Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 1529–1555, 2012 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/1529/2012/ doi:10.5194/bgd-9-1529-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Dissolved organic carbon release by marine macrophytes

# C. Barrón<sup>1,\*</sup>, E. T. Apostolaki<sup>2</sup>, and C. M. Duarte<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Global Change Research, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB) Instituto Mediterráneo de Estudios Avanzados, C/ Miquel Marqués 21, 07190 Esporles (Islas Baleares), Spain <sup>2</sup>Institute of Oceanography, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, P.O. Box 2214, 71003, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

<sup>3</sup>The UWA Oceans Institute, University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley 6009, Australia

<sup>\*</sup>present address: Departamento de Biología, C.A.S.E.M., Universidad de Cádiz, Puerto Real, 11510 Cádiz, Spain

Received: 2 January 2012 - Accepted: 12 January 2012 - Published: 3 February 2012

Correspondence to: C. Barrón (cristina.barron@uca.es)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



## Abstract

Estimates of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) release by marine macrophyte communities (seagrass meadows and macroalgal beds) were obtained experimentally using in situ benthic chambers. The effect of light availability on DOC release by macrophyte communities was examined in two communities both by comparing net DOC release 5 under light and dark, and by examining the response of net DOC release to longerterm (days) experimental shading of the communities. All most 85% of the seagrass communities and almost all of macroalgal communities examined acted as net sources of DOC. There was a weak tendency for higher DOC fluxes under light than under dark conditions in seagrass meadow. There is no relationship between net DOC fluxes and 10 gross primary production (GPP) and net community production (NCP), however, this relationship is positive between net DOC fluxes and community respiration. Net DOC fluxes were not affected by shading of a *T. testudinum* community in Florida for 5 days, however, shading of a mixed seagrass meadow in the Philippines led to a significant

- reduction on the net DOC release when shading was maintained for 6 days compared 15 to only 2 days of shading. Based on published and unpublished results we also estimate the global net DOC production by marine macrophytes. The estimated global net DOC flux, and hence export, from marine macrophyte is about  $0.197 \pm 0.015$  Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> or  $0.212 \pm 0.016 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  depending if net DOC flux by seagrass meadows was estimated by taking into account the low or high global seagrass area, respectively.

#### Introduction 1

Marine macrophytes (macroalgae and angiosperms) form highly productive ecosystems in the coastal ocean (Gattuso et al., 1998; Hemminga and Duarte, 2000; Barrón et al., 2006; Duarte et al., 2010). Marine macrophyte communities tend to produce excess organic matter that can be stored in sediments or exported to adjacent ecosystems (Duarte and Cebrián, 1996; Gattuso et al., 1998; Barrón et al., 2003; Duarte et al., 2005; Barrón and Duarte, 2009). Macroalgae and seagrasses store about 0.4%



and 16% of their net primary production in the sediments, respectively (Duarte and Cebrián, 1996), and some of the excess organic matter they produce can be exported to adjacent waters (Duarte et al., 2005; Barrón and Duarte, 2009).

- The release of dissolved organic matter has been reported to account, on average,
  for 25 % and 44 % of the net primary production of macroalgae and seagrass, respectively (Duarte and Cebrián, 1996), although the form, particulate or dissolved, of this release has not been resolved. Release of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) by marine macrophytes has been examined largely in experiments with isolated plants (Brilinsky, 1977; Wetzel and Penhalel 1979; Moriarty et al., 1986). However, extrapolation of DOC release by individual plants does not allow estimation of community net release,
- and hence total DOC export, because it neglects DOC release by other community components such as epiphytes, or leaching of DOC from material decomposing in the sediments, and it neglects consumption, of DOC by bacteria and possibly metazoans. Indeed, some marine macrophyte communities may possibly act as DOC sinks, con-
- <sup>15</sup> suming more carbon than they produce, a possibility that cannot be ascertained from consideration of DOC release by individual plants alone. Thus, the capacity to export DOC is best assessed through the examination of net DOC production by intact macrophyte communities. Regrettably, very few studies have examined DOC release by marine macrophyte communities in situ (Ziegler and Benner, 1999a; Barrón et al., 2003, 2004; Barrón and Duarte, 2009; Apostolaki et al., 2010a, b; Maher and Eyre,
- 2010).

Seasonal DOC release by marine macrophyte communities (Ziegler and Benner, 1999a; Suzuki et al., 2003; Barrón and Duarte, 2009; Ziegler et al., 2004; Apostolaki et al., 2010a) suggests a dependence of DOC release on light availability. Most

<sup>25</sup> marine macrophyte communities examined displayed net DOC uptake during dark incubations and net DOC release during light (Maher and Eyre, 2010). Indeed, DOC release was on annual scale 12 % higher in the light than in the dark in a *Posidonia oceanica* meadow (Apostolaki et al., 2010b). Further, bacterial production in the water column of seagrass beds has been reported to vary diurnally (Chin-Leo and Benner,



1991), suggesting a light- dependence of seagrass DOC release. Additional evidence was provided by Ziegler and Benner (1999a), who reported bacterial production and net benthic DOC production to vary between a sunny and a subsequent cloudy day. In addition, Maher and Eyre (2010) report a tight coupling between DOC fluxes and bacterial biomass. These results suggest a dependence of net DOC release on light availability.

