1	Modeling light-use efficiency in a subtropical mangrove forest equipped with $CO_2$ eddy covariance
2 3 4	J.G. Barr <sup>1</sup> , V. Engel <sup>2</sup> , J.D. Fuentes <sup>3</sup> , D.O. Fuller <sup>4</sup> , H. Kwon <sup>5</sup>
5 6	<sup>1</sup> South Florida Natural Resource Center, Everglades National Park, Homestead, FL 33030
7 8	<sup>2</sup> Southeast Ecological Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Gainesville FL 32653
9 10	<sup>3</sup> Department of Meteorology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802
11 12	<sup>4</sup> Department of Geography and Regional Studies, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33124
13 14	<sup>5</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, South Korea
15	Correspondence to: J.G. Barr (Jordan_Barr@nps.gov)
16	

#### 18 Abstract

19 Despite the importance of mangrove ecosystems in the global carbon budget, the relationships between 20 environmental drivers and carbon dynamics in these forests remain poorly understood. This limited 21 understanding is partly a result of the challenges associated with in situ flux studies. Tower-based CO<sub>2</sub> 22 eddy covariance (EC) systems are installed in only a few mangrove forests worldwide and the longest EC 23 record from the Florida Everglades contains less than 9 years of observations. A primary goal of the 24 present study was to develop a methodology to estimate canopy-scale photosynthetic light use efficiency 25 in this forest. These tower-based observations represent a basis for associating CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes with canopy 26 light use properties, and thus provide the means for utilizing satellite-based reflectance data for larger-27 scale investigations. We present a model for mangrove canopy light use efficiency utilizing the enhanced 28 green vegetation index (EVI) derived from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) 29 that is capable of predicting changes in mangrove forest CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes caused by a hurricane disturbance 30 and changes in regional environmental conditions, including temperature and salinity. Model parameters 31 are solved for in a Bayesian framework. The model structure requires estimates of ecosystem respiration 32  $(R_E)$ , and we present the first-ever tower-based estimates of mangrove forest  $R_E$  derived from night-time 33 CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Our investigation is also the first to show the effects of salinity on mangrove forest CO<sub>2</sub> 34 uptake, which declines 5% per each 10 parts per thousand (ppt) increases in salinity. Light use efficiency 35 in this forest declines with increasing daily photosynthetic active radiation, which is an important 36 departure from the assumption of constant light use efficiency typically applied in satellite-driven models. 37 The model developed here provides a framework for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by these forests from 38 reflectance data and information about environmental conditions.

#### 40 1 Introduction

Mangrove forests have received significant attention recently due to an increased recognition of the role 41 42 these systems play in global carbon (C) cycles (Donato et al., 2011). However, compared to terrestrial systems, the processes that regulate ecosystem-atmosphere carbon dioxide (CO2) fluxes, including gross 43 44 primary productivity (GPP) and ecosystem respiration (R<sub>E</sub>), are not well understood. Tower-based, eddy covariance (EC) measures of the net (i.e., GPP-R<sub>E</sub>) ecosystem-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (or NEE) in 45 46 conjunction with continuous measurements of environmental variables were started only recently 47 compared to terrestrial systems (see Barr et al., 2010) and remain extremely rare. These observations 48 show that canopy-scale CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes are influenced by stressors that are unique to mangrove forests, 49 including periodic flooding and variable soil pore-water salinity. Using these EC data to calculate canopy-50 level light use efficiency (LUE, defined as GPP divided by incoming photosynthetic active radiation (PAR)) will improve our understanding of C cycling in these forests. Modeling canopy-level LUE in relation to 51 52 PAR and ground-based scalars in turn provides a first step towards using satellite reflectance data to 53 define the larger role these forests play in both regional and global C budgets. However, typical LUE 54 models developed for terrestrial systems do not account for the unique factors that influence C dynamics 55 in tidal forests, and new approaches are needed.

56 For all plant communities, including mangrove forests, the net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB, or 57 the C accumulating in plants and soils (Chapin et al., 2006)) can be estimated using the following 58 expression:

 $59 \qquad \text{NECB} = -\text{NEE} + F_{\text{DIC}} + F_{\text{DOC}} + F_{\text{POC}}$ 

(1)

where F<sub>DIC</sub>, F<sub>DOC</sub>, and F<sub>POC</sub> are the net lateral exchanges of dissolved inorganic C (DIC), organic C 60 (DOC), and particulate organic C (POC). All terms in equation (1) are expressed in g C m<sup>2</sup> t<sup>1</sup>. Negative 61 NEE values represent a loss of C from the atmosphere, and negative F values represent C loss from the 62 63 ecosystem. In terrestrial systems with minimal F, positive nighttime NEE values are considered a proxy 64 for R<sub>E</sub>. Compared to terrestrial systems, mangrove forests are characterized by low nighttime NEE, large 65 daytime -NEE values and large -F (Barr et al., 2012). However, comprehensive in situ measures of 66 mangrove forest C dynamics that simultaneously account for both vertical C fluxes (i.e., NEE) and lateral 67 C fluxes (F) have not been attempted. Continuous and long-term estimates of FDIC, FDOC, and FPOC usually do not exist. Instead, lateral C fluxes are ordinarily determined only during short-term intensive 68 69 field campaigns (e.g., Romigh et al., 2006; Alongi et al., 2004; Souza et al., 2009; Mayorga et al., 2005). 70 In the absence of these measurements, nighttime, tower-based NEE estimates in many mangrove forests 71 cannot be used as a direct proxy for R<sub>E</sub> as they are in terrestrial systems, since the actual ecosystem-72 scale respiratory CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in tidal systems will also include respiratory fluxes derived from F transported 73 outside of the EC footprint. Non-standard methods for calculating R<sub>E</sub> and, therefore, GPP are required in 74 mangrove forests utilizing EC.

