

1 Regional analysis of groundwater nitrate concentrations 2 and trends in Denmark in regard to agricultural influence

3
4
5 **B. Hansen¹, T. Dalgaard², L. Thorling¹, B. Sørensen¹, and M. Erlandsen³**

6
7 ¹Department of Groundwater and Quaternary Geology Mapping, Geological Survey of
8 Denmark and Greenland – GEUS, Lyseng Allé 1, DK-8270 Høbjerg, Denmark

9 ²Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Denmark

10 ³Department of Health Studies, Aarhus University, Denmark

11
12
13 *Correspondence to:* B. Hansen (bgh@geus.dk)

14 15 **Abstract**

16 The act of balancing between an intensive agriculture with a high potential for nitrate
17 pollution and a drinking water supply almost entirely based on groundwater is a challenge
18 faced by Denmark and similar regions around the globe. Since the 1980s, regulations
19 implemented by Danish farmers have succeeded in optimizing the N (nitrogen) management
20 at farm level. As a result, the upward agricultural N surplus trend has been reversed, and the
21 N surplus has reduced by 30-55% from 1980 to 2007 depending on region. The reduction in
22 the N surplus served to reduce the losses of N from agriculture, with documented positive
23 effects on nature and the environment in Denmark. In groundwater, the upward trend in
24 nitrate concentrations was reversed around 1980, and a larger number of downward nitrate
25 trends were seen in the youngest groundwater compared with the oldest groundwater.
26 However, on average, approximately 48% of the oxic monitored groundwater has nitrate
27 concentrations above the groundwater and drinking water standards of 50 mg/l. Furthermore,
28 trend analyses show that 33% of all the monitored groundwater has upward nitrate trends,
29 while only 18% of the youngest groundwater has upward nitrate trends according to data
30 sampled from 1988-2009. A regional analysis shows a correlation between a high level of N

1 surplus in agriculture, high concentrations of nitrate in groundwater and the largest number of
2 downward nitrate trends in groundwater in the livestock-dense northern and western parts of
3 Denmark compared with the south-eastern regions with lower livestock densities. These
4 results indicate that the livestock farms dominating in northern and western parts of Denmark
5 have achieved the largest reductions in N surpluses. Groundwater recharge age
6 determinations allow comparison of long-term changes in N surplus in agriculture with
7 changes in oxic groundwater quality. The presented data analysis is based on groundwater
8 recharged from 1952-2003, but sampled from 1988-2009. Repetition of the nitrate trend
9 analyses at five-year intervals using dating of the groundwater recharged in the coming years
10 and a longer time series of the nitrate analyses can reveal the evolution in nitrate leaching
11 from Danish agriculture during the past 10 years. Similar analyses can be carried out to
12 compare with other regions internationally.

13

14 **1 Introduction**

15 Intensive agriculture is a major source of environmental N (nitrogen) pollution with severe N
16 losses to soil, water and air. The environmental effects include a decline in biodiversity,
17 eutrophication of ecosystems and surface waters, acidification, global warming, air pollution
18 and diffuse nitrate pollution of groundwater. N pollution from intensive agriculture not only
19 affects the environment, but may also affects human health due, for example, to the
20 presence of N-containing particles in the atmosphere, which may give rise to respiratory
21 health problems and diseases, or nitrate in drinking water, which may pose risks for some
22 types of cancer although no firm conclusions exists (van Grinsven et al., 2010; Erisman et
23 al., 2011).

24

25 The manufacture of nitrogen-containing fertilizer for food production and the cultivation of
26 leguminous crops convert atmospheric N_2 into reactive forms that significantly perturb the
27 global nitrogen cycle and threaten the stability of the planet (Rockström et al., 2009).
28 Globally, industrial N fixation has increased exponentially from near zero in the 1940s
29 (Vitousek et al., 1997). The production of nitrogen fertilizers has been the main reason for the
30 increase in world crop productivity, thus supporting the human population growth, but
31 nitrogen fertilizers also cause N imbalances in agricultural development in all parts of the
32 world (Vitousek et al., 2009).

33

1 A global challenge is to produce enough food for the ever-growing population and at the
2 same time minimizing the loss of N to the environment. Since the 1980s, agriculture in
3 Western Europe has managed to reduce its nitrogen surpluses, owing to stringent national
4 and European Community policies (Vitousek et al., 2009; Grizzetti et al., 2011; Hansen et al.,
5 2011; Dalgaard et al., 2012). However, Vitousek et al. (2009) reckon that regions in Africa
6 continue to extract the nutrient capital of what were once highly fertile soils with low yields,
7 while in contrast intensive agricultural production in Northern China has a very high input of
8 N to agricultural fields and high yields, but also a very high N loss to the environment.

9

10 Nutrients in the soil are leached when the supply exceeds the nutrient demand of the plant.
11 Since 1980, agriculture in Denmark has been able to reduce its N surplus by approximately
12 40% while maintaining crop yields. The result of the reduction in the agricultural N surplus is
13 reflected in respective reductions in nitrate leaching of on average 33% (Kronvang et al.,
14 2008), the N load in surface waters of approx. 29-32% and groundwater nitrate
15 concentrations of approx. 40% (Hansen et al., 2011). Also other countries as The
16 Netherlands (Visser et al., 2007), Belgium (Aguilar et al., 2007) and the US (Rupert, 2008;
17 Burow et al., 2010) have observed effects on groundwater nitrate concentrations due to
18 impact from fertilizer use in agriculture. Several Danish initiatives have been taken to reduce
19 the N pollution from agriculture. Some of the most effective environmental measures have
20 been a reduction in the statutory and crop-specific N fertilisation standards and N utilization
21 requirements of manures which has raised the overall N use efficiency from 27% in 1985 to
22 40% in 2008 (Dalgaard et al., 2011a).

