

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG).
Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

The role of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* in the cycling of trace elements

C. Sanz-Lázaro^{1,2,*}, P. Malea³, E. T. Apostolaki^{2,4}, I. Kalantzi², A. Marín¹, and I. Karakassis²

¹Departamento de Ecología e Hidrología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain

²Marine Ecology Laboratory, Biology Department, University of Crete, P.O. Box 2208, 71409, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

³Department of Botany, School of Biology, University of Thessaloniki, P.O. Box 109, 54124, Thessaloniki, Greece

⁴Institute of Oceanography, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, P.O. Box 2214, 71003, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

*now at: Dipartimento di Biologia, University of Pisa, CoNISMa, via Derna 1, Pisa 56126, Italy

Received: 31 January 2012 – Accepted: 22 February 2012 – Published: 9 March 2012

Correspondence to: C. Sanz-Lázaro (carsanz@um.es)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The aim of this work was to study the role of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* on the cycling of a wide set of trace elements (Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Li, Mn, Ni, Pb, Rb, Sr, Tl, V and Zn). We measured the concentration of these trace elements in the different compartments of *P. oceanica* (leaves, rhizomes, roots and epibiota) in a non-polluted seagrass meadow representative of the Mediterranean and calculated the annual budget from a mass balance. We provide novel data on accumulation dynamics of many trace elements in *P. oceanica* compartments and demonstrate that trace element accumulation patterns are mainly determined by plant compartment rather than by temporal variability. Epibiota was the compartment which showed the greatest concentrations for most trace elements. Thus, they constitute a key compartment when estimating trace element transfer to higher trophic levels by *P. oceanica*. For most trace elements, translocation seemed to be low and acropetal. Zn, Cd, Sr and Rb were the trace elements that showed the highest release rate through decomposition of plant detritus, while Cs, Tl and Bi the lowest. *P. oceanica* acts as a sink of potentially toxic trace elements (Ni, Cr, As and Ag), which can be sequestered, decreasing their bioavailability. *P. oceanica* may have a relevant role in the cycling of trace elements in the Mediterranean.

1 Introduction

Seagrass meadows are considered one of the most valuable habitats in coastal areas (Orth et al., 2006) and rank among the most productive habitats (Pergent et al., 1997; Duarte and Chiscano, 1999). *Posidonia oceanica* is the most abundant seagrass in the Mediterranean playing a key role in the cycling of matter in Mediterranean coasts (Pergent et al., 1994).

P. oceanica biomass can have very different fate according to the part of the plant. While around 29 % of its produced biomass production, mainly rhizomes and roots, is

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



buried in the sediment, the rest of it, mainly leaves, is mineralized, either in situ or in adjacent ecosystems (Pergent et al., 1994). Together with leaves, the algal epibiota that grows on *P. oceanica* leaves account for a substantial part of the primary production of the seagrass meadows (Lepoint et al., 1999). Epibiota constitute a considerable and preferential food resource for herbivores (Tomas et al., 2005) and along with leaves, rhizomes and roots, is a main compartment of the plant in the matter fluxes of this ecosystem.

Marine coastal systems are areas under pressure of many anthropogenic activities (Turner et al., 1996) and represent a sink for potential pollutants (Sanz-Lazaro and Marin, 2009), such as trace elements (Islam and Tanaka, 2004). Trace elements are elements that occur naturally in very low concentrations in the environment and can be either essential (e.g., Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Rb and V) or non essential (e.g., Li, Cd, Sr, Ba, Tl, Ag, Ga, Pb, Bi and Cs) to living organisms (Alloway, 1995). Trace elements are not necessarily toxic but many anthropogenic activities increase their natural concentrations causing pollution.

Seagrasses take up trace elements through leaves and roots (Schroeder and Thorhaug, 1980), which can be translocated among the parts of the plant. Since, seagrasses show different element accumulation patterns among their compartments (Lewis and Devereux, 2009), they may act as storage compartments and biological filters, favouring the decrease of toxic substances (Kaldy, 2006). They also can be introduced into higher trophic levels of the ecosystem, through grazing and decomposition of leaves and epibiota (Lewis and Devereux, 2009).

To understand trace element cycling in seagrasses, it is important to study the accumulation trends in all plant compartments. Most of the works dealing with uptake and accumulation of trace elements in *P. oceanica* and in other seagrasses have mainly analyzed their concentration in leaves and rhizomes (Pergent-Martini and Pergent, 2000). To the best of our knowledge, only Sanchiz et al. (2000) and Schlacher-Hoenlinger and Schlacher (1998) have analyzed trace element concentration in roots and roots and epibiota, respectively. Furthermore, most of these works have focused on studying

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



few trace elements, mainly Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb and Zn. Nevertheless, other trace elements that are essential and/or may be also toxic have been barely (Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Co, Cs, Li, Mn and Tl) (Pergent-Martini and Pergent, 2000 and references therein; Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2010) or not at all studied (Ga, Rb, Sr and V).

P. oceanica is expected to play a main role in the cycling of trace elements in the coastal areas of the Mediterranean, due to its wide abundance, high productivity and capacity to accumulate trace elements.

The aim of this work was to study the role of *P. oceanica* in the cycling of a wide set of trace elements (Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Li, Mn, Ni, Pb, Rb, Sr, Tl, V and Zn). Trace element concentrations were quantified in the different compartments of *P. oceanica* (leaves, rhizomes, roots and epibiota) in six bimonthly samplings in a non-polluted meadow representative of the Mediterranean. An annual budget was calculated from a mass balance analysis.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted in a *P. oceanica* meadow in Sounion (37°39.617' N, 23°58.276' E), Aegean Sea (Greece), which has been taken as a reference area in other studies dealing with anthropogenic impact (Apostolaki et al., 2009a; Apostolaki et al., 2009b; Apostolaki et al., 2011). The meadow was situated in a shallow strait (14.5 m depth) with 5.5 cm s⁻¹ bottom current speed. The site was characterized by coarse sand (0.90 mm diameter pore size), low percentage of silt/clay (4.83 %) and oxic conditions (353 mV redox potential). Concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus in the water column was 1.43 and 0.21 μM, respectively. Shoot density was 312 shoots m⁻², shoot or leaf biomass was 518 g dry wt m⁻² and shoot or leaf production was 377 g dry wt m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Apostolaki et al., 2009a).

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2.2 Sampling procedure

Six bimonthly sampling events from June 2006 to April 2007 were done to integrate the natural variability along the year. During each sampling event and two months before the first one, 24 to 45 *P. oceanica* shoots were punched with a hypodermic needle just above the leaf sheath according to a modified Zieman method (Alcoverro et al., 2000) to measure growth. At each sampling event, punched shoots (including the below-ground parts) were collected in triplicates using cores to measure trace element concentration in plant tissues and in epibiota growing on the leaves of the plant. Divers inserted cores approximately 20 cm in the sediment, to enclose adequate number of punched shoots. Then, they gently dag the sediment around the core (from the exterior part), inserted a knife to cut the roots and retrieved the enclosed shoots.

To estimate trace element loss rate through decomposition of *P. oceanica* detritus, a litter bag experiment was conducted. In June 2006, the oldest alive leaf blades from different seagrass shoots were collected by hand. Then, 15 bags containing 10 g fresh weight of the senescent leaf blades with its epibiota were collected and enclosed in a 1 mm pore size mesh bag. The bags were anchored under the canopy of the seagrass meadow. The bags were retrieved in triplicates one, two, three, four and six months after deployment, (i.e. from July until December 2006).

2.3 Laboratory analyses

In the laboratory, epibiota were gently scraped from the leaves and *P. oceanica* were separated into new (i.e. unmarked tissue produced between sampling events) and old leaves, rhizomes and roots. *P. oceanica* tissues, epibiota, and detritus collected during each retrieval point of the litter bag experiment were dried at 70 °C for 48 h, ground to powder and stored in a moisture-free atmosphere.