75 Monteith (1966, 1972) first proposed the concept of relating GPP to PAR through a light use 76 efficiency term,  $\varepsilon$ , or multiplicative efficiency terms. Light use efficiencies describe the process of solar

77 irradiance transmission through the atmosphere, light absorption by green vegetation, and photosynthetic 78 CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by foliage. Light use efficiency terms in ecosystem models (e.g., Xiao et al., 2004; Cook 79 et al., 2008; Potter, 2010) are calculated in a two-step process. First, functional relationships are 80 established between environmental drivers, such as temperature and water stress that regulate physiological functioning and thus GPP. A second step is to determine how much of the incident solar 81 82 irradiance is absorbed by photosynthetic active green vegetation. Useful proxies for the process of light 83 absorption by vegetation can be determined using remote sensing information (Zhao and Running, 2008). 84 In one of the first attempts to incorporate remote sensing information into ecosystem models, Tucker et al. 85 (1983) estimated the productivity of grasslands using the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) aboard polar orbiting platforms. Several 86 87 other models have been tested and validated using relationships between remote sensing information 88 and ground-based C flux data (Heinsch et al., 2006; Turner et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2005). More recently, 89 Chen et al. (2010) applied the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) as input into a vegetation photosynthesis 90 model (VPM, Xiao et al., 2004) to take advantage of the high return frequency (1-2 per day) of the 91 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the increased spatial resolution (30-m) of 92 LANDSAT. However, the usefulness of satellite reflectance-driven models such as these developed for GPP 93 simulating terrestrial GPP. such as the MODIS product (http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/dataprod/nontech/MOD17.php) has not been determined for mangrove 94 95 forests. These types of models are needed to better integrate estimates of mangrove forest CO<sub>2</sub> 96 assimilation patterns across tropical and subtropical coastal zones into global-scale C balance 97 calculations. Therefore, the objectives of this study are: 1) to calculate R<sub>E</sub> and GPP in a tidal mangrove 98 forest using a novel application of EC-based estimates of NEE, 2) to parameterize and test a model of 99 daily canopy GPP and LUE driven by satellite reflectance data, and 3) to compare these GPP estimates 100 to the MODIS GPP product for this location.

101

## 102 2 Methods

## **2.1 Site description and meteorological and eddy covariance measurements**

The study site (25.3646 °N, 81.0779 °W), located within Everglades National Park, is near the mouth of the Shark River and ~4 km from the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1). The onsite 30-m eddy covariance tower is co-located with long-term monitoring sites operated by the Florida Coastal Everglades Long Term Ecological Research (FCE LTER, site SRS6) program and the US Geological Survey (site SH3). Around the tower site, the dominant mangrove species include *Rhizhophora mangle, Avicennia germinans*, and *Laguncularia racemosa*, and their maximum heights reach about 19 m. Meteorological measurements and EC observations to determine NEE have been made since 2003 at a height of 27 m.

During October 2005, the forest experienced a major disturbance caused by Hurricane Wilma. The disturbance caused major defoliation of the forest and tree mortality, with 25 % of stems >1.5 m in height being destroyed by the hurricane winds (Barr et al., 2012). Following Hurricane Wilma, instruments were

114 deployed on a new 30-m tower with renewed measurements beginning in November 2006 (Barr et al., 115 2012). Continuous meteorological measurements are recorded as 1-minute averages on data loggers 116 (model CR3000, Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, UT), and stored in files saved at 30-min intervals in a 117 laptop computer located on site. High frequency (10 Hertz) EC data are stored directly on the laptop 118 computer for subsequence processing to derive 30-min average fluxes (using Matlab code, The 119 Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA), following the protocols employed by scientists associated with the 120 AmeriFlux network (http://public.ornl.gov/ameriflux/index.html). Data gap-filling procedures were 121 implemented to produce continuous time series. Additional details for site characteristics and data 122 processing protocols are provided in Barr et al. (2010) and Barr et al. (2012).

123

## 124 2.2 Partitioning NEE into R<sub>E</sub> and GPP

Estimates of ecosystem respiration (R<sub>E</sub>;  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) are needed to calculate GPP ( $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 125 126 <sup>1</sup>), which is defined as GPP = -NEE + R<sub>E</sub>. In tidal mangrove forests equipped with EC, nighttime NEE can 127 be considered as a proxy for nighttime  $R_{\rm F}$  only when the sediment surface is exposed to the atmosphere 128 during low tides. NEE represents the EC-derived CO<sub>2</sub> flux at a height of 27 m plus the amount of CO<sub>2</sub>, 129 stored in column of air below this height since the previous time step. This storage was estimated from 130 the change in CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio at the infrared gas analyzer level of 27 m (Barr et al., 2010). When the sediment surface is inundated during a flood tide, a fraction of the CO<sub>2</sub> respired by soil, roots, and detritus 131 132 is dissolved in the overlying water column and transported into the adjacent estuary as DIC during the subsequent ebb tide. Therefore, tower-based nighttime NEE  $\neq$  R<sub>E</sub> when the surface is inundated. To 133 134 correct for this effect in our calculations of GPP, non-linear least squares regression analyses were 135 performed to express nighttime R<sub>E</sub> as a function of air temperature, T<sub>A</sub> (after Reichstein et al., 2005) using only valid NEE values determined when the sediment surface was exposed (Fig. 2). Regression 136 137 analyses of nighttime NEE as a function of  $T_A$  during high tides show significantly different relationships 138 than at low tide and are included in Fig. 2 for comparison. High tide data were excluded from our 139 calculations and the function relating low-tide R<sub>E</sub> to T<sub>A</sub> was used to gap-fill these periods. Data gaps 140 occurring when the EC system was not operating, or when there was insufficient turbulence and when the 141 flux footprint included large contributions from adjacent rivers (Barr et al., 2010) were also filled using this 142 function. The R<sub>E</sub> function in (2) includes both an Arrhenius-type activation component and a high 143 temperature deactivation response.