23

24 In Denmark, public drinking water supplies almost entirely originate from groundwater and
25 approximately 15% of the total area of Denmark has therefore been classified as nitrate-
26 vulnerable abstraction areas (Hansen and Thorling, 2008) with many waterworks and wells
27 having been turned off due to nitrate pollution. Groundwater protection is therefore a high
28 priority and since 1985 it has been one of the most important drivers of regulation of the
29 Danish agricultural sector through national action plans (Kronvang et al., 2008) and EU
30 policies (Uthes et al., 2011; Happe et al., 2011).

31

32 The present paper continues the analysis initially presented and published in Hansen et al.
33 (2011). The focus is still on nitrate in the oxic zone of the Danish groundwater because we
34 are examining the effect of nitrate leaching on groundwater nitrate concentrations. In oxic

1 Danish groundwater it can be assumed that nitrate leached to groundwater acts as a
2 conservative compound under the presence of oxygen and the generally low reactivity of
3 organic matter below the root zone. The aims of the present study are to better understand
4 the geographic distribution of nitrate in groundwater and the evolution of nitrate trends in
5 Denmark at different groundwater recharge ages by examining the influence from 1)
6 regionally calculated N losses from agriculture and 2) the local amount of groundwater
7 recharge.

8

9 **2 Methods**

10

11 **2.1 Agricultural, geological and hydrological conditions**

12 Denmark has a total land area of about 43,000 km², and about two thirds of this is under
13 agricultural use. The fertilization rate in Denmark is high compared with other European
14 countries (OECD, 2010; European Environmental Agency, 2005). The average livestock
15 density is about 0.8 livestock units per hectare (Dalgaard et al., 2011b), and the average
16 input of N to agricultural land is about 180 kg/ha/yr in 2008 (Statistics, 2010; Kronvang et al.,
17 2008). The land surface has a modest topography where the highest point is 170 m above
18 sea level. The climate in Denmark is coastal temperate, and the precipitation varies from
19 about 600 to 1000 mm yr⁻¹. The upper geologic layers are mainly 50-200 m thick Quaternary
20 glacial deposits underlain by Tertiary marine and fluvial deposits or Cretaceous limestone
21 and chalk. The aquifers thus consist of either unconsolidated sands and gravels or fractured
22 limestone and chalk.

23

24 **2.2 Nitrate reduction in Danish groundwater**

25 The Danish groundwater can be divided into an upper oxic zone and a, usually, deeper
26 reduced zone (Fig. 1). Nitrate reduction takes place in an intervening zone, called the nitrate-
27 containing anoxic zone, between the oxic and the reduced zones. The redox interface
28 divides the upper nitrate containing zones from the reduced zone. Hydro-geological
29 heterogeneity and variation in the reduction capacity of the sediments can locally result in a
30 complex transition between the oxic and the reduced zones (Hansen and Thorling, 2008).
31 These circumstances also give rise to variation in the thickness of the anoxic zone from a
32 few mm to more than 15 m across the country. In Denmark, the oxic zone in the Quaternary
33 deposits has developed after the latest glaciations. On exposed residues of Saalean

1 landscapes in Western Denmark, the oxidation processes have been active for more than
2 100,000 years, whereas in the rest of the country, they have only been active for about
3 12,000 years due to differences in the extension of the latest glaciations.

4

5 Nitrate reduction in the soil and groundwater is often microbially controlled. In the
6 unsaturated zone, the denitrification processes take place in the reduced microenvironments
7 due only to reduction of organic matter (Ernstsen, 1999). In the groundwater aquifers,
8 organic matter, ferrous ions and pyrite (Postma, 2001) are the dominating nitrate-reducing
9 agents causing the denitrification processes that take place in the nitrate-reducing anoxic
10 zone.

11

12 <<Figure 1>>

13

14 **2.3 Nitrate concentrations in oxic groundwater**

15 Different type of nitrate data has been used in the statistical analyses of nitrate in Danish
16 groundwater according to the purposes which is summarized in Table 1. Data on nitrate
17 concentrations from all types of wells (monitoring, investigations, abstraction, etc.) have been
18 integrated to obtain a national overview of the geographic distribution of the nitrate in oxic
19 groundwater in Denmark set out in Fig. 2A. Like many other data used for chemical analyses
20 of the Danish groundwater, nitrate concentrations are being reported to the National
21 database JUPITER. Totally there are 162,144 nitrate analyses sampled from 1890 – 2011.
22 The data used in Fig. 2 were downloaded in January 2011 and consist of 3,757 oxic
23 monitoring points with average nitrate concentration based on 11,518 analyses sampled in
24 the period 1967-2011. Data from such a long period are used in order to obtain as many
25 nitrate analyses as possible from the oxic zone to create a national overview. Data stored in
26 JUPITER have been analyzed by professionally certified laboratories.

27

28 <<Table 1>>

29

30 Before nitrate concentrations are determined in the laboratories, the groundwater samples
31 undergo normal analysis in the field which includes online measurements of pH, redox
32 potential, oxygen concentration, temperature and conductivity. This approach ensures a high
33 analytical quality and representative groundwater samples. Performing field analyses has

1 been normal procedure over the last approximately 20 years in the Danish groundwater
2 monitoring programme. The sampling and the field analyses are performed according to
3 Danish technical standards.

4

5 The redox condition of the groundwater is used to segregate the relevant subset of data used
6 in this study where only data from the oxic zone are used. This subset of data from the oxic
7 zone represents groundwater with a nitrate content mirroring the original nitrate leaching
8 from the root zone. In oxic groundwater, nitrate is expected to act as an inert tracer due to
9 the presence of oxygen and the generally low reactivity of organic matter below the root
10 zone.

