

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Silicon stable isotope distribution traces Southern Ocean export of Si to the eastern South Pacific thermocline” by G. F. de Souza et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 31 July 2012

The manuscript by G. F. de Souza et al. (bg-2012-172) is the first presentation of delta ^{30}Si ($\delta^{30}\text{Si}$) along a meridional section through the southeast Pacific Ocean, from the Southern Ocean to about 1000km south of the equator. So far only few $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$ observations exists in the ocean and the paper is doing a good job in introducing the data set and exploring possible interpretations of the observed signals. They pay sufficient attention to analytical aspects of the data set as well as in discussing accuracy/reproducibility of the data.

The interpretation focuses on the possible transport pathways (advection, mixing) of water masses that could potentially explain the $\delta^{30}\text{Si}$ distribution. This discussion

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



incorporates recent literature in a sufficient way. One focus is on the transport of $d_{30}Si$ associated with the formation and spreading of SAMW/AAIW and the homogeneity of $d_{30}Si$ in the deep water of the Pacific. The lack of data in the equatorial region gives a certain part of the discussion a more preliminary character, but this shortcoming can not be solved unless more $d_{30}Si$ samples in key regions, such as the equatorial regions, are collected. The authors sufficiently discuss this problem. I have a question regarding the interpretation of the effect of the seasonal mixed layer cycle on the $d_{30}Si$ distribution that should be clarified.

I would hope that future studies will for example utilize the potential of $d_{30}Si$ in combination with Si and dissolved nutrients, e.g. to learn more about the contribution of remineralization on the Si distribution.

Some specific comments:

Abstract line 7 to 9: “The efficient...” - what do you mean by “annual winter convection” - is it the maximum convection depth in winter? (also section 5.1.1.) I have problems in following the logic here. I would assume that entrainment from below during the winter convection will dilute the high $d_{30}Si$ that was established during the productive seasons. Interannual variability in mixed layer depth will modify the dilution effect (more diluted for deeper mixed layers). With the re-stratification in spring the water is exported to the interior (as is the $d_{30}Si$ signature). The spreading along isopycnals communicates the signal equatorwards, in alignment with the subtropical gyre circulation. The problem with the mixed layer model is that it requires a source of lower $d_{30}Si$ that compensates in the annual mean for the “enrichment” for incorporating high $d_{30}Si$ – otherwise the mixed layer $d_{30}Si$ would increase asymptotically towards the high $d_{30}Si$ values.

Page 6418, line 3: “isopycnal control” - the isopycnals do not control the distribution but the physical processes that act preferentially along the isopycnals such as lateral transport or advection along isopycnals

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

Table 1 – longitudinal range is wrong, should be 103°W (not 130°W)

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 6409, 2012.

BGD

9, C2855–C2857, 2012

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C2857

