Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 1161–1198, 2012 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/1161/2012/ doi:10.5194/bgd-9-1161-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Effects of cyanobacterial-driven pH increases on sediment nutrient fluxes and coupled nitrification-denitrification in a shallow fresh water estuary

# Y. Gao, J. C. Cornwell, D. K. Stoecker, and M. S. Owens

Horn Point Laboratory, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, P.O. Box 775, Cambridge, Maryland 21613, USA

Received: 2 January 2012 - Accepted: 9 January 2012 - Published: 27 January 2012

Correspondence to: Y. Gao (ygao@umces.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Discussion Pa	<b>B(</b> 9, 1161–1	<b>BGD</b> 9, 1161–1198, 2012			
per   Discussion	Sediment N Recycling under high pH Y. Gao et al.				
Paper	Title Abstract	Page Introduction			
Discuss	Conclusions Tables	References Figures			
ion Paper	14 4	►I ►			
Discus	Back Full Scre	Close een / Esc			
ssion Paper	Printer-frier Interactive	ndly Version			
_	$\odot$	BY			

#### Abstract

Summer cyanobacterial blooms caused an elevation in pH (9 to ~10.5) that lasted for weeks in the shallow and tidal-fresh region of the Sassafras River, a tributary of Chesapeake Bay (USA). Elevated pH promoted desorption of sedimentary inorganic
<sup>5</sup> phosphorus and facilitated conversion of ammonium (NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>) to ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). In this study, we investigated pH effects on exchangeable NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> desorption, nutrient pore water diffusion and flux rates of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP), nitrate (NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>), nitrification, denitrification, and oxygen consumption. pH elevation enhanced the desorption of exchangeable NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> because of NH<sub>3</sub> formation from both pore water and adsorbed NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> pools. Progressive penetration of high pH from the overlying water into sediment promoted the release of SRP and total ammonium (NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>) into pore water. At elevated pH, high sediment-water effluxes of SRP and total ammonium were associated with reduction in nitrification, denitrification and oxygen consumption rates. Alkaline pH and the toxicity of NH<sub>3</sub> may inhibit nitrification in the thin aerobic zone,

<sup>15</sup> simultaneously constraining coupled nitrification-denitrification with limited NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supply and high pH penetration into the anaerobic zone. Geochemical feedbacks to pH elevation, such as enhancement of dissolved nutrient effluxes and reduction in N<sub>2</sub> loss via denitrification, may be responsible for the persistence of cyanobacterial blooms in shallow water ecosystems.

#### 20 1 Introduction

25

Nutrient releases from sediment to the water column can support a substantial fraction of the primary production in shallow coastal and estuarine ecosystems (e.g. North Carolina estuaries, Fisher et al. 1982; Potomac River Estuary, Kemp and Boynton, 1984; Baltic Sea, Koop et al., 1990; Chesapeake Bay, Cowan and Boynton, 1996) Enhanced nitrogen and phosphorus fluxes may promote high levels of phytoplankton biomass (Kemp et al., 2005). Such phytoplankton blooms lead to the sustained accumulation of



phytodetritus in sediment, fueling nutrient recycling through organic matter remineralization (Cowan and Boynton, 1996; Nixon et al., 1996). The consequences, such as decreased water clarity, depletion of bottom-water oxygen and the decomposition of phytodetritus, may enhance sediment respiration, decrease redox potential, limit nutri-<sup>5</sup> ent uptake by benthic microalgae, and generally increase nutrient fluxes (Kemp et al., 2005).

In the deep, hypoxic region of the Chesapeake Bay and other estuaries, phosphorus flux is usually promoted by the dissolution of Fe-oxides and their conversion to iron sulfides; the increase in ammonium release from sediments tends to coincide with inhibition of nitrification by oxygen depletion and generation of reductants ( $HS^{-}/H_{2}S$ ) in sediment, which consequently reduce denitrification (Kemp et al., 2005; Cornwell and Kana, 1999). In oxic shallow water ecosystems benthic nutrient releases are generally less redox influenced.

Persistent high pH in shallow water, driven by rapid utilization rates of inorganic
<sup>15</sup> carbon for photosynthesis during dense algal blooms (Hansen, 2002; Hinga, 2002), can influence benthic dynamics by progressive pH penetration from the overlying water into sediments (Bailey et al., 2006). When pH is above a critical threshold (9–9.2), inorganic phosphorus desorbs from iron oxides at mineral surfaces (Andersen, 1975; Eckert et al., 1997). Elevation of pore water pH (~9.8 in tidal-fresh region, Eckert et al., 1997) can release soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) and support photosynthetic P demand during cyanobacterial blooms in lakes (Xie and Xie, 2003) and tidal fresh

and oligohaline estuaries (Seitzinger, 1991; Andersen, 1975).

10

In contrast to pH-driven P cycling, the effects of pH on sediment N transformations and release are less well understood (Soetaert et al., 2007). During the decomposition

<sup>25</sup> of sediment organic matter, remineralized  $NH_4^+$  may both adsorb onto sediment mineral surfaces and accumulate in pore water. Exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  is weakly adsorbed at the negatively charged particle surfaces, buffering pore water  $NH_4^+$  concentrations (Rosenfeld, 1979). In estuaries, fine grained sediment generally has a large pool of adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  (Wang and Alva, 2000; Weston et al., 2010), with freshwater sediments having



considerably more adsorbed ammonium relative to saline sediments (Seitzinger, 1991). Once alkaline pH results in the conversion of ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  to dissolved ammonia  $(NH_3)$ , formation of non-ionized  $NH_3$  may decrease  $NH_4^+$  cation adsorption on sediments and potentially alter the balance between pore water and exchangeable  $NH_4^+$ .

- Remineralized N can be assimilated by plants and bacteria or diffuse/ advect from sediment into the overlying water. Part of the NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> can be oxidized sequentially to NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>/NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub> then reduced to N<sub>2</sub> through coupled nitrification-denitrification (Cornwell and Kana, 1999). However, shifts in the NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub> equilibrium associated with high pH events may change rates of pore water diffusion, nitrification and denitrification.
   In the tidal-fresh and oligohaline parts of the Potomac River (Chesapeake Bay, USA), Seitzinger (1987) observed both increased SRP and NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> fluxes with pH elevation. Experimental NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> flux rates increased from <10 to over 100 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> when pH was raised from 8 to 10 in laboratory incubations (Seitzinger, 1987). During a algal bloom in
- the Potomac estuary, Bailey et al. (2006) observed a three-fold increase of  $NH_4^+$  efflux when the bottom water pH rose from neutral to above 9. In soil studies, the combined influence of alkaline pH (>8) and  $NH_3$  production can decrease the  $NH_4^+$  soil inventory by  $NH_3$  volatilization, and change the efficiency of nitrification and denitrification by inhibiting bacterial activity (Simek et al., 2002; Cuhel et al., 2010).

We hypothesize that increased sediment pH facilitates not only P desorption but also the conversion of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> with consequent changes in sediment N cycling. In this study we examined the pH effects on exchangeable NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> desorption using the surface sediments. Impacts of high pH conditions on the sediment-water nutrient exchange were estimated with changes in flux rates of SRP, NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and coupled nitrification-denitrification using intact sediment cores. We also calculated the diffusive

flux rates of pore water NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and SRP to confirm direct flux measurements. Due to the tightly coupled nitrification-denitrification, we independently measured nitrification rates using an inhibitor (Caffrey and Miller, 1995) and potential nitrification rates using slurries (Henriksen et al., 1981).



We experimentally addressed these questions using sediment cores in the Sassafras River, a shallow, tidal freshwater tributary of the Chesapeake Bay (USA). As in other parts of the Chesapeake Bay (Tango and Butler, 2008), summer cyanobacterial blooms have been observed frequently in the Sassafras River in recent decades. Relative to sea water, tidal fresh and oligohaline water have low pH buffering (Price et al., 2008), facilitating high pH from cyanobacterial photosynthetic carbon uptake. In the Sassafras River, high pH persisted in the range of 9 to 10.5 for several weeks during dense cyanobacterial blooms in the summers of 2007 and 2010. When such high pH is in contact with bottom sediment, pH penetration into sediment can impact nutrient biogeochemical processes (Bailey et al., 2006).

#### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study site and collection of cores

We collected sediments with 7 cm inner diameter, 30 cm long acrylic cores at two sites in the upper Sassafras River. The Powerline site (75°49.712′, 39°22.646′) was sampled on 18 June 2008 and Budds Landing (75°50.380′, 39°22.310′) was sampled on 14 July 2009 (Table 1). Dissolved oxygen (DO), salinity, pH and temperature were measured with a YSI 600XLM multiparameter sensor. Vertical irradiance profiles were recorded by a  $2\pi$  Li-Cor underwater PAR light sensor. Bottom water collected for sediment incubations was filtered to minimize water column autotrophic and microbial respiration and nutrient recycling. Samples were transported to Horn Point Laboratory within 4 hours. Sediment cores were gently bubbled overnight to equilibrate temperature, O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>-N in the overlying and near surface pore waters (Kana et al., 2006). The surface sediments from Budds Landing were homogenized for potential nitrification measurements.



#### 2.2 Experimental design

5

We incubated experimental cores (at least triplicates) at several pH levels to investigate pH effects on nutrient exchange at the sediment-water interface. Sediment-free blank cores were incubated identically at each pH level to correct for water column metabolism. Consistent with an absence of photosynthetically active radiation at the sediment surface at the time of collection, a dark temperature-controlled environmental chamber was used to maintain the sediments and replacement-water reservoir at

in situ water temperatures of 25 °C for Powerline and 27 °C for Budds Landing, respectively. The filtered water was bubbled with air to maintain oxygen saturation, adjusted
 to experimental pH with 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NaOH, and continuously pumped through the sediment overlying water (~500 ml) at 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

For the Powerline experiments, the overlying water pH of 4 replicate sediment cores was increased stepwise from  $7.8 \pm 0.5$  (control) to  $9.2 \pm 0.05$  (pH 1), and  $9.6 \pm 0.03$  (pH 2), with a 5 day equilibration at each elevated pH. An alternative approach was used with sediments from Budds Landing (Table 2). Nine cores were incubated at ambient pH for the initial fluxes, and then triplicate cores were subjected to pH manipulation for each treatment. After 7 days of exposure to higher pH levels, the pH in the overlying water was  $7.4 \pm 0.3$  (control),  $9.2 \pm 0.05$  (pH 1) and  $9.5 \pm 0.2$  (pH 2). Within each sealed core, suspended magnetic stir bars circulated water gently to keep it mixed below the threshold of sediment resuspension.

For both sites, nutrients fluxes (SRP, NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, and NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>), oxygen consumption (O<sub>2</sub>) and coupled nitrification-denitrification (net N<sub>2</sub> flux) were measured on the 1st day of incubation of sediments and after the pH reached the target values. After the termination of flux incubations, the top 11 cm sediments at each pH treatment were sectioned to collect pore water nutrients and to measure sediment pH. Duplicate sediment cores from Budds landing were used to measure nitrification rates, and Br<sup>-</sup> was added to control cores as tracer to estimate diffusion/advection coefficients (Martin and Banta, 1992). The remaining sediment cores were used to estimate the percent water.



#### 2.3 Flux rates cross the sediment-water interface

Flux rates were measured on the first day of the incubation and after each equilibration period. The pumping of treatment water was interrupted during flux incubations and briefly restarted to collect samples every 1–2 h with a total of 4 time-points. Solute samples were filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m cellulose acetate syringe filter and frozen at –4 °C. Dissolved O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> subsamples were preserved in 7 ml glass tubes by adding 10  $\mu$ l of 50 % saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution (Kana et al., 2006), and then stored under water at near-ambient temperature until analysis. To preserve total dissolved ammonium ( $\sum NH_x = NH_4^+ + NH_3$ ) at higher pH levels, 2.5  $\mu$ l of 0.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> sulfuric acid <sup>10</sup> was added into the sample vials. Flux rates were calculated from a regression of the time-concentration data in sediment and water column blank cores.

#### 2.4 Sediment pore-water chemistry

25

Samples for pH and pore water analysis were sectioned over the top ~10 cm into 50 ml centrifuge tubes in a nitrogen-filled glove bag to minimize oxidation artifacts (Bray et al., 1973). Vertical changes of pH were measured immediately with a flat surface pH electrode. Pore water was separated from the solid phase by centrifugation at 2000 G for 10 min. Supernatant solutions were filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m 25 mm diameter cellulose acetate syringe filter and appropriately diluted for analysis of Br<sup>-</sup>, Fe, SRP, NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, and  $\sum$ NH<sup>+</sup><sub>x</sub>. The total iron, mostly Fe<sup>2+</sup>, was acidified for preservation (Gibb, 1979).

#### 2.5 Nitrification potential and nitrification rates

The effect of pH on nitrification was estimated using sediments from Budds Landing. Measurements included slurry incubation for potential nitrification (Henriksen et al., 1981) and CH<sub>3</sub>F inhibition of intact sediments (Caffrey and Miller, 1995). In O<sub>2</sub>-saturated Sassafras River water, pH was pre-adjusted to values ranging from 7 to 11



using NaOH. The NH<sub>4</sub>Cl concentration was set to 1.0 mM, with triplicates at each pH level. For potential nitrification, the top 2 cm of sediment were homogenized with 1 ml added separately into centrifuge tubes with different pH's. The suspension was gently shaken in darkness at 27 °C and subsamples for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were taken at 0, 12, and 24 h

- to calculate rates of potential nitrification. Changes of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in a sediment-free control were used as a background correction. Although this method homogenizes the redox profile and the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> gradient of the sediment and disrupts the aggregation of aerobic/ anaerobic microbiota (Killham, 1994;Garcia-Ruiz et al., 1998), it makes testing pH effects over a large pH gradient relatively simple.
- An alternative to slurries was direct flux measurements using CH<sub>3</sub>F to inhibit nitrification (Caffrey and Miller, 1995). The CH<sub>3</sub>F method was carried out immediately after the end of flux measurements. Saturated solutions of CH<sub>4</sub>F were injected into the overlying water of each intact core to a final concentration of ~100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. After 24 h of aerobic dark pre-incubation, ammonium flux rates were measured using our standard flux procedure. Increased flux rates of ammonium after CH<sub>3</sub>F treatment were
- Interpreted as the nitrification rate. Shortcomings in the CH<sub>3</sub>F-inhibition method may include increased accumulation of pore water ammonium and non-specific inhibition of other N transformations such as ammonification (Capone et al., 2009).

#### 2.6 Molecular diffusive flux rates

- <sup>20</sup> Diffusion coefficients in sediment were estimated from Br<sup>-</sup> penetration profile (Martin and Banta, 1992). Bromide (NaBr) was added as a tracer into the overlying water to a concentration of ~6 mM. Pore water Br<sup>-</sup> was sampled after 24 h to estimate a diffusion coefficient ( $D_{Br}$ ), which was corrected for temperature and sediment porosity; the measure  $D_{Br}$  was compared to the theoretical coefficient ( $D_{Br}^*$ ) for to aid in correction of diffusion coefficients for other species (Martin and Banta 1992; Bao and Jabako
- <sup>25</sup> of diffusion coefficients for other species (Martin and Banta, 1992; Rao and Jahnke, 2004; Schulz et al., 2006).



Using the pH-dependent equilibrium (Eq. 1), we calculated pore water  $NH_3$  and  $NH_4^+$  concentrations:

$$\mathsf{NH}_4^+ + \mathsf{OH}^- \stackrel{\mathsf{K}_b}{\Leftrightarrow} \mathsf{NH}_3 + \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O}$$

where the equilibrium constant ( $pK_b$ ) is 9.25 at 25 °C; we corrected our pore water constants for ionic strength and temperature (Mulholland, 2008).

The dissolved  $NH_3$  concentration,  $[NH_3]$ , can be calculated (Van Nest and Duce 1987):

$$NH_{3} = \frac{[\sum[NH_{x}][OH^{-}]]}{K_{b} + [OH^{-}]}$$

5

10

15

where  $[\sum NH_x]$  is for the sum of dissolved NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>.

