Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 11989–12017, 2012 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/11989/2012/ doi:10.5194/bgd-9-11989-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

A simple method for air/sea gas exchange measurement in mesocosms and its application in carbon budgeting

J. Czerny, K. G. Schulz, A. Ludwig, and U. Riebesell

Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel (GEOMAR), 24105 Kiel, Germany

Received: 31 July 2012 – Accepted: 2 August 2012 – Published: 3 September 2012

Correspondence to: J. Czerny (jczerny@geomar.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Mesocosms as large experimental vessels principally provide the opportunity of performing elemental budget calculations e.g. to derive net biological turnover rates. However, the system is in most cases not closed at the water surface and gases can ex-

- ⁵ change with the atmosphere. Previous attempts to budget carbon pools in mesocosms relied on educated guesses concerning the exchange of CO₂ with the atmosphere. Nevertheless, net primary production rates derived from these budget calculations were, despite large uncertainties in air/sea gas exchange, often more reasonable than cumulative extrapolations of bioassays. While bioassays have limitations representing
- the full spectrum of trophic levels and abiotic conditions inside the mesocosms, calculating dissolved inorganic carbon uptake inside the mesocosms has the potential to deliver net community production rates representative of the enclosed system. Here, we present a simple method for precise determination of air/sea gas exchange velocities in mesocosms using N₂O as a deliberate tracer. Beside the application for carbon
- ¹⁵ budgeting, exchange velocities can be used to calculate exchange rates of any gas of known concentration, e.g. to calculate aquatic production rates of climate relevant trace gases. Using an arctic (Kiel Off Shore Mesocosms for future Ocean Simulation) mesocosm experiment as an exemplary dataset, it is shown that application of the presented method largely improves accuracy of carbon budget estimates. Methodology of manip-
- ²⁰ ulation, measurement, data processing and conversion to CO₂ fluxes are explained. A theoretical discussion of prerequisites for precise gas exchange measurements provides a guideline for the applicability of the method under various experimental conditions.





1 Introduction

Pelagic mesocosms represent large volume (mostly between one and 10 m³) experimental units used to gather experimental data on natural plankton communities (Petersen et al., 2003). Most mesocosm studies currently focus on investigating eco-

logical interactions applying standard oceanographic methods on subsamples of the enclosed water. In principal, such experiments also provide the opportunity to understand biogeochemical element fluxes such as air/sea gas exchange and export. For this purpose, in situ measurements using the whole enclosure as experimental vessel have to be elaborated, in order to avoid problems occurring when extrapolating from bottle incubations to the mesocosm. But mesocosms are generally open towards the atmosphere allowing for air/sea gas exchange, making it difficult to calculate production or consumption of volatile compounds inside an experimental unit.

Climate relevant trace gases and other volatile carbon compounds produced in marine environments are increasingly investigated for their potential climate feedbacks

- and have been measured in previous mesocosm experiments (Sinha et al., 2007; Archer et al., 2012; Hopkins et al., 2012). Precise knowledge of air/sea gas exchange rates is needed, in order to compare measured aquatic concentrations of gases between various experiments or even with open ocean conditions, and to calculate turnover rates.
- Not only in the context of global change, CO₂ entering the surface ocean, being fixed via photosynthesis, feeding the food chain and sinking into the ocean interior is of special interest to biogeochemical experimentalists. Calculating carbon fluxes from water column pools of inorganic and organic carbon quantitatively related to air/sea fluxes and export rates could largely improve the understanding of the system (Czerny)
- et al., 2012a). For a direct integrated estimates of cumulative net community production, changes in CT have to be corrected for CO₂ air/sea gas exchange and eventually for calcification and evaporation. In previous mesocosm experiments in a Norwegian Fjord (Delille et al., 2005) and indoors (Wohlers et al., 2009; Taucher et al., 2012), net





community carbon production (NCP), calculated on the basis of measured changes in total dissolved inorganic carbon (CT) were presented.

Delille et al. (2005) used a parameterisation for wind dependent boundary layer thickness achieved from experimental data compiled by Smith (1985) to calculate air/sea gas exchange. Wind speed, the crucial input parameter, was set zero, because the mesocosms were closed to the atmosphere and moored in a sheltered surrounding. Whereas most parameterisations result in zero gas exchange at zero wind speed (Wanninkhof, 1992), laboratory derived data by Smith et al. (1985) can be used to calculate air/sea gas exchange in calm environments. Under calm conditions, gas ex-

- ¹⁰ change is governed by other energy inputs than wind, e.g. thermal convection due to evaporation and temperature changes (Liss, 1973). Here, the general assumption was made that calm conditions in the mesocosm are comparable to the conditions in the experimental tanks used by Smith et al. (1985) to determine the boundary layer parameterizations. However, surface turbulence in many mesocosm experiments is unlikely to
- ¹⁵ be very low. Active mixing systems, wave movement of the surrounding water, thermal mixing or the deployment of sampling gear might create turbulence within the enclosures, comparable to quite windy conditions. Taucher et al. (2012) for example, found wind speeds of more than 6 m s⁻¹ to be necessary for balancing the carbon budget in a Kiel indoor mesocosm experiment, applying the Smith et al. (1985) calculation. While
- ²⁰ parameterisations for wind speed dependent gas exchange over the ocean are obviously not suitable for calculating mesocosm air/sea gas exchange, direct measurement of exchange velocities in an enclosed water volume can be easily done.

Here, we present a simple method for direct measurements of air/sea gas exchange rates in mesocosm experiments using N_2O as a tracer. The conversion of measured

exchange rates to those of CO₂ and other gases is explained. We are providing a detailed description of the method and calculations including a discussion of prerequisites to achieve high quality data.

