Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 6219–6259, 2012
www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/6219/2012/
doi:10.5194/bgd-9-6219-2012

Biogeosciences Discussions

Diversity of cultured photosynthetic flagellates in the North East Pacific and Arctic Oceans in summer

S. Balzano[1], P. Gourvil[1], R. Siano[2], M. Chanoine[1], D. Marie[1], S. Lessard[3], D. Sarno[4], and D. Vaulot[1]

[1]UMR 7144, CNRS et Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris-06), Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France
[2]IFREMER, centre de Brest, DYNECO, Pelagos, BP 70 29280, Plouzané, France
[3]Institut des Sciences de la Mer, Rimouski, Québec, Canada
[4]Taxonomy and Identification of Marine Phytoplankton, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121 Napoli, Italy

Received: 5 May 2012 – Accepted: 15 May 2012 – Published: 1 June 2012

Correspondence to: S. Balzano (balzano@sb-roscoff.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

During the MALINA cruise (summer 2009) an extensive effort was undertaken to isolate phytoplankton strains from the North East (NE) Pacific Ocean, the Bering Strait, and the Beaufort Sea. Strains were isolated by flow cytometry sorting (FCS) and pipetting before or after phytoplankton enrichment of seawater samples. Strains were isolated both onboard and back in the laboratory and cultured at 4 °C under light/dark conditions. Overall, we isolated and characterised by light microscopy and 18S rRNA gene sequencing 104 strains of photosynthetic flagellates which grouped into 21 genotypes (defined by 99.5 % 18S rRNA gene sequence similarity) mainly affiliated to Chlorophyta and Heterokontophyta. The taxon most frequently isolated was an Arctic ecotype of the green algal genus *Micromonas* (Arctic *Micromonas*) which was almost the only phytoplankter recovered within picoplankton (≤ 2 µm) size range. Strains of Arctic *Micromonas* as well as three unidentified strains related to the same genus were identified in further details by sequencing the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) region of the rRNA operon. The MALINA *Micromonas* strains share identical 18S rRNA and ITS sequences suggesting high genetic homogeneity within Arctic *Micromonas*. The unidentified strains form a genotype likely belonging to a new genus within the family Mamiellaceae to which *Micromonas* belongs. Other green algae genotypes from the genera *Nephroselmis, Chlamydomonas*, *Pyramimonas* were also isolated whereas Heterokontophyta included Pelagophyceae, Dictyochophyceae and Chrysophyceae. Dictyochophyceae included Pedinellales which could not be identified to the genus level whereas Chrysophyceae comprised *Dinobryon faculiferum*. Moreover, we isolated *Rhodomonas* sp. as well as a few Haptophyta and dinoflagellates. We identified the dinoflagellate *Woloszynskia cincta* by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and 28S rRNA gene sequencing. Our morphological analyses show that this species possess the diagnostic features of the genus *Biecheleria*, and the 28S rRNA gene topology corroborates this affiliation. We thus propose the transfer of *W. cincta* to the genus *Biecheleria* and its recombination as *Biecheleria cincta*.

BGD

9, 6219–6259, 2012

1 Introduction

Arctic phytoplankton undergoes a high seasonal variability with most of the biomass occurring during late summer (Sherr et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005). During this period, freshwater inputs from rivers and ice melting in the Beaufort Sea lead to strong stratification of the water column. Consequently phytoplankton depletes the surface layer in nutrients, especially inorganic nitrogen (Carmack and MacDonald, 2002).

In the Canadian Arctic diatoms tend to dominate near the coast (Lovejoy et al., 2002; Sukhanova et al., 2009) and flagellates prevail in offshore waters, especially in mid and late summer (Booth and Horner, 1997; Sherr et al., 2003). Arctic photosynthetic picoplankton is dominated by the green algal class Mamiellophyceae (Not et al., 2005; Lovejoy et al., 2007), specifically by a *Micromonas* ecotype (Arctic *Micromonas*) genetically and physiologically distinct from *Micromonas* genotypes typically found in warmer oceans (Slapeta et al., 2006; Lovejoy et al., 2007). This ecotype occurs in the Arctic throughout the year (Sherr et al., 2003) replacing the cyanobacteria as the baseline community (Li, 1998). In contrast, larger ($\geq 2\,\mu m$) photosynthetic flagellates fluctuate during the year and are more diverse (Booth et al., 1982; Booth and Horner, 1997; Lovejoy et al., 2002).

The summer composition of photosynthetic pico and nanoplankton has been investigated in greater details from the North East (NE) Pacific to the Beaufort Sea during the MALINA cruise in summer 2009 (Balzano et al., 2012). Terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) and cloning/sequencing approaches have confirmed the ubiquity of Arctic *Micromonas* which occurred in the NE Pacific, dominated the Bering Strait and was almost the unique photosynthetic picoplankter found throughout the Beaufort Sea in both nitrogen-depleted surface waters and nitrogen-replete deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) waters. It is not known whether such ubiquity and exclusivity covers intraspecific differences between populations occurring under different seawater conditions or whether populations are rather homogeneous and all adapted to variable conditions. In contrast, nanoplankton was more diverse and dominated by

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

cultured microorganisms mainly belonging to diatoms, Chrysophyceae, and Pelagophyceae.

Despite obvious biases, culturing approaches permit a better characterisation of the strains isolated by the combination of microscopy and molecular methods (Le Gall et al., 2008). To date existing datasets on Arctic phytoplankton are based either on light microscopy (Okolodkov and Dodge, 1996; Booth and Horner, 1997; Lovejoy et al., 2002; Sukhanova et al., 2009) or cloning/sequencing (Lovejoy et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2009; Lovejoy and Potvin, 2011) but few studies have performed large scale isolation efforts in the Arctic.

Our study aims to isolate and culture Arctic phytoplankton for a genetic characterisation of the main species present and to assess if the genotypes present in the Beaufort Sea are endemic or occur in other oceans. From the MALINA 2009 cruise we isolated about 200 strains from the NE Pacific, the Bearing Strait, the Arctic Ocean and the Beaufort Sea using different approaches (flow cytometry sorting, single cell pipetting). About half of the strains belong to diatoms and will be investigated in a parallel study, here we characterise 104 strains of photosynthetic flagellates by 18S rRNA gene sequencing. We also sequenced the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the rRNA operon from our strains of Mamiellophyceae to understand whether Arctic *Micromonas* is genetically homogeneous or consists of several distinct genotypes, and if the other Mamiellophyceae strains isolated here correspond to a new genus. Finally we characterised in further details by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and 28S rRNA gene sequencing, two dinoflagellate strains belonging to *Woloszynskia cincta*, a recently described species (Siano et al., 2009) and propose the transfer of *W. cincta* to the genus *Biecheleria* as *B. cincta*.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sampling

The MALINA cruise took place on board the Canadian research vessel CCGS Amundsen during summer 2009 from Victoria (British Columbia, Canada) to Beaufort Sea (Table 1, Leg 1b) and then throughout Beaufort Sea (Leg 2b). Seawater samples were collected with a bucket from surface during Leg 1b and at different depths with Niskin bottles mounted on a CTD frame during Leg 2b (Fig. 1). Water temperature, salinity, nutrient concentrations, and the phytoplankton composition were obtained from the MALINA database (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html).

2.2 Strain isolation

Phytoplankton strains were isolated both onboard and back in the laboratory. Onboard, strains were isolated on 5 ml glass tubes by Flow Cytometry Sorting (FCS) either directly from the seawater as well as from samples concentrated by Tangential Flow Filtration (TFF) (Marie et al., 2010) or from enriched seawater samples. Enriched samples were made by mixing 4.5 ml 2 fold diluted medium with 0.5 ml seawater in 5 ml glass tubes and by incubating the tubes under dark/light condition for at least three days prior to isolations. Media used for the enrichments included f/2 (Guillard, 1975), K (Keller et al., 1987), Jaworski (http://www.ccap.ac.uk/media/recipes/JM.htm), Erd-Schreiber's (Kasai et al., 2009) or PCR-S11 (Rippka et al., 2000). Seventeen medium enrichments were spiked with 9.6 µM GeO_2 (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin, France) to prevent the growth of diatoms (Supplement, Table S1).

Surface samples and cultures were incubated under white light (100 $\mu E\,m^{-2}\,s^{-1}$) whereas samples from deeper layers were incubated under blue light (10 $\mu E\,m^{-2}\,s^{-1}$). One to six months after the MALINA cruise more strains were isolated in the laboratory using hand pipetting or FCS from TFF concentrated samples or from the enrichments.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Some strains were found to be non unialgal or contaminated by small heterotrophs and were further purified using single-cell FCS (Supplement, Table S1).

FCS was carried out using a FACSAria (Becton Dickinson, San José, CA, USA) either on board or back in the laboratory. For each strain between 1 and 20 000 cells were sorted either into 96-well plates or directly into 5 ml glass tubes pre-filled with K/2 (Keller et al., 1987) medium. Different cell populations (picoeukaryotes, nanoeukaryotes and microeukaryotes) were discriminated based on side scatter as well as orange and red fluorescence following excitation at 488 nm as described previously (Marie et al., 2010). Sorting was done in purity mode and samples were immediately transferred at 4 °C.