Applying Fick's first law, the  $NH_3$   $NH_4^+$  and SRP fluxes were calculated by:

$$F_i = -\emptyset \cdot D_i x \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x}$$
(3)

where  $F_i$  is the flux of different species (µmol cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The diffusion coefficient ( $D_i$ ) is influenced by tortuosity ( $\theta$ ), temperature and sediment properties ( $\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x}$ ) is the gradient of nutrient concentration ( $C_i$ ) and depth (x). The diffusion coefficients of NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and SRP in sediments were corrected using the  $D_{Br}$  estimates, and the diffusion coefficients in a particle free solution at in situ temperature (Martin and Banta, 1992; Rao and Jahnke, 2004; Schulz et al., 2006). Percent water and the dry sediment density ( $\rho \sim$ 2.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) were used to caculate porosity ( $\emptyset$ ) (Boudreau, 1997):

$$\emptyset = \frac{(\text{water \%})}{(\text{water \%} + \frac{(1 - \text{water \%})}{p})}$$

(1)

(2)

(4)

#### 2.7 Desorption isotherm of adsorbed ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ -N)

In order to estimate pH effects on ammonium desorption from sediment, surface sediments were collected from Budds Landing in November 2008. Adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  was measured using KCI extraction (Morin and Morse, 1999). Triplicate 1 ml wet samples

<sup>5</sup> of the top 2 cm sediment were extracted twice with 39 ml of 2 mol L<sup>-1</sup> KCl; samples were shaken for 2 h at the field temperature (10 °C). After centrifugation and filtration, the increase in  $NH_4^+$  concentration relative to the blank was used to quantify adsorbed ammonium. Adsorption coefficients (*K*) were used to describe this ion exchange behavior, following Rosenfeld (1979), Mackin and Aller (1984):

10 
$$K = p \cdot \frac{1 - \emptyset}{\emptyset} \cdot \frac{\hat{C}_N}{C_N}$$

where  $\hat{C}_N$  is exchangeable NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> on a dry mass basis (µmol g<sup>-1</sup>) and  $C_N$  is the pore water ammonium concentration (µmol L<sup>-1</sup>). Porosity was measured for the top 2 cm of sediment.

To simulate response of adsorbed ammonium to pH elevation, the homogenized sed-<sup>15</sup> iment (0–2 cm) was suspended in site water with different pH values. We added 1 ml of wet sediment to 39 ml of pH-adjusted water. To inhibit dissimilatory NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, we used the oxygen-saturated water and left 5 ml headspace in the centrifuge tube.  $\sum$ NH<sub>x</sub> was measured after shaking, centrifugation and filtration. Assuming a NH<sub>3</sub> equilibrium between the aquatic and atmospheric phase, the total release of am-<sup>20</sup> monium is estimated as the sum of total dissolved ammonium in the water column  $\sum$ NH<sub>x</sub>(I) and NH<sub>3</sub> gas within the head space (NH<sub>3(g)</sub>):

 $NH_{x_{ds}} = \Delta \Sigma NH_{x(l)} + \Delta NH_{3(g)}$ 

The headspace NH<sub>3</sub> was estimated from (1) the pH at the beginning and end of incubation, (2) ionic strength corrections for NH<sub>3</sub> ( $\gamma$ NH<sub>3</sub>) and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ( $\gamma$ NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), and (3) the



(5)

(6)

temperature-corrected Henry's law coefficient (H) (Larsen et al., 2001):

$$[\mathsf{NH}_{(g)}] = \frac{[\sum \mathsf{NH}_{\mathsf{x}}]}{\mathsf{RTH}_{\mathsf{NH3}}(\frac{1}{\gamma\mathsf{NH}_3} + \frac{10^{-\mathsf{pH}}}{\mathcal{K}_{\mathsf{a}}\cdot\gamma\mathsf{NH}_4^+})}$$

#### 2.8 Chemical analysis

Concentrations of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, SRP and Fe were analyzed using colorimetric methods (Gibb, 1979; Parsons et al., 1984). Concentrations of NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>, NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> and pore water Br<sup>-</sup> were determined using ion chromatography (Kopp and McKee, 1983). NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> concentrations were generally very low. Dissolved N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were measured by the ratios of N<sub>2</sub>: Ar and O<sub>2</sub>: Ar using membrane inlet mass spectrometry (Kana et al., 1994; Kana and Weiss, 2004). Percent water was determined as the weight loss of wet sediment after drying at 65 °C. After pre-treatment with sodium hypochlorite overnight to remove carbonates and organic matter, grain size was analyzed by wet sieving and followed by pipet analysis of the remaining silt and clay (Folk, 1974).

3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Physical conditions

- <sup>15</sup> The Powerline and Budds Landing sites had an aerobic water column, low salinity (<1), and fine grain-sized sediment (Table 1). Water depths were 0.8 m at Powerline and 1.3 m at Budds Landing. At the time of collection, bottom water pH was 9.4 at Powerline and 7.3 at Budds Landing. The temperature at Powerline (24.7 °C) was similar to Budds Landing (26.9 °C). Light attenuation coefficients were 4.8 m<sup>-1</sup> at Powerline and 4.2 m<sup>-1</sup>
- at Budds Landing, resulting in dim to dark conditions at the sediment surface. Both sites often have experienced cyanobacterial blooms associated with high pH in summer (Maryland Department of Natural Resources, unpublished data).



#### 3.2 Effect of pH on the pore water iron profile

Vertical profiles of pore water pH and iron in the Powerline cores rapidly responded to the diffusion of alkaline overlying pH into the pore water (Fig. 1a and b). The pH profile under ambient condition was nearly constant with depth and generally < 7 below 1 cm;

- the elevated water column pH treatments resulted in pH > 9.0 in the top 2 cm of sediment, decreasing downward until the pH was similar to the control. Although pH may be buffered by cation exchange (e.g. Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>), sulfate reduction, and anaerobic generation of acid (Cai et al., 2010), such high pore water pH's (pH > 9.5) have been observed during algal blooms in tidal-freshwater estuaries (Magalhaes et al., 2002).
- <sup>10</sup> Our elevated pH profiles in sediments were similar to a time-series study of pH penetration by Bailey et al. (2006). When Potomac River sediments are incubated at pH (~10) in summer, high pH moves downward with time and maintains pH > 9 at 4 to 8 cm depth in a week incubation (Bailey et al., 2006). In our aerobic incubations, pore water  $Fe^{2+}$  was undetectable at the surface and peaked in the upper anoxic sediment hori-<sup>15</sup> zon. Increased pH lead to a reduction in  $Fe^{2+}$  through hydroxide precipitation (Hutchins et al., 2007). As pH increased to 9.6 in the overlying water, the peak concentration of  $Fe^{2+}$  simultaneously decreased from 120 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> to 68 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> with its peak position shifting from 1.75 cm downward to 2.5 cm.

# 3.3 Effect of pH on the pore water SRP profile

<sup>20</sup> Elevation of pH below the sediment-water interface resulted in SRP release into the pore water, with the peak concentrations increasing from <40 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> to 102 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 1d and F). Increased SRP concentrations were consistent with pH related P releases from surface metal hydroxide complexes (Seitzinger, 1991) due to the increased negative charge of mineral surfaces (Boers, 1991). Elevated pH increased upward
 <sup>25</sup> SRP diffusion from 5 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> under neutral pH to 39 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> under alkaline pH treatments (Fig. 1e, f and Table 4). Under aerobic pH-neutral conditions, iron oxyhydroxides usually adsorb or co-precipitate P, hindering the flux of SRP across the



sediment-water interface (Slomp et al., 1998). In contrast to neutral pH conditions, highly alkaline pH's enhanced pore water SRP gradients and increased the diffusion rate (Fig. 1c and f) by breaking surficial Fe-P bonds.

# 3.4 Effect of pH on the pore water ammonium profile

<sup>5</sup> Under ambient pH conditions, NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> linearly increased downcore to 720 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> with negligible NH<sub>3</sub> present (Fig. 1c). The diffusive flux rate, primarily as NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>, was 149 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Table 4). In contrast, the ∑NH<sub>x</sub> concentration in high pH cores increased to 975 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> at ~4 cm depth (Fig. 1e). Conversion of NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> to NH<sub>3</sub> in surface horizons resulted in a steeper concentration gradient of NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>, increasing NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub>
<sup>10</sup> diffusive fluxes (Table 4). Dissolved NH<sub>3</sub> exhibited a very sharp peak at 2–3 cm in the pH 9.6 treatment, yielding a rapid upward flux. Diffusive flux rates were the sum of 243 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> and 234 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> (Table 4). Without consideration of ∑NH<sub>x</sub> speciation at the overlying water pH 9.6, the diffusive rate calculated from the concentration gradient and diffusion coefficient of NH<sup>4</sup><sub>4</sub> was only 271 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>,
<sup>15</sup> less than half of the observed ∑NH<sub>x</sub> diffusive rate (Fig. 1e).

