

Interactive  
Comment

## ***Interactive comment on “High temporal and spatial variability of dissolved oxygen and pH in a nearshore California kelp forest” by C. A. Frieder et al.***

**F. Melzner (Referee)**

fmelzner@geomar.de

Received and published: 29 May 2012

This is a very nice and carefully carried out study on the variability in DO and pH in a near shore kelp forest ecosystem at the California coast. The paper is timely, as there is little high resolution data available on coastal fluctuations in pH and DO. Such data is needed to understand the sensitivity of coastal organisms to ocean acidification. The data has been analyzed carefully and the paper is written well, I have only minor comments that are more conceptual in nature. I believe that the authors could make a greater effort to discuss more examples from the recent literature on coastal pH variability. Otherwise, this is a very nice paper. Good job!

C1554

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



## General comments:

1) The authors should keep in mind that for most marine metazoans, CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> partial pressures are the most important parameters that impact biological processes. Gas exchange of both carbon dioxide and oxygen is based on diffusion of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, increases in environmental pCO<sub>2</sub> thus lead to equivalent increases in pCO<sub>2</sub> in the organisms' extracellular fluids (blood, coelomic fluid or hemolymph) in order to maintain fluxes of respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> out of the organism. Body fluid pH is altered passively by the need to maintain higher pCO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. in mussels), while in some other organisms (e.g. fish) blood pH is stabilized at an increased pCO<sub>2</sub> by actively accumulating bicarbonate ions (see e.g. Melzner et al. 2009 Biogeosciences for a discussion of some concepts). This also implies that a change in pH by 0.2 units can have very different impacts on marine animals depending on whether one considers a change from e.g. 8.3 to 8.1 or one from 7.7 to 7.5, as the change in pCO<sub>2</sub> is very different between these two intervals. Hence, I would recommend to add a figure / table on estimated changes in pCO<sub>2</sub> calculated from measured alkalinity / dissolved inorganic carbon and / or pH.

2) Future changes in pCO<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> enriched habitats will be greater than in the open surface ocean. This has been highlighted by Brewer & Peltzer (2009 Science), Cai et al. (2011 Nature Geoscience), Thomsen et al. (2010 Biogeosciences). The authors might include some calculations on the magnitude of change in pCO<sub>2</sub> / pH to be expected in the future based on estimated increases in future dissolved inorganic carbon. For more details and some calculations for coastal systems see also: Melzner et al. (2012) Marine Biology, in press, DOI : 10.1007/s00227-012-1954-1.

## Minor comments:

P4115, line 26: for many species that are poor at controlling extracellular pH it is clear that rapid changes in seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> rapidly translate into changes in extracellular pCO<sub>2</sub> and pH. E.g., mussels cannot control extracellular pH (Thomsen et al. 2010

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Biogeosciences), sea urchins (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*, Stumpp et al. 2012 *Aquatic Toxicology*) need several days (4-10) to adjust to a new extracellular acid-base status when exposed to seawater acidification. Many fish and decapod crustaceans are able to rapidly regulate extracellular and intracellular pH (within hours, see e.g. Larsen et al. 1997 *Marine Biology*, papers by Heisler).

Figure 9 should be expanded to reflect full range of pH / pCO<sub>2</sub> changes observed in this study and should reference published examples of process sensitivity to the pH / pCO<sub>2</sub> / pO<sub>2</sub> changes depicted in the figure.

Papers that could be discussed:

Cai et al. 2011 *Nature Geoscience* (coastal pH / pO<sub>2</sub> variability) Feely et al. 2010 *Estuarine Coastal Shelf Science* (coastal pH / pO<sub>2</sub> variability) Haynert et al. 2011 *Mar Ecol –Prog Ser* (coastal pH / pO<sub>2</sub> variability, foraminifera) Thomsen et al. 2010 *Biogeosciences* (coastal pH / pO<sub>2</sub> variability, mussels) Bechmann et al. 2011 *J. Toxicol. Env. Heal. A* (*Mytilus* early life stage sensitivity) Gazeau et al. 2010 *Biogeosciences* (*Mytilus* early life stage sensitivity) Sunday et al. 2011 *PLoS ONE* (mussel adaptation potential to OA) Brewer & Peltzer 2009 *Science* (non-linear increase in future pCO<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> enriched regions)

---

Interactive comment on *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 9, 4099, 2012.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)