For hand isolation, seawater samples enhanced in phytoplankton by TFF or culture enrichments were observed using an inverted microscope Olympus IX71 (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) and 1.5 ml from each sample were collected and transferred into a 24 well IWAKI plate (Starlab, Bagnieux, France). A sample aliquot was transferred into a new well containing sterile medium and this step was repeated 4 times for a final 100 000 fold dilution of the enriched sample. Single cells were then collected using a Nichipet EX 0.5–10 µl (Starlab, Bagnieux, France), transferred again into new plates containing sterile media and incubated at 4 °C under dark/light conditions for 1 to 2 weeks.

2.3 Molecular analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from 104 strains of photosynthetic flagellates: a volume of 2 ml was collected from the cultures during the stationary-state growth phase, centrifuged at 11 000 rpm for 10 min, and 1.8 ml of supernatant removed. The genomic DNA was then extracted using Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Cortaboeuf, France) as described previously (Balzano et al., 2012).

PCR was performed on genomic DNA as described previously (Balzano et al., 2012). Briefly, 1 µl of genomic DNA was mixed with 0.5 µl of 10 µM solution of both forward and reverse primers, 15 µl of HotStar Taq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France), 3 µl of Coral Load (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) and Milli-Q water up to a final

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

volume of 30 µl. For the 18S rRNA gene, primers 63f (5'-ACGCTT-GTC-TCA-AAG-ATT-A-3') and 1818r (5'-ACG-GAAACC-TTG-TTA-CGA-3') were used (Lepère et al., 2011). PCR reactions were performed with an initial incubation step at 95 °C during 5 min, 35 amplification cycles (95 °C for 1 min, 57 °C for 1 min 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min 30 s) and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min.

The ITS region of the rRNA operon was amplified from 28 Mamiellophyceae strains, most of them (24) belonging to Arctic *Micromonas*, using the universal primers ITS-1 (TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG) and ITS-4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) which amplify very small portions of both 18S and 28S rRNA genes and the whole ITS region (White et al., 1990). PCR reactions were performed with an initial incubation step at 94 °C for 2 min, 40 amplification cycles (94 °C for 35 s, 46.2 °C for 35 s, and 72 °C for 1 min), and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min.

For the two dinoflagellate strains RCC2013 and MALINA FT56.6 PG8, the 28S rRNA gene was amplified using primers D1R (ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCATA) and D3Ca (ACGAACGATTTGCACGTCAG) targeting the D1–D3 region of the nuclear LSU rDNA (Lenaers et al., 1989). PCR reactions were as follows: 30 amplification cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min.

18S rRNA, ITS, and 28S rRNA amplicons were purified using Exosap (USB products, Santa Clara, USA) and partial sequences were determined by using Big Dye Terminator V3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, USA). A highly variable region of the 18S rRNA gene was sequenced using the internal primer Euk528f (Zhu et al., 2005). The ITS region and the 28S rRNA gene were sequenced using the primers ITS-4 and D1R, respectively. Sequencing was carried out on a ABI prism 3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

2.4 Phylogenetic analyses

Partial 18S rRNA sequences were compared to those available in Genbank using BLAST (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and attributed to different high level taxa. For each major taxonomic group (Chlorophyta, Cryptophyceae, Dinophyceae,

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Heterokontophyta, Prymnesiophyceae) sequences were aligned using ClustalW2 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2) and then grouped into 21 genotypes based on 99.5 % sequences similarity, using Bioedit software (Hall, 1999). We calculated a rarefaction curve using Ecosim (http://www.garyentsminger.com/ecosim/index.htm) software to evaluate the portion of cultured phytoplankton diversity that we isolated during the Leg 2b of the MALINA cruise.

The full 18S rRNA gene was sequenced from at least one strain per genotype using the primers 63f, 1818r described above. Twenty-seven full 18S rRNA sequences were aligned with environmental sequences from the MALINA cruise (Balzano et al., 2012) as well as with other reference sequences from Genbank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide) as described above. A total of 180 sequences were finally aligned. Highly variable regions of the alignment were manually removed. Phylogenetic relationships were analysed using Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbour Joining (NJ) methods (Nei and Kumar, 2000). Different models of DNA substitutions and associated parameters were estimated on 1553 unambiguously aligned positions using MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011). A General Time Reversible (GTR) model with a gamma distributed invariant sites (G+I) was then selected as the best model to infer the ML 18S phylogeny. A Tamura-Nei model (Tamura and Nei, 1993) was used for the NJ phylogeny. For both methods bootstrap values were estimated using 1000 replicates. The ML topology was used for all the phylogenetic trees shown in this paper which were constructed using MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011).

For some Pedinellales species only a portion of the 18S rRNA gene is available in literature. We thus aligned only the corresponding portion of our Pedinellales sequences and inferred a partial 18S phylogeny. The tree was constructed from an alignment of 37 sequences from Pedinellales as well as other Heterokontophyta on 434 unambiguously aligned positions.

Since all the 24 ITS sequences obtained for Arctic *Micromonas* (Mamiellophyceae) were identical only three of them were considered for the phylogenetic analysis. These sequences were aligned with sequences from other Mamiellophyceae strains from our

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

study as well as from previous works (Slapeta et al., 2006), for a total of 18 sequences. 425 unambiguously aligned positions were used and the phylogenetic tree topology was inferred by the ML method using a Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura, 1980) and a discrete gamma distribution [5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.4993)] was used to model evolutionary rates. NJ method and bootstrap values were calculated as described above.

The 28S rRNA gene sequences from the two dinoflagellate strains (RCC2013 and FT56.6 PG8) isolated from the MALINA cruise were aligned with 33 reference sequences from other dinoflagellates and 542 unambiguously aligned positions were considered. Different models of DNA substitution were estimated and a GTR model with a discrete gamma distribution [5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.59)] was used to infer ML phylogeny, whereas NJ phylogeny and boostrap values were calculated as described above.

2.5 Microscopy

At least one strain per genotype was observed using light microscopy. Cells were harvested during the exponential phase of their growth and observed using an Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) with a 100 fold magnification using differential interference contrast (DIC). Cells were imaged with a SPOT RT-slider digital camera (Diagnostics Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA) either directly or after fixation with 0.25 % acidic lugol solution (0.6 M KI, 0.39 M crystalline iodine and 1.6 M CH_3COOH, Sigma Aldrich, Saint-Quentin, France). Micrographs are available at http://www.sb-roscoff.fr/Phyto/RCC for a large set of strains.

Strain RCC2013 was also prepared for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), using the method described by Moestrup et al. (2009). Cells were fixed in a mixture of 600 µl 2 % OsO_4 and a 200 µl saturated $HgCl_2$ solution. Samples were placed on 3-µm-pore-size Nuclepore (Pleasanton, CA, USA) polycarbonate filters, washed with distilled water, dehydrated in an ethanol series (25 %, 50 %, 75 %, 95 %, 100 %) and critical point

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

dried. The filters were mounted on stubs, sputter coated with gold and examined with a JEOL JSM-6500F SEM (JEOL-USA Inc., Peabody, MA, USA).

3 Results

Using a range of techniques from FCS to single cell pipetting, through enrichments, 104 strains of photosynthetic flagellates have been isolated from the NE Pacific and the Arctic oceans (Fig. 1). Ninety-three strains have been deposited to the Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC) whereas the other 11 strains have been lost or discarded subsequently. Strains are mainly cultured in K/2 (Keller et al., 1987) or f/2 (Guillard, 1975) media under a 12/12 light/dark cycle and complete information is available at http://www.sb-roscoff.fr/Phyto/RCC.

A variable region (700–800 bp) of the 18S rRNA gene has been sequenced for all the strains which have been then grouped into 21 genotypes (99.5 % similarity threshold) and the full 18S rRNA gene has been sequenced for at least one strain per genotype. Sequences were then compared with environmental sequences from the MALINA cruise and previous studies.

We isolated 63 Chlorophyta strains, 41 of which belong to Arctic *Micromonas* (Lovejoy et al., 2007), and 41 strains associated with Dinophyceae, Cryptophyta, Haptophyta, and Heterokontophyta (Table 2).

3.1 Mamiellophyceae (Chlorophyta)

Arctic *Micromonas*. We isolated 39 strains belonging to the same genotype and affiliated to Arctic *Micromonas* from the northern stations of Leg 1b and from 10 out of 14 stations of Leg 2b (Table 2, Supplement, Table S1). In the Beaufort Sea, these strains were isolated from different depths.

Cells are spherical, 2 µm in size with a flagellum about 5 µm long (Fig. 2, RCC2246). Consistent with previous studies (Lovejoy et al., 2007), the full 18S rRNA gene

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

sequences from our *Micromonas* strains RCC2306 and RCC2308 group with other sequences recovered from the Arctic during the MALINA cruise (Balzano et al., 2012) or previously forming a sub-clade (94 % ML bootstrap support) within clade B *sensu* Guillou et al. (2004). This clade is distinct from *Micromonas* sequences recovered from tropical and temperate waters (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Mamiellophyceae). Although our strains have been isolated from both oligotrophic and mesotrophic waters, ITS sequences were identical for all strains and identical to previously published ITS sequences of Arctic *Micromonas* (CCMP2099, Fig. 4).