# 3.5 Adsorbed NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>

Both pore water and adsorbed ammonium are hypothesized to be available for nitrification (Seitzinger et al., 1991). Adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  is reversibly attracted to negatively charged binding sites on the surface of sediment particles (Rosenfeld, 1979), and can influence pore water  $NH_4^+$  concentration as well as migration of in sediment (Morse and Morin, 2005). Without pH manipulation, the pH decreased from 7.2 to 6.5 during KCI-extraction, and adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  in our samples averaged  $3.4 \pm 0.4 \,\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup>. It is reasonable to expect higher adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  in summer due to spring/summer algal deposition and the temperature-related increases in ammonification (Laima et al., 1999;

Laima, 1992; Vouve et al., 2000). Our adsorption coefficient ( $K = 2.6 \pm 0.4$ ) were similar to the observations of 2.1–7.1 in Potomac River sediments (Simon and Kennedy,



1987) and in the upper Chesapeake Bay (Cornwell and Owens, 2011). The K value in this freshwater estuary is higher than other coastal (1.0–1.7, Mackin and Aller, 1984) and marine sediments (1.1–1.3, Rosenfeld, 1979). This result is consistent with salinity influences on  $NH_4^+$  adsorption (Seitzinger et al., 1991b; Weston et al., 2010).

# **5 3.6 Effect of pH on desorption of sediment NH**<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>

Increased pH stimulated adsorbed ammonium release into pore water by converting  $NH_4^+$  to  $NH_3$ . The peak of dissolved  $NH_4^+$  was 132 µmol L<sup>-1</sup> at pH 9.3 (Fig. 2a). The increase of dissolved  $NH_4^+$  at pH's 6.5–13 likely resulted from exchangeable  $NH_4^+$  desorption. When pH approached or exceeded the  $P_{ka}$  (i.e.  $pH \ge 9.25$ ),  $NH_4^+$  concentrations decreased via conversion to  $NH_3$ . This resulted in a large decrease of dissolved  $NH_4^+$  when pH rose from 9.3 to 13 (Fig. 2a).

High pH increased the release of  $NH_3$  and  $NH_4^+$ , mainly from the exchangeable ammonium pool. As pH increased from 6.5 to 13 (Fig. 2b), the amount of  $NH_4^+$  desorbed increased from 646 to 2647 nmol g<sup>-1</sup>. The elevation of pH above 9.2 resulted in more than 50% of ammonium ( $\sum NH_x$ ) converting to  $NH_3$  (Eq. 2). Although mineral surface charges become more and more negative as pH increases, un-ionized NH<sub>3</sub> does not substantially adsorb to the solid phase. Moreover, drawdown of  $NH_4^+$  concentration with pH enhancement in the aquatic phase may promote desorption until approximately 80% of KCI-extractable ammonium was desorbed (Fig. 2b).

### 20 3.7 Effect of pH on SRP flux

10

Flux rates of SRP increased with pH elevation at both stations (P < 0.05, student'st test). SRP efflux rates increased from  $< 5 \mu mol m^{-2} h^{-1}$  in the control, to 15– 25  $\mu mol m^{-2} h^{-1}$  at pH 9.2, and to 35–55  $\mu mol m^{-2} h^{-1}$  at pH 9.6 (Fig. 3). Increased SRP flux rates with pH increases were consistent with the molecular diffusive rates of SRP estimated from pore water profiles (Table 4). In the oligohaline region of the Potomac River. SRP release from sediment increased from <10 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> in controls



to ~40  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at pH 9.5 and ~110  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at pH 10 (Seitzinger, 1991). Similar large increases in SRP flux rates have been observed at pH's of 9.5 in freshwater sediments (Boers, 1991).

### 3.8 Effect of pH on DIN flux

- <sup>5</sup> For both Powerline and Budds Landing experimental cores (Fig. 4a), flux rates of ∑NH<sub>x</sub> increased significantly in the high pH treatments (especially pH > 9.5) relative to the control (*p* < 0.05, student's t test), but differences between pH 9.2 and 9.5 were not significant. Compared to the control group, high pH (9.5–9.6) increased ∑NH<sub>x</sub> flux rates by about 6-fold at Powerline and by 2-fold at Budds Landing. Increase in ∑NH<sub>x</sub>
   <sup>10</sup> flux rates were consistent with the pH-induced ammonium desorption at surface sedimentations.
- ments and the observed changes in the pore water profile. Similar to observations of salinity-enhanced ammonium desorption (Gardner et al., 1991), ammonium desorption with alkaline pH penetration increased both the  $\sum NH_x$  concentration and the proportion of NH<sub>3</sub> in pore-water (Fig. 1e). The conversion of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to NH<sub>3</sub> and the steeper
- <sup>15</sup> concentration gradients of these two components all resulted in elevated  $\sum NH_x$  fluxes. At Budds Landing, the flux measurements in the control were similar to the upward diffusion rate of ammonium, primarily as  $NH_4^+$ . The measured efflux rates of  $\sum NH_x$  at pH 9.6 were equivalent to the sum of the diffusive flux rate of  $NH_4^+$  and  $NH_3$  (Table 4). Lack of consideration of  $NH_3$  production would result in underestimation of ammonium flux rates by 25–35 % for the flux measurement and by 50 % for the diffusive flux estimation.
  - Ammonium remineralization, calculated either by stoichiometric oxygen-based N remineralization or measured total inorganic nitrogen flux (i.e.  $NH_4^+ + NH_3 + N_2^- N + NO_3^-$ ), suggests elevated pH dramatically promoted N efflux. If we assume that aerobic N remineralization stoichiometry from phytoplanktonic organic matter is 138:16
- $_{25}$  O<sub>2</sub>: N and denitrification is partly fuelled by the diffusion of water column NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> into sediment (Cornwell et al., 1999),  $\sum$ NH<sub>x</sub> flux accounted for 20–40% of oxygen-based N remineralization in the control and for 68–153% of N remineralization in the high pH



treatments. Alternatively, if nitrogen remineralization rates were evaluated from the sum of ∑NH<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and N<sub>2</sub> flux rates, pH elevation increased ammonium flux as a proportion of total N remineralization from 22% to 105% for sediment at Powerline and 44% to 87% at Budds Landing. Both estimates reveal that increased pH enhanced the proportion of ammonium release relative to the total remineralized N. However, the difference of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> remineralization between two estimates may result from the use of O<sub>2</sub> consumption rates instead of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. The calculation of oxygen-based ammonium remineralization is affected by the production/ reoxidation of reduced inorganic compounds (e.g. Fe<sup>2+</sup>, S<sup>2-</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup>), potential methanogenesis in organic-matter rich estuaries (Martens and Klamp, 1980; Carini and Joye, 2008), and variable C:N ratios of organic matter.

No significant difference was found for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> flux rates among pH treatments (p > 0.05, student-t test). Fluxes of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranged from -70 to 10 µmol-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, with most fluxes directed into the sediment (Fig. 4b). Concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> were low in the overlying water and undetectable in pore water.

3.9 Effect of pH on potential nitrification

15

20

The response of potential nitrification to pH suggests high pH (> 9) inhibited nitrification (Fig. 5a). The potential nitrification rate in the control was  $84 \pm 24$  nmol-N g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, similar to rates in other fresh water sediments of 90–470 nmol-N g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Cooper, 1983). With pH increasing from 9 to 11, potential nitrification rates decreased sharply from 70–110 nmol-N g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> to below 10 µmol-N g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5a).

Bacterially mediated nitrification is first order or zero-order kinetics with respect to  $NH_4^+/NH_3$  concentrations as nitrifying substrates (Park et al., 2010). However, increases in pH can enhance  $\sum NH_x$  desorption and the total inventories of exchange-

<sup>25</sup> able and pore water ammonium may be equal or less than controls because of  $NH_3$  volatilization. Furthermore, pH-driven  $NH_3$  accumulation can be toxic or inhibit the growth and enzyme efficiency of nitrifying bacteria (Anthonisen et al., 1976; Kim et al.,



2006). In laboratory observations and modeling, both high pH and  $NH_3$  have negative effects on nitrifying bacteria, ammonium-oxidizing bacteria (AOB, *Nitrosomonas*) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB, *Nitrobacter*) (Van Hulle et al., 2007). Elevation of pH above 9 could inhibit enzyme activity of AOB and NOB since the optimal pH range is

<sup>5</sup> 6–8.5 for AOB and 5.5–8 for NOB (Van Hulle et al., 2007; Park et al., 2010). Even though nitrifying bacteria might survive out of the optimal pH range, they would pay an energy cost to maintain their cytoplasmic pH (Wood, 1988).

Although few field studies have been conducted on the effect of pH on sediment nitrification relative to water column and soil environments (Simek et al., 2002; Carini

and Joye, 2008), sediment potential nitrification rates appear to be limited by high pH (> 8) in freshwater and were positively related to exchangeable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in 36 stream surveys (Strauss et al., 2002). Elevated pH inhibition of nitrification, with decreases of 80 % at pH 9 relative to peak nitrification, have been observed in fine-grained sediment in the Arika Sea (Isnansetyo et al., 2011).

