Biogeosciences Discuss., 8, C3250–C3251, 2011 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/8/C3250/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Simulation of nitrogen deposition in the North China Plain by the FRAME model" by Y. Zhang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 26 September 2011

Dear authors,

Thank you for this interesting paper. According to my knowledge it presents results of a high resolution calculation of the nitrogen deposition for a specific area in China. I personally think the article is well written and I only have some comments to make. I will list them in chronological order.

p8164 l13: please use NHx (NH3 and NH4+) and NOy (NOx and NO3) p8166 l5: 'displayed higher intercepts' - higher than what? p8167 l7-l18: you use rather a lot of words here to say that the deposition is high in the NCP. Furthermore, I don't think that the comparisons are always relevant, given the large difference in emissions/activities in those areas. p8167 l26-l28: 'Excluding the NHx-N deposition, only the average ...'. I do not fully understand what you want to tell us with this sentence, please explain.

C3250

p8168 l8: exported and imported N budget. I'm not native English speaking, but I'm not sure if one can export/import a N budget. Perhaps just leave budget out of this sentence. p8170 l6: bi-exchange. is perhaps 'bi-directional exchange'? p8170 l13: 'Therefore there is some potential to improve'. perhaps you want to say that there is some 'need' rather that 'potential' to improve? p8170 l16-l26: this bit is perhaps somewhat confusing. First you start with a sentence about critical loads, then critical levels and the loads again. I would suggest to cluster it: first start with levels and then start explaining the loads p8171 I2: 'intolerant'? shouldn't this be 'tolerant'? p8171 I22: '..exposed to high N deposition for the transportation of ...'. strange sentence, please rephrase. p8171 l27-l29: are you sure about these statements with respect to the contribution of high N concentrations to 'reduced visibility, regional haze'? Please give references for it. p8172 l11: 'must had been happened already'. again, I'm not perfect in English, but please check this again since it sounds a bit funny. p8172 I12-I13: 'have been kept increasing'. also a strange phrase. perhaps just leave out the 'have been' p8172 l13: change 'downwind' to 'downward' p8172 l21: 'Assuming total N deposition in the coastal region from precipitation'. I guess you want to say something like 'Assuming that the total N deposition in the coastal region originates from precipitation'? p8173 l4: 'As our study,' something is missing here - don't know what. p8174 l21: 'resulted coastal' - 'resulted in coastal'

general remark with respect to the model calculations: when comparing the NHx-dry deposition/NH3 concentration and NH3 emission maps, a strange inconsistency occurs - for the Shandong province (the large part outside NCP), high NHx depositions seem to occur at locations where there are low NH3 concentrations/emissions. How is that possible? Can only a multiplication with a dry deposition velocity explain such a large difference in the overall pattern? How does this influence the overall picture with respect to NHx?

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 8, 8161, 2011.