Bathycoccus prasinos. We isolated one strain representative from another picoplanktonic Mamiellophyceae, *B. prasinos*. Unfortunately this strain was subsequently lost. This strain shares 99.8 % 18S rRNA and 99.5 % ITS rRNA gene sequence identity with *B. prasinos* CCAP K-0417 isolated from the Gulf of Naples.

In contrast with *Micromonas* the genus *Bathycoccus* is genetically homogeneous with very little sequence divergence (Guillou et al., 2004; Worden, 2006), and our strain was genetically identical to several strains collected from different oceans. *Bathycoccus prasinos* has been previously shown to occur in the Beaufort Sea (Lovejoy et al., 2007), it was recovered by T-RFLP during the MALINA cruise at only four stations (Balzano et al., 2012) suggesting a marginal contribution to summer photosynthetic picoeukaryotes.

Undescribed Mamiellaceae. From two stations in the Bering Sea, we isolated three other strains of Mamiellophyceae. Cells from these strains are hemispherical, 4 µm wide and possess a long (≈ 15 µm) flagellum and a second one very short (≈ 1 µm) one (Fig. 2, RCC2497 and RCC2288). A very pale reddish eyespot and a pyrenoid like inflated body are also visible. These morphological features correspond to those typical of *Mantoniella squamata* although electron microscopy is required for the identification of this species (Moestrup, 1990). The full 18S rRNA gene sequences from RCC2285 and RCC2288 cluster with two environmental sequences, from MALINA and the Baltic Sea, respectively (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Mamiellophyceae) forming a very robust (100 % bootstrap support, for both ML and NJ) clade, distinct from the most closely related

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

genera (*Micromonas* and *Mantoniella*). ITS phylogeny confirms this finding, although the branch grouping RCC2285, RCC2288 and RCC2497 is less well supported (71 % bootstrap) in ML (Fig. 4). Both 18S rRNA and ITS phylogeny indicate that our strains fall within the family Mamiellaceae but probably belong to a new genus (Figs. 3–4). Detailed electron microscopy of the cell ultrastructure, of the flagellar hair and body scales would be however necessary to confirm this.

3.2 Other chlorophyta

Besides Mamiellophyceae, we isolated 17 other Chlorophyta strains belonging to the genera *Nephroselmis*, *Chlamydomonas, Carteria* and *Pyramimonas*.

Nephroselmis. Three strains (RCC2490, RCC2498 and RCC2499) isolated from the Bering Strait possess cells that are 3 to 5 µm long (Fig. 2, RCC2499), pear-shaped with two unequal flagella (http://www.sb-roscoff.fr/Phyto/RCC, RCC2498). Genetically (18S rRNA gene) these strains belong to the same genotype. They cluster together (100 % ML and NJ bootstrap support) with sequences from *N. pyriformis* recovered from different oceanic regions and separate from other *Nephroselmis* species. Since the 18S rRNA gene appears to be a good molecular marker for identifying *Nephroselmis* up to the species level (Nakayama et al., 2007), our data suggest that our strains belong to *N. pyriformis*, a cosmopolitan species occurring in temperate, tropical but also Western Greenland polar waters (Moestrup, 1983; Lovejoy et al., 2002; Nakayama et al., 2007).

Chlamydomonas. We found two genotypes belonging to this genus. Cells from strain RCC2488 (referred as *Chlamydomonas* sp. I) are approximately 10 µm long and 5 µm wide, with an ovoid shape (Fig. 2, RCC2488). Its 18S rRNA gene sequence is identical to that of the freshwater species *C. raudensis* (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Chlorophyceae) which has been previously reported in an Antarctic lake (Pocock et al., 2004). *Chlamydomonas* sp. I clusters with *C. raudensis* and *C. parkerae* within the Moewusii clade *sensu* Pocock (Pocock et al., 2004).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Strains RCC2041 and RCC2512 (corresponding to *Chlamydomonas* sp. II) are larger in size (approximately 20 µm long and 10 µm wide), with a reddish, clearly distinguishable, eyespot and a basal pyrenoid (Fig. 2, RCC2041). An apical papilla is also slightly visible. *Chlamydomonas* sp. II clusters with freshwater strains, especially from polar waters, forming a well (100 % ML and NJ bootstrap) supported clade (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Chlorophyceae) and falls into the Polytoma clade (Pocock et al., 2004).

Carteria. Strain RCC2487 belongs to the genus *Carteria*. Cells are almost spherical, approximately 30 µm long and 25 µm large (Fig. 2, RCC2487). Our strain is genetically affiliated with CCMP1189 isolated from Arctic waters, and both strains group with *C. radiosa*, *C. obstusa,* and a freshwater *Carteria* sp. forming a very robust (100 % ML and NJ bootstrap support) clade which likely corresponds to the *Carteria* I (Suda et al., 2005).

Pyramimonas. Eleven strains, belonging to four distinct genotypes have been isolated. Cells are spherical to pear-like shaped, 5 to 10 µm long (Fig. 2, RCC2009, RCC1987, RCC2500, RCC2501). A pyrenoid in the middle or apical region of the cell, a chloroplast with three to four lobes, and a lateral reddish eyespot may be visible in light microscopy. Strains from the different genotypes are undistinguishable in light microscopy and a certain degree of morphological variability in terms of shape (spherical to pear-shaped) and presence of eyespot may occur within the same strain.

Pyramimonas is a highly diverse genus comprising four distinct subgenera (Daugbjerg et al., 1994; Moro et al., 2002). The 18S rRNA gene sequences of *Pyramimonas* sp. I (strain RCC2009) and *Pyramimonas* sp. IV (RCC2500, RCC2501) group with those of *P. australis* and *P. parkerae* within the subgenus *Trichocystys* (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Pyramimonadales). *Pyramimonas* sp. II (RCC2009, RCC2015, RCC2047, RCC2048, RCC2295, RCC2296, RCC2297, RCC2502) and *Pyramimonas* sp. III (RCC1987) cluster with *P. gelidicola* and *P. disomata* within the subgenus *Vestigifera*. Due to the low 18S rRNA gene variability of the genus *Pyramimonas* at an interspecific level (Caron et al., 2009), the different species cannot be discriminated solely by 18S rRNA sequencing. Other phylogenetic markers commonly used for Chlorophyta

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

such as rbcl do not resolve *Pyramimonas* taxonomy either (Suda, 2004) and electron microscopy is required for a detailed identification.

Pyramimonas species have been previously reported in Arctic environments (Daugbjerg and Moestrup, 1993; Gradinger, 1996) including Beaufort Sea water column (Olli et al., 2007; Brugel et al., 2009) and ice (Rozanska et al., 2008) as well as the Barents Sea (Rat'kova and Wassmann, 2002) and the Laptev Sea (Tuschling et al., 2000). Some *Pyramimonas* species appear to be adapted to the salinity changes typically occurring in the Beaufort Sea as they were previously found under the ice pack (Gradinger, 1996) or shown to grow across a broad salinity range (Daugbjerg, 2000). Other species occur in the Antarctic Ocean (Moro et al., 2002) or even form blooms (McMinn et al., 2000; Varela et al., 2002) suggesting that *Pyramimonas* spp. occur frequently in cold environments.

3.3 Prymnesiophyceae

We isolated 4 Prymnesiophyceae strains during Leg 1b.

***Haptolina*.** Strains RCC2299 and RCC2300 were isolated from the NE Pacific (Table 2). Cells are spherical, about 5 µm in diameter with two yellow-brown chloroplasts and two flagella (Fig. 2, RCC2299). The spines and the haptonema are not visible in light microscopy. The taxonomy of Prymnesiales has been recently revised with the description of the new genus *Haptolina* and the transfer to this genus of a number of species previously affiliated to *Chrysochromulina*, including *H. ericina* and *H. hirta* (Edvardsen et al., 2011) which are the two species clustering with RCC2300 (92 % ML bootstrap support, Fig. 3, Prymnesiophyceae). These two species cannot be discriminated using 18S rRNA gene but other taxonomic markers such as 28S rRNA gene could have helped for the identification (Edvardsen et al., 2011). This clade has a sister clade which includes *H. fragaria* and an environmental sequence from MALINA (Fig. 3, Prymnesiophyceae) and these two clades are well supported and delineate the genus *Haptolina* as shown previously (Edvardsen et al., 2011).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Imantonia. Strains RCC2298 and RCC2504 contain cells approximately 3 µm long, spherical or pear shaped (Fig. 2, RCC2298). Two lateral chloroplasts and two flagella are located in the larger part of the cell. A single species, *I. rotunda*, has been described for this genus to date. Strain RCC2298 shares 99.8 % 18S rRNA gene identity with *I. rotunda* strain ALGO HAP23 (GenBank accession number AM491014) as well as two unidentified *Imantonia* strains (Fig. 3, Prymnesiophyceae). Representatives of the genus *Imantonia* have been previously recorded in high latitude (Backe-Hansen and Throndsen, 2002) and temperate (Percopo et al., 2011) waters.