For the analysis of Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Li, Mn, Ni, Pb, Rb, Sr, Tl, V and Zn, ~ 0.1 g of sample was weighted and placed in a Teflon reactor. Then, 3 ml ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm), 5 ml of concentrated HNO₃ (Promochem, high purity

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



for trace analysis), and 2 ml of 30 % H₂O₂ (Fluka, TraceSelectUltra for trace analysis) were added. The reactor was maintained in a microwave digester for 40 min with a top temperature of 200 °C. For each batch of samples there was a blank (another reactor with all the reagents used in the digestion but without sample of *P. oceanica*), which was used as a control of the reagents used, but also to check sample contamination and differences among the sample digestions on each batch. Following digestion, the content of each vessel was poured into volumetric flasks and ultrapure water was added to make up 25 ml the final volume. Then, samples were stored at 4 °C. Trace element concentrations were done with an X-series inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS; Thermo Fischer Scientific, Winsford, UK).

The limits of detection of the ICP-MS were calculated as three times the standard deviation of the blanks and were: 0.009 (Ag), 0.368 (As), 0.117 (Ba), 0.002 (Bi), 0.005 (Cd), 0.034 (Co), 1.766 (Cr), 0.001 (Cs), 0.524 (Cu), 42.51 (Fe), 0.005 (Ga), 0.204 (Li), 0.164 (Mn), 1.372 (Ni), 0.043 (Pb), 0.010 (Rb), 0.519 (Sr), 0.006 (Tl), 0.428 (V) and 6.009 (Zn) µg g⁻¹. Yttrium and Indium were used as internal standards. The accuracy of the technique was checked with the analysis of standard reference materials (*Ulva lactuca* CRM 279, *Lagarosiphon major* CRM 060, Community Bureau of Reference) and the measured values were in agreement with certified values (Table 1).

2.4 Calculations

Data on shoot biomass, shoot production rate, leaf shedding rate and leaf residual loss rate (biomass consumed by herbivores or torn off by waves and currents) were obtained from Apostolaki et al. (2009a). Biomass (g dry wt m⁻²) of leaves, rhizomes and roots was estimated as the product of dry weight per shoot and shoot density (shoots dry wt m⁻²) at each sampling event. Leaf production rate (g dry wt m⁻² d⁻¹) at each sampling event was estimated as the product of dry weight of “new” leaf tissue per shoot and the mean shoot density at the beginning and end of sampling interval, divided by the duration of sampling interval in days.

For rhizome and root production, we used the annual production rate (g dry wt m⁻² yr⁻¹), since more detailed estimates were not possible. This extrapolation was reasonable because belowground biomass growth of *P. oceanica* shows low variation among seasons (Wittmann, 1984). In the case of rhizome, it was estimated as the sum of annual horizontal and vertical production rate. Annual horizontal rhizome production rate (27.51 g dry wt m⁻² yr⁻¹) was estimated as the product of annual rhizome elongation rate per apex, the horizontal rhizome biomass per cm of rhizome and apex density at the study area. Data on apex density were obtained from measurements at the same stations in June 2003 (Díaz-Almela, unpubl. data). Similarly, annual vertical rhizome production rate (27.96 g dry wt m⁻² yr⁻¹), obtained from Marbà et al. (2006), was calculated as annual vertical rhizome elongation multiplied by the vertical rhizome biomass per cm of rhizome and mean shoot density during the study.

Annual root production rate (25.81 g dry wt m⁻² yr⁻¹) was estimated by multiplying the maximum root biomass measured during this study with the mean root turnover (0.13 yr⁻¹) estimated for *P. oceanica* (Duarte et al., 1998).

Leaf shedding rate (g dry wt m⁻² d⁻¹) was calculated at each sampling event as the product of the number of leaf blades per shoot shed by senescence, the dry weight of the oldest leaf per shoot and the mean shoot density at the beginning and end of sampling interval, divided by the duration of sampling interval in days.

Leaf residual loss rate (g dry wt m⁻² d⁻¹) at each sampling event was calculated from leaf production rate minus leaf shedding rate and minus the difference in standing leaf biomass during the sampling interval, divided by time in days (Cebrian et al., 1997).

Trace element incorporation rate (EIL_{*j*}; µg dry wt m⁻² d⁻¹) in leaf tissue at each sampling event was estimated as:

$$EIL_j = LP_j \times EC_j,$$

where LP_{*j*} is the leaf production rate (µg dry wt m⁻² d⁻¹) at the corresponding sampling event and EC_{*j*} is the mean trace element concentration (µg g⁻¹ dry wt) in “new” leaf tissue at the corresponding sampling event. Then, the annual mean trace element

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



incorporation rate ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is calculated as the mean of the trace element incorporation rates calculated at each sampling event.

Trace element incorporation rate for rhizomes and roots (EIR_i , $\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) was estimated as:

$$\text{ERI}_i = \text{RP}_i \times \text{EC}_i;$$

where RP_i is either, rhizome and root production rate ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), and EC_i is the corresponding annual mean trace element concentration ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ dry wt}$). In the case of rhizomes, the trace element incorporation rate was calculated separately for the horizontal vertical rhizome production. Then, both are summed up to obtain the trace element incorporation rate in rhizomes.

Trace element loss rate through leaf shedding (ELS_i , $\mu\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) at each sampling event was estimated as:

$$\text{ELS}_i = \text{LS}_i \times \text{EC}_i,$$

where LS_i is the leaf shedding rate ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) at the corresponding sampling event and EC_i is the corresponding trace element concentration ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ dry wt}$) of “old” leaf tissue at the corresponding sampling event. Then, the annual mean trace element loss rate through leaf shedding ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) was calculated as the mean of the trace element loss rates at each sampling event.

Trace element residual loss rate (ERL_i ; $\mu\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) at each sampling event was estimated as:

$$\text{ERL}_i = \text{RL}_i \times \text{EC}_i,$$

where RL_i is the leaf residual loss rate ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) at the corresponding sampling event and EC_i is the corresponding annual mean trace element concentration ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ dry wt}$) of leaf tissue at the corresponding sampling event. Then, the annual mean trace element residual loss rate ($\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) was calculated as the mean of the trace element residual loss rates at each sampling event.

***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Trace element release rate through decomposition of *P. oceanica* detritus was estimated as the fraction of element released k (d^{-1}) according to this formula:

$$E_t = E_0 e^{-kt},$$

where E_t ($\mu\text{g dry wt trace element bag}^{-1}$), is the element content at each retrieval event of the litter bag experiment, and was calculated by multiplying the weight of litter mass at that time with the corresponding trace element concentration of leaf litter. E_0 ($\mu\text{g trace element bag}^{-1}$) is the initial element content and was calculated by multiplying the initial weight of litter mass with the corresponding trace element concentration of leaf litter. t is the time of retrieval (d) from the beginning of the experiment. k was calculated as the slope of the regression analysis between $\ln(E_t E_0^{-1})$ at each retrieval event and time elapsed since the start of the experiment. Estimates of detritus decomposition were obtained from Apostolaki et al. (2009b). We estimated annual trace element release rate through decomposition of *P. oceanica* detritus k (yr^{-1}) by multiplying the mean daily rate over a year with 365 days.

Annual trace element incorporation rate per shoot was calculated as the sum of annual incorporation rates in leaves, rhizomes and roots. The trace element accumulation excess was calculated as the element incorporation minus the sum of both element loss sources (shedding, as well as grazing and mechanical grazing).

The annual trace element budget at basin level was calculated by extrapolating the total coverage of *P. oceanica* in the Mediterranean, 50 000 km^2 estimated by Pasqualini et al. (1998).

2.5 Data analyses

A two-way factorial ANOVA was used to analyze the variability in trace element concentrations among plant compartments and time. The factors considered were *compartment of the plant* (four levels, fixed) and *time* (six levels, random). The independence of data among samples was checked by plotting the mean versus the standard deviation. Homogeneity of variances was tested by Cochran's C-test (Underwood, 1997).