Here we experimentally quantify and synthesize available estimates of the net dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes by marine macrophyte (seagrass and macroalgae) communities, and test its hypothesized light dependence. The effect of light availability

- on DOC release by macrophyte communities was examined both by comparing net 10 community DOC release under light and dark, and by examining the response of net DOC release to longer-term (days) experimental shading of the communities. We combined experimental results, derived from a broad range of marine macrophyte communities (seagrass meadows and macroalgal beds) using in situ benthic chambers, and
- published and unpublished estimates to provide a first assessment of the global net 15 DOC production by marine macrophyte communities.

#### Methods 2

5

#### 2.1 Net DOC fluxes from marine macrophytes

Estimates of net DOC fluxes in seagrass derived experimentally here were amended with estimates derived from in situ benthic chambers reported in the literature, includ-20 ing a total of 66 estimates (Table 1), seven of which corresponded to DOC fluxes in macroalgal communities (Table 2). The net DOC flux of seagrass and macroalgal communities was evaluated in situ using benthic chambers (three-four replicates) set up by SCUBA divers. The benthic chambers consisted of a PVC cylinder inserted

about 7-10 cm in the sediment and fitted with a polyethylene plastic bag by the divers. 25 When growing on hard substratum, e.g. the Fucus serratus community, the chambers were attached to the substratum using a cement mix (cf. Barrón et al., 2003). The



polyethylene plastic bags had a sampling port to collect the water samples with acid-washed syringes. Most of the DOC fluxes during dark were collected from incubations during night. However, sometimes night incubations could not be set up and dark DOC fluxes were collected from short-term (less than 6 h) incubations of benthic chambers
<sup>5</sup> covered by dark plastic bags, run in parallel to clear ones. The only exception was the benthic chamber covered by the dark plastic bags conducted with *Cymodocea no-dosa*, which lasted for around 24 h (Barrón et al., 2004). Hourly dark and light DOC rates were converted to daily rates by multiplying the hourly rates by the number of night and light hours during the day. The polyethylene plastic bags allowed mixing in the interior of the benthic chamber due to the flexible nature of this material. The mixing times were assessed by injecting a fluorescent dye (fluorescein) in the chambers

The volume of each chamber was estimated by injecting a phosphate solution (0.25 mol I<sup>-1</sup>) at the end of the incubation. Five ml of the phosphate solution was injected in each chamber and allowed to mix for 5 min before sampling. The water samples collected were kept frozen until the spectrophotometric determination of the phosphate concentration (Hansen and Koroleff, 1999). The volume of each benthic chamber was calculated from the difference between the phosphate concentration in the solution injected and the water sample collected from the benthic chambers. Al-

and found to be around 5 s.

- <sup>20</sup> though phosphate is a non-conservative species, we selected it because of ease of preservation of the samples, standardization and measurement. Considering maximum phosphate uptake rates for seagrass of 50 µmol g DW<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Duarte, 1995), the plants would be able to remove, at the maximum biomass in the chambers, 5.6 µmol of phosphate in the 5 min of mixing time. This maximum seagrass uptake in the ben-
- thic chambers represents 0.4 % of the input of 1250 µmol into the chamber, so that the effect of plant removal would be negligible and cannot possibly affect our results and conclusions. The volume of the benthic chambers ranged from 5 to 20 l.

Fluxes of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were based on the rate of change in concentration between initial and final samples. Water samples from the benthic chambers



were filtered through pre-combusted (450 °C for 4 h) GF/F filters. DOC samples were kept frozen in acid washed glass vials with silicone-teflon caps until analyses. DOC samples retrieved subsequently were kept acidified with  $2 \text{ mol I}^{-1}$  HCl at room temperature in acid-washed sealed ampoules, a procedure which improved accuracy in

- <sup>5</sup> DOC determinations. DOC samples were analyzed on a Shimadzu TOC-5000A (Benner and Strom, 1993). DOC standards provided by Dennis A. Hansell and Wenhao Chen (University of Miami) of 44–45 2 μmol DOC were used to assess the accuracy of the analyses. Samples for DOC analyses from the experiments conducted in The Philippines were filtered through precombusted (400 °C for 2 h) GF/F filters, and kept
- frozen in precombusted glass ampoules until analysis. DOC was determined on 2 ml samples, after acidification with  $10 \,\mu$ l of 85 %  $H_3PO_4$  and sparging with  $N_2$  for 5 min, by high temperature oxidation using an MQ1001 TOC Analyzer (Benner and Strom, 1993).
- Net community production (NCP) was evaluated in parallel from dissolved oxygen (DO) changes in the benthic chambers. Hourly rates of respiration (*R*) and NCP were estimated from the difference in oxygen concentration change in the chambers during the night and the day, respectively. Hourly rates of gross primary production (GPP) were estimated as the sum of the hourly rates of *R* and NCP, and daily rates of GPP were calculated by multiplying the hourly GPP by the photoperiod. Daily *R* was cal-
- <sup>20</sup> culated as hourly *R* multiplied by 24 h, and daily rates of NCP were estimated as the difference between daily GPP and *R*. Depending on the experimental location, DO concentration were determined by high-precision Winkler titration with a Mettler DL21 titrator (Carritt and Carpenter, 1966), with a reproducibility of 0.35%, and other samples were measured using a spectrophotometric modification of the Winkler titration
- <sup>25</sup> method (Pai et al., 1993; Roland et al., 1999). Metabolic rates in DO units were converted to carbon units assuming photosynthetic and respiratory quotients of 1, however, variability about these quotients may introduce errors in the estimates, as discussed in detail by Kirk (1983).