144 
$$R_E = R_{E20} \exp\left(E_0 \left(\frac{1}{T_{REF} - T_0} - \frac{1}{T_A - T_0}\right)\right) / \left(1 + \exp(E_D (T_A - T_D))\right)$$
(2)

145 The  $R_{E20}$  (µmol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) represents the ecosystem-level respiration rate at the reference air 146 temperature, T<sub>REF</sub>, which is set as 293.15 K. This R<sub>E20</sub> value differs from the more common reference 147 temperature of 283.15 K (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994) because it is a closer approximation of the minimum 148 temperature range frequently observed in this forest. Also, the R<sub>E</sub> was related to air temperature rather 149 than the more prevalently used soil temperature (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). The use of air, rather than soil temperature was justified by considering the sources contributing to  $R_E$ . Foliage respiration alone can contribute 73% of the total  $R_E$  during low tide periods at night (Barr et al., 2010). Measurements of soil respiration in relatively undisturbed mangrove forests throughout the Caribbean, Australia, and New Zealand (Lovelock, 2008) suggest that soils contribute less respired CO<sub>2</sub> to  $R_E$  compared to that of above ground sources. However, the fractional contribution of the soil to  $R_E$  may increase as a result of hurricanes or other disturbances. Soil respiration increased by 18% in a dry tropical forest in Mexico one year following disturbance from Hurricane Wilma (Vargas and Allen, 2008).

157 In (2), the  $E_{\alpha}$  (K) and  $E_{D}$  (K) parameters are temperature-dependent activation energy and 158 deactivation sensitivity, respectively. The  $T_{o}$  (K) also accounts for changes in activation energy 159 associated with variations in temperature. Its expected values range between 0 K and observed air 160 temperature (Lloyd and Taylor, 1994). The  $T_D$  (K) term is the temperature at which deactivation occurs, 161 and represents a unique feature in this study that explicitly accounts for a reduction in respiration above a 162 threshold temperature. The deactivation term, represented by the denominator in (2), has the same 163 functional response to high temperature (>35 C) as relationships describing foliage carboxylation and dark respiration rates (Campbell and Norman, 1998). The response of R<sub>E</sub> to temperature is a dynamic 164 165 process, and consequently the fitted characteristics in (2) are expected to change seasonally. To capture 166 such variability in respiratory responses, values of  $R_E$  and regression characteristics (e.g.,  $R_{E20}$ ,  $E_0$ ,  $T_0$ , 167  $E_{\rm D}$ ,  $T_{\rm D}$ ) were determined for a 3-day moving window using nighttime data during low tide periods from a 168 15-day centered window. Similar to the findings of Reichstein et al. (2005), a window size of 15 days was 169 sufficiently long to provide adequate data and temperature range for performing the non-linear regression 170 of (2) and short enough to minimize the confounding seasonal changes in respiration response. During 171 each 3-day period, the relationship in (2) was used to compute half-hourly daytime R<sub>E</sub>, and half-hourly GPP values were computed as the difference between  $R_F$  and daytime NEE (i.e., GPP = -NEE+ $R_F$ ). Half-172 hourly values of GPP ( $\mu$ mol C m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) were summed as shown in (3) to provide daily GPP and 8-day 173 average values in units of mol C m<sup>-2</sup> per day. This 8-day time step matches that of the MODIS product 174 175 and removes noise in the daily data while retaining seasonal trends. The coefficient of 0.0216 in (3) converts units of  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to g C m<sup>-2</sup> per each 30-min flux averaging interval. 176 177

178 
$$GPP = 0.0216 \sum_{i=1}^{48} GPP_{30-\min}$$
 (3)

179

## 180 2.3 Albedo, EVI, and MODIS GPP

We investigate seasonal changes in canopy structural properties using two measures of canopy reflectance: albedo and EVI. The surface albedo (Fig. 3a) was estimated as the ratio of reflected to incoming solar irradiance measured above the canopy. The adjusted albedo was estimated as the average of albedo values for the periods when the solar elevation angle ranged between 35 and 50 degrees. This adjustment was necessary to remove the influence of changing daily solar elevation anglesover the course of the study.

187 The MODIS EVI product was used to examine seasonal patterns in the mangrove canopy reflectance 188 properties. It is well established (Huete et al., 2002; Jiang et al., 2008) that the EVI data are more reliable 189 compared to NDVI in environments with high biomass content. For this study, the EVI data (Fig. 3b) were 190 obtained from the MOD13A1 product (EOS; http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/). The mangrove flux tower site is 191 included in grid h10v06, with a 500-m spatial resolution. Using GIS (Geographic Information System) 192 software (Matlab Mapping Toolbox, The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA), the 16-day composite average EVI 193 values for the pixel corresponding to the flux tower site and the 8 adjacent pixels were extracted for the 194 period 2000 to 2011. This 9-pixel domain approximates the extent of the EC measurement footprint (see 195 Fig. 1 in Barr et al., 2010). The MODIS GPP product, MOD17A2 (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov), was also 196 extracted from grid h10v06 for comparison with estimated and modeled GPP in this study. MODIS GPP 197 represents a 16-day composite average with a 1-km spatial resolution. Values were averaged for the 198 pixel corresponding to flux tower site and 4 adjacent pixels included within the measurement footprint and 199 not centered over water.

200

#### 201 **2.4 LUE modeling framework**

The mangrove vegetation photosynthesis light-use efficiency model (MVP-LUE) presented here is based
on the production efficiency modeling (PEM) framework (Prince and Goward, 1995; Running et al., 1999;
Running et al., 2000). It has the basic form of

(4)

205

206 
$$LUE = \sum GPP / \sum PAR = \varepsilon_g \times fPAR$$

where LUE (mol C (mol photons)<sup>-1</sup>) is calculated as the ratio of 8-day sums of GPP to PAR (mol (photons) 207 208  $m^{-2}$ ). The  $\varepsilon_{\alpha}$  is a quantum efficiency (mol C (mol photons)<sup>-1</sup>) that describes conversion of incident PAR 209 into gross primary production specific to the irradiance incident on green vegetation. fPAR (unitless) is 210 the ratio (8-day average) of PAR absorbed by green vegetation to total incident PAR determined above 211 the vegetated landscape. Previous modeling studies suggested that fPAR linearly increased with EVI 212 (Xiao et al., 2004) or NDVI (Goetz et al., 1999; Schubert et al., 2010). However, in the present study, 213 fPAR increases in response to increasing EVI as determined from the 500-m spatial resolution data 214 according to:

215 
$$fPAR = 1 - e^{-m_{EVI} \times EVI}$$
 (5)

where  $m_{\text{EVI}}$  determines the initial slope of fPAR response to increasing EVI. The rate of increase in 8-day average fPAR to increasing EVI diminishes and is dependent on the value of  $m_{\text{EVI}}$ . We found that the observed quantum LUE of the mangrove ecosystem approaches some optimum efficiency,  $\varepsilon_0$  (defined as the light conditions when maximum NEE is attained). The  $\varepsilon_0$  is not known *a priori* and must be determined from an optimization procedure. Most of the time, environmental conditions are less than optimal, and therefore  $\varepsilon_g$  is often less than  $\varepsilon_0$ . The  $\varepsilon_g$  represents a multiplicative chain of efficiencies (Monteith, 1972) where each *f* term in the chain accounts for a reduction in quantum LUE below  $\varepsilon_0$ .