### 15 3.10 Effect of pH on nitrification rates

20

Elevated pH negatively impacted the intact-core nitrification as measured by the changes in  $\sum NH_4^+$  or  $NH_4^+$  flux rates after adding  $CH_3F$ , a inhibitor of ammonium oxidiation (Fig. 5b). Under neutral conditions, no significant difference of nitrification rates existed between the evaluation from  $\sum NH_4^+$  flux (182 ± 49 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and from  $NH_4^+$  flux (210 ± 35 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). Sediments in the upper Sassafras River show considerably higher nitrification rates than the < 40 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> typical of the mesohaline region of the Chesapeake Bay in summer (Kemp et al., 1990), reflecting the aerobic overlying water conditions.

Similar to nitrification potentials (Fig. 5a), increasing pH from neutral to 9.5 exerted a remarkable depression on nitrification, as evidenced by the >50 % reduction in nitrification under alkaline water (Fig. 5b). If both dissolved  $NH_4^+$  and adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  in sediments are assumed to be the main substrates for nitrification (Seitzinger et al., 1991), high pH related decreases in N availability could functionally suppress nitrification. The



reduction of  $NH_4^+$  concentration in pore water as well as its proportion to  $\sum NH_x$  may reduce the ammonium availability for nitrification. As a negative function of pH (Fig. 2), adsorbed  $NH_4^+$  may be reduced, possibly decreasing nitrification (Fig. 5). Following pH penetration into the aerobic sediment surface (typically ~2 mm), NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity could suppress nitrification (Isnansetyo et al., 2011). In addition, nitrifying bacteria are obligate chemoautotrophs and grow with inorganic carbon in the form of CO<sub>2</sub> as their sole carbon source (Staner, 1970); a reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> with pH elevation may therefore

#### potentially inhibit nitrifying metabolism.

### 3.11 Effect of pH on denitrification

In aerobic Chesapeake Bay sediments, alternative N<sub>2</sub> production via annamox appears inconsequential (Rich et al., 2008), with coupled nitrification-denitrification being the key pathway to transform the rematerialized nitrogen to N<sub>2</sub>-N (Cornwell et al., 1999). Coupled nitrification-denitrification decreased from 180–280 µmol-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> to less than 85 µmol-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> as the overlying water pH increased to 9.6 (Fig. 6). Den itrification efficiency, the percentage of inorganic nitrogen released from the sediment as N<sub>2</sub>-N (Heggie et al., 2008), decreased from 84 % to 35 % at Powerline and 64 % to 17 % at Budds Landing.

Reduction in denitrification with pH elevation may be a consequence of limited NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supply and alkaline pH constraint on denitrifying bacterial activity. The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supply
for denitrification may come from ammonium oxidation and diffusion from the overlying water. In this study, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supply from the overlying water (Table 1) was low relative to denitrification rates, an observation consisted with undetectable pore water NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations. Hence, consistent with pH suppression of nitrification (Fig. 5), denitrification at high pH is likely limited by the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> supply (Fig. 6). Moreover, the optimal pH range for denitrification is 7–8 in soil and anaerobic sediments (Simek et al., 2002); higher pH may directly inhibit the activity of denitrifying bacteria. Nitrate reducing bacteria, such as *Thioalkalivibrio nitratireducen*, can survive in alkaline



sediment and cultivation media at pH 10. However, the nitrite reductase activity of *T*. *nitratireducens* was maximal when pH ranged from 6.7-7.5, and 80% of the activity was inhibited at high pH (9–10) (Filimonenkov et al., 2010).

Although dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) in freshwater sedi-<sup>5</sup> ments appears to be minor relative to denitrification (Scott et al., 2008), DNRA usually occurs when NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> inputs exceed the availability of carbon substrate for denitrification (Tiedje et al., 1989). As a consequence of pH elevation, limited NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> consumption through anaerobic denitrification may provide the potential chances for DNRA and enhance ammonium production. However, DNRA may play a minor role in explaining the <sup>10</sup> enhanced ammonium fluxes. We did not expect high DNRA occurs in the Sassafras River sediment with undetectable free sulfide concentrations.

### 3.12 Effect of pH on oxygen consumption

Oxygen consumption rates in the controls were higher in July at Budds Landing than in June at Powerline, partly a result of the promoted bacteria efficiency of organic matter decomposition with rising temperatures. However, oxygen consumption decreased as pH increased at both sites (Fig. 6). This is likely related to the alkaline pH effects on bacteria production and respiration (Tank et al., 2009). Assuming pH has no effect on organic matter remineralization to ammonium at each sampling site, we postulate that inhibition of nitrification by increased pH resulted in the reduction of oxygen consumption.

$$NH_4^+ + 20_2 \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O + 2H_2^-$$

The molar ratio of  $O_2$  to  $\sum NH_4^+$  is 2 for nitrification. The measured slopes of  $\Delta \sum NH_4^+$ and  $-\Delta O_2$  fluxes were consistent with nitrifying stoichiometry (Fig. 7), which suggests high pH increased sediment  $\sum NH_4^+$  diffusion into overlying water rather than enhancing coupled nitrification-denitrification. Deviation of the  $-\Delta O_2$ : $\Delta \sum NH_4^+$  flux rates from the theoretical 2:1 ratio may result from variation in sediment cores, such as oxidation of

(8)

Mn (II) and Fe (II), and sediment buffering effects on  $OH^-$  penetration in depth and magnitude.

# 4 Conclusion and ecological implications

20

Harmful algal blooms, including cyanobacterial blooms, present a clear challenge to the functioning of shallow water coastal ecosystems. Like other blooms, cyanobacterial 5 blooms are increasing in frequency and magnitude over time due to eutrophication. Cyanobacterial blooms can be locally persistent and extensive. However, determining the cause of such blooms can be elusive. Elevated temperature, a long water residence time, and availability and proportions of inorganic and organic nutrients are often cited as "triggers" (Glibert, 2011). Mitigation efforts for eutrophication have not always had 10 success in hindering the development of harmful algal blooms (Glibert, 2011). Indeed, cyanobacterial blooms are common in the Potomac River (Chesapeake Bay) despite considerable progress removing P from point sources (Krogmann et al., 1986; Ruhl, 2010)(Krogmann et al., 1986; Ruhl and Rybicki, 2010). The occurrence of blooms during drought periods with low external nutrient inputs suggests that internal nutrient 15 recycling is a key to the initiation and sustainability of cyanobacterial blooms.

Nutrient release from bottom sediments can be a substantial source in shallow water ecosystems, potentially satisfying nutrient demand of algal growth and enhancing eutrophic conditions. High rates of photosynthesis during dense blooms promote a large water column pH increase, especially in the low pH buffering water such as lake and

freshwater estuaries. Nutrients, especially N, are limiting to primary production during the extensive summer blooms in Chesapeake Bay (Kemp et al., 2005). In summer, bloom forming cyanobacteria are dominant by diazotrophs, resulting in high pH persistence in the tidal freeb Seconfree Diver (Olivei) and Mender of DND unsublicked data).

<sup>25</sup> fresh Sassafras River (O'Neil and Maryland DNR, unpublished data). Our study suggests pH elevation can increase inorganic N supply from the sediment into the water column. As pH increased above 9, the N flux from sediments was more than doubled by



promoting NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NH<sub>3</sub> flux and inhibiting N<sub>2</sub> loss. Even though N<sub>2</sub>-fixing cyanobacteria can survive during N deficiency, they prefer to take up dissolved inorganic N rather than consuming energy for N<sub>2</sub> fixation (Paerl, 2008). The pH-induced release of ammonium from sediments may thereby be an important N source for primary productivity

 <sup>5</sup> during dense blooms in shallow estuaries or lakes. The molar ratio of DIN: SRP sediment efflux decreased from >70 to 9–12 when experimental pH rose from neutral to above 9, which may reinforce N limitation and selectively support N<sub>2</sub>-fixing cyanobacterial blooms. Given higher P demand for diazotrophs, the augmentation of P flux with pH may boost the growth and persistence of algal blooms (Xie and Xie, 2003; Paerl, 2008).

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by Maryland Sea Grant (R/EH-9) and the National Science Foundation (OCE-0961920). Y. Gao was supported by a UMCES Horn Point Laboratory Assistantship and a Maryland Sea Grant Fellowship. We thank Todd Kana for access to the membrane inlet mass spectrometer and the Sassafras River Association for logical assistance.

