

We would like to thank Anonymous Referee #1 for the feedback on the manuscript.

Below find the responses to all comments and suggestions (our response is in bold italic font).

Abstract

L11 “: : (N₂O/(N₂O+N₂)): : :” ***This will be corrected.***

L18-20 The statement “: : To obtain the maximum: : fertilisers.” Is surely a foregone conclusion given that you are dealing with a nitrification inhibitor that has no effect on NO₃⁻. Delete sentence. Rather add a comment regarding why N₂O emissions were high once NO₃⁻ was there i.e. C source in slurry. ***We are trying to make the point that DCD should not be applied to a nitrate containing fertiliser eg. CAN or AN, and if it is applied its value is limited. The sentence will be rephrased to emphasise this point.***

P 9170 Introduction L22 “: : in the U.K. and: : :” L24 “: : grassland has declined since the mid 1980s in the U.K. and Ireland by 38 and 33%, respectively. Increasing: : :” ***Punctuation will be added.***

P9171 L1 “: : to use organic fertilisers to supply nitrogen (N) in a nutrient management plan on farms. Cattle slurry is by far: : :” ***This will be edited.***

P9172 L2 “: : in excess of plant: : :” ***This will be edited.***

L12 Ammonium is highly soluble in water too but is not readily leached. A better phrase would be “: : .DCD carries no ionic charge can be readily leached through the profile: : :” ***This will be changed.***

L27 “: : reactive N to the environment, resulting from food and energy production, have been increasing: : :” ***This will be changed.***

P9173 L5 “: : the effects of: : :” Section 2.1 How soon prior to treatment application was spraying performed. Couldn't this have provided a C supply (the decaying clover etc.). ***The sward was an intensively managed ryegrass sward and clover content was therefore low (2%). In order to prevent any confounding effect of clover the area was sprayed one month before treatment application. The % clover will be stated in the text.***

P9174 L5 Insert comma. “: : In summary, there: : :” ***This will be changed.***

L13 Delete sentence “Sufficient: : :” it's obvious. ***This will be deleted.***

L22 “: : determined using an Orion pH: : directly using a capillary: : chromatograph equipped with a flame: : :” ***This will be changed.***

P9174 L1/2 “: : method by deploying: : :” ***This will be edited.***

P9177 L17 “: : for N₂O and N₂, respectively.” ***This will be edited.***

P9179 L23 “: : ha⁻¹ (Table 2).” L27 “: : other time (Table 2).” ***Reference to Table 2 will be added.***

P9180 L22 No, DCD did not significantly increase the values of aD in CSNO₃. Rather, the application of DCD meant the rate of decrease in aD was slower in the CSNO₃.

Suggest reword accordingly. **We agree with this comment. Reword to: “When DCD was applied to CSNO₃ the values of aD were significantly higher than the aD values of the CSNO₃ without DCD treatment on five occasions.**

L25 Same as above. DCD did not significantly increase the values of aD in NH₄CINO₃. Rather, the application of DCD meant the rate of decrease in aD was slower in the NH₄CINO₃. Suggest reword accordingly. **Reword to: “When DCD was applied to NH₄CINO₃ the values of aD were significantly higher than the aD values of the NH₄CINO₃ without DCD treatment on the same five occasions.**

P9183 L21 “: : in both the CSNO₃: : **This will be edited.**

P9184 L19 “: : was predominantly produced by: : :” delete extra use of word ‘produced’. **This will be edited.**

P9185 L13-15 Delete this sentence it states the obvious. **Again, we are trying to make the point that DCD should not be applied to a nitrate containing fertiliser eg. CAN or AN, and if it is applied its value is limited. The sentence will be rephrased to emphasise this point.**