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



When variances were not homogeneous, data was $\ln(x + 1)$ transformed. After transformation, some data was still not showing homogeneity of variances. In these cases, we analyzed the data untransformed, since ANOVA is considered robust to lack of homogeneity of variances with balanced designs and a considerable large amount of treatments (Underwood, 1997). ANOVA main effects may be difficult to interpret in the presence of statistically significant interactions (Underwood, 1997), but in mixed effect ANOVAs, the test of the fixed main effect is potentially interpretable even in the presence of an interaction (Quinn and Keough, 2002). Student–Newman–Keuls test was performed to check for a posteriori comparisons among levels after significant main effects in ANOVA.

Two principal component analysis (PCA) were performed to identify patterns in element concentrations among *P. oceanica* compartments along time. Both PCAs were based on a matrix with the concentrations of the elements (samples) on the plant compartment for each sampling event (variables). Data was previously normalized (values for each variable have their mean subtracted and are divided by their standard deviation) since element concentrations had different scales (Clarke and Gorley, 2006). One PCA was performed with all plant compartments to investigate the general element concentration patterns among all the compartments of *P. oceanica* along time. The other PCA comprised only the compartments of the plant that are physiologically connected, i.e. leaves, rhizomes and roots. This second PCA was intended to investigate element translocation and discerned which elements showed similar accumulation patterns among plant compartments that are physiologically connected.

PERMANOVA was applied to compare the concentration patterns of the different trace elements in the compartments of the plant and along time using the complementary package PERMANOVA + (v. 1) of the Primer software. The PERMANOVA design was the same as the ANOVA and was performed based on resemblance matrices calculated using Euclidean distances. Prior to the PERMANOVA routine, a PERMDISP (Distance-based test for homogeneity of multivariate dispersions) analysis was used to measure the dispersion of the data for each factor independently, which is equivalent to

an analysis of the homogeneity of variances in the univariate analyses. After checking that the results of the PERMDISP indicated that the dispersion of the data was homogeneous, then the PERMANOVA analysis was performed. Both analyses comprised 9999 permutations. Multivariate analyses were done with Primer (v6) software package. All statistical tests were conducted with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ and data was reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

3 Results

Annual concentrations showed very different scales among trace elements, varying from thousands (Sr and Fe) to thousandths (Bi, Cs and Tl) of $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt (Table 2). Different trace elements exhibited contrasting accumulation patterns among *P. oceanica* compartments (Fig. 1; Tables 2 and 3). All trace elements showed significant ($p < 0.01$) differences on trace element concentrations among plant compartments, while there were no significant temporal variations for Cd, Cr, Ga, Ni, Rb, Tl and Zn. For Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Co, Cs, Cu, Fe, Li, Mn, Pb, Sr, and V; the main effect *time* could not be tested because there was an interaction between the two factors (*compartment of the plant* and *time*; Table 3).

The PCA plot based on trace element concentrations in all *P. oceanica* compartments grouped samples according to plant compartments. PC1 and PC2 explained, respectively, 68 and 14% of the variation. Epibiota samples stood out from the rest of the plant compartments along PC1. Leave samples were at the opposite position from rhizome and root samples for PC2. Epibiota was the plant compartment that showed the greatest temporal variation (Fig. 1).

The main test of the PERMANOVA showed significant differences for the factor *compartment of the plant* ($p = 0.0001$). Since the interaction between both factors was significant ($p = 0.0002$), the other factor, *time*, could not be tested. The pair-wise test of the factor *compartment of the plant* was significantly ($p = 0.0001$) different for all plant

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



compartments, showing that trace element concentration among plant compartments was highly dependent on the trace element.

The epibiota samples showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) highest concentrations in most elements (As, Ba, Bi, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Li, Mn, Pb, Sr, Tl and V; Fig. 1 and Tables 2 and 3). Out of these trace elements, As, Ba, Bi, Cr, Cs, Fe, Ga, Pb, Sr, Tl and V showed the lowest concentrations on leaves, while Co, Cu, Li, Mn and Zn showed, to some extent, high concentrations on leaves. Cd, Ni and Rb were the elements which had the highest concentrations in leaves. Ag had significantly ($p < 0.05$) greatest concentrations in rhizome samples, although the concentration of this element in roots was relatively high (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

The PCA that only comprised leaves, rhizomes and roots, grouped samples mainly according to plant compartments. Roots and rhizomes showed higher temporal variation in trace element concentration compared to leaves (Fig. 2). PC1 explained 47 % of the variation and gathered, on the one hand, all leaf samples and rhizome samples from February, August and December, and, on the other had, root and the remaining rhizome samples. PC2 explained 28 % of the variation and grouped, on the one hand, leaf and most root samples, while on the other grouped rhizome samples and the root sample from August (Fig. 2). The PCA of *P. oceanica* compartments that are physiologically connected plotted same metals very close together, indicating very similar accumulation patterns among these plant compartments. This was the case for: Co and Cu; Mn and Rb; As, Bi, Fe and Pb; Cs and V; Ba, Ga and Tl. In opposition, Ag and Ni showed particular accumulation patterns that markedly differed from the rest of the metals (Fig. 2).

Zn, Cd, Sr and Rb showed the highest release rates through decomposition of *P. oceanica* detritus, while Cs, Tl and Bi showed the lowest (Table 2). According to the obtained budget, Fe was the trace element with the greatest incorporation rate ($37\,815\ \mu\text{g dry wt m}^{-2}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$), followed by Ni, Cr, As, Ag and Cs. Sr was the trace element with the highest release rate ($35\,081\ \mu\text{g dry wt m}^{-2}\ \text{yr}^{-1}$) followed by Zn, Mn, Cu, V, Co, Rb, Cd, Pb, Li, Ba, Ga, Bi and Tl (Table 2). The estimates of *P. oceanica* annual

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



trace element release and incorporation for the Mediterranean basin were considerably high for some elements such as Sr ($1754 \text{ kg dry wt yr}^{-1}$) and Fe ($1891 \text{ kg dry wt yr}^{-1}$), respectively (Table 4).

4 Discussion

Trace elements are toxic above certain concentrations on marine life (Alloway, 1995). We choose the studied trace elements since all of them are potentially toxic above natural concentrations and because some of them are essential for organisms.

Trace element concentrations in this study were mainly within the range of values reported for *P. oceanica* in previous studies (Table 5). Cr and Pb were the only elements whose concentrations were generally high compared to previously reported data (Table 5). The only source of pollution that, to the best of our knowledge, is close to the studied site is a fish farm (1 km away). The studied site has been used in many studies as a reference site and widely proved not to be affected by this facility (e.g., Apostolaki et al., 2011). Besides, concentrations of Cr and Pb in *P. oceanica* compartments at 20 m from the fish farm were similar or moderately lower than in the studied site (unpubl. data.). Furthermore, Cr and Pb are not pollutants derived from fish farming (Dean et al., 2007; Sanz-Lazaro et al., 2011). Thus, the relatively high concentrations found in this experiment maybe due to a unknown diffuse source of these trace elements or because the basal level of the area has naturally high Cr and Pb levels.

For some trace elements that we did not found previous reported concentrations in *P. oceanica* (As, Ba, Li and Sr), the values reported here were within the same range of other seagrasses, such as *Thalassia testudinum* (Whelan et al., 2005) and *Zostera capricorni*, (Sanchez-Jerez et al., 2002). For Bi, Cs, Ga, Rb, Tl and V, we did not find previous reported concentrations in seagrasses. The compartments which showed the greatest lack of trace element concentration data were the roots and epibiota (Table 5). Thus, this work fills this gap, providing novel data on trace element concentrations in *P.*

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



oceanica compartments, which helps to understand their cycling dynamics in seagrass meadows.