#### References

15

20

25

Andersen, J. M.: Influence of pH on release of phosphorus from lake sediments, Arch. Hydrobiol., 76, 411–419, 1975.

Anthonisen, A. C., Loehr, R. C., Prakasam, T. B. S., and Srinath, E. G.: Inhibition of nitrification by ammonia and nitrous-acid, J. Water Pollut. Con. F., 48, 835–852, 1976.

Bailey, E., Owens, M., Boynton, W., and Cornwell, J.: Sediment phorsphours flux: pH interaction in the tidal freshwater Potomac River estuary, Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin, UMCES report TS-505-08-CBL, 1–91, 2006.

Boers, P. C. M.: The influence of pH on phosphate release from lake-sediments, Water Res., 25, 309–311, 1991.

Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic Models and Their Implementation: Modeling Transport and Reactions in Aquatic Sediments, Springer, New York, 1997.



- Bray, J. T., Bricker, O. P., and Troup, B. N.: Phosphate in interstitial waters of anoxic sediments oxidation effects during sampling procedure, Science, 180, 1362–1364, 1973.
- Caffrey, J. M. and Miller, L. G.: A comparison of two nitrification inhibitors used to measure nitrification rates in estuarine sediments, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 17, 213–220, 1995.
- <sup>5</sup> Cai, W. J., Luther, G. W., Cornwell, J. C., and Giblin, A. E.: Carbon cycling and the coupling between proton and electron transfer reactions in aquatic sediments in Lake Champlain, Aquat. Geochem., 16, 421–446, 2010.
  - Capone, D., Bronk, D., Mulholland, M., and Carpenter, E.: Nitrogen in the marine environment, edited by: Capone, D., Bronk, D., Mulholland, M., and Carpenter, E., Elsevier, Burlington, Massachusetts. 2009.
- Carini, S. A. and Joye, S. B.: Nitrification in Mono Lake, California: Activity and community composition during contrasting hydrological regimes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 2546–2557, 2008.

10

30

Cooper, A. B.: Population ecology of nitrifiers in a stream receiving geothermal inputs of ammonium, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 45, 1170–1177, 1983.

Cornwell, J. and Kana, K.: Denitrification in coastal ecosystems: methods, environmental controls, and ecosystem level controls, a review, Aquat. Ecol., 33, 41–54, 1999.

Cornwell, J. and Owens, M.: Quantifying sediment nitrogen releases associated with estuarine dredging, Aquat. Geochem., 17, 499–517, 2011.

<sup>20</sup> Cornwell, J. C., Kemp, W. M., and Kana, T. M.: Denitrification in coastal ecosystems: environmental controls and aspects of spatial and temporal scale, Aquat. Ecol., 33, 41–54, 1999.

- Cowan, J. L. W. and Boynton, W. R.: Sediment-water oxygen and nutrient exchanges along the longitudinal axis of Chesapeake Bay: Seasonal patterns, controlling factors and ecological significance, Estuaries, 19, 562–580, 1996.
- <sup>25</sup> Cuhel, J., Simek, M., Laughlin, R. J., Bru, D., Cheneby, D., Watson, C. J., and Philippot, L.: Insights into the effect of soil pH on N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions and denitrifier community size and activity, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 76, 1870–1878, 2010.
  - Eckert, W., Nishri, A., and Parparova, R.: Factors regulating the flux of phosphate at the sediment-water interface of a subtropical calcareous lake: A simulation study with intact sediment cores, Water Air Soil Pollut., 99, 401–409, 1997.
- Filimonenkov, A. A., Zvyagilskaya, R. A., Tikhonova, T. V., and Popov, V. O.: Isolation and characterization of nitrate reductase from the halophilic sulfur-oxidizing bacterium *Thioalkalivibrio nitratireducens*, Biochemistry, 75, 744–751, 2010.



- Fisher, T. R., Carlson, P. R., and Barber, R. T.: Sediment nutrient regeneration in 3 north-carolina estuaries, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 14, 101–116, 1982.
- Folk, R.: Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks, Hemphill Publishing Company, Austin, TX, 1974. Garcia-Ruiz, R., Pattinson, S. N., and Whitton, B. A.: Denitrification in river sediments: re-
- <sup>5</sup> lationships between process rate and properties of water and sediment, Freshw. Biol., 39, 467–476, 1998.
  - Gardner, W. S., Seitzinger, S. P., and Malczyk, J. M.: The effects of sea salts on the forms of nitrogen released from estuarine and fresh-water sediments Does ion-pairing affect ammonium flux, Estuaries, 14, 157–166, 1991.
- <sup>10</sup> Gibb, M. M.: A simple method for the rapid determination of iron in natural waters, Water Res., 13, 295–297, 1979.
  - Glibert, P. M. F., D., Burkholder, J. M., Cornwell, J. C., Kana, T. M.: Ecological stoichiometry, biogeochemical cycling, invasive species, and aquatic food webs: San Francisco estuary and comparative systems, Rev. Fish. Sci., 19, 1–60, 2011.
- <sup>15</sup> Hansen, P. J.: Effect of high pH on the growth and survival of marine phytoplankton: implications for species succession, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 28, 279–288, 2002.
  - Heggie, D. T., Logan, G. A., Smith, C. S., Fredericks, D. J., and Palmer, D.: Biogeochemical processes at the sediment-water interface, Bombah Broadwater, Myall Lakes, Hydrobiologia, 608, 49–67, 2008.
- Henriksen, K., Hansen, J. I., and Blackburn, T. H.: Rates of nitrification, distribution of nitrifying bacteria, and nitrate fluxes in different types of sediment from danish waters, Mar. Biol., 61, 299–304, 1981.
  - Hinga, K. R.: Effects of pH on coastal marine phytoplankton, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 238, 281– 300, 2002.
- Hutchins, C. M., Teasdale, P. R., Lee, J., and Simpson, S. L.: The effect of manipulating sediment pH on the porewater chemistry of copper- and zinc-spiked sediments, Chemosphere, 69, 1089–1099, 2007.
  - Isnansetyo, A., Thien, N. D., and Seguchi, M.: Potential of mud sediment of the Ariake Sea tidal flat and the individual effect of temperature, ph, salinity and ammonium concentration on its nitrification rate, Res. J Environ. Earth Sci., 3, 587–599, 2011.

30

Kana, T. M. and Weiss, D. L.: Comment on "comparison of isotope pairing and N<sub>2</sub>: Ar methods for measuring sediment denitrification" by Eyre, B. D., Rysgaard, S., Dalsgaard, T., and Bondo Christensen, P., 2002, estuaries 25, 1077–1087", Estuaries, 27, 173–176, 2004.





Discussion Paper BGD 9, 1161–1198, 2012 Sediment N **Recycling under high** pH **Discussion** Paper Y. Gao et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** 14 Back Close Discussion Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Paper Interactive Discussion



Kana, T. M., Darkangelo, C., Hunt, M. D., Oldham, J. B., Bennett, G. E., and Cornwell, J. C.: Membrane inlet mass spectrometer for rapid high-precision determination of N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and Ar in environmental water samples, Anal. Chem., 66, 4166–4170, 1994.

Kana, T. M., Cornwell, J. C., and Zhong, L. J.: Determination of denitrification in the Chesa-

 $_{\rm 5}$  peake Bay from measurements of N $_{\rm 2}$  accumulation in bottom water, Estuar. Coast., 29, 222–231, 2006.

Kemp, W. M. and Boynton, W. R.: Spatial and temporal coupling of nutrient inputs to estuarine primary production - the role of particulate transport and decomposition, Bull. Mar. Sci., 35, 522–535, 1984.

- Kemp, W. M., Sampou, P., Caffrey, J., Mayer, M., Henriksen, K., and Boynton, W. R.: Ammonium recycling versus denitrification in Chesapeake Bay sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 35, 1545–1563, 1990.
  - Kemp, W. M., Boynton, W. R., Adolf, J. E., Boesch, D. F., Boicourt, W. C., Brush, G., Cornwell, J., Fisher, T., Glibert, P., Hagy, J., Harding, L., Houde, E., Kimmel, D., Miller, W., Newell,
- R., Roman, M., Smith, E., and Stevenson, J.: Eutrophication of Chesapeake Bay: historical trends and ecological interactions, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 303, 1–29, 2005.
   Killborn, K.: Spil Ecology, Combridge University Process Combridge 1004

Killham, K.: Soil Ecology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

Kim, D. J., Lee, D. I., and Keller, J.: Effect of temperature and free ammonia on nitrification and nitrite accumulation in landfill leachate and analysis of its nitrifying bacterial community by

<sup>20</sup> FISH, Bioresour. Technol., 97, 459–468, 2006.

