Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, C7200–C7201, 2013 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/9/C7200/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "A comprehensive benchmarking system for evaluating global vegetation models" by D. I. Kelley et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 11 January 2013

The paper by Kelley et al. reports on a very well designed model-benchmarking study. The paper is well written, and highly relevant to the audience of Biogeosciences. Also I want to mention that the paper is very timely and certainly helpful for related activities, most prominently the "International Land Model Benchmarking Project" (ILAMB, www.ilamb.org). I see a very innovative aspect in the fact that the paper applies a wide range of benchmarks on different aspect that are relevant to land surface processes. The paper could be elaborated a bit more in the introduction in order to better discuss related papers and embed the present study in the context of model evaluation activities.

I have two remarks that may not necessarily be included in the revisions, but could be useful to subsequent analysis:

C7200

- In the list of principles (2.1) I miss one important criterion: Any data set used in a transparent benchmarking should be free to the scientific community. I would suggest to only use benchmarking data set that are usable by other modeling groups - otherwise, different benchmarking exercises cannot be compared on the same grounds.
- 2. The comparisons of the seasonality (2.3.3) looks a bit complicated to me. Kobayashi & Salam (2000); van Oijen et al. (2011) have shown that the MSE (the squared part of the RMSE) can be decomposed to three elements:

$$MSE = \left\langle (X_i - X_{i'})^2 \right\rangle = \underbrace{\left( \left\langle X_i \right\rangle - \left\langle X_{i'} \right\rangle \right)^2}_{\text{bias}^2} + \underbrace{\left( \sigma_i - \sigma_{i'} \right)^2}_{\text{variance diff.}^2} + \underbrace{2(\sigma_i \sigma_{i'})(1 - r)}_{\text{phase error}}. \tag{1}$$

The meaning of the squared data bias is obvious, the second term indicates differences in the fast variability, and the lack of correlation r between  $X_i$  and  $X_{i'}$  is a very simple estimator for phase errors. Wouldn't this last term do the job in this benchmarking exercise?

## References

Kobayashi S, Salam MU (2000) Comparing simulated and measured values using mean squared deviations and its componenets. *Agronomy Journal*, **92**, 345–352.

van Oijen M, Cameron DR, Butterbach-Bahl K, et al. (2011) A bayesian framework for model calibration, comparison and anaylsis: Application to four models for the biogeochemistry of a a norway spurce forest. Agricultural Forest Meteorology, **151**, 1609–1621.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 15723, 2012.