Marine macrophytes, i.e. seagrasses and macroalgae, accumulate trace elements, but seagrasses, opposed to macroalgae, have a well developed belowground system.

5 On the one hand, this detritus is very recalcitrant and can form mattes where roots and rhizomes *P. oceanica* can persist for thousand of years (Mateo et al., 1997). Because of that, a fraction of the trace metals accumulated by *P. oceanica* is sequestered, reducing the total amount that is available to other organisms (Pergent et al., 1997). On the other hand, seagrasses, since they have roots, can also mobilized metals that are buried in the sediment (Amado et al., 2004). Depending on the plant compartment where the trace elements are mainly accumulated and on their incorporation and loss dynamics, *P. oceanica* can act as a sink or source of these elements.

Trace element concentrations were mainly dependent on plant compartment rather than on time and the accumulation trends among plant compartments varied depending on each trace element (Fig. 1; Tables 2 and 3). This differential accumulation patterns within plant compartments has been reported for some metals in *P. oceanica* (Catsiki and Panayotidis, 1993; Schlacher-Hoenlinger and Schlacher, 1998; Sanchiz et al., 2000) and other seagrasses (Lyngby and Brix, 1984; Llagostera et al., 2011). We found preferential accumulation of Cd and Zn in the above-ground plant parts compared to roots (Pergent-Martini and Pergent 2000 and references therein).

20 Cd, Cu and Zn had a higher accumulation in the leaves than in the rhizomes (Sanchiz et al., 2000; Campanella et al., 2001; Tranchina et al., 2005), while Cr, Fe and Pb showed an opposed trend (Lewis and Devereux, 2009). The accumulation dynamics in *P. oceanica* compartments (leaves, rhizomes, roots and epibiota) agreed to some extent with Schlacher-Hoenlinger and Schlacher (1998) for Cd, Pb and Zn, while for Cu it was totally different. Differences in trace element accumulation among compartments within studies could be due to differences in the relative bioavailability of trace elements in the sediments and the water column (Malea et al., 2008).

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Trace element uptake and translocation in seagrasses differs depending on the trace element and plant tissue. This specificity depends on the chemical properties of each trace element (Pulichm, 1987). We found that some groups of trace elements have similar accumulation patterns. This was the case of: Co and Cu; Mn and Rb; As, Bi, Fe and Pb; Cs and V; Ba, Ga and Tl (Fig. 2). Thus, trace elements within each of these groups are expected to have very similar uptake and translocation pathways in *P. oceanica*. On the other hand, Ag and Ni showed very different accumulation dynamics with the rest of the trace elements, indicating unique uptake and translocation dynamics.

Element translocation dynamics in seagrasses are hard to elucidate since seagrasses take up trace elements by leaves and roots (Schroeder and Thorhaug, 1980). Thus, trace elements that mainly accumulate in the rhizomes are expected to have a high translocation rate either from leaves and/or roots. In this experiment, this was only the case for Ag (Tables 2 and 3). Also, trace elements that have similar concentrations in all the compartments of the plant that are physiologically connected are also expected to have a considerable translocation rate. This was the case for Cr and Sr.

For some trace elements, accumulation trend followed the order: roots > rhizomes > leaves. These were: As, Ba, Bi, Cs, Fe, Ga, Pb, Tl and V. While other trace elements accumulated in the order: leaves > roots > rhizomes. These were: Cd, Co, Cu and Mn (Tables 2 and 3). These observations seem to indicate that, for most analyzed trace elements, translocation was low and acropetal. In fact, under oligotrophic scenarios such as the Mediterranean sea, seagrass nutrient root uptake may notably exceed leaf uptake (Stapel et al., 1996). Thus, acropetal translocation is expected to be the main direction of element translocation.

Among all *P. oceanica* compartments, epibiota was the one that showed the greatest temporal variations on element concentrations, while leaves was the plant compartment which showed the lowest temporal variation (Figs. 1 and 2). Temporal variation of trace element concentrations in plant compartments among seagrasses is common, even though variations are not necessarily significant (Malea and Haritonidis, 1999;

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Pergent-Martini and Pergent, 2000). In this study, there were no significant differences on trace element concentrations among sampling events for all the trace elements for which the main effect *time* could be tested. In the case of trace element variation among plant compartments, there were significant differences among plant compartments for all trace elements (Table 3). Furthermore, PCA plot showed that samples were mainly grouped according to plant compartment than to sampling events (Fig. 1). So, although the concentrations of trace elements showed temporal variations to some extent, plant compartment was the main driver of trace element concentrations.

Accumulation of trace elements was significantly higher in epibiota for most trace elements (Table 3). High concentrations in epibiota may be due to its great accumulation capacity of trace elements (Sanz-Lazaro et al., 2011), but also to seagrass leaching of elements through leaves, which is a pathway to transfer elements from sediments to epibiota (Mcroy and Goering, 1974). Thus, epibiota is expected to play a relevant role in the accumulation and transfer of trace elements in *P. oceanica* meadows. Epibiota should be taken into consideration when studying trace element cycling in *P. oceanica* as well as in other seagrass meadows, since it is ubiquitous on the leaves of seagrass species.

P. oceanica, as other seagrasses, is considered a bioindicator of trace elements (Lafabrie et al., 2007), but most studies have focused on leaves and/or rhizomes of the plant (Pergent-Martini and Pergent, 2000). This can lead to a underestimation of the concentration of some trace elements which may have higher accumulation rates in other compartments such as roots or epibiota. To avoid this, it is essential to have information on the accumulation dynamics of different trace elements among seagrass compartments. Hence, this work provides novel data on this field.

Zn, Cd, Sr and Rb were the trace elements that showed the highest release rate through decomposition of *P. oceanica* detritus. Therefore, they are expected to be released in the *P. oceanica* meadow. In contrast to, Cs, Tl and Bi, which had the lowest release rate through decomposition. So, Cs, Tl and Bi are more likely to be exported to adjacent ecosystems.

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Based on the mass balance analysis, this study shows that *P. oceanica* acts as a sink for Fe, Ni, Cr, As, Ag and Cs. Out of these elements, Fe was the element that had the highest incorporation rate. This is maybe because Fe is a micronutrient which normally limits primary production, specially in the Mediterranean (Marba et al., 2007).

The rest of the elements for which *P. oceanica* acts as a sink (Ni, Cr, As, Ag and Cs) are common pollutants at relatively low concentrations (Lewis and Devereux, 2009). According to our calculations, in the case of Ni, *P. oceanica* in the whole Mediterranean can sequester ~ 175 kg in a year (Table 4). The high incorporation rate of some trace elements by *P. oceanica* in the Mediterranean basin (Table 4) points to the major role that *P. oceanica* may have in sequestering some potentially toxic trace elements, and reducing their bioavailability.

Even though, we acknowledge the limitations of doing an estimate for the whole Mediterranean based in just one meadow, this estimation can be a good starting point, since, to the best of our knowledge it has never been done before for many trace elements. Furthermore, the studied *P. oceanica* meadow can be taken as representative of the Mediterranean for the following reasons. Firstly, the primary production rate of the *P. oceanica* meadow sampled ($377 \text{ g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is close to the mean production rate of *P. oceanica* meadows in the Mediterranean ($352 \text{ g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) (Pergent et al., 1997). Secondly, the characteristics of the studied *P. oceanica* meadow, such as, depth (14.5 m), mean shoot density ($312 \text{ shoots m}^{-2}$), biomass ($518 \text{ g dry wt m}^{-2}$) and mean rate of decomposition (0.0033 d^{-1} reported in Apostolaki et al., 2009b) are similar to other *P. oceanica* meadows (Pergent et al., 1994, 1997).

Apart from the many important ecosystem functions that have been reported on *P. oceanica* (Hemminga and Duarte, 2000), the present work demonstrates that *P. oceanica* acts as a sink of potentially toxic trace elements. Further studies should be done in other seagrass species, since they may also sequester trace elements which can be potentially toxic.