- Koop, K., Boynton, W. R., Wulff, F., and Carman, R.: Sediment-water oxygen and nutrient exchanges along a depth gradient in the Baltic sea, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 63, 65–77, 1990.
- Kopp, J. F. and McKee, G. D.: Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, United States Environmental Protection analysis Angency, CincinnatiEPA/600/4-79/020, 1983.
- Krogmann, D. W., Butalla, R., and Sprinkle, J.: Blooms of Cyanobacteria on the Potomac River, Plant Physiol., 80, 667–671, 1986.
  - Laima, M. J. C.: Extraction and seasonal variation of NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> pools in different types of coastal marine sediments, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 82, 75–84, 1992.

Laima, M. J. C., Girard, M. F., Vouve, F., Blanchard, G. F., Gouleau, D., Galois, R., and

- <sup>30</sup> Richard, P.: Distribution of adsorbed ammonium pools in two intertidal sedimentary structures, Marennes-Oleron Bay, France, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 182, 29–35, 1999.
  - Mackin, J. E. and Aller, R. C.: Ammonium adsorption in marine sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 29, 250–257, 1984.

- Discussion Paper **BGD** 9, 1161-1198, 2012 Sediment N **Recycling under high** рH **Discussion** Paper Y. Gao et al. Title Page Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables Figures** 14 Back Close Discussion Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Paper Interactive Discussion
- Magalhaes, C. M., Bordalo, A. A., and Wiebe, W. J.: Temporal and spatial patterns of intertidal sediment-water nutrient and oxygen fluxes in the Douro River estuary, Portugal, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 233, 55–71, 2002.
- Martens, C. S. and Klamp, J. V.: Biogeochemical cycling in an organic-rich coastal marine
- <sup>5</sup> basin .1. methane sediment-water exchange processes, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 44, 471–490, 1980.
  - Martin, W. R. and Banta, G. T.: The measurement of sediment irrigation rates a comparison of the br-tracer and <sup>222</sup>Rn/<sup>226</sup>Ra disequilibrium techniques, J. Mar. Res., 50, 125–154, 1992. Mulholland, M.: Gaseous nitrogen compounds (NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) in the ocean, in: Nitrogen in
- the Marine Environment, edited by: Capone, D., Mulholland, M., and Carpenter, E., Elsevier, Burlington, MA, 52–123, 2008.
  - Nixon, S. W., Ammerman, J. W., Atkinson, L. P., Berounsky, V. M., Billen, G., Boicourt, W. C., Boynton, W. R., Church, T. M., Ditoro, D. M., Elmgren, R., Garber, J. H., Giblin, A. E., Jahnke, R. A., Owens, N. J. P., Pilson, M. E. Q., and Seitzinger, S. P.: The fate of nitrogen
- and phosphorus at the land sea margin of the North Atlantic Ocean, Biogeochemistry, 35, 141–180, 1996.
  - Paerl, H.: Nutrient and other environmental controls of harmful cyanobacterial blooms along the freshwater-marine continuum, in: Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms: State of the Science and Research Needs, edited by: Hudnell, K., Springer, North Carolina, USA, 217– 237, 2008.

20

- Park, S., Bae, W., and Rittmann, B. E.: Operational boundaries for nitrite accumulation in nitrification based on minimum/maximum substrate concentrations that include effects of oxygen limitation, pH, and free ammonia and free nitrous acid inhibition, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 335–342, 2010.
- Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C. M.: Fluorometric determination of chlorophylls, in: A Manual of Chemical and Biological Methods for Seawater Analysis, edited by: Parsons, T. R., Pergamon Press, 107–108, 1984.
  - Price, G. D., Badger, M. R., Woodger, F. J., and Long, B. M.: Advances in understanding the cyanobacterial CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating-mechanism (CCM): functional components, Ci trans-
- <sup>30</sup> porters, diversity, genetic regulation and prospects for engineering into plants, J. Exp. Bot., 59, 1441–1461, 2008.
  - Rao, A. M. F. and Jahnke, R. A.: Quantifying porewater exchange across the sediment-water interface in the deep sea with in situ tracer studies, Limnol. Oceanogr. Method, 2, 75–90,

2004.

5

- Rich, J. J., Dale, O. R., Song, B., and Ward, B. B.: Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in Chesapeake Bay sediments, Microb. Ecol., 55, 311-320, 2008.
- Rosenfeld, J. K.: Ammonium adsorption in nearshore anoxic sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 24.356-364.1979.
- Ruhl, H. A. and Rybicki, N. B.: Long-term reductions in anthropogenic nutrients link to improvements in Chesapeake Bay habitat, Proceedings of the National Academy of Society 174, 16566-16570, 2010.
- Schulz, K. G., Riebesell, U., Rost, B., Thoms, S., and Zeebe, R. E.: Determination of the rate
- constants for the carbon dioxide to bicarbonate inter-conversion in pH-buffered seawater 10 systems, Mar. Chem., 100, 53-65, 2006.
  - Scott, J. T., McCarthy, M. J., Gardner, W. S., and Doyle, R. D.: Denitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium, and nitrogen fixation along a nitrate concentration gradient in a created freshwater wetland, Biogeochemistry, 87, 99–111, 2008.
- Seitzinger, S.: The effect of pH on the release of phosphorus from Potmac River sediment, 15 Cheasapeake Bay programmReport No. 86-8F, 1-46, 1987.
  - Seitzinger, S. P.: The effect of pH on the release of phosphorus from Potomac estuary sediments - implications for blue-green-algal blooms, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 33, 409-418, 1991.
- Seitzinger, S. P., Gardner, W. S., and Spratt, A. K.: The effect of salinity on ammonium sorption in aquatic sediments – implications for benthic nutrient recycling, Estuaries, 14, 167–174, 1991.
  - Simek, M., Jisova, L., and Hopkins, D. W.: What is the so-called optimum pH for denitrification in soil?, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 1227-1234, 2002.
- Simon, N. S. and Kennedy, M. M.: The distribution of nitrogen species and adsorption of ammonium in sediments from the tidal Potomac River and estuary, Estuar, Coast, Shelf Sci., 25, 11-26, 1987.
  - Slomp, C. P., Malschaert, J. F. P., and Van Raaphorst, W.: The role of adsorption in sedimentwater exchange of phosphate in North Sea continental margin sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 832-846, 1998.
- 30
  - Soetaert, K., Hofmann, A. F., Middelburg, J. J., Meysman, F. J. R., and Greenwood, J.: The effect of biogeochemical processes on pH (Reprinted from Marine Chemistry, 105, 30-51, 2007), Mar. Chem., 106, 380-401, 2007.



- Staner, R. Y., Doudoroff, M., and Adelberg, E. A.: Other groups of gram-negative nonphotosynthetic true bacteria, in: The microbial world, 3 Edn., Prentice-Hall, Inc., NJ, 873, 1970.
- Strauss, E. A., Mitchell, N. L., and Lamberti, G. A.: Factors regulating nitrification in aquatic sediments: effects of organic carbon, nitrogen availability, and pH, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.,
- <sup>5</sup> 59, 554–563, 2002.

15

- Tango, P. J. and Butler, W.: Cyanotoxins in tidal waters of Chesapeake Bay, Northeast. Nat., 15, 403–416, 2008.
- Tank, S. E., Lesack, L. F. W., and McQueen, D. J.: Elevated pH regulates bacterial carbon cycling in lakes with high photosynthetic activity, Ecology, 90, 1910–1922, 2009.
- Tiedje, J. M., Simkins, S., and Groffman, P. M.: Perspectives on measurement of denitrification in the field including recommended protocols for acetylene based methods, Plant Soil, 115, 261–284, 1989.
  - Van Hulle, S. W. H., Volcke, E. I. P., Teruel, J. L., Donckels, B., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., and Vanrolleghem, P. A.: Influence of temperature and pH on the kinetics of the Sharon nitritation process, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 82, 471–480, 2007.
- Vouve, F., Guiraud, G., Marol, C., Girard, M., Richard, P., and Laima, M. J. C.: NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> turnover in intertidal sediments of Marennes-Oleron Bay (France): effect of sediment temperature, Oceanologica Acta, 23, 575–584, 2000.

Wang, F. L. and Alva, A. K.: Ammonium adsorption and desorption in sandy soils, Soil Sci. Soc.