### 2.2 Response of net DOC fluxes to shading

5

The effect of shading on net community DOC release was examined in March 2000 in a mixed seagrass meadow at Silaqui Island, Bolinao (Luzón, The Philippines), and in October 2003 at a *Thalassia testudinum* meadow in Homossassa, on the Gulf coast of Florida.

Nine separate 2.25 m<sup>2</sup> (1.5 m  $\times$  1.5 m) plots were set up in October 2003 in the Thalassia testudinum meadow in Homossassa in the Gulf coast of Florida, experimental details are reported in Calleja et al. (2006). The shading screens (dark gray fiberglass) were established 60 cm above the seagrass canopy. The light attenuation

- <sup>10</sup> by the screen material was estimated by measuring the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, 400–700 nm) at noon on a clear day by comparing 10-s averages from paired underwater LI-COR cosine collectors (model LI-192SA) positioned at canopy height beneath and outside the shaded areas with an ambient surface PAR sensor (model LI-190SA). Shade treatments were 0, 0.9, 20.7, 34.1, 47.6, 56.8, 84.0, 86.0,
- and 90.9 % light reduction on the nine plots. Benthic chambers were set up in the center of the plot to estimate the DOM fluxes of the *T. testudinum* community after five days of shading. Benthic chambers consisted of transparent gas-tight polyethylene plastic bags (Hansen et al., 2000) with sampling ports, as described in Barrón et al. (2004). The benthic chambers were deployed for 2.5 to 4 h during day and night. To avoid gas
   over-saturation, the plastic bags were removed between day and night incubations.
  - Net DOC fluxes were measured as described above.

Duplicate 2 m<sup>2</sup> plots with shading screens were set up in the mixed seagrass meadow at Silaqui Island (The Philippines), in each of the following treatments: 0, 50, 65, 84, 90, 95, and 98% of reduction of the light incident on the communities. Additional details on the experimental design are reported in Gacia et al. (2005). The DOC fluxes, after 2 and 6 days of shading, were estimated using transparent benthic chambers as described above. An additional series of four benthic chambers with thick black plastic bags fitted to the PVC ring were used to examine net DOC release in communities exposed to short-term (2–4 h) darkness.



#### 2.3 Statistical analyses

Least-squares linear regression analysis was used to describe the relationship between the net DOC fluxes and water column temperature in seagrass communities, the net DOC fluxes under light and dark conditions in seagrass and macroalgae com-

<sup>5</sup> munities and between metabolism and net DOC fluxes in macrophyte communities. This analysis was also used to test the relationship between the light reduction and the net DOC fluxes in the shading experiments. We used the Wilcoxon signed rank test to reveal a significant tendency for the DOM fluxes to be consistently positive or negative (i.e. release vs. uptake). The Wilcoxon ranked sign test was also used to compare
 the net DOC fluxes after 2 and 6 days of shading in the experiment conducted in The Philippines.

#### 3 Results

The net DOC release by seagrass meadows averaged  $11.1 \pm 2.7 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ (Table 1, Fig. 1a), with 85% of the seagrass meadows tested acting as sources of DOC to the surrounding waters (Fig. 1a). The maximum 15 net DOC uptake  $(-53.6 \pm 10.8 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  and the maximum net release  $(81.6 \pm 13.9 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1})$  were both observed in Cymodocea *nodosa* communities (Table 1, Fig. 1a). The net DOC fluxes in seagrass communities were significantly correlated ( $r^2 = 0.34$ , p < 0.01) with the water temperature, increasing by  $1.07 \pm 0.28$  mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> by each °C temperature increase (Fig. 2). All macroalgal 20 communities studied acted as net sources of DOC (Table 2, Fig. 1b), with a higher average ( $\pm$ SE) net DOC flux of 29.8  $\pm$  8.4 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> than that supported by seagrass meadows. DOC release ranged an order of magnitude across macroalgal communities from a net release of  $8.4 \pm 1.6$  mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in Caulerpa prolifera to  $71.9 \pm 33.2 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in Halimeda tuna (Table 2). 25



Light and dark net DOC flux were significantly correlated in seagrass ( $r^2 = 0.17$ , p < 0.05) and macroalgal ( $r^2 = 0.72$ , p < 0.05) communities (Fig. 3), but the net flux of DOC tended to be higher under light than dark conditions in macroalgal communities (Wilcoxon ranked sign test, p < 0.05; Fig. 3a). However, although 30 out of 46 seagrass

- <sup>5</sup> communities tended to support higher net DOC fluxes under light than under dark, this tendency was not statistically significant (Wilcoxon ranked sign test, p > 0.05; Fig. 3a). An important fraction, 40 %, of the marine macrophyte communities studied had a net DOC flux under light conditions at least two fold higher than that in the dark (Fig. 3b). There was no significant correlation between either gross primary production (GPP) or
- <sup>10</sup> net community production (NCP) and net DOC fluxes for both seagrass and macroalgal communities (p > 0.05, Fig. 4a, c). However, community respiration (R) and net DOC flux were significantly and positively correlated in seagrass communities ( $r^2 = 0.12$ , p < 0.05, Fig. 4b), but not in macroalgal communities.