11

12 In this study the oxic zone is defined as:

- 13 • Nitrate > 1 mg/l,
- 14 • Iron < 0.2 mg/l, and
- 15 • Oxygen > 1 mg/l

16

17 **2.4 Nitrate concentrations used for trend analyses on a national scale**

18 Only nitrate concentrations from the Danish Groundwater Monitoring Programme are used in
19 the national trend analysis presented in Fig. 3. Details about the purpose, construction and
20 hydro-geological conditions of the sites in the Danish Groundwater Monitoring Programme
21 can be found in Jørgensen and Stockmarr (2009) and Hansen et al. (2011). The entire
22 Danish monitoring data set numbers approximately 46,800 nitrate analyses from 1,500
23 groundwater monitoring points (Table 1). Only a subset of the complete dataset is used in
24 the trend analyses in the present study.

25

26 The nitrate concentrations used for trend analyses on a national scale originate from 194
27 groundwater monitoring points with oxic groundwater where the groundwater recharge age
28 has also been determined using the CFC method, typically once during the period 1997-
29 2006. The groundwater recharge age determination allows the comparison of long-term
30 changes in N surplus in agriculture with changes in oxic groundwater quality (Hinsby et al.,
31 2008). The CFC analyses were performed according to the procedure of Laier (2005) as
32 described in Hansen et al. (2011).

1

2 **2.5 Nitrate concentrations used for trend analyses on an individual scale**

3 Nitrate concentrations from the Danish Groundwater Monitoring Programme are also used in
4 the trend analyses for individual point measurements depicted in Figures 2B, 2C, 4 and 5.

5

6 The nitrate concentrations used for trend analyses for individual point measurements
7 comprise 5,321 nitrate analyses from 152 monitoring points sampled from 1988-2009 where
8 the groundwater 1) had stable oxic conditions, 2) was CFC-dated and 3) had time series of
9 between 8 and 20 years with approximately one nitrate analysis per year (Table 1).

10

11 The groundwater chemistry data from the Danish Groundwater Monitoring Programme used
12 in the trend analyses were downloaded from the Danish national geo-database (JUPITER) in
13 October 2009 (www.geus.dk).

14

15 **2.6 Nitrogen surpluses in agriculture**

16 The annual national surplus of N in agriculture is estimated as the difference between inputs
17 (synthetic fertilizer, import of animal feed, organic waste products, net atmospheric
18 deposition and fixation) and outputs (export of plant and animal products). The annual
19 national N surplus presented in this paper is estimated based on information from Statistics
20 Denmark (2010) and Dalgaard & Kyllingsbæk (2003) on these entries in the budget.

21

22 The surplus of nutrients, and especially N, is regarded as the best overall environmental
23 indicator for the changes in the agricultural impact on the environment over a certain time
24 period (European Environmental Agency, 2005). The surplus represents the amount of N
25 pooled in the soil, or not being used up by the production system, and which is therefore at
26 risk of being lost to the environment (Dalgaard et al., 2010; Hansen et al., 2000). Thus, the N
27 surplus consists of the sum of nitrate leaching ($N_{leaching}$), ammonia emission ($N_{emission}$),
28 denitrification, and accumulation of N in the soil ($N_{accumulation}$) as defined in Dalgaard et al.
29 (2011b), and formulated in equation (1):

30

$$31 \quad N_{surplus} = N_{leaching} + N_{emission} + N_{denitrification} + N_{accumulation} \quad (1)$$

32

1 In this paper, the regional N surpluses for each of the ten Danish geo-regions shown in Fig. 2
2 are estimated from the annual, national N surplus as accounted for by Hansen et al. (2011).
3 For each geo-region and for each year from 1950 to 2007, the livestock units are sourced
4 from national county statistics and accord with the linear relationship between livestock units
5 and N surplus identified by Dalgaard et al. (2011b). The annual, national N surplus values
6 are apportioned according to the number of livestock units in each geo-region. In this way an
7 approximately N surplus in each geo-region is found. However, this might differ from the
8 “true” N surplus in the geo-region for example due to different distribution of livestock and
9 individual farming practices in each region.

10 **2.8 Water balances**

11 Water balance components were estimated by the national water resources model called the
12 DK model (Højberg et al., 2012), which is a coupled surface-groundwater model with a
13 horizontal discretization of 500 x 500 m covering the entire country with the exception of
14 minor islands. The model is set up in the MIKE SHE/ MIKE11 model system, where the
15 unsaturated zone is described by a water balance module, while the saturated zone is
16 described by a comprehensive three-dimensional groundwater component to estimate
17 recharge to and hydraulic heads in different geological layers. Stream-aquifer interaction and
18 stream flow-routing are described by MIKE11. The model is constructed on the basis of
19 comprehensive national databases on geology, soil, topography, river systems, climate and
20 hydrology and has recently been updated to include hydrological interpretations from
21 regional- and local-scale hydrological models.

22

23 Daily groundwater recharge values (mm/day) are extracted from the model simulations with
24 MIKE SHE and represent 10-year average values for the period 1998-2007. For each of the
25 152 groundwater monitoring points, a groundwater recharge value is found from the 500 x
26 500 m cell from the groundwater model where the well is situated.

27

28 **2.6 Statistical methods**

29

30 **2.6.1. Gridding of nitrate concentrations**

31 The data on the nitrate concentration map in Fig. 2 were interpolated using the kriging
32 method of the Surfer programme (Surfer, 2002). A semi-variogram was fitted with an
33 exponential function. The search radius of 10 km and a cell size of 2500 m were used.

1

2 2.6.2 Nitrate trend analyses

3 Determination of nitrate trends was achieved using the SAS software system (SAS, 2008) as
4 described in Hansen et al. (2011).