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

***Posidonia oceanica* trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Acknowledgements. We are grateful to S. A. Pergantis from the Chemistry Department of University of Crete who kindly allowed the use of the ICP-MS and assisted us with analytical issues. This work was funded by the General Secretariat for Research and Technology (IBIS Project) and facilitated by the COST Action ES0906 “Seagrass Productivity: from genes to ecosystem management”. CS was supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain. We would like to thank T. Tsagaraki, I. Glabedakis, V. Pefanis-Vassilatos and N. Kouroubalis for assistance with sampling.

References

- Alcoverro, T., Manzanera, M., and Romero, J.: Nutrient mass balance of the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*: the importance of nutrient retranslocation, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 194, 13–21, 2000.
- Alloway, B. J.: Heavy Metals in Soils, Blackie Academic & Professional, Glasgow, 1995.
- Amado, G. M., Creed, J. C., Andrade, L. R., and Pfeiffer, W. C.: Metal accumulation by *Halodule wrightii* populations, Aquat. Bot., 80, 241–251, 2004.
- Ancora, S., Bianchi, N., Butini, A., Buia, M. C., Gambi, M. C., and Leonzio, C.: *Posidonia oceanica* as a biomonitor of trace elements in the Gulf of Naples: temporal trends by lepidochronology, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 23, 1093–1099, 2004.
- Apostolaki, E. T., Marba, N., Holmer, M., and Karakassis, I.: Fish farming enhances biomass and nutrient loss in *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) Delile, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 81, 390–400, 2009a.
- Apostolaki, E. T., Marba, N., Holmer, M., and Karakassis, I.: Fish farming impact on decomposition of *Posidonia oceanica* litter, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 369, 58–64, 2009b.
- Apostolaki, E. T., Holmer, M., Marba, N., and Karakassis, I.: Reduced carbon sequestration in a Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) ecosystem impacted by fish farming, Aquacult. Environ. Interact., 2, 49–59, 2011.
- Campanella, L., Conti, M. E., Cubadda, F., and Sucapane, C.: Trace metals in seagrass, algae and molluscs from an uncontaminated area in the Mediterranean, Environ. Pollut., 111, 117–126, 2001.
- Catsiki, V. A. and Panayotidis, P.: Copper, chromium and nickel in tissues of the mediterranean

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- seagrasses *posidonia-oceanica* and *cymodocea-nodosa* (potamogetonaceae) from Greek coastal areas, *Chemosphere*, 26, 963–978, 1993.
- Cebrian, J., Duarte, C. M., Marba, N., and Enriquez, S.: Magnitude and fate of the production of four co-occurring Western Mediterranean seagrass species, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 155, 29–44, 1997.
- Clarke, K. R. and Gorley, R. N.: *Primer v6: User Manual/Tutorial* PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth, UK, 2006.
- Conti, M. E., Iacobucci, M., and Cecchetti, G.: A biomonitoring study: trace metals in seagrass, algae and molluscs in a marine reference ecosystem (Southern Tyrrhenian Sea), *Int. J. Environ. Pollut.*, 29, 308–332, 2007.
- Conti, M. E., Bocca, B., Iacobucci, M., Finoia, M. G., Mecozzi, M., Pino, A., and Alimonti, A.: Baseline trace metals in seagrass, algae, and mollusks in a Southern Tyrrhenian ecosystem (Linosa Island, Sicily), *Arch. Environ. Con. Tox.*, 58, 79–95, 2010.
- Dean, R. J., Shimmield, T. M., and Black, K. D.: Copper, zinc and cadmium in marine cage fish farm sediments: an extensive survey, *Environ. Pollut.*, 145, 84–95, 2007.
- Duarte, C. M. and Chiscano, C. L.: Seagrass biomass and production: a reassessment, *Aquat. Bot.*, 65, 159–174, 1999.
- Duarte, C. M., Merino, M., Agawin, N. S. R., Uri, J., Fortes, M. D., Gallegos M. E., Marba, N., and Hemminga, M. A.: Root production and belowground seagrass biomass, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 171, 97–108, 1998.
- Gosselin, M., Bouquegneau, J. M., Lefebvre, F., Lepoint, G., Pergent, G., Pergent-Martini, C., and Gobert, S.: Trace metal concentrations in *Posidonia oceanica* of North Corsica (North-western Mediterranean Sea): use as a biological monitor?, *BMC Ecol.*, 6, 12, 2006.
- Hemminga, M. A. and Duarte, C. M.: *Seagrass Ecology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- Islam, M. S. and Tanaka, M.: Impacts of pollution on coastal and marine ecosystems including coastal and marine fisheries and approach for management: a review and synthesis, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 48, 624–649, 2004.
- Kaldy, J. E.: Carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and heavy metal budgets: how large is the eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) sink in a temperate estuary?, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 52, 342–353, 2006.
- Lafabrie, C., Pergent, G., Kantin, R., Pergent-Martini, C., and Gonzalez, J. L.: Trace metals assessment in water, sediment, mussel and seagrass species – Validation of the use of *Posidonia oceanica* as a metal biomonitor, *Chemosphere*, 68, 2033–2039, 2007.

- Lafabrie, C., Pergent-Martini, C., and Pergent, G.: Metal contamination of *Posidonia oceanica* meadows along the Corsican coastline (Mediterranean), *Environ. Pollut.*, 151, 262–268, 2008.
- Lepoint, G., Havelange, S., Gobert, S., and Bouqueneau, J. M.: Fauna vs. flora contribution to the leaf epiphytes biomass in a *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass bed (Revellata Bay, Corsica), *Hydrobiologia*, 394, 63–67, 1999.
- Lewis, M. A. and Devereux, R.: Nonnutrient anthropogenic chemicals in seagrass ecosystems: fate and effects, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 28, 644–661, 2009.
- Llagostera, I., Perez, M., and Romero, J.: Trace metal content in the seagrass *Cymodocea nodosa*: differential accumulation in plant organs, *Aquat. Bot.*, 95, 124–128, 2011.
- Lyngby, J. E. and Brix, H.: The uptake of heavy-metals in eelgrass *zostera-marina* and their effect on growth, *Ecol. Bull.*, 36, 81–89, 1984.
- Malea, P. and Haritonidis, S.: *Cymodocea nodosa* (Ucria) aschers. as a bioindicator of metals in Thermaikos Gulf, Greece, during monthly samplings, *Bot. Mar.*, 42, 419–430, 1999.
- Malea, P., Haritonidis, S., and Kevrekidis, T.: Seasonal and local variations of metal concentrations in the seagrass *posidonia-oceanica* (L) delile in the Antikyra Gulf, Greece, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 153, 225–235, 1994.
- Malea, P., Boubonari, T., and Kevrekidis, T.: Iron, zinc, copper, lead and cadmium contents in *Ruppia maritima* from a Mediterranean coastal lagoon: monthly variation and distribution in different plant fractions, *Bot. Mar.*, 51, 320–330, 2008.
- Marba, N., Santiago, R., Diaz-Almela, E., Alvarez, E., and Duarte, C. M.: Seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) vertical growth as an early indicator of fish farm-derived stress, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 67, 475–483, 2006.
- Marba, N., Calleja, M. L., Duarte, C. M., Alvarez, E., Diaz-Almela, E., and Holmer, M.: Iron additions reduce sulfide intrusion and reverse seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) decline in carbonate sediments, *Ecosystems*, 10, 745–756, 2007.
- Mateo, M. A., Romero, J., Perez, M., Littler, M. M., and Littler, D. S.: Dynamics of millenary organic deposits resulting from the growth of the Mediterranean seagrass *Posidonia oceanica*, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 44, 103–110, 1997.
- Mcroy, C. P. and Goering, J. J.: Nutrient transfer between seagrass *zostera-marina* and its epiphytes, *Nature*, 248, 173–174, 1974.
- Orth, R. J., Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean J. W., Heck, K. L., Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G. A., Kenworthy, W. J., Olyarnik S., Short, F. T., Waycott, M., and