Experimentally-shaded *Thalassia testudinum* communities in Homossassa (Florida) <sup>15</sup> supported net DOC fluxes ranging from a net uptake of  $-10.41 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  to a net DOC release of 31.94 mmol Cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5), independently of the level of shading imposed ( $r^2 = 0.12$ , p > 0.05). However, the mixed seagrass meadow in the Philippines acted as a source of DOC under all irradiances after two days of shading, except for a small net DOC uptake of  $-25.3 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  at 88.2% of light reduc-<sup>20</sup> tion. However, after 6 days of shading all the communities receiving >10% of incident light (7 plots in total) reverted to net DOC consumption, and only a net DOC release of 284.9 mmol Cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> persisted at 98% shading (Fig. 6). Net DOC flux

- in the experimentally-shaded mixed meadow was independent of the level of shading imposed after 2 days of shading ( $r^2 = 0.11$ , p > 0.05) and after 6 days of shading  $r^2 = 0.11$ , p > 0.05). However, the net DOC fluxes in this seagrass meadow were significantly reduced after 6 days of experimental shading (Wilcoxon ranked sign test,
  - p < 0.05) compared to net DOC fluxes after 2 days of shading.



### 4 Discussion

the system.

The results presented show that marine macrophyte (seagrass and macroalgal) communities generally act as net sources of DOC to the ecosystem, as more than 85 % of the marine macrophyte communities studied supported a net DOC release. Marine macrophyte communities are complex assemblages including microbes, metazoans and plants, all of which are involved in dissolved organic matter (DOM) fluxes. These communities can release DOM by different mechanisms, such as release from autotrophic organisms (seagrass, benthic macro- and micro-algae, phytoplankton and epiphytes), release by leaching, and decomposition of detritus and excretion by organisms all of which can be considered to be of autochthonous origin. DOM can also be produced from release and/or decomposition of organic matter produced elsewhere (i.e. of allochthonous origin), such as DOM derived from decomposition of sestonic material trapped in the sediments (Gacia et al., 2002; Hendriks et al., 2007). Most prior studies reported DOC release rates of macrophytes isolated under laboratory condi-

tions using <sup>14</sup>C addition experiments, concluding that DOC release from macroalgae represented from 1 to 39 % of gross primary production (Khailov and Burlakova, 1969; Brilinsky, 1977; Pregnall, 1983) compared to <5 % from seagrasses (Brilinsky, 1977; Penhale and Smith, 1977; Moriarty et al., 1986). These techniques, however, can underestimate community DOC release rates because only the carbon recently incorporated by the plant as <sup>14</sup>C can be released as DO<sup>14</sup>C, neglecting, therefore, leaching from all and decaying tissues, and because the use of isolated marine macrophytes excludes the contributions of other components and compartments (e.g. sediments) of

Published measurements of DOC release by marine macrophyte communities were few prior to the present study (Tables 1 and 2). A variety of seagrass meadows were studied in the Southeastern coast of Australia by Maher and Eyre (2010). They reported net DOC release by the seagrass community studied ranging from 3.5 to  $41.5 \text{ mmol C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , except for a net DOC uptake of 8.1 mmol C m $^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in *Halophila* 



sp. (Table 1). Ziegler and Benner (1999a) reported consistent net DOC release by a *Thalassia testudinum* community in the Gulf of Mexico, ranging from 3.6 to 24.9 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, substantially higher than estimates of net DOC fluxes in the *Thalassia testudinum* community studied here (Table 1). Velimirov (1986) reported

- a net DOC release by a Mediterranean *P. oceanica* community of 40.2 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Velimirov, 1986), which must be considered with caution as DOC concentrations in this report varied by almost 10 fold. Most (90%) of the experiments conducted in *P. oceanica* communities supported a net DOC release, with a maximum DOC release of 34.7 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Barrón et al. (2004) reported a transition of communities of the seagrass *Cymodocea nodosa* in a Mediterranean Bay from a net sink to net source
- of DOC along the colonization process.

Although the rates for individual communities vary greatly, the results we report here are consistent with previously published reports of DOC fluxes in seagrass meadows (e.g. Ziegler and Benner, 1999a) in that most of the communities we examined showed

a net DOC release. All the macroalgal communities were net sources of DOC to the ecosystem. Yet, information on DOC release rates by macroalgal communities was even more sparse than those for seagrasses, and the only published report we are aware of corresponds to an *Ulva lactuca* community reported to release dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) to the water column under laboratory conditions (Tyler et al., 20 2001).

Previous studies concluded that the net DOC release rates in a *T. testudinum* community were higher in the light, ranging between 8.4 to 22.9 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, while in the dark DOC fluxes varied from a net uptake of 7.7 to a net release of 8.4 mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> (Ziegler and Benner, 1999a), with DOC fluxes under the light be-<sup>25</sup> ing significantly correlated with NCP. Our results also revealed a tendency for marine macrophyte communities to support higher net DOC fluxes under the light than in the dark, a tendency that was particularly weak in seagrass meadows. *P. oceanica* communities had higher net DOC release rates in summer than in winter when water temperature was colder (Barrón and Duarte 2009), despite the increase in bacterial carbon



demand with increased temperature (Kritzberg et al., 2010). The absence of any significant relationship between net DOC fluxes and GPP or NCP across communities suggests a weak coupling between contemporaneous light availability and seagrass and community photosynthetic activity and net community DOC release. However, there

- was a significant relationship between community respiration and net DOC fluxes, as 5 reported previously for a Cymodocea nodosa community (Barrón et al., 2004). Maie et al. (2006) reported high DOC release during decomposition of the seagrass Thalassia testudinum, with 80% of the DOC leached over the first week of decomposition. This suggests that the relationship between community respiration rate and net DOC release rate may derive from high DOC release and respiration rate during decompo-10

sition of seagrass tissues.