Several variables contribute to reducing the quantum efficiency in this forest. The first is elevated foliage temperature resulting from air temperatures ( $T_A > 303$  K) which elicit sub-optimal carboxylation rates (Barr et al., 2010). Such responses to elevated temperature can be expressed by the relationship shown in (6) formulated by Raich et al. (1991):

227 
$$f_{T_A} = \frac{(T_A - T_{Min})(T_A - T_{Max})}{[(T_A - T_{Min})(T_A - T_{Max})] - (T_A - T_{Opt})^2}$$
(6)

where T<sub>A</sub> is air temperature recorded at 27 m above the ground (Fig. 4a), and T<sub>Min</sub>, T<sub>Max</sub>, and T<sub>Opt</sub> are 228 229 minimum, maximum, and optimal temperatures for GPP, respectively. The function f<sub>TA</sub> attains the value of 1 when  $T_A$  becomes the same as  $T_{Oot}$  and is set to zero for  $T_A < T_{Min}$ . Raich et al. (1991) determined 230 GPP as a function of temperature for several vegetation types in South America, including tropical 231 232 evergreen forests, grasslands, and temperate forests. To compare the temperature dependency of 233 productivity that occurred independently of the magnitude of GPP, ratios of GPP to site-specific maximum GPP (GPP<sub>Max</sub>) were compared. All three vegetation types exhibited ratios (i.e., GPP/GPP<sub>Max</sub>) that 234 235 followed the relationship in (6) but each possessed its own unique characteristics (T<sub>Min</sub>, T<sub>Max</sub>, and T<sub>Opt</sub>). 236 While this relationship in (6) was not previously quantified for the mangrove ecosystem, the shape of the 237 curve is consistent with -NEE response to T<sub>A</sub> during 2004-2005 (see Fig. 6 in Barr et al., 2010) for conditions when PAR >1000  $\mu$ mol (photons) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. 238

Barr et al. (2010) showed a linear decline in the 8-day averages of  $LUE/LUE_{salinity=0}$  versus 8-day average soil pore salinity between 10-40 parts per thousand (ppt) of dissolved solutes during both pre (2004-2005) and post-hurricane (November 2006 to December 2011) periods. This reduction in LUE attributed to changes in salinity ( $f_{sal}$ ) is defined in (7).  $LUE_{salinity=0}$  was determined from the intercept of the regression.

244 
$$f_{sal} = 1 - m_{sal} \times salinity$$

(7)

The  $m_{sal}$  defines the rate of decrease in  $f_{sal}$  in response to increasing salinity. The decline in LUE with increasing salinity may be partially attributed to photosynthetic saturation under high PAR (>50 mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) which coincides with maximal salinity during May and June. A linear function in (8) was included to account for photosynthesis saturation manifested as declining LUE with increasing PAR.

$$f_{PAR} = 1 - m_{PAR} \times PAR \tag{8}$$

250 The  $m_{PAR}$  defines the rate of decrease in  $f_{PAR}$  in response to increasing PAR.

Since fPAR,  $f_{TA}$ ,  $f_{sal}$ , and  $f_{PAR}$  have a maximum value of 1, light-use efficiencies approach  $\varepsilon_0$  as EVI attains the value of 1, air temperature approaches  $T_{Opt}$ , and salinity (ppt) and PAR (mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) decrease to zero. The overall resulting quantum efficiency may then be expressed as the multiplicative set of efficiencies to account for the effects of temperature, salinity, and PAR as shown in (9).

255 
$$\mathcal{E}_g = \mathcal{E}_0 \times f_{T_A} \times f_{sal} \times f_{PAR}$$

. 11.0

To implement the model described in (4) to (9), the individual forcing terms (i.e.,  $\varepsilon_0$ , m<sub>EVI</sub>, T<sub>Min</sub>, T<sub>Max</sub>, 256 257  $T_{Opt}$ ,  $m_{sal}$ ,  $m_{PAR}$ ) must be derived from the data through the use of an optimization approach. We apply a Bayesian framework to solve for the posterior probability of model parameters and LUE during the 258 259 periods 2004-2005 and November 2006 to 2011 when EC-derived estimates of GPP and LUE are 260 available. The Bayesian analytical framework provides several advantages over more traditional model 261 optimization approaches, including the ability to directly estimate uncertainties in modeled LUE without the use of ad hoc procedures. Outputs from the optimization procedure provide the forcing terms (e.g., 262 m<sub>EVI</sub>, T<sub>Opt</sub>, etc.) that are described probabilistically, thereby allowing us to assess the applicability of each 263 264 term. To cast this model within the Bayesian framework, LUE was considered to exhibit a normal 265 distribution as:

$$266 \quad LUE \sim N(\mu_{LUE}, \sigma_{LUE}) \tag{10}$$

where  $\mu_{LUE}$  is the time-varying mean and is equal to the expected 8-day average LUE with variance  $\sigma_{LUE}$ . A quantile-quantile (QQ) plot of LUE data against the standard normal distribution was used to verify the normality assumption. The forcing terms were considered to have a prior probability distribution which, when taken together, follow a multivariate normal distribution. That is,

$$271 \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x_{0} \\ T_{min} \\ T_{max} \\ T_{opt} \\ m_{sal} \\ m_{PAR} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{mEVI} \\ \mu_{T_{min}} \\ \mu_{T_{max}}, \Sigma \\ \mu_{T_{opt}} \\ \mu_{m_{sal}} \\ \mu_{m_{sal}} \\ \mu_{m_{PAR}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

272 with mean values,  $\mu$ , and covariance matrix,  $\Sigma$ . Off diagonal terms in  $\Sigma$  explicitly quantify the inter-273 dependence of model forcing terms, if such relationships exist. The inverse-Wishart distribution (O'Hagan 274 and Forster, 2004) was used to describe the prior probability distribution of  $\Sigma$  because it represents the 275 conjugate probability distribution of the multivariate normal distribution (Gelman et al., 2004), and 276 expresses the uncertainty about  $\Sigma$  before the data are taken into account. The inverse-Wishart 277 distribution represents the multivariate generalization of the scaled inverse-chi-squared distribution, which 278 is the conjugate prior of the univariate normal distribution with unknown mean and variance. The inverse-279 Wishart distribution is defined by its own set of parameters,  $\Omega$  and v, commonly referred to as 280 hyperparameters that represent the inverse scale matrix and degrees of freedom of the distribution, 281 respectively.