5

6 **3. Results**

7

8 **3.1 Geographic assessment of nitrate in oxic groundwater**

9 Figure 2A shows that nitrate has been found in the oxic part of the groundwater throughout
10 Denmark, with concentrations of up to 360 mg/l when using average nitrate concentrations
11 based on all available data from oxic groundwater from 1967 - 2011. Nitrate concentrations
12 of 25 mg/l are exceeded in 54% of the datasets, corresponding to 55% of the total area of
13 Denmark, and nitrate concentrations of 50 mg/l are exceeded in 24% of the dataset,
14 equivalent to 10% of the total area of Denmark (Fig. 2A). However, if we consider only data
15 from the Groundwater Monitoring Programme sampled from 1988-2009 and representing
16 ages up to maximum 50 years, then the nitrate concentration of 25 mg/l and 50 mg/l are
17 exceeded in 79% and 48% of the 152 oxic monitoring points, respectively. The nitrate
18 concentrations in the oxic groundwater data from the Groundwater Monitoring Programme
19 are higher than the concentrations that appear from the data shown in Fig. 2A because the
20 oxic data from the Groundwater Monitoring Programme on average represent younger and
21 more nitrate-polluted groundwater.

22

23 However, Fig. 2A shows a tendency towards a regionalization of the highest concentrations
24 of nitrate. The general picture shows that the groundwater is most severely polluted with
25 nitrate in northern and western Denmark (geo-regions I, II, III, IV, and V) while eastern
26 Denmark (geo-regions VI, VII, VIII, IX, and X) is less polluted with nitrate.

27

28

<<Figure 2>>

29

30 An examination of the geographic distribution of the upward and downward nitrate trends and
31 non-significant nitrate trends in the 152 oxic groundwater monitoring points sampled from
32 1989-2009 reveals no obvious regional pattern (see Fig. 2B & C). However, the most

1 pronounced downward nitrate trends seem to be where the concentrations of nitrate in the
2 groundwater are highest in northern and western Denmark (geo-regions I, II, III, IV, and V).

3

4 The occurrence of oxic groundwater with high concentrations of nitrate is most likely due to a
5 combination of: 1) insufficient protection of the aquifer from overlying clay layers, 2) a low
6 nitrate reduction capacity of the sediments of the aquifer, e.g. low content of potential
7 reduction agents like pyrite, Fe^{II} and organic matter, 3) a high groundwater recharge, and 4)
8 high nitrate leaching from agricultural land. Widespread pollution of groundwater with nitrate
9 is therefore likely to be found where the redox interface has penetrated deeply into the soil
10 layers (see Fig. 1.).

11

12 **3.2 Regional trends of N surpluses and nitrate in oxic groundwater**

13 Denmark is divided into ten different geo-regions according to Kronvang et al.(2008), and
14 regional N balances have been calculated for each geo-region as seen in Fig. 2A. The
15 regional balances are shown together with the national N balance in Fig. 3 and Table 2. All
16 the ten different regional N balances have the same overall oscillation pattern as the national
17 N balance with minimums and maximums occurring at the same time. However, the regional
18 N balances are staggered so that northern and western Jutland (geo-regions I, II, III, IV and
19 V) have a higher and eastern and southern Denmark (geo-regions VI, VII, VIII, IX, X) have a
20 lower N surplus level than the national average N surplus level.

21

22 The higher N surplus level in northern and western Jutland and lower N-surplus level in
23 eastern and southern Denmark is comparable to the geographic pattern of the nitrate
24 concentration levels in oxic groundwater seen in Fig. 2A. There are many reasons for the
25 geographic distribution of nitrate in oxic groundwater where the N surplus might be
26 one of them but other reasons might be the geographic distribution of soil types, land
27 use, nitrate reduction capacity of the sediments, precipitation and groundwater
28 recharge in Denmark.

29

30

<<Table 2>>

31

1 In almost every geo-region in Denmark, the N-surpluses were approximately 50 kg N/ha/yr in
2 1950, where the country as a whole was characterized by mixed farming and the livestock
3 was distributed evenly across all regions (Dalgaard and Kyllingsbæk, 2003). Over the next
4 30 years, N surpluses rose dramatically in every geo-region and they reached a maximum
5 around 1980 where they ranged from 234 kg N/ha/yr in Thy (geo-region III) in northern
6 Jutland to 91 kg N/ha/yr in North Zealand (geo-region VIII) in the eastern part of Denmark.
7 Since 1980, the N surpluses have decreased by 30-55% in all Danish geo-regions. The
8 national average N surplus reduction since 1980 is about 37% and the national average N
9 surplus was 117 kg N/ha/yr in 2007 (see Table 2).

10
11 The trends in the regional N surpluses and the national N surpluses both show the same
12 fluctuations as the nitrate concentration trends in oxic groundwater at the national level (Fig.
13 3). The nitrate concentrations in oxic groundwater are shown as a 5-year moving average
14 curve based on groundwater measurements (nitrate analyses and CFC dating) performed
15 from 1997-2006 representing groundwater recharged from 1954-1996 (Fig. 3). This
16 phenomenon is elucidated in detail in Hansen et al. (2011), who report a statistically
17 significant nitrate trend reversal in oxic groundwater around 1980. Figure 3 also shows that
18 the increase in N surpluses in agriculture in the 1950s, 1960s and 1970s leveled out after
19 1980.

20
21 <<Figure 3>>

22 23 **3.3 Nitrate trends in oxic groundwater**

24 The nitrate trends of the time series of nitrate concentrations in the 152 oxic groundwater
25 monitoring points were assessed by linear regression, as described in detail in Hansen et al.
26 (2011). The slopes of each of these 152 linear regression lines represent the nitrate trend or
27 the changes in nitrate shown in mg nitrate/l/yr. Thus, negative slopes represent downward
28 trends, while positive slopes represent upward nitrate trends. In Fig. 4, the upward and
29 downward nitrate trends are illustrated according to the age of the groundwater determined
30 with the CFC method and the data are divided into three age groups.