Further insight into the relationship between incident irradiance, photosynthetic activity, and net DOC release was derived from the two shading experiments conducted here. Net DOC fluxes were not affected by shading for 5 days of a T. testudinum com-

- munity (Fig. 5), whereas the GPP and NCP, but not respiration rates of this seagrass 15 meadow were significantly reduced (Calleja et al., 2006). Shading of a mixed seagrass meadow in the Philippines did not lead to any significant reduction in net DOC release after two days of shading, but it led to a significant reduction of the net DOC release when shading was maintained for 6 days. These results suggest, therefore, that
- whereas net community DOC release is not supported by fresh photosynthetic activity, 20 the necessary coupling between photosynthetic production and DOC release occurs at time scales of a few days in seagrass meadows. The reduction in net DOC fluxes after 6 days of shading could have been caused by a reduction of the net DOC release from seagrass communities or by an increase in DOC consumption due to mainly bacterial respiration as suggested above. 25

DOC release by a Thalassia testudinum community represented about 10% of the net community production in Laguna Madre (Ziegler and Benner, 1999a). The rates of net DOC release by seagrass communities reported here represented on average 38 % of the GPP, but 83% of their NCP. The net DOC release in macroalgal communities



represented on average 27 % of the GPP but more than 300 % of the NCP. Because carbon burial and particulate carbon export by macrophyte stands typically exceed 40 % of NPP (Duarte and Cebrián, 1996), the high net DOC release relative to NCP of the macrophyte communities studied here is unlikely to be supported by autochthonous

- <sup>5</sup> photosynthetic production alone. Allochthonous inputs of sestonic materials, which are important in seagrass communities (e.g. Gacia et al., 2002; Hendriks et al., 2007) may play an important role in supporting the net DOC release of macrophyte communities through DOC leaching during decay of seston particles in seagrass sediments.
- The total global net DOM flux from marine macrophytes was estimated using the average net DOM release by marine macrophytes derived here of 10  $4047.85 \pm 967.25 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  and  $10\,887.95 \pm 3047.75 \text{ mmol Cm}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$  for seagrass meadows and macroalgal communities, respectively, and the area estimated to be covered by macroalgae  $(1.4 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2)$ , Duarte et al., 2005) and seagrass meadows  $[0.3 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2]$ , (Duarte et al., 2005) to  $0.6 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2$  (Charpy-Roubaud and Sournia, 1990)]. The estimated global net DOC flux, and hence export, from seagrass meadows 15 ranged from  $0.015 \pm 0.003 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  to  $0.029 \pm 0.007 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  depending on whether the low or high estimates of global seagrass cover is used. This range encompasses the global net DOC flux of 0.019 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup> estimated by Maher and Eyre (2010), who used data on net DOC fluxes from eleven seagrass meadows compiled from five references, while our estimate is based on 66 estimates. The global net DOC export 20 from seagrass meadows reported here represents 41 % of the global NCP of seagrass meadows calculated by Duarte et al. (2010). The estimated global net DOC export from macroalgal beds is  $0.18 \pm 0.051 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ , well above that of seagrass meadows.
- Thus, the global net DOC release by marine macrophytes supports a net carbon export of about  $0.197 \pm 0.015 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$  to  $0.212 \pm 0.016 \text{ Pg C yr}^{-1}$ . The global net DOC export from macrophyte communities represents a modest flux relative to the metabolism of the coastal ocean, but is significant relative to the net community production of benthic habitats and of a similar magnitude as burial rates (Duarte et al., 2005). The fate of the DOM exported from marine macrophyte communities is, however, unknown. DOM



release represents an important, but poorly understood flux from macrophyte communities that may contribute to support metabolism in adjacent pelagic ecosystems.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the European Commission projects EU-ROTROPH (contract EVK3-CT-2000-00040), PREDICT (contract IC18-CT98-0292), M&M's
<sup>5</sup> (contract EVK3-CT-2000-00044), and the Spanish Plan of I+D (REN2001-4977-E). We thank R. Martínez, R. Santiago, and others who helped with field work, and R. Martínez and J. C. Alonso for nutrient analyses, D. Thomas for DOC analyses of SE Asian communities, D. A. Hansell and W. Chen for the DOC standards, and M. Fortes, T. Frazer, T. Bokn, and R. Santos for logistical assistance. We also thank P. Togeskov (Danisco Flexible, Denmark), for providing the plastic film used for the benthic chambers. C. B. was funded by a scholarship from the government of the Balearic Islands.

#### References

Apostolaki, E. T., Holmer, M., Marba, N., and Karakassis, I.: Metabolic imbalance in coastal vegetated (Posidonia oceanica) and unvegetated benthic ecosystems, Ecosystems, 13, 459–471, 2010a

15

20

459–471, 2010a.
 Apostolaki, E. T., Holmer, M., Marba, N., and Karakassis, I.: Degrading seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) ecosystems: a source of dissolved matter to the Mediterranean, Hydrobiologia,

649, 13–23, 2010b. Barrón C. and Duarte, C. M.: Dissolved organic matter release in *Posidonia oceanica* meadow, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 374, 75–84, 2009.

Barrón, C, Marbà, N., Duarte, C. M., Pedersen, M. F., Lindblad, C., Kersting, K., Moy, F., Borum, J., and Bokn, T.: High organic carbon export precludes eutrophication effects in experimental rocky shore communities, Ecosystems, 6, 144–153, 2003.

Barrón, C., Marbà, N., Terrados, J., Kennedy, H., and Duarte, C. M.: Community metabolism

- <sup>25</sup> and carbon budget along a gradient of seagrass (*Cymodocea nodosa*) colonization, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 1642–1651, 2004.
  - Barrón , C., Duarte, C. M., Frankignoulle, M., and Borges, A. V.: Organic carbon metabolism and carbonate dynamics in a Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadow, Estuaries and Coasts, 29, 417–426, 2006.



