the Yanting watershed during the rotational year of 2004-2005. The gray and black arrows indicate the dates of tillage and fertilization, respectively.




Figure 6. Precipitation and air temperature (a), simulated and observed nitrous oxide emissions (b) from typical forestland in the Yanting watershed during 2005. Modified from Wang et al., 2009.