BGD

9, 2623–2653, 2012

Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Williams, S. L.: A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems, *Bioscience*, 56, 987–996, 2006.
- Pasqualini, V., Pergent-Martini, C., Clabaut, P., and Pergent, G.: Mapping of *Posidonia oceanica* using aerial photographs and side scan sonar: application off the Island of Corsica (France), *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 47, 359–367, 1998.
- 5 Pergent, G., Romero, J., Pergent-Martini, C., Mateo, M. A., and Boudouresque C. F.: Primary production, stocks and fluxes in the Mediterranean seagrass *posidonia-oceanica*, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 106, 139–146, 1994.
- Pergent, G., Rico-Raimondino, V., and Pergent-Martini, C.: Fate of primary production in *Posidonia oceanica* meadows of the Mediterranean, *Aquat. Bot.*, 59, 307–321, 1997.
- 10 Pergent-Martini, C. and Pergent, G.: Marine phanerogams as a tool in the evaluation of marine trace-metal contamination: an example from the Mediterranean, *Int. J. Environ. Pollut.*, 13, 126–147, 2000.
- Pulichm, W. M.: Subtropical seagrasses and trace metal cycling, Proceedings of the symposium on subtropical-tropical seagrasses of the Southeastern United States, 12 August 1985, Gainesville, Florida, USA, 42, 39–52, 1987.
- 15 Quinn, G. P. and Keough, M. J.: Experimental design and data analysis for biologists, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- Sanchez-Jerez, P., Gillanders, B. M., and Kingsford, M. J.: Spatial variability of trace elements in fish otoliths: comparison with dietary items and habitat constituents in seagrass meadows, *J. Fish. Biol.*, 61, 801–821, 2002.
- 20 Sanchiz, C., Garcia-Carrascosa, A. M., and Pastor, A.: Heavy metal contents in soft-bottom marine macrophytes and sediments along the Mediterranean coast of Spain, *Mar. Ecol.-P. S. Z. N. I.*, 21, 1–16, 2000.
- Sanz-Lazaro, C. and Marin, A.: A manipulative field experiment to evaluate an integrative methodology for assessing sediment pollution in estuarine ecosystems, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 25 407, 3510–3517, 2009.
- Sanz-Lazaro, C., Navarrete-Mier, F., and Marin, A.: Biofilm responses to marine fish farm wastes, *Environ. Pollut.*, 159, 825–832, 2011.
- Schlacher-Hoenlinger, M. A. and Schlacher, T. A.: Accumulation, contamination, and seasonal variability of trace metals in the coastal zone – patterns in a seagrass meadow from the 30 Mediterranean, *Mar. Biol.*, 131, 401–410, 1998.
- Schroeder, P. B. and Thorhaug, A.: Trace-metal cycling in tropical-subtropical estuaries dominated by the seagrass *thalassia-testudinum*, *Am. J. Bot.*, 67, 1075–1088, 1980.

Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I◀

▶I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Stapel, J., Aarts, T. L., van Duynhoven, B. H. M., de Groot, J. D., van den Hoogen, P. H. W., and Hemminga, M. A.: Nutrient uptake by leaves and roots of the seagrass *Thalassia hemprichii* in the Spermonde Archipelago, Indonesia, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 134, 195–206, 1996.
- 5 Tomas, F., Turon, X., and Romero, J.: Effects of herbivores on a *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass meadow: importance of epiphytes, *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.*, 287, 115–125, 2005.
- Tovar-Sanchez, A., Seron, J., Marba, N., Arrieta, J. M., and Duarte, C. M.: Long-term records of trace metal content of Western Mediterranean seagrass (*Posidonia oceanica*) meadows: natural and anthropogenic contributions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo.*, 115, G02006, doi:10.1029/2009JG001076, 2010.
- 10 Tranchina, L., Brai, M., D’Agostino, F., Bartolotta, A., and Rizzo, G.: Trace metals in “*Posidonia oceanica*” seagrass from South-Eastern Sicily, *Chem. Ecol.*, 21, 109–118, 2005.
- Turner, R. K., Subak, S., and Adger, W. N.: Pressures, trends, and impacts in coastal zones: interactions between socioeconomic and natural systems, *Environ. Manage.*, 20, 159–173, 1996.
- 15 Underwood, A. J.: *Experiments in Ecology: Their Logical Design and Interpretation Using Analysis of Variance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- Whelan, T., Espinoza, J., Villarreal, X., and CottaGoma, M.: Trace metal partitioning in *Thalassia testudinum* and sediments in the Lower Laguna Madre, Texas, *Environ. Int.*, 31, 15–24, 2005.
- 20 Wittmann, K. J.: Temporal and morphological variations of growth in a natural stand of *posidonia-oceanica* (L) delile, *Mar. Ecol.-P. S. Z. N. I*, 5, 301–316, 1984.

Table 1. Analysis of the reference materials of the Community Bureau of Reference *Ulva lactuca* CRM 279 and *Lagarosiphon major* CRM 060: certified values, measured values and recovery (mean ± SD). Certified values are given when they are available from the Community Bureau of Reference.

Element	<i>Lagarosiphon major</i> (CRM-60)			<i>Ulva lactuca</i> (CRM-279)		
	Measured ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt)	Certified ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt)	Recovery (%)	Measured ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt)	Certified ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt)	Recovery (%)
Ag	0.24 ± 0.01			0.036 ± 0.003		
As	5.1 ± 0.2			3.95 ± 0.2		
Ba	97 ± 4.3			10 ± 0.6		
Bi	0.42 ± 0.03			0.07 ± 0.001		
Cd	2.11 ± 0.04	2.2 ± 0.1	96	0.27 ± 0.004	0.27 ± 0.02	98
Co	3.5 ± 0.1			1.96 ± 0.1		
Cr	20 ± 5.3			9 ± 1.6		
Cs	0.22 ± 0.009			0.38 ± 0.02		
Cu	40 ± 1.3	51.2 ± 1.9	77	10 ± 0.2	13.1 ± 0.4	76
Fe	1668 ± 66			1802 ± 65		
Ga	0.45 ± 0.06			0.45 ± 0.02		
Li	0.96 ± 0.1			2.2 ± 0.2	3.09 ± 0.2	128
Mn	1424 ± 25	1760 ± 60	81	1660 ± 1.2		
Ni	35 ± 4.4			12 ± 0.1		
Pb	64 ± 4.2	64 ± 4	101	11 ± 1.3	13.5 ± 0.4	81
Rb	12 ± 0.2			8 ± 0.03		
Sr	460 ± 6			476 ± 12		
Tl	0.18 ± 0.01			0.026 ± 0.003		
V	3.8 ± 0.3			4.8 ± 0.4		
Zn	265 ± 9.1	313 ± 8	85	42 ± 2.2	51.3 ± 1.2	81

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

I◀ ▶I

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Table 2. Annual trace element concentration ($\mu\text{g dry wt g}^{-1}$ dry wt), incorporation and loss rates ($\mu\text{g dry wt m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$) and release rate through decomposition (k value; yr^{-1}) in the compartments of *Posidonia oceanica* (leaves, rhizomes, roots and epibiota) and its detritus during the studied time (mean \pm SD). The annual trace element budget ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2} \text{yr}^{-1}$) is represented as the accumulation excess. For the trace elements that *P. oceanica* acts as a sink or source, the value of the accumulation excess budget is either positive or negative, respectively.