The measurement protocol and results are explained using a KOSMOS (Kiel Off Shore Mesocosm for future Ocean Simulation) (Fig. 1a) experiment on ocean





acidification in the Arctic as a model. Applicability of the method in the Kiel indoor mesocosm facility is further explained and discussed.

2 Methods

2.1 N₂O addition

- ⁵ One litre of saturated N₂O solution was prepared via bubbling of seawater for two days in a narrow measurement cylinder covert with Parafilm[®]. Additions of the solution to the mesocosm were calculated using solubility constants by Weiss and Price (1980) with respect to salinities (*S*) and temperatures (*T*).
- The targeted concentrations of N₂O should be adapted to the setup in order to achieve mesocosm to air fluxes, which can be measured at good precision over reasonable time scales. Here, seawater tracer concentrations were chosen in accordance to the highest certificated reference material for N₂O analyses available in our lab (~ 55 nmol kg⁻¹).
- Assuming a background concentration of 13 nmol kg^{-1} , 40 nmol kg^{-1} of medical grade N₂O was added. Based on experience, a surplus of approximately 20% was added to the mesocosms to account for losses unavoidable during handling of the solution.

Addition of the solution to the mesocosms (about $1-2 \text{ mlm}^{-3}$) can be calculated according to the formulation:

$$V_{ad} = \frac{V_{w} \cdot ad}{K_{TS} \cdot 1000}$$

where V_{ad} is the volume of N₂O stock solution added (ml), V_w the volume of the mesocosm (I), (ad) the desired addition (mol I⁻¹), and K_{TS} is the solubility constant by Weiss and Price (1980) for *S* and *T* of the N₂O stock solution (mol I⁻¹ atm⁻¹) prepared at one atmosphere.



(1)

A syringe with a large inlet diameter was used to transfer the stock solution carefully. Filling of the syringe was done slowly as vacuum increases undesired outgassing of N₂O. The stock solution was first diluted with filtered seawater in 251 carboys, which were filled almost to the brim. The content of the carboys was homogeneously distributed to the mesocosms by using the pumped injection device "Spider" (Riebesell et al., 2012).

2.2 Sampling

5

Three of the nine mesocosms were sampled every second day using integrating water samplers (IWS, Hydrobios). Triplicate samples, representative for the 15 m deep water column, were drawn directly from the sampler. The water was filled bubble free into 50 ml headspace vials via a hose reaching to the bottom of the vial. The vial volume was allowed to overflow about four times before closing. Vials were closed with butyl rubber plugs (N20 Machery and Nagel), crimp sealed and stored at room temperature after addition of 50 µl of saturated mercury chloride solution.

15 2.3 Measurement procedures

Measurement of aquatic N₂O concentrations was performed via gas chromatography with electron capture detection (Hewlett Packard 5890 II), using a headspace static equilibration procedure as described by Walter et al. (2006). The GC was equipped with a 6'/1/8" stainless steel column packed with a 5 Å molecular sieve (W. R. Grace and CO) and operated at a constant oven temperature of 190 °C. A 95/5 argon-methane mixture (5.0, Air Liquide) was used as carrier gas. 10 ml of helium (5.0, Air Liquide) headspace was added and manually injected after equilibration was achieved by manual shaking and storage for at least 10 h at equilibration temperature of 21 °C. Certified gas mixtures (Deuste Steininger GmbH) with mixing ratios of 87.2, 318 and 1002 ppb as well as 1:1 dilutions with helium were used to construct calibration curves with





a minimum of three data points close to sample concentrations. Headspace to water phase ratios in the vials was determined gravimetrically.

Dissolved inorganic carbon was determined via colorimetric titration using a SOMMA system and total alkalinity (TAlk) via potentiometric titration (Dickson, 1981). CO₂ concentrations, partial pressures and pH (total scale) were calculated from DIC and TAlk measurements with the program CO2SYS by Lewis and Wallace (1995). For more details on carbonate chemistry see Bellerby et al. (2012).

Determination of salinity and temperature in the mesocosms was performed with a data logger-equipped hand held multisensory CTD 60M (Sea and Sun Technology).

10

Volume of the mesocosms was determined with the same instrument using sodium chloride additions of $\sim 0.2 \, g \, kg^{-1}$ as a tracer (Czerny et al., 2012b).

Wind velocity and direction measured at 10 m height onshore about one mile from the mooring site were provided by the staff of the AWI-PEV Station in Ny Ålesund.

2.4 Setup of the Svalbard 2010 ocean acidification experiment

¹⁵ Nine 15 m deep KOSMOS mesocosms each with a diameter of 2 m were moored end of May 2010 in the Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. Seven different CO₂ treatment concentrations were achieved through addition of CO₂ saturated seawater. While the ambient (~ 180 µatm *p*CO₂) control treatment was replicated twice, the seven enriched mesocosms followed a gradient up to ~ 1420 µatm *p*CO₂. Development of the enclosed natural plankton community was followed for 30 days after CO₂ manipulation, including addition of inorganic nutrients on day 13. For more details see Riebesell et al. (2012) and Schulz et al. (2012).

3 Results and discussion

Concentrations of N_2O added on day four decreased in the enriched mesocosms from initially measured ~ 50 nmol kg⁻¹ on day 6 to ~ 30 nmol kg⁻¹ on day 28 (Fig. 1b).