31
32 <<Figure 4>>

1 The general national nitrate trends (Fig. 3) show a trend reversal around 1980; however, the
2 nitrate trends from the individual monitoring points show a more complex picture as seen in
3 Fig. 4 and Table 3.

4

5 Ninety-four of the 152 oxic groundwater monitoring points have statistically significant
6 ($p < 0.05$) nitrate trends of which 50 are upward and 44 are downward. The remaining 58
7 monitoring points have non-significant nitrate trends.

8

9 As far as the upward nitrate trends are concerned, 20% is in the youngest groundwater (< 15
10 years), while 42% is in the oldest groundwater (25-50 years). The reverse pattern is found for
11 the downward nitrate trends where 54% is in the youngest groundwater and only 7% in the
12 oldest. Thus, the youngest groundwater has the highest proportion of downward nitrate
13 trends with the highest rate of changes in the nitrate concentrations (mg/l/yr) as seen in Fig.
14 4.

15

16

<<Table 3>>

17

18 The rate of changes in the nitrate concentrations (mg/l/yr) are highest in the groundwater
19 monitoring points with the highest concentrations, which is both reflected in the significant
20 upward and downward nitrate trends and in the non-significant nitrate trends (see Fig. 5A).
21 Sixty-four per cent of the downward nitrate trends have mean nitrate concentrations above
22 50 mg/l as opposed to only 38% of the upward nitrate trends. These findings are in line with
23 the indications in Fig. 2 that the largest number of downward nitrate trends is found where
24 the mean nitrate concentrations in groundwater are highest, i.e. in the northern and western
25 parts (geo-regions I, II, III, IV, and V).

26

27

<<Figure 5>>

28

29 As far as groundwater recharge is concerned, most of the upward and downward nitrate
30 trends (approx. 50%) are found at an annual mean recharge level of 400-600 mm/yr, and no
31 obvious differences can be seen between the upward and downward nitrate trends (Fig. 5B).

32

1 The N load to groundwater is calculated by multiplying the nitrate concentrations and the
2 groundwater recharge. Twenty-five per cent of the groundwater monitoring points with
3 downward nitrate trends have a high N load (> 75 kg N/ha/yr) to the groundwater compared
4 with only 11% of the upward nitrate trends. This finding seems to be due to the nitrate
5 concentration levels rather than to the local mean level of the groundwater recharge in
6 Denmark.

7

8 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

9 Denmark has seen its farming sector develop a high livestock density due notably to the
10 increase in pig production and the concentration of dairy farming in western Denmark over
11 the last century (Dalgaard and Kyllingsbæk, 2003). Farms with a high livestock density have
12 accomplished larger reductions in N surplus between the years 1990 and 2008 than farms
13 with cash crop production using synthetic fertilizers and little livestock manure (Dalgaard et
14 al., 2012). These circumstances can explain the findings in the present study where there
15 seems to be consistency between a high N surplus in agriculture, high concentrations of
16 nitrate in groundwater and the most pronounced downward nitrate trends in groundwater in
17 northern and western parts of Denmark. In absolute values, the reduction in N surplus from
18 1980-2007 in these regions is also highest (74-87 kg N/ha/yr); however, the relative
19 reduction in N surplus is lower (34-37%) than in eastern and southern Denmark (40-55%).

20

21 A clear indication of an effect of reduced N surplus in agriculture on groundwater nitrate
22 concentrations in Denmark is seen in the age of the groundwater recharge relating to the
23 upward and downward nitrate trends. Here 20% of the youngest (0–15 years old) and 42% of
24 the oldest (25–50 years old) groundwater display upward nitrate trends, while the opposite
25 pattern is seen for the downward nitrate trends where 54% can be found in the youngest and
26 7% are in the oldest groundwater.

27

28 Mean nitrate concentrations above 50 mg/l are seen in 64% of the downward nitrate trends in
29 oxic groundwater, but only in 38% of the upward nitrate trends. The N load in groundwater is
30 the amount of nitrogen being transported by the groundwater and which might eventually
31 flow out into groundwater-dependent ecosystems. The geographical variation in nitrate
32 concentrations in groundwater rather than the amount of groundwater recharge influences
33 the distribution of the groundwater N load, and 25% of the groundwater monitoring points

1 with downward nitrate trends have a high N load compared with only 11% of the upward
2 nitrate trends.

3

4 Together with the findings in Hansen et al. (2011), this study demonstrates a clear
5 relationship between changes in the N surplus in agriculture, both at national and regional
6 level, and changes in the nitrate concentrations in oxic groundwater with the same
7 synchronic oscillations and trend reversals around 1980. The change and the development in
8 Danish agricultural management of N have been driven mainly by politically enforced
9 regulations since 1985, but also changes in the economic and technical conditions for
10 farming with fluctuating product prices and revisions of the European Agricultural Policies
11 have been instrumental in accomplishing these changes (Uthes et al., 2011; Happe et al.,
12 2011). Regulations in agriculture have a significant impact on the environment, as strongly
13 indicated by the simultaneousness of the reduction in N surplus in agriculture and the
14 reduced nitrate contamination of oxic groundwater. The experience from Denmark may
15 provide inspiration for other countries where control of agricultural N losses is needed, as for
16 example pointed out by Vitousek et al. (2009).