	Ag	As	Ba	Bi	Cd	Co	Cr
Leaves							
Element concentration	0.18 \pm 0.07	1.08 \pm 0.3	0.93 \pm 0.26	0.006 \pm 0.001	1.19 \pm 0.19	1.24 \pm 0.3	5.46 \pm 2.47
Element incorporation	59.5 \pm 18.9	292 \pm 107	269 \pm 136	0.99 \pm 0.24	287 \pm 43.7	247 \pm 136	2396 \pm 2565
Element loss (through shedding)	52.3 \pm 12.9	491 \pm 385	415 \pm 330	3.04 \pm 2.84	498 \pm 329	601 \pm 401	2013 \pm 793
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	1.9 \pm 54.2	105 \pm 415	106 \pm 303	0.56 \pm 1.86	62.86 \pm 343	120 \pm 395	254 \pm 1682
Rhizomes							
Element concentration	1 \pm 0.34	3.16 \pm 1.31	2.19 \pm 1.08	0.011 \pm 0.007	0.53 \pm 0.07	0.47 \pm 0.2	5.93 \pm 2.04
Element incorporation	55.7	175	122	0.6	29.5	26.3	329
Roots							
Element concentration	0.43 \pm 0.12	8.56 \pm 3.34	2.65 \pm 0.92	0.029 \pm 0.013	0.74 \pm 0.12	1.02 \pm 0.22	5.52 \pm 2.66
Element incorporation	11.1	221	68	0.74	19.2	26.4	143
Epibiota							
Element concentration	0.21 \pm 0.15	14.2 \pm 5	13.5 \pm 4	0.09 \pm 0.021	0.48 \pm 0.18	1.9 \pm 0.73	15.7 \pm 3.4
Detritus							
Element release (through decomposition)	0.00019 \pm 0.00005	0.0005 \pm 0.0004	0.00002 \pm 0.00002	0.0000004 \pm 0.0000004	0.17 \pm 0.11	0.0008 \pm 0.0005	0.0008 \pm 0.0003
Shoots							
Element incorporation	126.3	688	459	2.33	335.7	299.7	2868
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	1.9	105	106	0.56	62.86	120	254
Element loss (through shedding)	52.3	491	415	3.04	498	601	2013
Accumulation excess	72.1	92	-62	-1.27	-225.16	-421.3	601

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Table 2. Continued.

	Cs	Cu	Fe	Ga	Li	Mn	Ni
Leaves							
Element concentration	0.009 ± 0.004	10.9 ± 2	105 ± 35	0.03 ± 0.02	0.92 ± 0.12	27.5 ± 8.7	24.5 ± 14
Element incorporation	1.75 ± 1.68	3377 ± 488	29 911 ± 14 794	7.61 ± 6.29	297 ± 139	6759 ± 3440	10 269 ± 6224
Element loss (through shedding)	1.79 ± 1.73	4016 ± 3851	38 975 ± 29 080	15.71 ± 10.48	378 ± 299	15 487 ± 14 608	7008 ± 4191
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	0.85 ± 2.93	815 ± 3376	4104 ± 31 777	3.77 ± 10.49	77 ± 302	4204 ± 10 799	1331 ± 6880
Rhizomes							
Element concentration	0.023 ± 0.015	5.1 ± 1	411 ± 209	0.09 ± 0.05	0.58 ± 0.21	9.1 ± 5	23 ± 6.4
Element incorporation	1.54	282	22 808	4.78	32.1	504	1276
Roots							
Element concentration	0.032 ± 0.01	10.5 ± 1.6	1092 ± 444	0.1 ± 0.03	0.54 ± 0.13	26.4 ± 11.6	11.2 ± 9.2
Element incorporation	0.83	270	28 175	2.59	14	682	289
Epibiota							
Element concentration	0.2 ± 0.05	17.3 ± 7.6	2000 ± 484	0.41 ± 0.1	2.57 ± 0.36	181 ± 97	15.8 ± 4.5
Detritus							
Element release (through decomposition)	0.0000002 ± 0.0000002	0.0025 ± 0.0024	0.0128 ± 0.0095	0.000003 ± 0.000002	0.0023 ± 0.0018	0.0015 ± 0.0014	0.016 ± 0.010
Shoots							
Element incorporation	4.12	3929	80 894	14.98	343.1	7945	11 834
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	0.85	815	4104	3.77	77	4204	1331
Element loss (through shedding)	1.79	4016	38 975	15.71	378	15 487	7008
Accumulation excess	1.48	−902	37 815	−4.5	−111.9	−11 746	3495

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

I ◀

▶ I

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Table 2. Continued.

	Pb	Rb	Sr	Tl	V	Zn
Leaves						
Element concentration	6.12 ± 1.6	7.59 ± 0.66	271 ± 15	0.008 ± 0.002	2.35 ± 0.59	133 ± 38
Element incorporation	1269 ± 381	2656 ± 1211	83 052 ± 29 266	1.97 ± 1.07	560 ± 347	64 538 ± 57 807
Element loss (through shedding)	2848 ± 2192	3006 ± 2512	118 077 ± 89 108	3.32 ± 2.82	1425 ± 1211	81 917 ± 64 662
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	530 ± 1990	523 ± 2488	20 895 ± 88 635	0.68 ± 2.54	297 ± 955	16 530 ± 49 439
Rhizomes						
Element concentration	15.2 ± 7.5	4.93 ± 1.18	227 ± 39	0.017 ± 0.013	4.37 ± 1.91	59 ± 12
Element incorporation	841	274	12 617	0.94	242	3299
Roots						
Element concentration	43.1 ± 14.7	8.3 ± 2.34	319 ± 137	0.019 ± 0.01	5.81 ± 1.28	55 ± 33
Element incorporation	1111	214	8222	0.5	150	1423
Epibiota						
Element concentration	123 ± 29	3.64 ± 1.13	2321 ± 650	0.047 ± 0.027	19.6 ± 6.6	123 ± 53
Detritus						
Element release (through decomposition)	0.0006 ± 0.0004	0.13 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.12	0.0000002 ± 0.0000002	0.029 ± 0.025	1.11 ± 0.88
Shoots						
Element incorporation	3221	3144	103 891	3.41	952	69 260
Element loss (through grazing and mechanical breakage)	530	523	20 895	0.68	297	16 530
Element loss (through shedding)	2848	3006	118 077	3.32	1425	81 917
Accumulation excess	-157	-385	-35 081	-0.59	-770	-29 187

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Table 3. Two-way factorial ANOVA on differences in trace element concentration of *Posidonia oceanica* among the factors, *compartment of the plant* (df = 3) and *time* (df = 5) and the interaction between them (df = 15). Le: leaves; Rh: rhizomes; Ro: roots; Ep: epibiota.

	Compartment of the plant		Time		Interaction		SNK test
	MS	F	MS	F	MS	F	
Ag	2.6	35.8***			0.07	2.6**	Rh > Ro > Ep = Le
As #	12.8	56.1***			0.2	7.2***	Ep > Ro > Rh > Le
Ba #	12.2	113***			0.109	2.1*	Ep > Ro > Rh > Le
Bi	0.02	89.8***			0.0003	2.2*	Ep > Ro > Rh = Le
Cd	1.8	56.6***	0.01	0.4	0.03	1.7	Le > Ro > Rh = Ep
Co	6.2	12.0***			0.5	12.6***	Ep > Le > Ro > Rh
Cr	412	43.7***	5.6	0.6	9.4	1.5	Ep > Rh = Ro = Le
Cs	0.1	110***			0.001	2.1*	Ep > Ro = Rh > Rh = Le
Cu	430	18.1***			24	2.8**	Ep > Le = Ro > Rh
Fe #	28.4	106***			0.3	3.9***	Ep > Ro > Rh > Le
Ga	0.5	188***	0.004	1.4	0.003	0.7	Ep > Ro = Rh > Le
Li #	2.5	162***			0.02	2.9**	Ep > Le > Rh = Ro
Mn #	24.5	33.4***			0.7	16.5***	Ep > Le = Ro > Rh
Ni	686	6.3**	110	1.0	109	1.4	Le = Rh > Ep = Ro
Pb #	26.0	72.1***			0.4	7.8***	Ep > Ro > Rh > Le
Rb	82.8	35.8***	3.0	1.3	2.3	1.1	Ro = Le > Rh > Ep
Sr	17 166 117	65.8***			262 279	7.4***	Ep > Ro = Le = Rh
Tl	0.005	21.5***	0.0004	1.6	0.0002	1.1	Ep > Ro = Rh = Le
V #	9.7	80.7***			0.1	2.4*	Ep > Ro > Rh > Le
Zn	30 925	19.3***	3017	1.9	1608	1.5	Le = Ep > Rh = Ro

= ln(x + 1) transformation
 * = p < 0.05;
 ** = p < 0.01;
 *** = p < 0.001

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 4. Annual amount of trace element accumulation excess (g dry wt yr⁻¹) by *Posidonia oceanica* for the Mediterranean basin according to the estimates of the total cover of *P. oceanica* (50 000 km²) (Pasqualini et al., 1998). Positive or negative values indicate either incorporation or release by *P. oceanica*, respectively.