Concentrations measured in the fjord close to the mesocosms were slightly oversaturated compared to atmospheric equilibrium values, calculated for in situ seawater *T*, *S* and atmospheric mixing ratios measured close by on Zeppelin Mountain. Despite variable wind conditions, the concentration decrease inside the mesocosms could be fitted ($R^2 = 0.96$) using the relationship:

 $C_{\rm N_2O} = 60556 \cdot e^{-0.0241 \cdot d}$

3.1 Calculation of CO₂ fluxes from changes in N₂O concentrations

Daily N₂O fluxes were calculated from the fitted N₂O concentration decrease over time and converted to volumetric units. Changes in the N₂O inventory, derived using the determined volume of the mesocosms (method described in Czerny et al., 2012b) were used to calculate fluxes in μ mol cm⁻² h⁻¹ (F_{N_2O}) across the water surface according to:

$$F_{N_2O} = \frac{I_{w_1} - I_{w_2}}{A \cdot \Delta t}$$
(3)

where I_{w_1} is the fitted bulk water N₂O inventory in µmol per mesocosm on t_1 and I_{w_2} on t_2 with Δt as the time interval between t_1 and t_2 in h, while A is the nominal surface area of the mesocosm in cm². A N₂O transfer velocity (k_{N_2O}) in cm h⁻¹ is than calculated by dividing F_{N_2O} by the concentration gradient according to Eq. (4):

$$k_{\rm N_2O} = \frac{F_{\rm N_2O}}{(C_{\rm N_2Ow} - C_{\rm N_2Ow})}$$

where C_{N_2Ow} is the fitted bulk water N₂O concentration (µmol cm⁻³) at the point in time and C_{N_2Oaw} the calculated (Weiss and Price, 1980) equilibrium concentration of N₂O with the atmosphere at prevailing bulk water *T* and *S*. k_{N_2O} can be translated into a



(2)

(4)



transfer velocity for any other gas using its Schmidt numbers to correct for gas specific properties as shown for the transfer coefficient of CO_2 (k_{CO_2}) in Eq. (5):

$$k_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{k_{\rm N_2O}}{\left(\frac{{\rm Sc}_{\rm CO_2}}{{\rm Sc}_{\rm N_2O}}\right)^{0.5}}$$

The Schmidt number for N₂O (Sc_{N₂O}) published by Rhee (2000), and the Schmidt num-⁵ ber for CO₂ (Sc_{CO₂}) derived from diffusion coefficients published by Jähne et al. (1987) were used. Fluxes for CO₂ (F_{CO_2}) can then be calculated by multiplication of k_{CO_2} with the diffusion gradient between bulk water CO₂ concentrations and calculated equilibrium concentrations with the atmosphere as:

 $F_{\rm CO_2} = k_{\rm CO_2} \cdot (C_{\rm CO_2w} - C_{\rm CO_2aw})$

3.2 Chemical enhancement of CO₂ air/sea gas exchange

Another correction has to be applied to derive accurate CO_2 fluxes in calm environments like the KOSMOS mesocosms. As CO_2 , reacts with water, unlike N₂O, CO_2 gas exchange might be chemically enhanced due to buffering of diffusive concentration change by equilibration reactions within the boundary layer. Other than inert gases, exchanged CO_2 diffuses not necessarily through the boundary layer, but can be also formed from bicarbonate close to the interface. This applies only at low wind speeds due to slow CO_2 hydration kinetics, and not when mixing is considerably faster. Thus, chemical enhancement is thought to be insignificant under turbulent conditions relevant for open ocean CO_2 exchange (e.g. when $k > 5 \text{ cm h}^{-1}$), but applies to the conditions found inside the mesocosms ($k \sim 1.8-2.5 \text{ cm h}^{-1}$) (Wanninkhof et al., 1996). Moreover, the state of the carbonate system determines the extent of chemical enhancement, being negligible at pH < 6 and substantial at pH > 8. In the Svalbard ocean acidifica-

(5)

(6)



al., 2012), therefore chemical enhancement created a pH effect on carbon flows that must be considered. To correct for this, theoretical parameterisations by Hoover and Berkshire (1969) were chosen, as currently no empirical parameterisations exist sufficiently describing the process in natural seawater (Wanninkhof et al., 1996). The enhancement factor α , the ratio between chemical enhanced flux and not enhanced flux can be calculated using Eq. (7):

$$\alpha = \frac{\tau}{\left[(\tau - 1) + \tanh Qz/Qz\right]}$$

5

10

here, dimensionless $\tau = 1 + [H^+]^2 (K_1^* K_2^* + K_1^* [H^+])^{-1}$, with K_1^* and K_2^* being the first and second stoichiometric equilibrium constants for carbonic acid and $[H^+]$ the proton concentration. $Q = (r\tau D^{-1})^{0.5}$ in cm⁻¹, where $r = r_1 + r_2 K_w^* [H^+]^{-1}$ (s⁻¹), with r_1 being the CO₂ hydration rate constant for reaction two (s⁻¹) and r_2 is the rate constant for reaction three (I mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) from Johnson (1982) and K_w^* is the equilibrium constant for water. *D* is the diffusion coefficient for CO₂ by Jähne et al. (1987). The boundary

- layer thickness *z* (cm) can be calculated from determined transfer velocity ($z = D k_{CO_2}^{-1}$). ¹⁵ All constants used here can be found in Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow (2001). Using the Hoover and Berkshire (1969) model, input conditions similar to our experimental conditions in Svalbard ($T = 5 \degree$ C, S = 35, z = 0.002 cm, pH_{tot} = 8.2) result in enhancement of about 8 % ($\alpha = 1.082$). For the same conditions, but at a temperature of 25 °C, CO₂ gas exchange would be enhanced by about 48 % ($\alpha = 1.479$).
- ²⁰ Chemical enhancement factors using more complex models published by Smith (1985), Emerson (1975), Quinn and Otto (1971), and Keller (1994) give very similar results to the Hoover and Berkshire (1969) model (Wanninkhof et al., 1996). Experimental data from tank experiments reproduce calculated chemical enhancement relatively well, i.e. Hoover and Berkshire, (1969), Wanninkhof et al., (1996), Degreif (2006), Liss (1973). The simple fit derived from enhancement experiments in natural Baltic
- Liss (1973). The simple fit derived from enhancement experiments in natural Baltic



(7)



seawater published by Kuss and Schneider (2004) is not recommended for use, as influences of T, S and z are not considered.