3.4 Cryptophyceae

Rhodomonas. The eleven Cryptophyta strains isolated from one NE Pacific and five Beaufort Sea stations belong to the same genotype. Cells are ovoid, approximately 20 µm long and 10 µm wide, with two greenish-brown chloroplasts and a short furrow extending posteriorly (Fig. 2, RCC1998). Cells possess two equal flagella inserting into a ventral furrow. The genus *Rhodomonas* can be distinguished from the closely related genera *Rhinomonas* and *Storeatula* because they lack the furrow (Deane et al., 2002).

The full 18S rRNA gene sequence from RCC2020 clusters with *R. abbreviata* (81 % ML bootstrap support, Fig. 3, Cryptophyceae). Genus level phylogeny is not well resolved for *Rhodomonas*: the RCC2020/*R. abbreviata* clade branches with other *Rhodomonas* species but also with other genera such as *Rhinomonas*, *Storeatula*, *Cryptomonas*, and *Pyrenomonas* (Fig. 3, Cryptophyceae). This confirms previous findings highlighting that *Rhodomonas* is a polyphyletic genus and its key diagnostic features may represent the characters of the clade (Deane et al., 2002).

Rhodomonas species were observed by microscopy during the MALINA cruise (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html) and have been previously reported in arctic waters (Lovejoy et al., 2002). *Rhodomonas* species were not detected within photosynthetic nanoplankton sorted during the MALINA cruise (Balzano et al., 2012) because phycoerythrin-containing eukaryotes were excluded by the sorting technique.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

3.5 Dinophyceae

We isolated and sequenced from the Beaufort Sea (Table 2) two strains of dinoflagellates (RCC2013 and FT56.6 PG8) belonging to a single genotype. Strain RCC2013 has been observed both in light and electron microscopy, whereas the second strain was lost before these microscopy analyses could be carried out. Cells are almost spherical, approximately 10 µm in diameter, with a shallow and descending cingulum, a deep sulcus and a bright yellow eyespot (Fig. 5a, arrow). In electron microscopy, four series of plates in the epicone and three in the hypocone are visible (Fig. 5b, c), as well as an elongate apical vesicle (EAV) (see Moestrup et al., 2009, for the definition of the EAV) (Fig. 5d, e).

The morphology of this strain perfectly matches with *Woloszynskia cincta*, Siano, Montresor and Zingone, a species described from the Mediterranean Sea (Siano et al., 2009) and reported also in the Pacific Ocean (Kang et al., 2011). This identification is corroborated by genetic data. The 18S rRNA gene sequences from the MALINA strains share 99.9 % identity with the *W. cincta* strain from the Pacific Ocean (Kang et al., 2011) and the 28S rRNA gene sequences of our strains share 100 % identity with the *W. cincta* from both Pacific Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. In both 18S and 28S rRNA gene sequence topologies *W. cincta* form robust clusters with sequences of the genus *Biecheleria* (18S: 100 % bootstrap for both ML and NJ Fig. 3; 28S: 96 % ML, 100 % NJ bootstrap, Fig. 6), questioning about the ascription of *W. cincta* to the genus *Woloszynskia*.

In recent years, the systematic of the genus *Woloszynskia* has been revised on the basis of both genetic and morphological data. Many species previously classified as *Woloszynskia* but morphologically different from the type species of the genus, *W. reticulata* (Moestrup et al., 2008), have been recombined in four newly described genera: *Biecheleria, Borghiella, Jadwigia,* and *Tovellia* (Lindberg et al., 2005; Moestrup et al., 2008, 2009a,b). In addition three new genera of woloszynskioid dinoflagellates have been erected: *Baldinia, Biecheleriopsis* and *Pelagodinium* (Hansen et al.,

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

2007; Moestrup et al., 2009b; Siano et al., 2010). Morphologically *W. cincta* shares with *Biecheleria pseudopalustris* a posterior invagination and a spiny spherical cyst (Moestrup et al., 2009a; Siano et al., 2009). *Biecheleria halophila* and *B. pseudopalustris* have a type E eyespot *sensu* Moestrup and Daugbjerg (Moestrup and Daugbjerg, 2007). The presence of a type E eyespot has not been shown in the original description of *W. cincta* of the Mediterranean strain (Siano et al., 2009), but the ultrastructural analyses of the Pacific strain (Fig. 15 in Kang et al., 2011), genetically identical to the MALINA and the Mediterranean strains (Figs. 3, 5), proved the existence of a type E eyespot in *W. cincta* (Kang et al., 2011).

On the basis of our new morphological and genetic data and of previously provided evidences we propose the following new combination for *W. cincta*:

***Biecheleria cincta* (Siano, Montresor, and Zingone) Siano**

Basyonim: *Woloszynskia cincta*, Siano, Montresor, and Zingone in Siano et al. (2009).

This dinoflagellate species has a wide distribution since it has been found in tropical (Kang et al., 2011), temperate (Siano et al., 2009) and polar waters (this work).

3.6 Heterokontophyta

We isolated a total of 25 strains belonging to the classes Chrysophyceae, Dictyochophyceae and Pelagophyceae.

***Dinobryon*.** Four strains have been morphologically identified as *Dinobryon faculiferum*. *Dinobryon* species can be easily identified because cells are surrounded by a cellulose lorica. In RCC2292, RCC2293 and RCC2294 cells are solitary and surrounded by a thin and cylindrical lorica 60–90 µm long and 5–10 µm large, this lorica terminates with a long spine (Fig. 2, RCC2292, RCC2290). Within the lorica, cells are ovoid, approximately 10 µm long and 5 µm wide. These features are typical of *D. faculiferum* (Throndsen, 1997) which has been frequently observed in Arctic waters (Booth and Horner, 1997; Lovejoy et al., 2002).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Genetically, the three strains (Supplement, Table S1) belong to the same genotype and the strains RCC2290 and RCC2293 (full 18S rRNA gene) are grouped together and have a sister clade which includes an environmental sequence from MALINA (Fig. 3, Heterokontophyta, Chrysophyceae). Sequences from *D. faculiferum* as well as other marine *Dinobryon* species are not available in Genbank and, surprisingly, sequences from other freshwater species such as *D. sociale*, *D. cylindricum*, and *D. sertularia* form a clade distinct from that of our strains. Marine species of *Dinobryon* could group with our sequences and form a separate clade from freshwater *Dinobryon* species. However the phylogeny of the overall genus is not well resolved (Fig. 3, Heterokontophyta, Chrysophyceae). More sequences from marine species will be needed to better characterise this genus.

Pedinellales. We isolated 10 strains from this order belonging to two distinct genotypes (Fig. 3, Heterokontophyta, Dictyochophyceae). Strains from these two genotypes are undistinguishable in light microscopy. Cells are spherical, 5–8 µm in diameter. In anterior view, cells are radially symmetrical and possess six peripheral chloroplasts (Fig. 2, RCC2289, RCC2286). When viewed from the side, a stalk and a flagellum are visible (Fig. 2, RCC2283). We are not certain of the genus level identification of our strains because morphological features such as the stalk shape (straight or coiled) and the presence of tentacles, which allow the identification of Pedinellales (Sekiguchi et al., 2003), are not visible.

Genetically MALINA Pedinellales strains cluster in two distinct groups: the first group includes 7 strains (sp. I) whereas the second group includes two strains (sp. II, Supplement, Table S1). The full 18S rRNA gene sequence from RCC2289 (sp. I) clusters with environmental sequences from MALINA and the Baltic Sea (100 % bootstrap support) and form a sister clade with *Pteridomonas danica* (Fig. 3, Heterokontophyta, Dictyochophyceae). Partial 18S rRNA phylogeny indicates that our sequences group with *Helicopedinella tricostata* (Supplement, Fig. S1) forming a well supported (94 % and 98 % ML and NJ, respectively) clade. However sp. I probably does not belong to the genus *Helicopedinella* because our strains possess six chloroplasts (Fig. 2) while

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

genus *Helicopedinella* is defined as containing only three chloroplasts (Sekiguchi et al., 2003).

In contrast, full length sequences from RCC2286 and RCC2301 (sp. II) cluster with the strain CCMP2098 and *Pedinella squamata* forming a well supported clade (98 % and 100 % ML and NJ bootstrap support, respectively) suggesting that our strains might belong to the genus *Pedinella*. Partial 18S rRNA phylogeny indicates however that our sequences group with *P. squamata* as well as *Mesopedinella arctica* RCC382 (Supplement, Fig. S2). The attribution of RCC2286 and RCC2301 to the genus *Pedinella* is thus also uncertain.

Phytoplankton counts from MALINA samples indicate that *Pseudopedinella* spp. dominates Pedinellales whereas *Pseudopedinella pyriforme* and *Apedinella spinifera* were occasionally present (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html). The partial 18S rRNA gene sequences from our strains are distinct from both *Apedinella* and *Pseudopedinella* (Supplement, Fig. S2).

Pelagophyceae. 11 strains affiliated to this class were isolated (Supplement, Table S1) and grouped into three genotypes (Table 2) which cannot be distinguished by light microscopy. Cells are hemispherical or bean shaped in side view, about 5–7 µm long (Fig. 2, RCC2040, RCC2492, RCC2505) and adorned with two lateral flagella and a lateral yellowish brown chloroplast. These features might correspond to those typical of *Ankylochrysis lutea* (Honda and Inouye, 1995) and the cells from our strains are similar in size and shape to those of the strain RCC286 identified as *A. lutea* (http://www.sb-roscoff.fr/Phyto/RCC).