17

18 Of all the coastal waters in the world, those in Denmark are some of the most frequently
19 exposed to hypoxia (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008). The EU Water Framework Directive
20 stipulates that Denmark reverses the upward trends in groundwater nitrate concentrations
21 and complies with the groundwater quality standards of 50 mg/l in certain areas. Thus, the
22 environmental goals in both Danish legislation and EU directives (The Nitrate Directive,
23 1991/696/EC; The Water Framework Directive, 2000/60/EC; and the Groundwater Directive,
24 2006/118/EF) have not yet been fully met. There is a need for more holistic future solutions
25 to protect both groundwater, nature and the wider environment and to meet legislative
26 requirements for a good chemical status of groundwater, and a good ecological status of the
27 Danish estuaries and oceans is just one of the important goals.

28

29 The latest report from the Danish agricultural monitoring sites (Grant et al., 2011) shows a
30 small increase in modelled nitrate leaching from 2003 to 2010. The results from the individual
31 trend analyses presented in this paper are based on groundwater data sampled from 1988-
32 2009, and they represent groundwater recharged from 1952-2003 where the oldest
33 monitored groundwater is about 46 years old and the youngest monitored groundwater is
34 about 6 years old. Repetition of the nitrate trend analyses at five-year intervals based on

1 dating of the groundwater recharge in the coming years and a longer time series of the
2 nitrate analyses will shed more light on the groundwater effect of the evolution in nitrate
3 leaching from Danish agriculture during the past 10 years.

4

5 **Acknowledgements**

6 The presented groundwater data are collected as part of the governmentally supported
7 Danish Environmental Monitoring Programme.

8

9

10

11 **References**

12 Aguilar, J.B., Orban, P., Dassargues, A., Brouyère, S.: Identification of groundwater quality trends in a
13 chalk aquifer threatened by intensive agriculture in Belgium, *Hydrogeol. J.*,15, 1615-1627, 2007.

14

15 Burow, K.R., Nolan, B.T., Rupert, M.G., Dubrovsky, N.M.: Nitrate in Groundwater in the United States,
16 1991-2003. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44 (13), 4988-4997, 2010.

17

18 Dalgaard, T. and Kyllingsbæk, A.: Developments in the nitrogen surplus and the fossil energy use in
19 Danish Agriculture during the 20th century. In: Usó J.L., Patten, B.C., Brebbia, C.A. (eds.). *Advances in*
20 *Ecological Sciences*, 18, 669-678, WIT Press Southampton, UK, 2003.

21

22 Dalgaard, T., Hutchings, N., Børgesen, C., Vinther, F.P. and Hansen, B.: How can nitrogen utilization
23 in farming systems be optimized to minimize losses?, proceeding from ifs (International Fertiliser
24 Society), 2011a.

25

26 Dalgaard, T.; Hutchings, N.; Dragosits U.; Olesen J.E.; Kjeldsen, C.; Drouet, J.L. and Cellier, P.:
27 Effects of farm heterogeneity on modelling and upscaling of nitrogen losses and greenhouse gas
28 emissions in agricultural landscapes, *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 3183-3192, 2011b.

29

30 Dalgaard, T., Durand, P., Dragosits, U., Hutchings, N.J., Kedziora, C., Bienkowski, J., Frumau, A.,
31 Bleeker, A., Magliulo, E., Olesen, J.E., Theobald, M.R., Drouet, J.L., Cellier, P.: Farm Nitrogen
32 Balances in European Landscapes. *Biogeosciences* (this issue), 2012.

1

2 Diaz, R.J. and Rosenberg, R.: Spreading Dead Zones and Consequences for Marine Ecosystems,
3 Science, 321, 926-929, 2008.

4

5 Erisman, J.W., van Grinsven, H., Grizzetti, B., Bouraoui, F., Powlson, D., Sutton, M.A., Bleeker, A.
6 Reis, S.: The European nitrogen problem in a global perspective, In: The European Nitrogen
7 Assessment, eds.: Sutton, M., Howard, C.M., Erisman J.W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P.,
8 Grinsven, H.V. and Grizzetti, B, Cambridge, 9-31, 2011.

9

10 Ernstsen, V.: Micro- and macro-geochemical environments of clayey till deposits. The Geological
11 Society of America, 1999 Annual meeting and exposition, October 25-28 1999, Denver USA: GSA
12 Abstracts with programme, p. A450, 1999.

13

14 European Environmental Agency: *Agriculture and environment in EU-15— the IRENA indicator report.*
15 EEA Report No 6/2005 ISBN 92-9167-779-5, ISSN 1725-9177. EEA, Copenhagen, 2005.

16

17 Grant, R., Blicher-Mathiesen, G., Jensen, P.G., Hansen, B. and Thorling, L.:
18 Landovervågningsoplände 2010. NOVANA. Aarhus Universitet, DCE – Nationalt Center for Miljø og
19 Energi. 130 s. – Videnskabelig rapport fra DCE – Nationalt Center for Miljø og Energi nr. 3.

20

21 van Grinsven, H.J.M., Rabl, A., de Kok, T.M.: Estimation of incidence of social cost of colon cancer
22 due to nitrate in drinking water in the EU: a tentative cost-benefit assessment. Environmental Health,
23 9:58; 2010.

24

25 Grizzetti, B., Bouraoui, F., Billen, G., van Grinsven, H. Cardoso, A.C., Thieu, V., Garnier, J., Curtis, C.,
26 Howarth, R., Johnes P.: Nitrogen as a threat to European water quality, In: The European Nitrogen
27 Assessment, eds.: Sutton, M., Howard, C.M., Erisman J.W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P.,
28 Grinsven, H.V. and Grizzetti, B, Cambridge, 379-404, 2011.

29

30 Hansen, B.; Kristensen, E.S.; Grant, R.; Høgh-Jensen, H.; Simmelsgaard, S.E.; Olesen, J.E.: Nitrogen
31 leaching from conventional versus organic farming systems – system modelling approach. European
32 Journal of Agronomy, 13, 65-82, 2000.