The relevance of chemical enhancement for ocean CO_2 exchange is controversial as the calculation of *k* from wind speed over the ocean itself still bears considerable ⁵ uncertainty. As *k* in our experiments is measured directly, comparability to experimental results is quite straight forward.

Due to low temperatures during the Svalbard experiment, chemical enhancement of ~3 to 7% is very low (Fig. 6). The influence of about 3 degree warming during the experiment in June 2010 is overall larger than the calculated difference arising from pH treatments (Fig. 3). Strong pH-dependent chemical enhancement could produce artificial treatment effects in the carbon budget estimates especially in ocean acidification studies. Biological carbon uptake estimates by Silyakova et al. (2012) and Czerny et al. (2012a) at arctic temperatures are relatively unaffected by enhancement of this magnitude and possible uncertainties therein.

Evidence for a strong increase in chemical enhancement due to enzymatic catalysis by free carbonic anhydrases as suggested by Berger and Libby (1969) was not found in later experiments (Goldman and Dennett, 1983; Williams, 1983), but it might be interesting to reconsider this question in future mesocosm experiments.

The lack of empirical data coverage on chemical enhancement parameterisations in seawater poses the major quantitative uncertainty for NCP estimates based on CO₂ air/sea gas exchange using the presented method. Especially in setups were temperatures are high, the proportion of CO₂ exchange relying on theoretical considerations is high compared to the directly measured flux.

3.3 Potential errors and uncertainties

10

²⁵ Analytical errors in N₂O fluxes were small, calculated on the basis of standard deviation of the measured values around the fit (±1.54 nmol kg⁻¹). Resulting uncertainty in the determination of daily CO₂ fluxes are shown as error bars in Fig. 2. Here, *k* for maximum and minimum N₂O results were used to calculate CO₂ fluxes.



Underlying variability in CO₂ fluxes shown in Fig. 2 is caused by variability in measured aquatic CO₂ concentrations, responsible for the CO₂ diffusion gradient. The uncertainty in fluxes caused by errors in carbonate system determination seem to be of similar magnitude or larger than the error introduced from N₂O analytics.

- The natural source of oceanic background N₂O concentrations is biological produc-5 tion. N₂O is produced predominantly as a side product of nitrification, when ammonia is incompletely oxidised in the course of deep remineralisation at low oxygen concentrations. Yet, most parts of the ocean are near equilibrium with the atmosphere (mean global saturation 103%) (see Bange et al. (1996) and references herein), whereas N₂O oversaturation is predominantly found in tropical regions rather than in cold and 10
- temperate waters (Walter et al., 2006).

Detectable nitrification in the euphotic zone was hypothesised to be also a source of N₂O (Dore and Karl, 1996; Santoro et al., 2010), but this was not yet directly observed. Physiological results (Goreau et al., 1980; Loescher et al., 2012) suggest possible N₂O

- production by nitrification in fully oxygenated waters to be very low. However, even 15 relatively high surface layer nitrification rates, as found in upwelling regions (Rees et al., 2011), are orders of magnitudes to low to significantly bias the large fluxes caused by deliberate N₂O addition. Remineralisation of detritus at the bottom of the mesocosm could possibly be a source of N₂O. Conditions allowing for extensive remineralisation of
- accumulated organics inside pelagic mesocosms should thus be avoided. It is further 20 strongly recommended to measure background natural N_2O concentrations preferably inside non enriched experimental units, because N₂O is not considered as an inert gas.

Due to convection caused by slight temperature changes in the surrounding water (Fig. 4) and an evaporation induced salinity increase (Schulz, 2012), the mesocosms in

the Svalbard KOSMOS study could be considered to be homogeneous on timescales 25 relevant for air/sea gas exchange. For referring from N₂O fluxes to those of inert gases, it might be irrelevant whether exchange is limited by mixing processes on the air sea interface or within the water column. Yet, if a permanent stratification is formed inside the mesocosm, the decrease of N₂O bulk water concentration can not be used to calculate





mesocosm-atmosphere CO_2 exchange. Processes modulating the concentrations of biologically active compounds such as CO_2 are usually variable along the light gradient. Therefore, due to shallow primary production, considerable differences in the surface gradient of CO_2 might emerge compared to N_2O surface gradients that are governed by diapycnal mixing. For stratified mesocosms, gas exchange calculations require the integration of information about vertical distribution of tracer and gases of interest. Therefore, N_2O inventories have to be determined by integrated water samples independently from surface gradients determined from discrete surface water samples. For the experiments in the KOSMOS mesocosms, gas permeability can be neglected

- as the bag material used is 0.5 to 1 mm thick. Estimates based on (Desmopan[®] 385, Bayer) the raw material of our bag foil (Walopur[®], Epurex Films) revealed that the fraction of lateral gas exchange trough the bag were in the order of 1–2% of the total flux. Differences between N₂O and CO₂ in the material specific permeability of the thermoplastic polyurethane used to manufacture the bags could have the potential to cause
 systematic errors. Such differences seemed at first unlikely because of the general similarity of N₂O and CO₂ in diffusivity and solubility, but permeability specifications for Desmopan 385 suggest a considerably higher permeability for N₂O (Bayer MaterialScience, TPU TechCentre). If thin foil is used for mesocosms, a material with good
- ²⁰ the gases of interest.