The 18S rRNA gene sequences from the three genotypes branch with *A. lutea* into a well supported clade (98 % ML, 92 % NJ bootstrap support) distinct from other Pelagophyceae genera such as *Aureococcus, Pelagomonas,* and *Pelagococcus*. Sp. II is closely related to an environmental sequence from the Baltic Sea Ice (Fig. 3, Heterokontophyta, Pelagophyceae).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

4 Discussion

The combination of both concentration by TFF and medium enrichment with FCS and pipette isolation proved to be good combinations for isolating eukaryotic phytoplankton and to prevent their contamination by heterotrophic microorganisms. Some of our cultures proved to be non-unialgal and were further purified using FCS. In these cultures the dominant genotype was initially contaminated either by other phytoplankters (especially the centric diatom *Chaetoceros* sp.) or by heterotrophs such as uncultured Cercozoa or a Chrysophyceae affiliated to *Paraphysomonas imperforata*. The latter has a cosmopolitan distribution and is an opportunistic species which often dominates enrichment cultures (Lim et al., 1999).

4.1 Comparison with environmental samples

The diversity of cultured photosynthetic flagellates exceeds that found on environmental samples as 8 genotypes found here were not detected by T-RFLP or cloning/sequencing of environmental samples sorted by flow cytometry and thus containing only photosynthetic eukaryotes (Table 2). Only one of these genotypes, *Rhodomonas* sp., might be associated with a species observed by light microscopy (Table 2, http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html). The other genotypes likely belong to rare species which can be easily cultured. The rarefaction curve indicates that we sampled a very large portion of the community of culturable photosynthetic flagellates during the MALINA Leg 2b (Supplement, Fig. S2) suggesting that some of our genotypes may indeed correspond to rare species.

The four *Pyramimonas* genotypes are undistinguishable in light microscopy and group into two T-RFLP ribotypes (sp. I/sp. IV and sp. II/sp. III). Similarly, the different genotypes found within Pedinelalles and Pelagophyceae share the same T-RFLP patterns for the restriction enzymes used by Balzano et al. (2012) and cannot be discriminated by T-RFLP. Therefore, although we detected *Pyramimonas* spp., Pedinellales

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

and Pelagophyceae (Balzano et al., 2012) we do not know whether all the cultured genotypes were present in the environmental samples.

Surprisingly, we found few dinoflagellates among both our strains and environmental samples of nanoplankton (Balzano et al., 2012). Microscopy counts during the MALINA cruise revealed the presence of several dinoflagellate species, although never among the major taxa (diatoms and Chlorophyta). Most of them were larger than 15 µm and belong to the genera *Gymnodinium* and *Gyrodinium* (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html). Dinoflagellates are an important component in the Arctic (Okolodkov and Dodge, 1996) and they occur during summer in the Chukchi Sea (Booth and Horner, 1997) and the North Water Polynya (Lovejoy et al., 2002). However in the Beaufort Sea they appear to occur in autumn (Brugel et al., 2009) rather than in mid summer (Okolodkov, 1999; Sukhanova et al., 2009), which was the period of the MALINA cruise. Anyway the Beaufort Sea is less diverse than other arctic regions and dinoflagellates were very poorly detected during the MALINA cruise within pico and nanoplankton (Balzano et al., 2012).

4.2 Culturable phytoplankton in oligotrophic waters

Interestingly 8 out of the 21 genotypes found here correspond to strains isolated from surface waters during the Leg 2b which were depleted in inorganic nitrogen (Table 2, Supplement, Table S1). This finding contrasts with the fact that oligotrophic environments are generally considered to harbour slow growing/hard to cultivate phytoplankton. For example during a similar study in the South East Pacific, no strain could be isolated from the two most oligotrophic sites (Le Gall et al., 2008). Similarly cultured microbes contribute very poorly to phytoplankton diversity in other oligotrophic waters such as the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Viprey et al., 2008; Man-Aharonovich et al., 2010), the Sargasso Sea (Not et al., 2007) or the North East Atlantic Ocean (Jardillier et al., 2010). This suggests that resilient, and therefore easily culturable, ecotypes are more likely adapted to the sub-freezing temperatures and variable salinities observed in the Arctic than uncultured ecotypes.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

In contrast Arctic Haptophyta from oligotrophic environments appear hard to be brought in culture: the strains isolated in this study derive from mesotrophic environments of the NE Pacific or the Bering Strait and we could not culture any Haptophyta from the Beaufort Sea, although they occurred in environmental samples. In particular 4 Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) affiliated to the genus *Chrysochromulina* were observed by T-RFLP (Balzano et al., 2012) and microscopy counts revealed also the presence of *Chrysochromulina* spp. throughout the Beaufort Sea (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html).

4.3 Low diversity of photosynthetic picoplankton

Arctic *Micromonas* and *B. prasinos* were the only picoplanktonic taxa. *Imantonia rotunda* has been previously reported to be ≤ 2 µm (Vaulot et al., 2008) but our strains of *Imantonia* sp. (RCC2298 and RCC2504) do not include cells < 3 µm. In contrast, during a similar study carried out in another oligotrophic system, the South-East Pacific Ocean, photosynthetic picoplankton was more diverse (Shi et al., 2009) and picoplanktonic strains belonging to several different lineages were successfully isolated and cultured (Le Gall et al., 2008). A higher diversity of total photosynthetic picoeukaryotes has also been reported in other warmer oligotrophic regions such as the Sargasso Sea (Not et al., 2007), the Mediterranean Sea (Viprey et al., 2008), and the North East Atlantic Ocean (Jardillier et al., 2010).

The photosynthetic picoplankton community in the Arctic consists almost uniquely of a single ecotype, Arctic *Micromonas* which occurs throughout the Beaufort Sea. Since all our strains share identical 18S rRNA and ITS sequences Arctic *Micromonas* likely comprises highly homogeneous populations, despite the fact that they originate from both surface nitrate-depleted waters and deeper, colder, and saltier, nitrate-replete waters. The ubiquity and dominance within picoplankton of Arctic *Micromonas* throughout the Beaufort Sea (Balzano et al., 2012) indicates that it can grow or at least survive at variable salinities (14 to 32 psu), temperatures (−1 to 7 °C) and under both nitrate-depleted (< 3 nM) and nitrate-replete (6.7 µM) conditions.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Nitrate-depleted conditions in general promote the growth of picoplankton over larger cells because of the lower surface to volume ratio and accordingly photosynthetic picoplankton was generally more abundant than nanoplankton in surface waters of the Beaufort Sea during the MALINA cruise (http://tinyurl.com/67wn5qc). Arctic *Micromonas* is able to survive cold waters and long dark winters (Sherr et al., 2003; Lovejoy et al., 2007) which likely make it prevail over other photosynthetic picoplankters. In the Beaufort Sea coastal waters may reach higher ($\approx 7\,^{\circ}$C) temperatures during summer but they remain throughout the whole year surrounded by colder waters and the transport and survival of phytoplankton species from temperate waters is thus highly unlikely. In contrast the Norwegian and Barents Sea are in close contact with temperate waters from the Atlantic Ocean. The photosynthetic picoplankton is more diverse there, Arctic *Micromonas* occurs with other *Micromonas* clades (Foulon et al., 2008), as well as other Chlorophyta and Haptophyta (Not et al., 2005).

Consistent with this hypothesis, the higher temperatures which are observed in the NE Pacific and the Bering Strait (Table 1) explain the presence of other picoeukaryotes such as other Mamiellophyceae, Chrysophyceae and unidentified picoeukaryotes which occur along with the Arctic *Micromonas* (Balzano et al., 2012).

4.4 Importance of mixotrophic nano and microplankton strains

The strains larger than 2 µm appear much more diverse than photosynthetic picoplankton and include 5 genotypes sequenced for the first time. Fourteen out of 19 genotypes (Table 2) were recovered only from nitrogen-depleted surface waters and often correspond to genera reported in oligotrophic systems and sometimes shown to be mixotrophic. For example mixotrophy has been reported for both freshwater (Bird and Kalff, 1986; Domaizon et al., 2003; Kamjunke et al., 2007) and marine (McKenzie et al., 1995) *Dinobryon* species including *D. faculiferum* (Unrein et al., 2010). *Dinobryon* strains were isolated from nitrogen-depleted waters (Table 2) and *Dinobryon* cells were also observed in surface water as indicated by microscopy counts (http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html) and T-RFLP (Balzano et al., 2012).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Chloroplast-containing Pedinellales from the Baltic Sea have been found to ingest bacteria (Piwosz and Pernthaler, 2010). Similarly *Pyramimonas gelidicola*, a species which shares 100 % 18S rRNA gene identity with our strains of *Pyramimonas* sp. II was also shown to feed on bacteria (Bell and Laybourn-Parry, 2003). *B. cincta* comb. nov. isolated from Pacific Ocean was observed to ingest several algal preys using a peduncle located between the two flagella (Kang et al., 2011).