#### **282** $\Sigma \sim Inv - Wishart(\Omega, v)$

(12)

(9)

The  $\Omega$  was initialized with a 6 X 6 identity matrix, and the degrees of freedom, v = 6, representing the number of forcing terms. To learn the optimal probability distributions of the forcing terms ( $\epsilon_0$ , m<sub>EVI</sub>, T<sub>Min</sub>, T<sub>Max</sub>, T<sub>Opt</sub>, m<sub>sal</sub>), a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure with Gibbs sampling (Cassella and George, 1992; Gilks et al., 1995) was performed in Matbugs. Matbugs is a Matlab (The Mathworks Inc.,

287 Natick, MA) interface to WinBUGS (Spiegelhalter et al., 2003). Gibbs sampling is the simplest of the Markov chain simulation algorithms (Gelman et al., 2004) and is used to directly sample from each 288 289 conditional posterior distribution in a model. The resulting distribution of the forcing terms maximizes the 290 likelihood that the LUE during the study period would be observed given the modeled LUE values. The 291 Gibbs sampling procedure within WinBUGS requires initial values (i.e., best guesses) for all the forcing 292 Here, initial values were determined using a constrained optimization technique (Matlab terms. 293 Optimization Toolbox) in minimizing the sum of squared errors (SSE) between modeled and EC 294 estimated 8-day LUE values obtained during the entire study period of 2004-2005 and November 2006 to 295 December 2011. The constrained optimization is useful for obtaining a single point estimate of forcing 296 terms, but does not provide a robust fit that includes the probability distribution of both parameters and 297 modeled LUE values.

#### 298 3 Results and discussion

#### **3.1 Calculating R<sub>E</sub> and GPP from NEE**

300 Temperature, level of inundation, and foliage physiology drive respiration in this forest. Nighttime NEE increased with increasing T<sub>A</sub> below ~25 C during both high and low tide periods during 2004-2005 (Fig. 301 2a). NEE was ~1  $\mu$ mol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> higher during low tides, and NEE rates converged at temperatures > 302 25 C for both low and high tide periods during 2004-2005 and 2006-2011 (Fig. 2a and 2b, respectively). 303 304 The exponential function with deactivation in (2) generally fit the NEE data during 2004-2005 and 2006-305 2011 periods (Fig. 2a-d). During 2004-2005, there was some evidence of bi-modality in R<sub>E</sub> response to temperature with maxima occurring at T<sub>A</sub> values ranging between 15 and 20 C and 25 to 28 C (Fig. 2a). 306 The NEE was ~1 µmol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> higher during 2006-2011 compared to 2004-2005 for temperatures 307 308 above 25 C, possibly due to an increased respiratory contribution from decomposing coarse woody debris 309 (CWD) generated by the hurricane. The hurricane disturbance also resulted in warmer soils during 2006-2011 as more solar irradiance reached the soil surface beneath the damaged canopy (Barr et al., 2012). 310 311 Such processes contributed to increased nighttime soil-air temperature gradients of 1 to 3 °C one year following disturbance (Table 1, Barr et al., 2012). Warmer soils in this system are expected to lead to 312 313 increased belowground respiration and fractional increases in the belowground contribution to total nighttime R<sub>E</sub>. During both pre- and post-disturbance periods, the functional response of R<sub>E</sub> to air 314 temperature exhibited a better fit than that using soil temperature. 315

316 The substantial seasonal changes in the respiratory response of the mangrove ecosystem (Fig. 2c,d) required the use of moving windows to fit (2) to these data. By partitioning the data by time, particularly 317 318 into dry and wet season periods, the apparent bi-modality of nighttime NEE versus T<sub>A</sub> response (Fig. 2a) 319 was no longer apparent in the fitted model. The deactivation term in (2) that is needed to account for the 320 observed decline in nighttime NEE at elevated T<sub>A</sub> represents a unique characteristic of NEE patterns at 321 this site compared to terrestrial forests. The temperature that defines the transition from increasing to 322 decreasing respiratory response changed with seasons and as a result of disturbance. The increase in 323 nighttime NEE in response to increasing T<sub>A</sub> following the hurricane disturbance was most evident during

dry season months when the values increased by ~1  $\mu$ mol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> as T<sub>A</sub> exceeded 20 C, and 324 continued on an upward trend until reaching a maximum of ~4  $\mu$ mol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 22 C. Before the 325 326 hurricane disturbance, NEE declined with temperatures exceeding 19 C. This increase in temperature, 327 which defines peak respiratory response, also suggested an increased contribution of belowground 328 respiration to  $R_E$  following disturbance. Quantifying the belowground contribution to  $R_E$  and the 329 respiratory response to soil temperature require continuous measurements of belowground respiration, 330 and such measurements were not made during this study. Due to the long-term nature of this 331 investigation (spanning several years), the ecosystem respiration response captured the broad 332 temperature ranges and levels of inundation experienced by the mangrove forest. As a result, it was 333 possible to identify and quantify the dynamic character of the total respiratory responses, R<sub>E</sub> to air 334 temperature and subsequent declines in respiration above T<sub>opt</sub>.