When k_{N_2O} is translated into transfer velocities of poorly soluble gases, dissolution and adhesion of some organic compounds in and on the plastic material could cause a lateral sink of these substances additionally to the permeability issue.

gas barrier properties should be chosen and exact permeabilities should be known for

3.4 Processes driving gas exchange in mesocosms

²⁵ The concentration of N₂O (C_{N_2O}) decreased quite steadily over the whole experimental period (Fig. 1b). This indicates that N₂O fluxes were controlled by the diffusion gradient to the atmosphere. Variable external forcing by wind or waves as commonly observed





in natural environments was of minor importance. Wind measurements at Bellevaja station at 10 m above sea level reported velocities of up to 5 m s^{-1} during the experiment (Fig. 5). The water surface of the mesocosms, however, is sheltered from direct wind sheer by the two meter high plastic walls of the bag (Fig. 1a; Riebesell et al., 2012).

Waves that were able to propagate through the mesocosms were only observed on 5 the mooring site on three days when stronger winds were blowing along the fjord from southeast, the most exposed wind direction. Enhanced gas exchange during three days with waves of ~ 60 cm could not be resolved by our measurements. However, CO₂ gas exchange inside the mesocosms was here measured to be consistently about three times higher than calculated flux at zero wind (Fig. 6, stagnant film thickness 10 calculated according to Smith, 1985, chemical enhancement according to Hoover and Berkshire, 1969) as performed by Delille et al. (2005).

Applying a quadratic wind depended function (Wanninkhof, 1992) at constant wind speed of $3.15 \,\mathrm{m\,s}^{-1}$, resulting fluxes are very close to our empirical estimate over the whole period. Measured wind speeds during the experiment were generally lower than 15 this (mean 2.1 m s⁻¹), and accordingly calculated mean air/sea gas exchange was also lower outside than inside the mesocosms. This suggests different processes to be driving gas exchange in mesocosms compared to open waters. Rinsing of the plastic walls when waves are propagating through the setup presumably leads to enhanced surface renewal compared to open water. Slight temperature changes in the surrounding wa-20

- ter mass were immediately heating or cooling the bags (Fig. 4), this probably caused a considerable thermal convection that kept the experimental units relatively homogenous throughout the experiment. Last but not least the extensive daily sampling with water samplers and probes contributed to gas exchange by active perturbation of the mesocosm surface.

3.5 **Mesocosm proportions**

Exchange velocities (k) in other mesocosm setups deployed in more sheltered surroundings, standing on land or inside climate controlled rooms might be lower or higher,





depending on methodology used for sampling, temperature control, active mixing and gas specific permeability of the mesocosm material. Even more important than these influences on k, is the ratio between the mesocosm volume and its surface area (A/V), when exchange rates are normalised to units of water $(kg^{-1} \text{ or } I^{-1})$. In an exemplary 15m deep KOSMOS mesocosm (Fig. 1a), holding ~ 45 m³ of water, CO₂ gas exchange 5 over 3.14 m^2 (A/V = 0.07) surface area is causing relatively moderate changes in aquatic concentrations despite large diffusion gradients (Fig. 2). Taking the example of the Kiel indoor mesocosm (Fig. 7a) of about 1.4 m^3 at 2 m^2 surface (A/V = 1.4), concentration change in response to the same gas exchange flux is orders of magnitude faster. Additionally, air/sea gas exchange velocities are accelerated by continuous 10 active mixing, necessary to keep plankton organisms in suspension (Fig. 7a). While after 20 days ~ 50 % of the N₂O added was still present during the Svalbard study (Fig. 1b), the same tracer concentration was virtually gone after 5 days in the shallow indoor mesocosm (Fig. 7b). Here, inorganic carbon uptake by phytoplankton can be rapidly compensated by ingassing of CO₂ from the atmosphere. Ocean acidification 15 experiments in setups with A/V similar to the Kiel indoor mesocosm would lose their treatment CO₂ within a few days. Therefore, treatment levels in such experiments might be maintained using continuous measurement and control technology. Resulting controlled treatment levels are beneficial when physiological questions are investigated.

- However, CO₂ drawdown does not occur, and therefore DIC concentration change cannot be used to calculate NCP. Another option is to artificially decrease the surface area by covering the mesocosm with a low permeability transparent film. A thin polyurethane foil mounted on a light frame and floating on the surface, efficiently minimised air/sea gas exchange (Fig. 7a). Samples were drawn through a tube build into this cover. If
- ²⁵ covers are used, reducing the surface area to a minimum, it has to be considered that the remaining open surface should be equally large. Detailed data on this issue are missing, but as it can be imagined that this approach is very sensible to the size of the remaining interface, air/sea gas exchange should be measured in all experimental units.