- <sup>20</sup> Am. J., 64, 1669–1674, 2000.
  - Weston, N. B., Giblin, A. E., Banta, G. T., Hopkinson, C. S., and Tucker, J.: The effects of varying salinity on ammonium exchange in estuarine sediments of the Parker River, Massachusetts, Estuar. Coast., 33, 985–1003, 2010.

Wood, P.: Monooxygenase and free radical mechanismsfor biological ammonia oxidation, in:

- <sup>25</sup> The Nitrogen and Sulphur Cycles, edited by: Cole, J. and Ferguson, S., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 219–243, 1988.
  - Xie, L. Q. and Xie, P.: Enhancement of dissolved phosphorus release from sediment to lake water by *Microcystis* blooms - an enclosure experiment in a hyper-eutrophic, subtropical Chinese lake, Environ. Pollut., 122, 391–399, 2003.

<b>BGD</b> 9, 1161–1198, 2012			
Sediment N Recycling under high pH			
Y. Gao et al.			
Title Page			
Abstract	Introduction		
Conclusions	References		
Tables	Figures		
14	►I.		
•	•		
Back	Close		
Full Screen / Esc			
Printer-friendly Version			
Interactive Discussion			

**Discussion** Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Table 1.** Sediment grain size, ambient dissolved nutrients in water column and the flux rates before pH modification. Samples were collected at the Powerline on 18 June 2008 and Budds Landing on 14 July 2009. Grain size measurements were conducted after flux experiments; other measurements are average nutrient concentrations  $\pm$  SE (n = 3) and average flux rates  $\pm$  SE (n = 4 - 9). Negative rates indicate uptake by the sediment.

Variables	Powerline	Budds landing
Grain size (%) sand silt clay	3.2 59.9 36.9	6.7 58.8 34.4
Bottom water characterization SRP ( $\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> ) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N ( $\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> ) NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N ( $\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup> ) Salinity Temperature (°C) pH DO (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) DO (%) Chl- <i>a</i> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \pm 0.04 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.05 \\ 7.5 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.05 \\ 24.7 \\ 9.4 \\ 10.3 \\ 127.4 \\ 78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23 \pm 0.07 \\ 0.6 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.82 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.2 \\ 26.9 \\ 7.3 \\ 12.48 \\ 158.2 \\ 46.5 \end{array}$
Core fluxes $NO_3^N$ flux (µmol-N m <sup>-2</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> ) $NH_4^+-N$ flux (µmol-N m <sup>-2</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> ) SRP flux (µmol-P m <sup>-2</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> ) $N_2$ flux rate (µmol-N m <sup>-2</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> ) $O_2$ flux rate (µmol-O <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> hr <sup>-1</sup> )	$-41 \pm 0.2$ $62 \pm 8.5$ $-0.2 \pm 1.2$ $259 \pm 38$ $-1614 \pm 62$	$10 \pm 18$ $310 \pm 32$ $5.0 \pm 3.7$ $176 \pm 21$ $-2240 \pm 293$

**Discussion** Paper BGD 9, 1161-1198, 2012 Sediment N **Recycling under high** pH **Discussion Paper** Y. Gao et al. Title Page Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper Tables Figures Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Experimental overlying water pH's for experimental incubations of cores from the Powerline (n = 4) and Budds Landing sites. The Powerline incubations had a sequential change of pH while the Budds Landing incubations had 3 replicate cores at 3 different pH's. For Budds Landing, n = 3 at each pH level except for the control on the first day when n = 9. pH data is the mean value ( $\pm$  SE).

	Powe	erline	Budds Landing		
Treatment	Time (day)	рН	Time (day)	рН	
Control	1st	$7.8 \pm 0.01$	1st and 7th	7.4±0.3	
pH1	6th	$9.2 \pm 0.02$	7th	$9.2 \pm 0.05$	
pH2	11th	$9.6 \pm 0.03$	7th	$9.5 \pm 0.2$	



**Table 3.** The kinetic parameters used in calculation of diffusion rates and in calculation of ammonium adsorption-desorption in Budds Landing sediments.

Parameter	Value	Comments	Ref.
pKb	9.25		
Temperature	27.0°C		
For calculation	n of the molec	ular diffusive rates	Stumm and Morgan (1996)
water %	74%	Top 10 cm sediments	
р	2.50	g cm <sup>-3</sup>	
Ø	0.88		
$\theta^2$	1.26		
D*-Br <sup>-</sup>	20.10	The diffusion coefficient in free	Li and Gregory (1974)
D*-PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	5.77	solution (D*: $10^{-6}$ cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	
D*-NH <sub>4</sub>	19.80		
D*-NH <sub>3</sub>	24.52	The second difference and the instant	
D-Br	1.09	I he corrected diffusion coefficient $(D, 40^{-6} \text{ are } s^{-1})$	
D-SRP	0.30	(D: 10 ° cm s °)	
D-NH <sub>4</sub>	1.02		
<b>B</b> 1113			
For adsorption		ammonium at top 2 cm sediment	
	09%	top 2 cm	
ĉ	0.95	$umol a^{-1}$ wet sediment	
C <sub>N</sub>	1.5 ± 0.2	$\mu$ morg wet sediment	
U <sub>N</sub>	0.07	μποιτης	
For ammoniur	m desorption	3 1 1	
R	8.21 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	atom m <sup>°</sup> mol <sup>-</sup> ' K <sup>-</sup> '	Larsen et al. (2001)
	7.05 1.0-2		Capone et al. (2009)
H- <sub>NH3</sub>	$7.05 \times 10^{-3}$	molatm m	
H′S- <sub>NH3</sub>	$1.64 \times 10^{\circ}$	RTH-NH <sub>3</sub>	
I	$1.47 \times 10^{-3}$		
γ <sub>NH3</sub>	1.00		
YNH4+	0.88		

**BGD** 9, 1161-1198, 2012 Sediment N **Recycling under high** pH Y. Gao et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables Figures 14 < Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Table 4.** Efflux rates of SRP and  $\sum NH_x$  in control and in high pH treatments in sediment cores from Budds Landing. Net flux rates were compared to rates estimated from molecular diffusion of pore water.

SRP flux rates ( $\mu$ mol-P m <sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )			Ammonium flux rates (μmol-N m <sup>2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )			
pH level	Diffusive rate	Flux rate	$NH_4^+$	Diffusiv NH <sub>3</sub>	e rate NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> + NH <sub>3</sub>	Flux rate ∑NHx
Control pH = 9.6	4.6 39.2	$3 \pm 3.2$ $43.5 \pm 7.4$	149.5 243.1	0.2 234.2	149.7 477.3	$61.7 \pm 8.5$ $440.9 \pm 19.1$











**Fig. 2.** Experimental pH effects on NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> concentration in solution (**A**) and desorption of exchangeable NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> (**B**), using the 0–2 cm homogenized sediments from Budds Landing collected in November 2008. Dissolved NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> concentration was estimated from the  $\sum$ NH<sub>x</sub> concentrations and pH in the aquatic phase. Desorbed NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> was the sum of  $\sum$ NH<sub>x</sub> in water and the volatilized NH<sub>3</sub> in the headspace of the sealed centrifuge tubes. The dashed line represents "total" absorbed NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub>, estimated by KCI extraction of pH-neutral sediment.





**Fig. 3.** Experimental pH effects on SRP flux rates from sediments at Powerline (black bars) and Budds Landing (gray bars). Error bars are the standard deviation.







Fig. 4. Experimental pH effects on flux rates of total ammonium and nitrate. Sediment cores were taken from Powerline (black bars) and Budds Landing (gray bars). Data are presented as mean flux rates  $\pm$  standard deviation.





**Fig. 5.** Experimental pH effects on potential nitrification (**A**) and intact core nitrification rates (**B**) from Budds Landing in July 2009. The potential nitrification rates (**A**) are calculated from nitrate production in  $NH_4^+$ -amended slurries from surficial sediments (0–2 cm). Nitrification rates (**B**) are estimated by inhibition of nitrification using  $CH_3F$ . Bars show the average flux rates of  $\Sigma NH_4^+$  (black bars) and  $NH_4^+$  (gray bars) as well as the standard error for triplicate samples.











**Fig. 7.** The relationship between the increased  $\sum NH_x$  fluxes and the reduced oxygen consumption rates after pH elevation. Data from Powerline are the changes of  $\sum NH_4^+$  and  $O_2$  flux rates in the same core after pH was elevated from 7.8 to 9.5. Data from Budds' Landing are the changes of flux rates between control cores and cores at a pH of 9.2 and 9.5 after 6 days incubation. The slope (*K*) of solid line is 2:1, which is equal to the molar ratio of ammonium to oxygen for nitrification (Eq. 8).