4.5 Arctic, polar, and cosmopolitan species

Four out of 21 genotypes found in the present study (Arctic *Micromonas*, *Pyramimonas* sp. I, *Pyramimonas* sp. III and undescribed Pedinellales sp. II) have a strictly Arctic distribution and 7 genotypes have been sequenced for the first time (*Carteria* sp., *Pyramimonas* sp. IV, *Rhodomonas* sp., *Dinobryon faculiferum* and the three Pelagophyceae genotypes). In contrast the other genotypes have also been reported in other oceans (Table 2). Similarly environmental sequences from the MALINA cruise include 34 out 46 OTUs which cluster into new or endemic lineages (Balzano et al., 2012) and previous studies also highlight the prevalence of endemic lineages among environmental clone libraries (Lovejoy et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2009). The proportion of endemic and polar OTUs within our strains may be overestimated because part of the biogeography of most marine microbes is still unknown and many genotypes found here may occur elsewhere. On the other hand, different species may share the same 18S rRNA sequence, (e.g., genera *Pyramimonas* and *Haptolina*) and some of our cosmopolitan genotypes may be related to different species with more restricted geographical distribution.

The biogeography of arctic microbes is currently highly debated: similarities between Arctic and Antarctic assemblages have been reported for ice, sediment (Lozupone and Knight, 2005), soil (Chu et al., 2010), snow, air, and freshwater (Jungblut et al., 2010; Harding et al., 2011) bacteria, whereas seawater bacteria show a limited dispersal ability suggesting the occurrence of a marine microbial province in the Arctic (Galand et al., 2009, 2010). Similarly eukaryotic microbes from terrestrial environments of the Arctic

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

may also occur in Antarctic and alpine environments (Harding et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2011) whereas marine eukaryotes are less likely to be globally dispersed. An arctic circumpolar isolation occurs for example for Arctic *Micromonas* (Lovejoy et al., 2007), and for the planktonic foraminiferan *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (Darling et al., 2007).

Interestingly, our *Chlamydomonas* genotypes are cosmopolitan and have a likely freshwater origin since they match sequences from freshwater environments (Fig. 3, Chlorophyta, Chlorophyceae). Our strains have been indeed isolated from Stations 670 and 680 (Table 1) which are located near the main outlets of the Mackenzie River. A previous study already found a high similarity between the Antarctic *Chlamydomonas raudensis* and an Arctic *Chlamydomonas* sp. (De Wever et al., 2009), which are both closely related to *Chlamydomonas* sp. I. Similarly the freshwater flagellate *Spumella* comprises three globally distributed clades, one of which has been frequently found in Antarctic waters (Nolte et al., 2010).

Three genotypes found in the present study (Pedinellales sp. I, *Pyramimonas* sp. II and Undescribed Mamiellaceae) match sequences from the Baltic Sea and similar patterns were found in our parallel study (Balzano et al., 2012). Although the Baltic Sea is much fresher and far less cold than the Beaufort Sea both ecosystems undergo seasonal salinity changes and (partial) winter freezing events which may promote the growth of the same species.

Arctic *Micromonas*, undescribed Mamiellaceae, *B. prasinos* and *Rhodomonas* sp. were found in both the NE Pacific and the Beaufort Sea (Table 2). In contrast, *Haptolina* sp., *Imantonia* sp. and *Nephroselmis pyriformis* only occurred at the NE Pacific and/or the Bering Strait and did not appear in the Arctic Ocean. The other 14 OTUs were found only in the Arctic Ocean (Supplement, Table S1). Similarly planktonic foraminifera from the Beaufort Sea were found to be phylogenetically different from those occurring in the North Pacific and rather related to North Atlantic foraminifera (Darling et al., 2007), suggesting that the Bering Strait may act as a barrier to microbial dispersion.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/6219/2012/ bgd-9-6219-2012-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. We thank all participants to the MALINA cruise for their help, especially M. Babin who coordinated the project and all CCGS Amundsen crew members. Financial support for this work was provided by the following programs: JST-CNRS "Phytometagene", ASSEMBLE EU FP7, CPER Souchothèque de Bretagne and ANR (ANR-08-BLAN-0308). We also thank E. Bendif for help in phylogenetic analyses, and N. Simon for strain identification.

References

Backe-Hansen, P. and Throndsen, J.: Pico- and nanoplankton from the inner Oslofjord, Eastern Norway, including description of two new species of *Luffisphaera* (incerta sedis), Sarsia, 87, 55–63, 2002.

Balzano, S., Marie, D., Gourvil, P., and Vaulot, D.: Composition of the summer photosynthetic pico and nanoplankton communities in the Beaufort Sea assessed by T-RFLP and sequences of the 18S rRNA gene from flow cytometry sorted samples, Isme J., in press, doi:10.1038/ismej.2011.213, 2012.

Bell, E. M. and Laybourn-Parry, J.: Mixotrophy in the Antarctic phytoflagellate, *Pyramimonas gelidicola* (Chlorophyta: Prasinophyceae), J. Phycol., 39, 644–649, 2003.

Booth, B. C. and Horner, R. A.: Microalgae on the Arctic Ocean section, 1994: species abundance and biomass, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 44, 1607–1622, 1997.

Booth, B. C., Lewin, J., and Norris, R. E.: Nanoplankton species predominant in the Subarctic Pacific in May and June 1978, Deep-Sea Res., 29, 185–200, 1982.

Carmack, E. C. and MacDonald, R. W.: Oceanography of the Canadian shelf of the Beaufort Sea: a setting for marine life, Arctic, 55, 29–45, 2002.

Caron, D. A., Countway, P. D., Savai, P., Gast, R. J., Schnetzer, A., Moorthi, S. D., Dennett, M. R., Moran, D. M., and Jones, A. C.: Defining DNA-based operational taxonomic units for microbial-eukaryote ecology, Appl. Environ. Microb., 75, 5797–5808, 2009.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Daugbjerg, N.: *Pyramimonas tychotreta*, sp. nov. (Prasinophyceae), a new marine species from antarctica: light and electron microscopy of the motile stage and notes on growth rates, J. Phycol., 36, 160–171, 2000.

De Wever, A., Leliaert, F., Verleyen, E., Vanormelingen, P., Van der Gucht, K., Hodgson, D. A., Sabbe, K., and Vyverman, W.: Hidden levels of phylodiversity in Antarctic green algae: further evidence for the existence of glacial refugia, P. Roy. Soc. B-Biol. Sci., 276, 3591–3599, 2009.

Deane, J. A., Strachan, I. M., Saunders, G. W., Hill, D. R. A., and McFadden, G. I.: Cryptomonad evolution: nuclear 18S rDNA phylogeny versus cell morphology and pigmentation, J. Phycol., 38, 1236–1244, 2002.

Edvardsen, B., Eikrem, W., Throndsen, J., Saez, A. G., Probert, I., and Medlin, L. K.: Ribosomal DNA phylogenies and a morphological revision provide the basis for a revised taxonomy of the Prymnesiales (Haptophyta), Eur. J. Phycol., 46, 1–27, 2011.

Gradinger, R.: Occurrence of an algal bloom under Arctic pack, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 131, 301–305, 1996.

Guillard, R. R. L.: Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates, in: Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals, edited by: Smith, W. L. and Chanley, M. H., Plenum Book Publication Corporation, New York, 29–60, 1975.

Hall, T. A.: BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignement editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT, Nucl. Acid S., 41, 95–98, 1999.

Honda, D. and Inouye, I.: Ultrastructure and reconstruction of the flagellar apparatus architecture in *Ankylochrysis lutea* (Chrysophyceae, Sarcinochrysidales), Phycologia, 34, 215–227, 1995.

Jardillier, L., Zubkov, M. V., Pearman, J., and Scanlan, D. J.: Significant CO_2 fixation by small prymnesiophytes in the subtropical and tropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean, Isme J., 4, 1180–1192, 2010.

Kang, N. S., Jeong, H. J., Du Yoo, Y., Yoon, E. Y., Lee, K. H., Lee, K., and Kim, G.: Mixotrophy in the newly described phototrophic dinoflagellate *Woloszynskia cincta* from Western Korean waters: feeding mechanism, prey species and effect of prey concentration, J. Eukaryot. Microbiol., 58, 152–170, 2011.

Kasai, F., Kawachi, M., Erata, M., Mori, F., Yumoto, K., Sato, M., and Ishimoto, M.: NIES-collection list of strains, 8th edn., Jap. J. Phycol., 57, 212–225, 2009.

Keller, M. D., Selvin, R. C., Claus, W., and Guillard, R. R. L.: Media for the culture of oceanic ultraphytoplankton, J. Phycol., 23, 633–638, 1987.