- Benner, R. and Strom, M.: A critical evaluation of the analytical blank associated with DOC measurements by high-temperature catalytic oxidation, Mar. Chem., 41, 153–160, 1993.
- Brilinsky, M.: Release of dissolved organic matter by some marine macrophytes, Mar. Biol., 39, 213–220, 1977.
- <sup>5</sup> Calleja, M. Ll., Barrón, C., Hale, J., Notestein, S., Vaughan, D., Frazer, T., and Duarte, C. M.: Light regulation of benthic sulfate reduction rates mediated by seagrass (*Thalassia testudinum*) metabolism, Estuar. Coasts, 29, 1255–1264, 2006.
  - Carritt, D. E. and Carpenter, J. H.: Comparison and evaluation of currently employed modifications of the Winkler method for determining dissolved oxygen in seawater; a NASCO Report, Mar Bes. 24, 286–319, 1966
- <sup>10</sup> J. Mar. Res., 24, 286–319, 1966.
  - Charpy-Roubaud, C. and Sournia, A.: The comparative estimation of phytoplanktonic and microphytobenthic production in the oceans, Marine Microbial Food Webs, 4, 31–57, 1990.
    Chin-Leo, G. and Benner, R.: Dynamics of bacterioplankton abundance and production in seagrass communities of a hypersaline lagoon, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 73, 219–230, 1991.
- <sup>15</sup> Duarte, C. M.: Submerged aquatic vegetation in relation to different nutrient regimes, Ophelia, 41, 87–112, 1995.
  - Duarte, C. M. and Cebrián, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 1758–1766, 1996.

Duarte, C. M., Martínez, R., and Barrón, C.: Biomass, production and rhizome growth near the northern limit of seagrass (*Zostera marina*) distribution, Aquat. Bot., 72, 183–189, 2002.

- northern limit of seagrass (*Zostera marina*) distribution, Aquat. Bot., 72, 183–189, 2002.
   Duarte, C. M., Middelburg, J. J., and Caraco, N.: Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle, Biogeosciences, 2, 1–8, doi:10.5194/bg-2-1-2005, 2005.
  - Duarte, C. M., Marbà, N., Gacia, E., Fourqurean, J. W., Beggins, J., Barrón, C., and Apostolaki, E. T.: Seagrass community metabolism: assessing the carbon sink capacity of seagrass

<sup>25</sup> meadows, Global biogeochem. Cy., 24, GB4032, doi:10.1029/2010GB003793, 2010.

- Eyre, B. D. and Ferguson, A. J. P.: Comparison of carbon production and decomposition, benthic nutrient fluxes and denitrification in seagrass, phytoplankton, benthic microalgae- and macroalgae-domintated warm-temperate Australian lagoons, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 229, 43– 59, 2002.
- Gacia, E., Duarte, C. M., and Middelburg, J. J.: Carbon and nutrient deposition in a Mediterranean seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) meadow, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 23–32, 2002.
   Gacia, E., Kennedy, H., Duarte, C. M., Terrados, J., Marbà, N., Papadimitriou, S., and Fortes, M.: Light-dependence of the metabolic balance of a highly productive Philippine seagrass



community, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 316, 55–67, 2005.

- Gattuso, J.-P., Frankignoulle, M., and Wollast, R.: Carbon and carbonate metabolism in coastal aguatic ecosystems, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 29, 405-434, 1998.
- Hansen, H. P. and Koroleff, F.: Determination of nutrients, in: Methods of seawater analysis, edited by: Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K., and Ehrhardt, M., 170–193, Wiley-VCH, 1999.
- 5 Hansen J. W., Thamdrup, B., and Jørgensen, B. B.: Anoxic incubation of sediment in gastight plastic bags: a method for biogeochemical process studies, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 208, 273-282, 2000.

Hemminga, M. and Duarte, C. M.: Seagrass Ecology. Cambridge University Press, 2000.

Hendriks, I. E., Sintes, T., Bouma, T., and Duarte, C. M.: Experimental assessment and mod-10 eling evaluation of the effects of seagrass (P. oceanica) on flow and particle trapping, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 356, 163-173, 2007.

Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M., Boschker, H. T. S., and Barrón, C.: Carbon cycling and bacterial carbon sources in pristine and impacted Mediterranean seagrass sediments, Aguat, Microbial

Ecol., 36, 227-237, 2004. 15

20

Khailov, K. M. and Burlakova, Z. P.: Release of dissolved organic matter by marine seaweeds and distribution of their total organic production to inshore communities, Limnol. Oceanogr., 14, 521-532, 1969.

Kirk, J. T. O.: Light and photosynthesis in aquatic ecosystems, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.

Kritzberg, E. S., Arrieta, J. M., and Duarte, C. M.: Temperature and phosphorus regulating carbon flux through bacteria in a coastal marine system, Aguat. Microbial Ecol., 58, 141-151, 2010.