4 Conclusion and outlook

Direct measurement of N₂O air/sea gas exchange can be used to estimate accurate CO₂ fluxes in various mesocosm setups, whereas common parameterisations for air/sea gas exchange are difficult to adapt to mesocosm conditions and are therefore
prone to systematic errors. The commonly used parameterisations are also prone to large errors in open waters when applied on a local scale especially in productive coastal waters (Wanninkhof, 1992; Liss, 1973), although, they reproduce global CO₂ fluxes fairly well. Even problems usually present when estimating open ocean air/sea gas exchange using parameterisations are empirically solved by measuring transfer velocities (*k*) directly. The influence of sea surface microlayers of surface active organic molecules is discussed to be responsible for large discrepancies in gas exchange between productive coastal waters and open-ocean conditions (Frew, 1997; Kock et al., 2012). The effect of these surfactants, possibly produced in high amounts during phytoplankton blooms in mesocosms, is difficult to include in theoretical calculations,

¹⁵ but inherently included in direct measurements. Future mesocosm experiments combining the close observation of biological, chemical and physical processes might offer the chance to bring more light into origin and composition of organic surface microlayers.

Of the four community production estimates published for the Svalbard 2010 experi-²⁰ment, NCP calculated from changes in dissolved inorganic carbon corrected for air/sea gas exchange (Czerny et al., 2012a; Silyakova et al., 2012) seems to be quantitatively most plausible. Although, overall quantity compares relatively well with results from oxygen and in situ ¹³C-primary production estimates (Tanaka et al., 2012; de Kluijver, 2012), comparability to ¹⁴C incubation data presented by Engel et al. (2012) is week. Much higher ¹⁴C fixation rates can be plausibly explained by the shallow (~ 1 m) incubation depth, while oxygen incubations obviously experienced more intermediate light and temperature conditions at ~ 4 m depth. Therefore, bioassays are more useful to compare community production between the treatments rather than giving





quantitative cumulative estimates for in situ carbon uptake (Tanaka et al., 2012). As incubations were performed only at one depth, it is impossible to integrate these data over time and depth in respect of variable light and temperature gradients. Summing up incubation results to achieve cumulative estimates would lead to further error propagation, whereas NCP calculated from in situ inorganic carbon measurements is per

agation, whereas NCP calculated from in situ inorganic carbon measurements is p se cumulative and propagation of single measurement errors cannot occur.

Further development of the N_2O tracer concept is focussed on using it not only to determine air/sea gas exchange in stratified mesocosms, but also to estimate diapycnal mixing between surface layer and deep water inside mesocosms. For this purpose,

N₂O gradients developing over time, will be correlated to high resolution profiles of oxygen pH and salinity, measured with CTD sensors. Especially in temperate turbid waters, surface layer production is mostly not restricted by mesocosm length but by light penetration. The photoautotrophic surface layer communicates to some extent with a more nutrient rich deep layer where heterotrophic processes dominate. Budgeting
 these more naturally structured mesocosms is not only an interesting challenge, but will also introduce new ecological aspects connected to upward and downward elemental

fluxes into the biogeochemical interpretation of the mesocosm system.

Acknowledgements. This work is a contribution to the "European Project on Ocean Acidification" (EPOCA) which received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework
 Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 211384. Financial support was provided through Transnational Access funds by the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 22822 MESOAQUA and by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, FKZ 03F0608) through the BIOACID (Biological Impacts of Ocean ACIDification) project. Sincere thanks are given to Annette Kock and
 Hermann Bange for support in measuring N₂O as well as Allanah Paul and Scarlett Sett for providing measurements from the Kiel indoor mesocosms. We thank Thomas Conway from the NOAA Carbon Cycles Gases Group in Boulder, US, and Johan Ström from ITM, Stockholm University, Sweden, for providing us with atmospheric N₂O and CO₂ measurements, respectively, from close by Zeppelin Mountain. We gratefully acknowledge the logistical support of Greenpeace International for its assistance with the transport of the mesocosm





facility from Kiel to Ny-Ålesund and back to Kiel. We also thank the captains and crews of M/V ESPERANZA of Greenpeace and R/V Viking Explorer of the University Centre in Svalbard (UNIS) for assistance during mesocosm transport and during deployment and recovery in Kongsfjorden. We thank the staff of the French-German Arctic Research Base at Ny-Ålesund, in particular Marcus Schumacher, for on-site logistical support.

The service charges for this open access publication have been covered by a Research Centre of the Helmholtz Association.

References 10

15

Archer, S. D., Kimmance, S. A., Stephens, J. A., Hopkins, F. E., Bellerby, R. J. B., Shulz, K. G., Piontek, J., and Engel, A.: Contrasting response of DMS and DMSP to ocean acidificationin arctic waters, Biogeosciences Discuss., in preparation, 2012.

Bange, H. W., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Nitrous oxide in coastal waters, Global Biogeochemical Cy., 10, 197-207, doi:10.1029/95gb03834, 1996.

- Bellerby, R. G., Silyakova, A., Nondal, G., Slagstad, D., Czerny, J., De Lange, T., and Ludwig, A.: Marine carbonate system evolution during the EPOCA Arctic pelagic ecosystem experiment in the context of simulated future Arctic ocean acidification, Biogeosciences Discuss., in preparation, 2012.
- Berger, R. and Libby, W. F.: Equilibration of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide with 20 Sea Water: Possible Enzymatic Control of the Rate, Science, 164, 1395-1397, doi:10.1126/science.164.3886.1395.1969.
 - Czerny, J., Schulz, K. G., Boxhammer, T., Bellerby, R. G. J., Büdenbender, J., Engel, A., Krug, S. A., Ludwig, A., Nachtigall, K., Nondal, G., Niehoff, B., Siljakova, A., and Riebesell, U.:
- Element budgets in an Arctic mesocosm CO₂ perturbation study, Biogeosciences Discuss., 25 9, 11885-11924, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-11885-2012, 2012a.
 - Czerny, J., Schulz, K. G., and Riebesell., U.: Technical note on the determination of enclosed water volume in large flexible-wall mesocosms, Biogeosciences Discuss., in preparation, 2012b.





