Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, C4401–C4402, 2012 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/C4401/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

9, C4401-C4402, 2012

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Response of <i>Nodularia spumigena</i> to <i>p</i>CO₂ – Part 2: Exudation and extracellular enzyme activities" by S. Endres et al.

K. Ziervogel

ziervoge@email.unc.edu

Received and published: 30 September 2012

Dear Sonja, I read your recent paper in BG Discussions on the response of Nodularia on varying pCO2 levels in the ocean. I also saw the comments from reviewer 1 (and your response to that), and I absolutely agree with the reviewer that your paper/research is novel, well designed and written, and therefore deserves publication in the Biogeosciences journal. As you know, I am very interested in heterotrophic bacterial activities in the ocean myself. I read the parts about the role of heterotrophic bacteria in your experiment very carefully, and I do agree with reviewer 1: I suggest you discuss your results on patterns of enzyme activities a bid more carefully, especially

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the patterns/nature of aminopeptidase activity. I am actually not sure that all of the peptidase activity in the later stage of the experiment can be attributed to Nodularia itself. I suggest you also discuss a possible role of heterotrophic bacteria that were likely attached to Nodularia. If I understand correctly, your Nodularia culture wasn't axenic, right? Although at one point in the paper (p.5130, l.11-13) you are saying that you tried to exclude heterotrophic bacteria - it is unclear to me how you were trying that - please explain. The relatively low cell counts (10⁵ L-1) most likely represent the 'free-living' bacteria in your culture medium, but again, what about the ones that were likely attached to the Nodularia filaments? Are those included in the 10⁵ L-1? I don't think so, because you do not say in the methods section that you treated the samples in a specific way to detach the cells prior to counting. I would speculate that the attached communities may significantly contribute to the degradation of macromolecules in Nodularia cultures. In this regard, I wanted to draw your attention to a recent paper from Van Mooy et al. (2012) puplished in the ISME journal (6, p. 422). They looked at the activities of Trichodesmium-attached heterotrophic bacterial communities. You may want to cite this paper. One more suggestion: I think your conclusion paragraph starts on p. 5130, l. 23. You are commenting on the possible role of temperature – but what about CO2? What do we really learn from your experiments? What are possible consequences for the ecosystem Baltic Sea? You mention in the Intro the important ecological impact of Nodularia; what are the possible consequences on food web interactions in the Baltic? By the way, 'Nodularia is of high biogeochemical importance ...' (p. 5111, l. 13) sound a bid awkward and is not powerful enough; I would say: "Therefore, Nodularia is highly important for ecosystem functions in the Baltic Sea." Good luck, I wish you all the best with the paper and I am looking forward to seeing it being published soon!!!

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 5109, 2012.

BGD

9, C4401-C4402, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