BGD

9, 6219–6259, 2012

Kimura, M.: A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences, J. Mol. Evol., 16, 111–120, 1980.
Le Gall, F., Rigaut-Jalabert, F., Marie, D., Garczarek, L., Viprey, M., Gobet, A., and Vaulot, D.: Picoplankton diversity in the South-East Pacific Ocean from cultures, Biogeosciences, 5, 203–214, doi:10.5194/bg-5-203-2008, 2008.
Lenaers, G., Maroteaux, L., Michot, B., and Herzog, M.: Dinoflagellates in evolution. A molecular phylogenetic analysis of large subunit ribosomal RNA, J. Mol. Evol., 29, 40–51, 1989.
Lepère, C., Demura, M., Kawachi, M., Romac, S., Probert, I., and Vaulot, D.: Whole Genome Amplification (WGA) of marine photosynthetic eukaryote populations, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 76, 513–523, 2011.
Li, W. K. W.: Annual average abundance of heterotrophic bacteria and *Synechococcus* in surface ocean waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 43, 1746–1753, 1998.
Lim, L. E., Dennet, M. R., and Caron, D. A.: The ecology of *Paraphysomonas imperforata* based on studies employing oligonucleotide probe identification in coastal waters and enrichment cultures, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44, 37–51, 1999.
Lovejoy, C. and Potvin, M.: Microbial eukaryotic distribution in a dynamic Beaufort Sea and the Arctic Ocean, J. Plankton Res., 33, 431–444, 2011.
Lovejoy, C., Legendre, L., Martineau, M. J., Bacle, J., and von Quillfeldt, C. H.: Distribution of phytoplankton and other protists in the north water, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 49, 5027–5047, 2002.
Lovejoy, C., Massana, R., and Pedros-Alio, C.: Diversity and distribution of marine microbial eukaryotes in the Arctic Ocean and adjacent seas, Appl. Environ. Microb., 72, 3085–3095, 2006.
Lovejoy, C., Vincent, W. F., Bonilla, S., Roy, S., Martineau, M. J., Terrado, R., Potvin, M., Massana, R., and Pedros-Alio, C.: Distribution, phylogeny, and growth of cold-adapted picoprasinophytes in arctic seas, J. Phycol., 43, 78–89, 2007.
Lozupone, C. and Knight, R.: UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities, Appl. Environ. Microb., 71, 8228–8235, 2005.
Luo, W., Li, H. R., Cai, M. H., and He, J. F.: Diversity of microbial eukaryotes in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, Hydrobiologia, 636, 233–248, 2009.
Marie, D., Shi, X. L., Rigaut-Jalabert, F., and Vaulot, D.: Use of flow cytometric sorting to better assess the diversity of small photosynthetic eukaryotes in the English Channel, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 72, 165–178, 2010.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Moestrup, O.: Scale structure in *Mantoniella squamata*, with some comments on the phylogeny of the Prasinophyceae (Chlorophyta), Phycologia, 29, 437–442, 1990.
Moestrup, O. and Daugbjerg, N.: On dinoflagellate phylogeny and classification, in: Unravelling the Algae: the Past, Present and Future of Algae Systematics, vol 75, edited by: Brodie, J. and Lewis, J., CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, London, 215–230, 2007.
Moestrup, O., Lindberg, K., and Daugbjerg, N.: Studies on woloszynskioid dinoflagellates IV: the genus *Biecheleria* gen. nov., Phycol. Res., 57, 203–220, 2009.
Moro, I., La Rocca, N., Dalla Valle, L., Moschin, E., Negrisolo, E., and Andreoli, C.: *Pyramimonas australis* sp. nov. (Prasinophyceae, Chlorophyta) from Antarctica: fine structure and molecular phylogeny, Eur. J. Phycol., 37, 103–114, 2002.
Nakayama, T., Suda, S., Kawachi, M., and Inouye, I.: Phylogeny and ultrastructure of *Nephroselmis* and *Pseudoscourfieldia* (Chlorophyta), including the description of *Nephroselmis anterostigmatica* sp. nov. and a proposal for the Nephroselmidales ord. nov., Phycologia, 46, 680–697, 2007.
Nei, M. and Kumar, S.: Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics, 1 edn., Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
Not, F., Massana, R., Latasa, M., Marie, D., Colson, C., Eikrem, W., Pedros-Alio, C., Vaulot, D., and Simon, N.: Late summer community composition and abundance of photosynthetic picoeukaryotes in Norwegian and Barents Seas, Limnol. Oceanogr., 50, 1677–1686, 2005.
Not, F., Gausling, R., Azam, F., Heidelberg, J. F., and Worden, A. Z.: Vertical distribution of picoeukaryotic diversity in the Sargasso Sea, Environ. Microbiol., 9, 1233–1252, 2007.
Okolodkov, Y. B. and Dodge, J. D.: Biodiversity and biogeography of planktonic dinoflagellates in the Arctic Ocean, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 202, 19–27, 1996.
Piwosz, K. and Pernthaler, J.: Seasonal population dynamics and trophic role of planktonic nanoflagellates in coastal surface waters of the Southern Baltic Sea, Environ. Microbiol., 12, 364–377, 2010.
Rat'kova, T. N. and Wassmann, P.: Seasonal variation and spatial distribution of phyto- and protozooplankton in the Central Barents Sea, J. Mar. Syst., 38, 47–75, 2002.
Sekiguchi, H., Kawachi, M., Nakayama, T., and Inouye, I.: A taxonomic re-evaluation of the Pedinellales (Dictyochophyceae), based on morphological, behavioural and molecular data, Phycologia, 42, 165–182, 2003.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Sherr, E. B., Sherr, B. F., Wheeler, P. A., and Thompson, K.: Temporal and spatial variation in stocks of autotrophic and heterotrophic microbes in the upper water column of the Central Arctic Ocean, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. I, 50, 557–571, 2003.

Shi, X. L., Marie, D., Jardillier, L., Scanlan, D. J., and Vaulot, D.: Groups without cultured representatives dominate eukaryotic picophytoplankton in the oligotrophic South East Pacific Ocean, PLoS One, 4, e7657, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007657, 2009.

Siano, R., Kooistra, W., Montresor, M., and Zingone, A.: Unarmoured and thin-walled dinoflagellates from the Gulf of Naples, with the description of Woloszynskia cincta sp nov (Dinophyceae, Suessiales), Phycologia, 48, 44–65, 2009.

Slapeta, J., Lopez-Garcia, P., and Moreira, D.: Global dispersal and ancient cryptic species in the smallest marine eukaryotes, Mol. Biol. Evol., 23, 23–29, 2006.

Suda, S.: Taxonomic characterization of Pyramimonas aurea sp. nov. (Prasinophyceae, Chlorophyta), Phycologia, 43, 682–692, 2004.

Suda, S., Nozaki, H., and Watanabe, M. M.: Morphology and sexual reproduction of *Carteria palmata* sp. nov. belonging to the *Carteria* group I sensu Lembi (Chlorophyceae, Volvocales), Phycologia, 44, 596–607, 2005.

Sukhanova, I. N., Flint, M. V., Pautova, L. A., Stockwell, D. A., Grebmeier, J. M., and Sergeeva, V. M.: Phytoplankton of the Western Arctic in the spring and summer of 2002: structure and seasonal changes, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 56, 1223–1236, 2009.

Tamura, K. and Nei, M.: Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees, Mol. Biol. Evol., 10, 512–526, 1993.

Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S.: MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods, Mol. Biol. Evol., 28, 2731–2739, 2011.

Throndsen, J.: The planktonic marine flagellates, in: Identifying Marine Phytoplankton, edited by: Tomas, C. R., Academic Press, San Diego, 591–730, 1997.

Tuschling, K., von Juterzenka, K., Okolodkov, Y. B., and Anoshkin, A.: Composition and distribution of the pelagic and sympagic algal assemblages in the Laptev Sea during autumnal freeze-up, J. Plankton Res., 22, 843–864, 2000.

Wang, J., Cota, G. F., and Comiso, J. C.: Phytoplankton in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas: distribution, dynamics, and environmental forcing, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 52, 3355–3368, 2005.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

White, T., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J., Innis, M., Gelfand, D., and Shinsky, J.: Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, in: PCR Protocols: a Guide to Methods and Applications, Academic Press, 315–322, New York, 1990.

Zhu, F., Massana, R., Not, F., Marie, D., and Vaulot, D.: Mapping of picoeucaryotes in marine ecosystems with quantitative PCR of the 18S rRNA gene, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 52, 79–92, 2005.

BGD

9, 6219–6259, 2012

Table 1. Sampling stations. The last five columns provide the number of flagellate cultures obtained using different isolation techniques.

Station	CTD	Latitude (° N)	Longitude (° W)	Surface Temperature (°C)	Surface Salinity (psu)	Cultures direct FCS[a]	Cultures TFF[b]		Culture Enrichments	
							FCS	Pipette isolation	FCS	Pipette isolation
PAC06		50.06	139.53	12.1	32.5				2	
PAC08		53.36	159.29	11.8	32.7					1
BER09		56.51	166.22	7.9	31.3				2	
BER10		62.14	167.54	6.6	30.5	1			3	
ARC11		67.49	168.12	6.8	31.7				2	
ARC12		71.19	159.42	2.0	30.5	3			3	
BEA13		70.56	145.40	8.8	17.6	3			4	
BEA14		70.50	135.50	3.3	25.6	2			2	
110	56	71.70	126.48	4.4	28.7			4		
235	191	71.76	130.83	0.0	27.3	3		1		
280	42	70.87	130.51	4.7	27.7		2	5		
320	82	71.57	133.94	−0.8	27	4				
345	125	71.33	132.57	−1.1	31.8	2				
394	38	69.85	133.50	7.0	25.1	1		2		
430	138	71.22	136.72	−0.8	25.9	2				
460	145	70.67	136.08	0	24.5	3				
540	134	70.75	137.89	−0.4	25.8	1				
620	99	70.70	139.61	1.6	22.1	8	1	4		
670	89	69.80	138.44	3.8	23.4	2	1	2		
680	35	69.61	138.21	8.3	14.7			8		
690	31	69.49	137.94	7.4	19	1		4		
760	106	70.55	140.80	0.6	22.3	12		3		
Total						48	4	33	18	1

[a] Flow Cytometry Sorting.
[b] Tangential Flow Filtration.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Table 2. Number of strains identified for the different phylogenetic groups.