Maher D. T. and Eyre, B. D.: Benthic fluxes of dissolved organic carbon in three temperate

- Australian estuaries: Implications for global estimates of benthic DOC fluxes, J. Geophys. 25 Res., 115, G04039, doi:10.1029/2010JG001433, 2010.
  - Moriarty, D. J. W., Iverson, R. L., and Pollard, P. C.: Exudation of organic carbon by the seagrass Halodule writhtii Aschers and its effect on bacterial growth in the sediment, J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol., 96, 115-126, 1986.
- Pai, S.-C., Gong, G.-C., and Liu, K.-K.:Determination of dissolved oxygen in seawater by direct 30 spectrophotometry of total iodine, Mar. Chem., 41, 343-351, 1993.
  - Penhale, P. A. and Smith, W. O.: Excretion of dissolved organic carbon by eelgrass (Zostera marina) and its epiphytes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 22, 400-407, 1977.



- Pregnall, A. M.: Release of dissolved organic carbon from the estuarine intertidal macroalga Enteromorpha prolifera, Mar. Biol., 73, 37–42, 1983.
- Roland, F., Caraco, N. F., Cole, J. J., and del Giorgio, P.: Rapid and precise determination of dissolved oxygen by spectrophotometry: Evaluation of interference from color and turbidity, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44, 1148–1154, 1999.

5

25

Santos, R., Silva, J., Alexandre, A., Navarro, N., Barrón, C., and Duarte, C. M.: Ecosystem metabolism and carbon fluxes of a tidal-dominated coastal lagoon, Estuaries 27 N°, 6, 977–985, 2004.

Scully, N. M., Nagamitsu, M., Dailey, S. K., Boyer, J. N., Jones, R. D., and Jaffé, R.: Early

- diagenesis of plant-derived dissolved organic matter along a wetland, mangrove, estuary ecotone, Limnol. Oceanogr., 49, 1667–1678, 2004.
  - Suzuki, Y., Fujii, M. Casareto, B. E., Furuta, A., and Ishikawa, Y.: CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration and fate of organic matter with seagrass (*Zostera marina*) ecosystems, Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan, 36, 417–427, 2003.
- Tyler, A. C., McGlathery, K. J., and Anderson, I. C.: Macroalgae mediation of dissolved organic nitrogen fluxes in a temperate coastal lagoon, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 53, 155–168, 2001. Velimirov, B.: DOC dynamics in a Mediterranean seagrass system, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 28, 21–41, 1986.

Wetzel, R. G. and Penhale. P. A.: Transport of carbon and excretion of dissolved organic carbon

- <sup>20</sup> by leaves and roots/rhizomes in seagrasses and their epiphytes, Aquat. Bot., 6, 149–158, 1979.
  - Ziegler, S. and Benner. R.: Dissolved organic carbon cycling in a subtropical seagrassdominated lagoon, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 180, 149–160, 1999a.

Ziegler, S. and Benner, R.: Nutrient cycling in the water column of a subtropical seagrass meadow, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 188, 51–62, 1999b.

Ziegler, S., Kaiser E., and Benner.R.: Dynamics of dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in a seagrass meadow of Laguna Madre, Texas, Bulletin of Marine Science, 75, 391–407, 2004.



**Table 1.** Net dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes (mean  $\pm$ SE; rates in mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) in seagrass meadows. Negatives values represent net uptake while positive values represent net release. The overall average of DOC fluxes are reported at the bottom.

		Tomp		Commonto	Def
		temp.	DOC ± 3E	Comments	nei.
Field site	Specie	°C	mmol C m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>		
Bolinao, The Philippines	Mixed seagrass meadow		76.36	March 2000	1
	Enhalus acoroides		18.88±16.48	March 2000	
Delta del Ebro, Spain	Cymodocea nodosa		-34.88±12.09	July 2000, 0.5 yr old	2
			38.17±2.43	July 2000, 3.3 yr old	
			12.75±7.71	July 2000, 2.3 yr old	
			81.57±13.89	July 2000, 6.1 yr old	
			75.23±22.55	July 2000, 3.8 yr old	
			-53.59±10.82	July 2000, 1.4 yr old	
			17.01±8.90	July 2000, 5.3 yr old	
Trondheim, Norway	Zostera marina		10.61±2.18	September 2000	1
			6.96±1.29	September 2000	
Porto Colom, Mallorca I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		1.82±1.39	May 2001	1
Cabrera I. Spain	Cymodocea nodosa		5.93±1.68	May 2001	
Santa María, Cabrera I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		15.64±3.67	May 2001	
Port, Cabrera I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		-1.05±2.26	May 2001	
Cap Enderrocat, Mallorca I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		4.99±2.59	March 2002	1
			13.83±3.94	June 2002	
Magaluf Bay, Mallorca I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		-18.50±12.17	June 2002, at 22 m deep	1
			1.44±2.65	June 2002, at 15 m deep	
			19.62±3.18	June 2002, at 4 m deep	



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

#### Table 1. Continued.

		Temp.	DOC ± SE	Comments	Ref.
Field site	Specie	°C	mmol C m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$		
Magaluf Bay, Mallorca I., Spain	Posidonia oceanica		-20.75	March 2001	3
			3.00±1.78	April	
			13.73±2.24	Мау	
		22.64	17.40±3.37	June	
		25.08	10.57	July	
		27.2	34.23±8.47	August	
		25.69	12.18±4.25	September	
		23.6	10.79±3.37	October	
		18.63	8.04±1.22	November	
		15.63	2.79±5.43	December	
		13.93	2.11±0.35	January 2002	
		14.46	6.11±1.87	February	
		14.42	5.20±3.19	March	
		15.16	10.76±1.83	April	
		18.91	8.19±3.38	Мау	
		22.38	34.68±4.11	June	
		26.34	10.34±4.12	July	
		26	8.19±8.82	August	
		22.67	14.53±6.53	October	
Ria formosa, Portugal	Zostera noltii		-13.25±9.69	July 2002	4
	Cymodocea nodosa		-3.61±4.57	July 2002	