- de Kluijver, A., Soetaert, K., Czerny, J., Schulz, K. G., Boxhammer, T., Riebesell, U., and Middelburg, J. J.: A ¹³C labelling study on carbon fluxes in Arctic plankton communities under elevated CO₂ levels, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 8571–8610, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-8571-2012, 2012.
- ⁵ Degreif, K. A.: Untersuchungen zum Gasaustausch: Entwicklung und Applikation eines zeitlich aufgelösten Massenbilanzverfahrens, phD, Naturwissenschaftlich-Mathematische Gesamtfakultät, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität, Heidelberg, 183 pp., 2006.
- Delille, B., Harlay, J., Zondervan, I., Jacquet, S., Chou, L., Wollast, R., Bellerby, R. G. J., Frankignoulle, M., Borges, A. V., Riebesell, U., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Response of primary production and calcification to changes of pCO₂ during experimental blooms of the coccolithophorid Emiliania huxleyi, Global Biogeochemical Cy., 19, GB2023, doi:10.1029/2004gb002318, 2005.

Dickson, A. G.: An exact definition of total alkalinity and a procedure for the estimation of alkalinity and total inorganic carbon from titration data., Deep-Sea Res., 28, 609–623, 1981.

- ¹⁵ Dore, J. E. and Karl, D. M.: Nitrification in the euphotic zone as a source for nitrite, nitrate, and nitrous oxide at Station ALOHA, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 1619–1628, 1996.
 - Emerson, S.: Chemically enhanced CO₂ gas exchange in a eutrophic lake: a general model, Limnol. Oceanogr., 20, 743–753, 1975.

Engel, A., Borchard, C., Piontek, J., Schulz, K., Riebesell, U., and Bellerby, R.: CO₂ increases

- ²⁰ 14 C-primary production in an Arctic plankton community, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 10285–10330, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-10285-2012, 2012.
 - Frew, N. M.: The role of organic films in air-sea gas exchange, in: The Sea Surface And Global Change, edited by: Liss, P. S. and Duce, R. A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 121–171, 1997.
- Goldman, J. C. and Dennett, M. R.: Carbon Dioxide Exchange Between Air and Seawater: No Evidence for Rate Catalysis, Science, 220, 199–201, doi:10.1126/science.220.4593.199, 1983.
 - Goreau, T. J., Kaplan, W. A., Wofsy, S. C., McElroy, M. B., Valois, F. W., and Watson, S. W.: Production of NO₂- and N₂O by Nitrifying Bacteria at Reduced Concentrations of Oxygen,
- ³⁰ App. Environ. Microbiol., 40, 526–532, 1980.
 - Hoover, T. E. and Berkshire, D. C.: Effects of Hydration on Carbon Dioxide Exchange across an Air-Water Interface, J. Geophys. Res., 74, 456–464, doi:10.1029/JB074i002p00456, 1969.





Hopkins, F. E., Kimmance, S. A., Stephens, J. A., Bellerby, R. G. J., Brussaard, C. P. D., Czerny, J., Schulz, K. G., and Archer, S. D.: Response of halocarbons to ocean acidification in the Arctic, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 8199–8239, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-8199-2012, 2012.

Jähne, B., Heinz, G., and Dietrich, W.: Measurement of the Diffusion Coefficients

5 of Sparingly Soluble Gases in Water, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 10767–10776, doi:10.1029/JC092iC10p10767, 1987.

Johnson, K. S.: Carbon dioxide hydration and dehydration kinetics in seawater, Limonol. Oceanogr., 27, 849–855, 1982.

Keller, K.: Chemical enhancement of CO₂ transfer across the Air sea intergface, M. S., Mass. Inst. Technol., Boston, 120 pp., 1994.

10

15

30

Kock, A., Schafstall, J., Dengler, M., Brandt, P., and Bange, H. W.: Sea-to-air and diapycnal nitrous oxide fluxes in the eastern tropical North Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences, 9, 957–964, doi:10.5194/bg-9-957-2012, 2012.

Kuss, J. and Schneider, B.: Chemical enhancement of the CO₂ gas exchange at a smooth seawater surface, Mar. Chem., 91, 165–174, 2004.

Lewis, E. L. and Wallace D. W. R.: Basic programs for the CO₂system in seawater, Brookhaven National Laboratory Informal Report, BNL# 61827, 1995.

Liss, P. S.: Processes of gas exchange across an air-water interface, Deep-Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr., 20, 221–238, 1973.

Löscher, C. R., Kock, A., Könneke, M., LaRoche, J., Bange, H. W., and Schmitz, R. A.: Production of oceanic nitrous oxide by ammonia-oxidizing archaea, Biogeosciences, 9, 2419–2429, doi:10.5194/bg-9-2419-2012, 2012.

Petersen, J., E., Kemp, W. M., Bartleson, R., Boynton, W., R., Chen, C.-C., Cornwell, J., C., Gardner, R., H., Hinkle, D., C., Houde, E., D., Malone, T., C., Mowitt, W., P., Murray, L.,

Sanford, L., P., Stevenson, J. C., Sundberg, K., L., and Suttles, S. E.: Multiscale experiments in coastal ecology: Improving realism and advancing theory, BioScience, 53, 1181–1197, 2003.

Quinn, J. A. and Otto, N. C.: Carbon Dioxide Exchange at the Air-Sea Interface: Flux Augmentation by Chemical Reaction, J. Geophys. Res., 76, 1539–1549, doi:10.1029/JC076i006p01539, 1971.