335

#### 336 3.2 Albedo and EVI

337 Canopy-scale CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in mangrove forests vary seasonally as a result of changes in leaf area index 338 and physiological responses to stressors. Such changes in the amount and function of foliage were 339 inferred from temporal patterns in locally-measured surface albedo and the satellite-based greenness 340 index, EVI. Albedo (Fig. 3a) varied seasonally with minimum values of 0.10 to 0.11 during May and June 341 and maximum values of 0.13 to 0.15 during December to January. Albedo was about 0.12 during 342 January 2007 and represented a decline of >0.01 compared to values observed before Hurricane Wilma. 343 Raw and adjusted albedo variability resulted in response to the recovery of foliage from the 2005 344 disturbance, with apparent full recovery observed by 2011. Structural damage and defoliation of the 345 mangrove forest was evident in the 16-day EVI time series (Fig. 3b) in the days following hurricane 346 disturbance on October 24, 2005. The EVI declined from 0.4-0.5 to 0.22 following the 2005 disturbance. 347 When tower measurements resumed in November 2006, re-foliation of surviving branches in upper 348 canopy and new shoots in the understory had already occurred, with rapid re-growth occurring during 349 June to October 2006. Yet, recovery was incomplete. EVI exhibited a decline of ~12% when 2007-2008 350 values were compared with those obtained in 2004-2005. These patterns were consistent with the 30% 351 lower annual -NEE obtained for 2007. Though noisy, EVI exhibited similar sinusoidal seasonal patterns with maxima and minima values coinciding with the winter and summer solstices, respectively. EVI values 352 represent a much larger area than do the albedo measurements (~250,000 m<sup>2</sup> (per pixel), versus ~3000 353 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively). Such seasonal patterns were consistent with coherent patterns in monthly litter fall 354 355 rates (Castaneda, 2010) within the flux footprint of the tower site.

356

## 357 3.3 Physical drivers of mangrove productivity

In south Florida, mangroves receive highly variable amounts of PAR (Fig. 4a) resulting from sinusoidal seasonal patterns and cloud cover from localized convective storms during the May to October wet season. The seasonal peak in PAR, and therefore the amount of energy available to drive

photosynthesis and GPP, occurred during April and May before the onset of the wet season in late May 361 362 and June. Air temperatures (Fig. 4b) during March to May were between 25 and 30 C, and these 363 conditions favored near optimal foliage carboxylation rates and GPP. However, surface water salinities 364 (Fig. 4c) achieved their highest values (30-40 ppt) during this time period with peak values of 35-40 ppt 365 extending into June and the start of the wet season. Such high salinities have previously been shown to 366 contribute to reduced stomatal conductance and lowered net carbon assimilation at the leaf level (Barr et 367 al., 2009) during the afternoon. Surface water salinities above 28 ppt also result in reduced NEE at the 368 ecosystem scale (Barr et al., 2010). PAR declined throughout the wet season following the summer 369 solstice in June coincident with reduced salinity levels resulting from increased freshwater flow through 370 Shark River. Seasonal minima in salinity of 15-20 ppt occurred at the end of the wet season in October 371 and November. Productivity was predicted to be seasonally lowest during December and January when air temperatures were below 20 C and when PAR reached seasonal minima of 20-30 mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> per 372 373 day coincident with the winter solstice. During the extended cold spell of January 2010, temperatures 374 reached nearly the freezing point during several early morning periods, with an 8-day average of 375 approximately 10 C. Premature abscission of leaves in the canopy crown was observed on site, and 376 likely resulted in reduced productivity and GPP.

377

## 378 3.4 Canopy-scale CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes

379 During the year-round growing season, this forest exhibited pronounced seasonal NEE patterns. 380 Seasonal maxima in daily CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by the forest were observed during March to May in 2004-2005 381 (Fig. 5a). Secondary peaks were observed during the month of November both before and after the 382 hurricane. R<sub>E</sub> values (Fig. 5b) were seasonally highest during June to August with 8-day averages of 0.30-0.40 and 0.30-0.55 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during 2004-2005 and 2007-2011, respectively. 383 R<sub>F</sub> values 384 were lowest between December and April. GPP (Fig. 5c) values were lowest during January and 385 February coincident with seasonal minima in PAR and T<sub>A</sub>, and exhibited broad seasonal maxima between April and October, with values of 0.50-0.63 and 0.50-0.75 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> during 2004-2005 and 2007-386 2011, respectively. LUE and PAR exhibited a strong negative correlation ( $R^2 = -0.70$ ), suggesting that 387 388 this ecosystem has adapted a physiological strategy for maintaining high GPP rates throughout most of 389 the year. Elevated GPP values during cloudy days may be caused by higher fractions of diffuse 390 compared to direct solar irradiance penetrating to lower canopy layers and raising the whole canopy LUE 391 (Barr et al., 2010). Other forests experience enhanced C assimilation when subject to elevated diffuse 392 irradiance (Gu et al., 2003).

393

#### 394 3.5 Light use efficiency

Seasonal LUE patterns (Fig. 5d) were different compared to GPP and exhibited seasonal maxima (13-20 mmol C (mol PAR)<sup>-1</sup>) during the months of September to December while PAR and salinity levels were
 declining or at their seasonal minima. LUE values generally declined with the progression of the dry

seasons, reaching annual minima of 7-10 mmol C (mol PAR)<sup>-1</sup> during the months of April to June, with 398 399 some inter-annual variability. For example, in January 2010 LUE declined from an 8-day average of 19 to 6 mmol C (mol PAR)<sup>-1</sup> from 19 December 2009 to 4 January 2010. This period coincided with several 400 401 weeks of nighttime temperatures that approached 0 deg. C. These cold air masses induced foliage 402 senescence and extensive litterfall. Salinity represents an important control on mangrove forest LUE, and 403 therefore on ecosystem productivity. The regression analyses that relate the 8-day average LUE (i.e. 404 LUE/LUE<sub>salinity=0</sub>) to salinity (Fig. 6) provided a 1.5% reduction in this ratio for every 1 ppt salinity increase. 405 The trend formed the basis of the linear forcing of salinity on LUE included in relationship (7) which was required in the MVP-LUE model. The linear forcing was also consistent with previous results (Barr et al., 406 407 2010) and showed that midday LUE declined linearly with increasing salinity resulting in a 48% reduction 408 in LUE from the lowest (16.7 ppt) to highest (34.7 ppt) salinity recorded during the 2004-2005 study 409 period. The observed reductions in LUE were also consistent with previous studies (Ball and Pidsley, 410 1995; Sobrado, 1999; Krauss and Allen, 2003; Parida et al., 2004) that indicated declines in leaf-level C 411 assimilation in response to increasing soil water salinity. By extrapolating the observed linear decline in 412 LUE, the productivity of the mangrove ecosystem ceases at surface water salinity approaching 70 ppt 413 according to the model expressed in relationship (7). Whereas such high salinity levels do not occur at 414 the Everglades study site, this estimate is in close agreement with average salinity tolerances of 60-90 415 ppt reported for red, white, and black mangroves (Odum et al., 1982).