**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

#### Table 1. Continued.

		Temp.	DOC ± SE	Comments	Ref.
Field site	Specie	°C	mmol C m $^{-2}$ d $^{-1}$		
Homossassa, Gulf of Florida, USA	Thalassia testudinum		-0.18	October 2003	1
	Thalassia testudinum		3.37	October 2003	
	Thalassia testudinum		-15.42±3.53	October 2003	
	Syringodium filiforme		32.99±10.03	October 2003	
	Halodule wrightii		21.67±32.10	October 2003	
Laguna Madre, USA	Thalassia testudinum	30.65	24.9±7.9	July 1996	5,6
-		30.53	23±0.7	September	
		23.90	3.6±19.6	November	
		11.55	3.5±20.5	January 1997	
		20.67	14.2±11.7	March	
		27.30	5.6±16.1	June	
Sounion Aegaean Sea (Greece)	Posidonia oceanica	13.93	4.62±3.17	April 2006	7
		23.41	4.98±3.54	June	
		25.78	7.21±2.65	August	
		21.29	12.55±4.50	October	
		16.14	1.66±1.12	December	
		14.05	-17.37±2.70	February 2007	
		15.52	6.37±5.13	April	
Southeastern coast of Australia	<i>Posidonia</i> sp.		26.10±3.70	sandy sediments	8
	<i>Ruppia</i> sp.		22.40±16.40	muddy sediments	
	<i>Ruppia</i> sp.		26.1±8.4	muddy sediments	
	Zostera sp.		3.5±9	muddy sediments	
	Zostera sp.		41.5±19	sandy sediments	
	Halophila sp.		-8.1±4.2	muddy sediments	
	Halophila sp.		15.1±11.4	sandy sediments	
Average			11.09±2.65		

Note: 1 Unpubl.; 2 Barrón et al., 2004; 3 Barrón and Duarte 2009; 4 Santos et al., 2004; 5 Ziegler et al., 1999a; 6 Ziegler et al., 2004; 7 Apostolaki et al., 2010a; 8 Maher and Eyre 2010.

# BGD 9, 1529–1555, 2012 Dissolved organic carbon release by marine marrophytes C. Barror et al. C. Barror et al.

Figures

Close

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Tables

14

<

Back



Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Net dissolved organic carbon (DOC) fluxes (mean  $\pm$  SE; rates in mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) in macroalgal communities. Negatives values represent a net uptake while positive values represent a net release. References (Ref.) are shown in the note below.

		DOC ± SE	Ref.
Field site	Specie	mmol C m <sup><math>-2</math></sup> d <sup><math>-1</math></sup>	
Bolinao, The Philippines	Caulerpa racemosa	37.66±6.15	1
	Halimeda tuna	71.89±33.06	
Oslofjord, Norway	F. serratus	35.15±5.49	
Trondheim, Norway	Laminaria	30.62	1
	Fucus vesiculosus	15.03±1.91	
Porto Colom, Mallorca I., Spain	Caulerpa prolifera	8.39±1.57	1
Southeastern coast of Australia	Habitat dominated by macroalgae	10.10±5.20	2
Average		29.83±8.35	

Note: 1 Unpubl.; 2 Maher and Eyre 2010.





Fig. 1. Frequency distribution of the net daily DOC fluxes (mmol C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) in (a) seagrass and (b) macroalgal communities. Average ±SE shown. Data from Tables 1 and 2.



Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 2.** The relationship between the net DOC flux (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) in seagrass communities and in situ water temperature (°C). The solid line represents the fitted linear regression equation Net DOC flux (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) =  $-12.30 (\pm 6.17) + 1.07 (\pm 0.28) T$  (°C),  $r^2 = 0.34$ , p < 0.01.





Fig. 3. (a) The relationship between net DOC flux under light and dark conditions in macroalgal and seagrass communities. The solid line represents the linear regression fitted for seagrass communities Net DOC light (mmol C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) = 4.70 (±2.24) + 0.51 (±0.17) Net DOC dark,  $r^2 = 0.17$ , p < 0.01. The dashed line represents the linear regression fitted for macroalgal communities: Net DOC light (mmol C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) = 4.72 (±6.10) + 1.34 (±0.41) Net DOC dark,  $r^2 = 0.72$ , p < 0.05; Average ± SE shown. (b) Frequency distribution of the ratio of net DOC fluxes in light and dark. 1552







**Fig. 4.** The relationship between gross primary production (**a**; GPP), respiration (**b**; *R*) and net community production (**c**; NCP) and net DOC flux in seagrass and macroalgal communities. The solid line represents the linear regression fitted for both marine macrophyte communities. The only relationship that is significantly different from zero is *R* in seagrasses; Net DOC flux (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) =  $-2.54 (\pm 6.30) + 0.20 (\pm 0.08) R$ ,  $r^2 = 0.12$ , p < 0.05.









**Fig. 6.** The relationship between the net DOC flux under light conditions (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and the experimental shading in the mixed Philippine seagrass meadow after 2 days of shading; Net DOC fluxes (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) = 20.59 (±94.96) + 1.12 (±1.27) % light reduction,  $r^2 = 0.11$ , p > 0.05, and 6 days of shading Net DOC fluxes (mmol C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) = -102.79 (±112.30) + 1.32 (±1.51) % light reduction,  $r^2 = 0.11$ , p > 0.05. Negative values represent net DOC consumption.