Trace element	Annual accumulation excess
Fe	1 890 708
Ni	174 804
Cr	30 000
As	4591
Ag	3605
Cs	73.92
Tl	-29.59
Bi	-63.93
Ga	-225.0
Ba	-3074
Li	-5636
Pb	-7895
Cd	-11 239
Rb	-19 262
Co	-21 067
V	-38 489
Cu	-45 097
Mn	-587 261
Zn	-1 459 340
Sr	-1 754 053

Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Table 5. Trace element concentration ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ dry wt) in *P. oceanica* compartments. Data is given as range of the means, mean \pm SD or just the mean, depending on the data availability. The symbol “~” indicates that the value is approximated because it was estimated from a graph.

References ^a	Compartment	Location	Trace element									
			Ag	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
1	Leaves	Sounion, Greece	0.18 \pm 0.07	1.19 \pm 0.19	1.24 \pm 0.3	5.46 \pm 2.47	10.9 \pm 2	105 \pm 35	27.5 \pm 8.7	24.5 \pm 14	6.12 \pm 1.6	133 \pm 38
2	Leaves	France & Italy	– ^b	2.1–5.38	1.7–12.1	0.2–1.27	–	–	–	27.47–60.3	1.4–1.8	–
3	Leaves	Corsica, France	–	1.47–3.97	1.83–7.73	0.15–1.07	–	–	–	14.6–48.73	1.30–3.37	–
4	Leaves	Corsica, France	–	2.8 \pm 0.9	–	1.6 \pm 1.5	11.1 \pm 6.5	–	–	22.9 \pm 10.2	5.2 \pm 3.8	109.3 \pm 41.1
5	Leaves	Ischia, Italy	–	~ 1.05	–	–	14	–	–	–	3	167
6	Leaves	Antikyra Gulf, Greece	–	2.7–44.0	–	–	2.8–148	164–815	–	–	10.5–123	27.1–97.7
7	Leaves	Sicily, Italy	–	5.98 \pm 1.64	–	0.35 \pm 0.11	31.88 \pm 15.8	–	–	–	2.29 \pm 1.56	213 \pm 47
8	Leaves	Sicily, Italy	–	2.42 \pm 1.17	–	0.11 \pm 0.03	11.7 \pm 4.58	–	–	–	1.94 \pm 1.67	70.9 \pm 31.2
9	Leaves	Sicily, Italy	–	1.13–3.03	–	0.31–0.94	5.7–20.2	–	–	–	0.7–10	105–155
10	Leaves	Sicily, Italy	–	1.2–3.4	–	–	8.4–15.3	–	–	–	5.8–12.5	213–676
11	Leaves	Aegean Sea, Greece	–	–	–	1.75–5.73	7.67–13.7	–	–	19.1–30.7	–	–
12	Leaves	Spain	–	~ 2.2–~ 25	–	–	–	–	–	–	~ 1–~ 31	~ 100–~ 700
1	Rhizomes	Sounion, Greece	1 \pm 0.34	0.53 \pm 0.07	0.47 \pm 0.2	5.93 \pm 2.04	5.1 \pm 1	411 \pm 209	9.1 \pm 5	23 \pm 6.4	15.2 \pm 7.5	59 \pm 12
5	Rhizomes	Ischia, Italy	–	0.63	–	–	17	–	–	–	~ 12	60
9	Rhizomes	Sicily, Italy	–	0.40–1.16	–	0.91–1.29	6.6–15.3	–	–	–	2.81–16.86	41–140
10	Rhizomes	Sicily, Italy	–	0.45–2.44	–	–	7.6–14.6	–	–	–	4–6.1	135–421
11	Rhizomes	Aegean Sea, Greece	–	–	–	1.05–5.93	3.44–10.1	–	–	9.24–17.7	–	–
12	Rhizomes	Spain	–	~ 0.6–~ 2.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	~ 1.5–~ 7.5	~ 20–~ 75
13	Rhizomes	Balearic Islands, Spain	4.66–16.08	0.72–1.13	0.22–0.86	0.24–1.06	9.41–15.22	48.5–190.9	4.22–16.16	3.66–17.05	0.45–8.89	23.4–49.3
14	Rhizomes	Gulf of Naples, Italy	–	0.25–1.6	–	–	6.0–62	100–800	4–23	–	0.15–1.25	20–220
1	Roots	Sounion, Greece	0.43 \pm 0.12	0.74 \pm 0.12	1.02 \pm 0.22	5.52 \pm 2.66	10.5 \pm 11.6	1092 \pm 444	26.4 \pm 11.6	11.2 \pm 9.2	43.1 \pm 14.7	55 \pm 33
5	Roots	Ischia, Italy	–	1.23	–	–	27	–	–	–	~ 4	~ 75
11	Roots	Aegean Sea, Greece	–	–	–	2.66–4.84	6.23–10.6	–	–	7.96–13.4	–	–
12	Roots	Spain	–	~ 0.6–~ 2.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	~ 4–~ 23	~ 25–~ 90
1	Epibiota	Sounion, Greece	0.21 \pm 0.15	0.48 \pm 0.18	1.9 \pm 0.73	15.7 \pm 3.4	17.3 \pm 7.6	2000 \pm 484	181 \pm 97	15.8 \pm 4.5	123 \pm 29	123 \pm 53
5	Epibiota	Ischia, Italy	–	0.25	–	–	16	–	–	–	30	109

^a References = 1, present study; 2, Lafabrie et al. (2007); 3, Lafabrie et al. (2008); 4, Gosselin et al. (2006); 5, Schlacher-Hoenlinger and Schlacher (1998); 6, Malea et al. (1994); 7, Conti et al. (2007); 8, Conti et al. (2010); 9, Campanella et al. (2001); 10, Tranchina et al. (2005); 11, Catsiki and Payanotidis (1993); 12, Sanchiz et al. (2000); 13, Tovar-Sánchez et al. (2010); 14, Ancora et al. (2004)

^b = no data

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



***Posidonia oceanica*
trace element cycling**

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 1. Principal component analysis (PCA) of *Posidonia oceanica* compartments for each sampling event based on element concentrations. Le: leaves; Rh: rhizomes; Ro: roots; Ep: epibiota; jn: June 2006; au: August 2006; oc: October 2006; de: December 2006; fb: February 2007; ap: April 2007. Note that the name of some trace elements is plotted very close to each other. On the one hand there are Co and Cu, while on the other there are As, Ba, Bi, Cr, Cs, Fe, Ga, Pb, Sr, Tl and V.




Fig. 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) of *Posidonia oceanica* compartments that are physiologically connected (leaves, rhizomes and roots) for each sampling event based on element concentrations. Acronyms are explained in the caption of Fig. 1. Note that the name of some trace elements is plotted very close to each other, this is the case of (from top to bottom in a counterclockwise direction) Co and Cu; Mn and Rb; As, Bi, Fe and Pb; Cs and V; Ba, Ga and Tl.

Posidonia oceanica
trace element cycling

C. Sanz-Lázaro et al.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