416

#### 417 3.6 MVP-LUE model results

418 The cross-validated LUE model (Section 2.4) was capable of reproducing the observed responses of LUE 419 and GPP to seasonal changes in environmental variables and recovery from a major hurricane 420 disturbance. The modeled LUE median and 95% uncertainty bounds (Fig. 7) provided posterior 421 predictions from 10,000 MCMC iterations in each of 3 independent chains determined from 5-fold cross 422 validation. The largest discrepancies between estimated and modeled LUE occurred during March to 423 May of 2007 and 2008 when estimated LUE were seasonally lowest. Posterior means calculated over 424 the full 2004-2011 period of record were evaluated by the Pearson's correlation coefficient (R), the 425 coefficient of efficiency (CoE), and the normalized bias (NB). The model performed nearly as well during validation ( $R^2 = 0.646$ , CoE = 0.645; NB = -0.015) as during training ( $R^2 = 0.651$ , CoE = 0.651; NB = -426 427 0.015).

Posterior distributions of model forcings (Table 1) allowed estimates of mangrove productivity in response to key forcings, including EVI, air temperature, and salinity. The positive  $m_{EVI}$  (4.03±0.52; mean±1 s.d.) confirmed that fPAR, and therefore LUE, increased with EVI values. Air temperatures of 27.8±0.3 C (mean±1 s.d.) favored optimal mangrove LUE. These temperatures (Fig. 4b) occurred most frequently during March to May and October to November. Both modeled and estimated LUE values declined as air temperatures approached the  $T_{Max}$  value of 33.5±0.6 C. The slope,  $m_{sal}$  (0.0047±0.0022) of the salinity forcing,  $f_{sal}$ , was a factor of three lower compared to the slope (0.0146) determined from the

435 response of LUE/LUE<sub>salinity=0</sub> to salinity (Fig. 6). This apparent sharper decline in LUE with increasing 436 salinity masked the effect of increasing PAR on LUE since seasonal PAR peaks in nearly the same 437 season (May-June) as salinity. The Bayesian model results suggest that LUE significantly declined with 438 increasing PAR with a slope, m<sub>PAR</sub> of 0.0101±0.0004 (Table 1). Photosynthetic saturation with increasing 439 PAR is currently not included in many light-use efficiency based models of productivity using satellite data 440 (e.g., Xiao et al., 2004; Cook, et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010). An increase from the lowest (15 ppt) to highest (39 ppt) salinity values observed during the study period was predicted to result in an 11% 441 reduction in LUE. Also, an increase in PAR from the lowest (17 mol photons m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) to highest (67 mol 442 photons m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) 8-day average during 2004-2011 resulted in a 51% reduction in LUE. 443

444 Air temperature, salinity, PAR, and EVI were all determined as significant predictors of LUE (Fig. 7). 445 Low temperatures (~10 C) during passages of cold fronts can last from a few days to weeks during 446 December to February, resulting in large reductions in LUE and therefore GPP. For instance, the 447 passage of cold fronts during January 2010 resulted in estimated and modeled LUE of ~6 mmol C (mol 448 photons)<sup>-1</sup>. While other controls on LUE remained constant, a change in air temperature from 28 C 449 (optimum temperature) to 10 C was predicted to result in a 65% reduction in LUE. These results confirm 450 that mangrove forests become severely stressed when daily average temperatures drop below ~5 C. 451 Ross et al. (2009) measured high mangrove mortality following freeze events and the inability of 452 mangroves to survive in climates where temperatures near the freezing point are frequent.

453

#### 454 **3.7 MVP-LUE and MODIS GPP compared to EC GPP estimates**

455 The calibrated MVP-LUE model provided an improved mechanistic understanding of mangrove forest productivity compared to the standard MODIS GPP product. Specifically, least squares linear regressions 456 of 8-day MVP-LUE modeled GPP values to EC-estimated GPP (Fig. 8a) indicated improved performance 457 during 2004-2005 (slope = 0.720, intercept = 0.144,  $R^2$  = 0.56) compared to the 2006-2011 period 458 following hurricane disturbance (slope = 0.483, intercept = 0.249,  $R^2$  = 0.45). The regression of MODIS 459 GPP to EC-estimated GPP (Fig. 8b) suggested that the un-calibrated MODIS model only weakly captured 460 productivity trends during 2004-2005 (slope = 0.477, intercept = 0.238,  $R^2$  = 0.050) and failed to capture 461 any trends in GPP during 2006-2011 (slope = -0.372, intercept = 0.597, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.056). The MVP-LUE 462 model captured the broad seasonal maxima (0.5-0.7 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) in EC-estimated GPP (Fig. 9) as a 463 464 result of the strong dependence of mangrove forest productivity on air temperature. During December to February temperatures below ~20 C, and to a lesser extent, shorter day length and daily PAR resulted in 465 short-lived minima in GPP of 0.2-0.35 mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. The productivity response of manarove forests to 466 temperature has not been calibrated in the MODIS product and may partially explain the lack of 467 correlation between MODIS GPP and EC-estimates. Also, the increased variance in MODIS GPP 468 469 compared to EC-estimates (Fig. 8b) may be attributed to the MODIS model structure, which considers GPP as linearly increasing with PAR. The dampened GPP response to PAR identified in the MVP-LUE 470 model resulted in seasonal variability in GPP better matching observations. Also, the MVP-LUE model 471

472 captured the sustained plateau in EC-estimated GPP into November. This resulted from lowered salinity
473 stress during September to November represented in the model (eq. 7) and a modulated response of
474 GPP to daily PAR integrals represented by the decline in LUE with increasing PAR (eq. 8).