Division	Class	Putative identification	No. of strains	Origin[a]	Occurrence in environmental samples[b]		Collection depth	Nitrogen trophic status[c]	Total per class
					18S rRNA	Light Microscopy			
Chlorophyta	Mamiellophyceae	Arctic *Micromonas*	41	BER, ARC, BEA	PAC, BER, ARC, BEA		Surface and DCM	Meso/Oligo	45
		Undescribed Mamiellaceae	3	BEA	PAC, BER, ARC		Surface	Meso	
		Bathycoccus prasinos	1	BEA	PAC, BER, BEA		Surface	Oligo	
	Chlorophyceae	*Chlamydomonas* sp. I	1	BEA			Surface	Oligo	4
		Chlamydomonas sp. II	2	BEA			DCM	Meso	
		Carteria sp.	1	BEA			Surface	Oligo	
	Prasinophyceae[e]	*Pyramimonas* sp. I	1	BEA[d]	BEA[e]	BEA	Surface	Oligo	11
		Pyramimonas sp. II	7	ARC, BEA[d]	BEA[f]	BEA	Surface	Meso/Oligo	
		Pyramimonas sp. III	1	BEA[d]	BEA[f]	BEA	DCM	Meso	
		Pyramimonas sp. IV	2	BEA[d]	BEA[e]	BEA	DCM	Meso	
	Nephroselmidophyceae	*Nephroselmis pyriformis*	3	BER			Surface	Meso	3
Haptophyta	Prymnesiophyceae	*Haptolina* cf. *hirta*	2	PAC			Surface	Meso	4
		Imantonia sp.	2	BER			Surface	Meso	
Cryptophyta	Cryptophyceae	*Rhodomonas* sp.	11	PAC, BEA		BEA	Surface and DCM	Meso/Oligo	11
Alveolata	Dinophyceae	*Biecheleria cincta*	2	BEA			Surface	Oligo	2
Heterokontophyta	Chrysophyceae	*Dinobryon faculiferum*	4	BEA	BEA	BEA	Surface	Oligo	4
	Pedinellales	Undescribed Pedinellales sp. I	8	BEA	BEA[g]		Surface	Meso/Oligo	
		Undescribed Pedinellales sp. II	2	ARC, BEA	BEA[g]		Surface	Meso/Oligo	10
	Pelagophyceae	Undescribed Pelagophyceae sp. I	2	BEA	BEA[h]		Surface and DCM	Meso/Oligo	10
		Undescribed Pelagophyceae sp. II	7	BEA	BEA[h]		Surface	Oligo	
		Undescribed Pelagophyceae sp. III	1	BEA	BEA[h]		Surface	Oligo	

[a] Oceanic region from where strains representative of this genotype have been isolated: PAC = North Pacific Ocean, BER = Bering Strait, ARC = Arctic Ocean, BEA = Beaufort Sea. See Fig. 1 for details about the sampling locations.
[b] Pico and nanoplankton were identified by cloning/sequencing and/or T-RFLP as described in Balzano et al. (2012). Microplankton were identified by light microscopy and the full dataset is available at http://www.obs-vlfr.fr/Malina/data.html.
Only samples from the Beaufort Sea were counted during the MALINA cruise.
[c] Trophic status of the collection site with respect to the concentration of nitrate. Meso = mesotrohic and oligo = oligotrophic. Waters containing $\leq 0.1\ \mu M$ of NO_3^- are considered oligotrophic.
[d] These genotypes are undistinguishable in light microscopy.
[e–h] These genotypes are undistinguishable by T-RFLP.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 1. MALINA cruise track and station locations for Legs 1b **(A)** and 2b **(B)**.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 2. (Caption on next page.)

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Fig. 2. Microscopy images of a selection of strains isolated during the MALINA cruise. Scale bar is 5 µm for all images except for the *Dinobryon faculiferum* strain RCC2292 for which it is 15 µm. Please note that strains RCC2246, RCC2288, RCC2499, RCC2299, RCC2289, RCC2283, RCC2492 and RCC2505 have been photographed after lugol fixation whereas the other images have been obtained on living microorganisms. Mamiellophyceae: Arctic *Micromonas* (RCC2246), undescribed Mamiellaceae (RCC2497 and RCC2288, please note the presence of two unequal flagella. The arrow indicates the eyespot). Nephroselmidophyceae: *Nephroselmis pyriformis* (RCC2499). Chlorophyceae: *Chlamydomonas* sp. I (RCC2488), *Chlamydomonas* sp. II (RCC2041, cell is larger in size compared to RCC2488 and possess a median red eyespot and a basal pyrenoid) and *Carteria* sp. (RCC2487). Pyramimonadales: *Pyramimonas* sp. I (RCC2009), *Pyramimonas* sp. III (RCC1987) and *Pyramimonas* sp. IV (RCC2500 and RCC2501, note the red eyespot). Haptophyta: *Haptolina* sp. (RCC2299) and *Imantonia* sp. (RCC2298). Cryptophyta: *Rhodomonas* sp. (RCC1998 with a well visible furrow). Chrysophyceae: *Dinobryon faculiferum* (RCC2292, cell with lorica and RCC2290). Dictyochophyceae: undescribed Pedinellales sp. I (RCC2289 in apical view, 6 chloroplasts are visible and RCC2283 in lateral view, please note the presence of an upward flagellum and a downward stalk) and undescribed Pedinellales sp. II (RCC2286). Undescribed Pelagophyceae: sp. I (RCC2040) sp. II (RCC2492), and sp. III (RCC2505).

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 3. (Caption on next page.)

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012

Fig. 3. Full 18S rDNA phylogenetic tree including at least one sequence from each genotype found within the strains isolated during the MALINA cruise. The tree has been split into five groups (Heterokontophyta, Chlorophyta, Dinophyceae, Prymnesiophyceae and Crytophyceae), two fungal sequences (*Phoma herbarum* AY337712 and *Sidowia polyspora* AY544718) have been used as outgroups and are not shown for clarity. The tree was inferred by Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis using MEGA5. 1553 unambiguously aligned positions were considered from an alignment of 180 nucleotide sequences. The strains sequenced in the present study are labelled in red, the environmental sequences recovered during the MALINA cruise (Balzano et al., 2012) are in blue and other reference sequences from the genbank are in black. Full circles indicate genotypes comprising strains isolated from nitrogen depleted waters (surface waters from the Leg 2b), full squares genotypes with strains isolated from mesotrophic waters and empty circles genotypes including strains isolated from both conditions. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−26101.3937) is shown. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches from left (ML, 1000 replicates) to right (NJ, 1000 replicates). "-" indicates that bootstrap values < 70 % were obtained for the corresponding node. Poorly supported clades (< 50 % bootstrap support) have been removed. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.4722)). The rate variation model allowed for some sites to be evolutionarily invariable ([+I], 27.2360% sites). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths estimated as the number of substitutions per site.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 4. ITS rRNA based phylogeny of the Mamiellophyceae strains isolated from the Beaufort Sea. The phylogenetic tree was inferred by Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis. 425 unambiguously aligned positions were considered from an alignment of 18 sequences. Sequences from MALINA strains are labelled in red. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model; the tree with the highest log likelihood (−2718.0303) is shown. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.4993)). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. The tree was rooted with *Monomastix minuta* as outgroup. The tree has been then edited and ML and NJ bootstrap values have been included as described in Fig. 3. Families are labelled according to Marin and Melkonian (2010). Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 [2].

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 5. (A) Light Microscopy (LM) and (**B** to **E**) Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) micrographs of *Biecheleria cincta* comb. nov. strain RCC2013 **(A)** Ventral view, the arrow indicates the eyespot, scale bar = 10 µm. **(B)** Ventral view, scale bar = 5 µm. **(C)** Dorsal view, scale bar = 5 µm. **(D)** Apical view, note the presence of the EAV (elongate apical vesicle), scale bar = 5 µm. **(E)** Details of the apical groove, scale bar = 1 µm.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012



Fig. 6. 28S rDNA phylogenetic tree inferred by Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis for the dinoflagellate strains isolated during the MALINA cruise. 543 unambiguously aligned positions were considered from an alignment of 35 nucleotide sequences. The strains sequenced in the present study are labelled in red. The tree with the highest log likelihood (−6075.65) is shown. A discrete gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites [5 categories (+G, parameter = 0.63)]. The tree is drawn to scale with branch length measured in the number of substitution per site. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011). The tree was rooted with *Ceratium fusus* and *C. lineatum* as outgroups. Bootstrap values > 70 % are shown next to the branches from left (ML, 1000 bootstrap) to right (NJ, 1000 bootstrap). "-" indicates that lower bootstrap values were obtained for the corresponding node.

BGD
9, 6219–6259, 2012