33

1 Hansen, B. and Thorling, L.: Use of geochemistry in groundwater vulnerability mapping in Denmark,
2 Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland Bulletin, 15, 45-48, 2008.

3

4 Hansen, B., Thorling, L., Dalgaard, T. and Erlandsen, M.: Trend Reversal of Nitrate in Danish
5 Groundwater – a Reflection of Agricultural Practices and Nitrogen Surpluses since 1950.
6 Environmental Science and Technology, 45, 228-234, 2011.

7

8 Happe, K., Hutchings, N., Dalgaard, T and Kellermann, K.: Modelling the interactions between
9 regional farming structure, nitrogen losses and environmental regulation. Agricultural Systems, 104(3),
10 282-291, 2011.

11

12 Højberg, A.L., Trolborg, L., Stisen, S., Christensen, B.S.B. and Henriksen, H.J.: Maintenance of a
13 national water resources model as a participatory modelling task, submitted to Environmental
14 Modelling and Software, 2012.

15

16 Hinsby, K.; Purtschert, R.; Edmunds, W.M.: Groundwater age and Quality. In: Ph. Quevauviller (Ed.),
17 Groundwater Science and Policy – An International Overview, RSC Publishing, The Royal Society of
18 Chemistry, Cambridge, 217-239, 2008.

19

20 Jørgensen, L.F.; Stockmarr, J. Groundwater monitoring in Denmark: characteristics, perspectives and
21 comparison with other countries, Hydrogeology Journal, 17, 827-842, 2009.

22

23 Kronvang, B.; Andersen, H.E.; Børgensen, C.; Dalgaard, T.; Larsen, S.E.; Bøgestrand, J.; Blicher-
24 Mathiasen, G.: Effects of policy measures implemented in Denmark on nitrogen pollution of the
25 aquatic environment, Environmental Science and Policy, 11(2), 144-152, 2008.

26

27 Laier, T.: Nitrate monitoring and CFC-age dating of shallow groundwaters – an attempt to check the
28 effect of restricted use of fertilizers. In: Nitrates in Groundwater. Eds. Lidia Razowska-Jaworek and
29 Andrzej Sadurski. International Associations of hydrogeologists selected papers, A.A. Balkema
30 Publishers, Chapter 24, 2005.

31

32 OECD: Environmental Performance in Agriculture in OECD Counties since 1990. Paris, France, 2008.
33 www.oecd.org/tad/env/indicators (accessed November 26, 2010).

34

1 Postma, D.; C. Boesen,; Kristiansen, H.; Larsen, F.: Nitrate Reduction in An Unconfined Sandy Aquifer
2 - Water Chemistry, Reduction Processes, and Geochemical Modeling, Water Resources Research,
3 27(8), 2027-2045, 1991.

4

5 Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F.S., Lambin, E.F., Lenton, T.M.,
6 Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H.J., Nykvist, B., de Wit, C.A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S.,
7 Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P.K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., Falkenmark, M., Karlberg, L., Corell,
8 R.W., Fabry, V.J., Hansen, J., Walker, B., Liverman, D., Richardsonm K., Crutzen, P. and Foley, J.A.:
9 A safe operating space for humanity. Nature, 461, 2009.

10

11 Rupert, M.G.: Decadal-Scale Changes of Nitrate in Groundwater of the United States, 1988.2004,
12 Journal of Environmental Quality, 37 September-October (supplement), 240-248, 2008.

13

14 Statistics Denmark: National Statistical Database 2010 (Statistikbanken), Danmarks Statistik,
15 København, 2010.

16

17 Surfer: Surfer 8. Counturing and 3D Surface Mapping for Scientists and Engineers. Golden Software,
18 2002.

19

20 Uthes S., Piorr, A., Zander, P., Kedziorac, A., Ungaro F., Dalgaard, T., Kjeldsen, C., Stolze, M.,
21 Moschitz, H., Schader, C., Happe, K., Sahrbacher, A., Damgaard, M., Sattler, C., Toussainta, V.,
22 Casini, L. and Müller, C.: Regional impacts of abolishing direct payments: and integrated analysis in
23 four European regions. Agricultural Systems, 104 (2), 110-121, 2011.

24

25 Visser, A., Broers, J., van der Grift, B., Bierkens, M.F.P.: Demonstrating trend reversal of groundwater
26 quality in relation to time of rechragae determined by $^3\text{H}/^3\text{He}$, Environmental Pollution, 148, 797-807,
27 2007.

28

29 Vitousek, P.M., Aber, J.D., Howarth, R.W., Likens, G.E., Matson, P.A., Schindler, D.W., Schlesinger,
30 W.H. and Tilman, G.: Human alternation of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences,
31 Ecological Applications, 7, 3, 737-750, 1997.

32

33 Vitousek, P.M., Naylor, R., Crews, T., David, M.B., Drinkwater, L.E., Holland, E., Johnes, P.J.,
34 Katzenberger, J., Martinelli, L.A., Matson, P.A., Nziguheba, G., Ojima, D., Palm, C.A., Robertson,

1 G.P., Sanchez, P.A., Townsend, A.R. and Zhang, F.S.: Nutrient imbalances in agricultural
2 development, Science, 324, 1519-1520, 2009.

3

4

5

6

7

8

1 **Table 1.** Data used in the statistical analyses of nitrate in Danish groundwater

	All types of wells	Danish Groundwater Monitoring Programme	
Total amount of nitrate analyses	162,144	46,800	46,800
Sampling period	1890 – 2011	1973 – 2009	1973 - 2009
Total amount of nitrate analyses from oxic groundwater	11,518	194 ¹	5321 ²
Sampling period of oxic analyses	1967 – 2011	1997 – 2006 ¹	1988 – 2009 ²
Amount of oxic monitoring points	3757	194 ¹	152 ²
Presentations	Fig. 2.a	Fig. 3	Fig. 2 b & c Fig. 4 & 5

2 ¹: Nitrate analyses used for trend analyses on a national scale. CFC dated oxic monitoring points.