Rees, A. P., Brown, I. J., Clark, D. R., and Torres, R.: The Lagrangian progression of nitrous oxide within filaments formed in the Mauritanian upwelling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L21606, doi:10.1029/2011gl049322, 2011.





- Rhee, T. S.: The process of air water gas exchange and its application, PhD thesis, College Station, A&M University, Texas, 2000.
- Riebesell, U., Czerny, J., von Bröckel, K., Boxhammer, T., Büdenbender, J., Deckelnick, M., Fischer, M., Hoffmann, D., Howe, C., Krug, S. A., Lenz, U., A., L., Muche, R., and K. G., Schulz.:
- 5 A mobile sea-going mesocosm system: New opportunities for ocean change research, Biogeosciences Discuss., in preparation, 2012.
 - Santoro, A. E., Casciotti, K. L., and Francis, C. A.: Activity, abundance and diversity of nitrifying archaea and bacteria in the central California Current, Environ. Microbiol., 12, 1989–2006, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02205.x, 2010.
- Schulz, K. G., Bellerby, R. G. J., Brussaard, C., Büdenbender, J., Czerny, J., Fischer, M., Koch-Klavsen, S., Krug, S. A., Lischka, S., Ludwig, A., Meyerhöfer, M., Nondal, G., Silyakova, A., Stuhr, A., and Riebesell, U.: Temporal biomass dynamics of an Arctic plankton bloom in response to increasing levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide, Biogeosciences Discuss., in preparation, 2012.
- Silyakova, A., Bellerby, R. G. J., Czerny, J., Schulz, K. G., Nondal, G., Tanaka, T., Engel, A., De Lange, T., and Riebesell, U.: Net community production and stoichiometry of nutrient consumption in a pelagic ecosystem of a northern high latitude fjord: mesocosm CO₂ perturbation study, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 11705–11737, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-11705-2012, 2012.
- Sinha, V., Williams, J., Meyerhöfer, M., Riebesell, U., Paulino, A. I., and Larsen, A.: Air-sea fluxes of methanol, acetone, acetaldehyde, isoprene and DMS from a Norwegian fjord following a phytoplankton bloom in a mesocosm experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 739–755, doi:10.5194/acp-7-739-2007, 2007.

Smith, S. V.: Physical, chemical and biological characteristics of CO₂ gas flux across

- the air-water interface, Plant, Cell and Environment, 8, 387–398, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1985.tb01674.x, 1985.
 - Tanaka, T., Alliouane, S., Bellerby, R. G. J., Czerny, J., de Kluijver, A., Riebesell, U., Schulz, K. G., Silyakova, A., and Gattuso, J.-P.: Metabolic balance of a plankton community in a pelagic water of a northern high latitude fjord in response to increased pCO₂, Biogeosciences Dis-
- ³⁰ cuss., 9, 11013–11039, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-11013-2012, 2012.
 - Taucher, J., Schulz, K. G., Dittmar, T., Sommer, U., Oschlies, A., and Riebesell, U.: Enhanced carbon overconsumption in response to increasing temperatures during a mesocosm experiment, Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 3479–3514, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-3479-2012, 2012.





Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Tables Figures I**◀ Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

- Walter, S., Bange, H. W., Breitenbach, U., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Nitrous oxide in the North Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences, 3, 607-619, doi:10.5194/bg-3-607-2006, 2006.
- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373-7382, doi:10.1029/92jc00188, 1992.
- 5 Wanninkhof, R. and Knox, M.: Chemical enhancement of CO₂ exchange in natural waters, Limonol. Oceanogr, 41, 689-697, 1996.
 - Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Mar. Chem., 8, 347-359, 1980.
 - Williams, G. R.: The Rate of Hydration of Carbon Dioxide in Natural Waters, Environ. Biogeochem., 35, 281-289, 1983.
- Wohlers, J., Engel, A., Zöllner, E., Breithaupt, P., Jürgens, K., Hoppe, H.-G., Sommer, U., and Riebesell, U.: Changes in biogenic carbon flow in response to sea surface warming, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106, 7067–7072, doi:10.1073/pnas.0812743106. 2009.

Zeebe, R. E. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: CO₂ in seawater: equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes, Elsevier

Oceanography Series, edited by: Halpen, D., Elsevier, Amsterdam. 2001. 15

10



gas exchange

measurement in

mesocosms

J. Czerny et al.

Title Page

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Fig. 1. (a) Drawing of a KOSMOS mesocosm in the configuration used for the Svalbard experiment. **(b)** N_2O concentrations during the experiment. Diamonds represent the measured concentration inside the 3 examined mesocosms; blue squares are fitted daily concentrations according to Eq. (2), circles are background N_2O concentrations measured in the surrounding fjord, triangles are calculated equilibrium concentrations from atmospheric measurements at in situ T and S. Shaded areas indicate periods when waves occurred at the mooring site.

































Day of the experiment

Fig. 6. Comparison of different approaches to estimate CO_2 air/sea gas exchange. Daily ingassing rates in the low CO_2 control treatment (average ~ 180 µatm) are shown in blue, while outgassing from the highest CO_2 treatment (average ~ 1085 µatm) is red. Filled symbols are estimates from the N₂O tracer approach, circles for chemical enhanced flux and triangles for non chemical enhanced flux. Open squares are an estimate using a quadratic wind dependent function according to Wanninkhof (1992) at a constant wind speed of 3.15 m s⁻¹, chosen to match the N₂O results. Open circles is a chemically enhanced zero wind speed output, according to Smith (1985), while open triangles are the same estimate without chemical enhancement.