3 ²: Nitrate analyses used for trend analyses on an individual scale. CFC dated oxic monitoring points with stable
4 oxic conditions and time series of more than 8 years.

5

1 **Table 2.** Distribution statistics of annual agricultural N surpluses from 1950 to 2007 in 10
 2 different geo-regions of Denmark, and in Denmark as a whole.

3

Geo-region No.	Geo-region Name	1950	Max	2007	Mean 1950-2007	Increase 1950-2007	Reduction 1980-2007
I	West Jutland	48	217	143	139	95 (198%)	74 (34%)
II	Mid Jutland	50	200	126	130	76 (152%)	74 (37%)
III	Thy	50	234	147	148	97 (194%)	87 (37%)
IV	North Jutland	50	212	138	137	88 (176%)	74 (34%)
V	Himmerland	50	213	135	137	85 (170%)	78 (37%)
VI	Djursland	50	177	106	117	56 (112%)	71 (40%)
VII	East Denmark	51	162	96	117	45 (88%)	66 (41%)
VIII	North Zealand	40	91	41	60	1 (3%)	50 (55%)
IX	South Zealand	40	101	58	68	17 (43%)	43 (42%)
X	Bornholm	57	183	127	125	70 (123%)	56 (30%)
DK	Denmark (in total)	49	187	117	122	68 (139%)	70 (37%)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 **Table 3.** Amount (%) of statistically significant upward, statistically significant downward and
 2 non-significant nitrate trends in 152 oxic CFC-dated groundwater monitoring points with a
 3 95% confidence level. The nitrate trends are grouped according to 1) groundwater recharge
 4 age, 2) the average nitrate concentrations in groundwater, 3) the annual groundwater
 5 recharge, and 4) the N load to groundwater.

6

		Upward	Downward	Non significant	Total
Total		50 (100%)	44 (100%)	58 (100%)	152 (100%)
Recharge age (yr)	< 15	10 (20%)	24 (54%)	21 (36%)	55 (36%)
	15 – 25	19 (38%)	17 (39%)	28 (48%)	64 (42%)
	25 – 50	21 (42%)	3 (7%)	9 (16%)	33 (22%)
Nitrate in groundwater (mg /l)	1 – 10	6 (12%)	0 (0%)	5 (9%)	11 (7%)
	10 – 50	25 (50%)	16 (36%)	27 (47%)	68 (45%)
	≥ 50	19 (38%)	28 (64%)	26 (44%)	73 (48%)
Groundwater recharge (mm/yr)	< 400	19 (38%)	15 (34%)	23 (40%)	57 (38%)
	400 – 600	24 (48%)	23 (52%)	21 (37%)	68 (45%)
	600 – 750	7 (14%)	6 (14%)	13 (23%)	26 (17%)
N load to groundwater (kg N/ha/yr)	< 25	18 (36%)	15 (34%)	21 (37%)	54 (36%)
	25 – 75	25 (50%)	18 (41%)	23 (40%)	66 (44%)
	≥ 75	7 (14%)	11 (25%)	13 (23%)	31 (20%)

7

8

1 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

2

3

4 **Fig. 1.** Conceptual model of the typical groundwater redox environment in Denmark with oxic
5 zone, anoxic zone with nitrate reduction and reduced zone. The interface between the anoxic
6 zone containing nitrate and the reduced nitrate-free zone is called the nitrate interface and
7 can also be determined based on the colours of the sediments. Above the nitrate interface,
8 the soil layers have red and yellow colours and below the nitrate interface the soil layers
9 have grey and black colours.

10

11 **Fig. 2A:** Interpolated geographic distribution of nitrate concentrations in oxic groundwater in
12 Denmark based on 3,757 analyses performed from 1890 to 2010. Average values from each
13 measuring point are used in the interpolation. Shown are also 10 different geo-regions. **B &**
14 **C:** Geographic distribution of nitrate trends determined in 152 oxic CFC-dated groundwater
15 monitoring points sampled from 1988 to 2009. A downward nitrate trend represents a
16 negative slope of the linear regression line of nitrate versus sampling year for each
17 groundwater monitoring point, while an upward trend shows a positive slope. The upward
18 and downward nitrate trends are statistically significant with a 95% confidence level.
19 Statistically non-significant nitrate trends are also shown.

20

21 **Fig. 3.** Time series of agricultural N surplus in 10 different geo-regions of Denmark, and
22 nitrate in oxic groundwater versus recharge age (CFC-age) at an annual mean level. The
23 nitrate concentrations in oxic groundwater are shown as a 5-year moving average curve.
24 The location of the 10 geo-regions is seen in Fig. 2A.

25

26 **Fig. 4.** Three age groups of upward and downward nitrate trends in oxic groundwater at a
27 monitoring point level for 152 oxic CFC-dated groundwater measuring points sampled from
28 1988 to 2009. The change in nitrate (mg/l/yr) is equivalent to the slope of the linear
29 regression lines of nitrate versus sampling year for each groundwater monitoring point. The
30 age of the groundwater is determined with the CFC method.

31

1 **Fig. 5.** Upward and downward nitrate trends in 152 oxic CFC-dated groundwater monitoring
2 points sampled from 1988 to 2009 according to: A. The mean nitrate concentration (mg/l) in
3 each groundwater monitoring point, B. The annual groundwater recharge (mm/yr) in a circle
4 of 1 km around each groundwater monitoring point, C. The N load (kg N/ha/yr) to
5 groundwater based on data shown in A and B.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16