475

#### 476 4 Summary and conclusions

This research represents a first attempt to design and verify a light use efficiency model for mangroves through the integration of remotely sensed information, and meteorological and hydrologic data. This study is the first one to quantify the respiratory responses of mangrove forests over temporal scales of several growing seasons. Ecosystem respiration was successfully modeled using an atypical response function that includes a high temperature (~33 C) deactivation term. Estimation of the temporally and temperature-dependent response of ecosystem respiration to air temperature provided a critical first step in modeling mangrove GPP.

484 Observed seasonal patterns in 8-day LUE were controlled by variability in daily PAR and air 485 temperature, and to a lesser extent salinity and EVI fluctuations. LUE was lower when seasonal PAR 486 was highest during April and May as a result of photosynthetic saturation. Also, salinity maxima of 35 to 487 40 ppt contributed to canopy-scale reductions in LUE during April to early June amounting to a 5% reduction in LUE per each 10 ppt increase in salinity. Lowered LUE values during December and 488 489 January were the result of lower air temperatures and lowered physiological activity. As temperatures 490 approach 3 C, our model predicts that CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in these forests approaches zero. Significantly 491 reduced EVI values after Hurricane Wilma in 2005 also resulted in significantly lowered model estimates 492 of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake during the period when the EC tower was not operating. These results suggest that 493 mangrove forest LUE can be quite variable in subtropical environments that experience seasonal 494 variations in solar irradiance and air temperature, and disturbance from tropical storms.

495 The model and functional relationships determined in this study provide an important first step for 496 understanding the larger role mangrove forests play in both regional and global C budgets. Remote 497 sensing applications building on these results provide a means to estimate CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in areas outside 498 the flux tower footprint and in other mangrove forests around the tropics and subtropics. To do this, 499 spatiotemporal patterns in salinity are required as model input, which may be resolved as in the 500 Everglades from networks of hydrologic monitoring stations. PAR and air temperature data fields are also 501 required. However, validating this model in locations not equipped with EC will require novel approaches 502 that link predicted GPP values to other measurable parameters, such as biomass accumulation, or NECB 503 at appropriate time scales. As more EC towers are deployed in other types of mangrove forests, LUE 504 models such as this one may be used to identify patterns in guantum efficiencies across species, across 505 forest structural characteristics (e.g. scattered or dwarf forests), and latitudinal position. The integrated 506 datasets in turn will enable more precise approximations of the role mangrove forests play in global C dynamics. 507

## 509 Acknowledgements

J.D.F. and V.E. acknowledge support from the DOE (grant DE-FC02-06ER64298) to participate in this
research. This research is also based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation through
the Florida Coastal Everglades Long-Term Ecological Research program under grant number DBI0620409. D.F. received support from NASA (Cooperative Agreement No.NNX08BA43A, WaterSCAPES:
Science of Coupled Aquatic Processes in Ecosystems from Space). Any use of trade, product, or firm
names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

516

#### 518 References

- Alongi, D.M., Sasekumar, A., Chong, V.C., Pfizner, J., Trott, L.A., Tirendi, F., Dixon, P., Brunskill, G.J.:
   Sediment accumulation and organic material flux in a managed mangrove ecosystem: estimates of
   land-ocean-atmosphere exchange in peninsular Malaysia, Mar. Geol., 208, 383-402, 2004.
- Ball, M.C., Pidsley, S.M.: Growth responses to salinity in relation to distribution of two mangrove species,
   Sonneratia alba and S. lanceolata, in northern Australia, Funct. Ecol., 9, 77-85, 1995.
- Barr, J. G., Engel, V., Fuentes, J. D., Zieman, J. C., O'Halloran, T. L., Smith, T. J., and Anderson, G. H.:
  Controls on mangrove forest-atmosphere carbon dioxide exchanges in western Everglades National
  Park. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeo., 115, 1-14, doi:10.1029/2009JG001186, 2010.
- Barr, J.G., Engel, V., Smith, T.J., and Fuentes, J.D.: Hurricane disturbance and recovery of energy
   balance, CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and canopy structure in a mangrove forest of the Florida Everglades. Agr. Forest
   Meteorol., 153, 54-66, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.022, 2012.
- 530 Campbell, G.S., and Norman J.M.: An Introduction to Environmental Biophysics 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Springer, New 531 York, 286 pp., 1998.
- 532 Casella, G., and George, E.I.: Explaining the Gibbs sampler. Am. Stat., 46(3), 167-174, 1992.
- Castaneda, E.: Landscape patterns of community structure, biomass, and net primary productivity of
   mangrove forests in the Florida Coastal Everglades as a function of resources, regulators,
   hydroperiod, and hurricane disturbance, Ph.D. Dissertation, Louisiana State University, Lafayette,
   171 pp., 2010.
- Chapin, F.S., Woodwell, G.M., Randerson, J.T., Rastetter, E.B., Lovett, G.M., Baldocchi, D.D., Clark, D.
  A., Harmon, M.E., Schimel, D.S., Valentini, R., Wirth, C., Aber, J.D., Cole, J.J., Goulden, M.L.,
  Harden, J.W., Heimann, M., Howarth, R.W., Matson, P.A., McGuire, A.D., Melillo, J.M., Mooney, H.A.,
  Neff, J.C., Houghton, R.A., Pace, M.L., Ryan, M.G., Running, S.W., Sala, O.E., Schlesinger, W.H.,
  and Schulze, E.-D.: Reconciling carbon-cycle concepts, terminology, and methods, Ecosystems, 9,
  1041-1050, doi:10.1007/s10021-005-0105-7, 2006.
- 543 Chen, B., Ge, Q., Fu, D., Yu, G., Sun, X., Wang, S., and Wang, H.: A data-model fusion approach for upscaling gross ecosystem productivity to the landscape scale based on remote sensing and flux footprint modeling, Biogeosciences, 7, 2943-2958, doi:10.5194/bg-7-2943-2010, 2010.
- Cook, B.D., Bolstad, P.V., Martin, J.G., Heinsch, F.A. Davis, K.J., Wang, W., Desai, A.R., and Teclaw,
  R.M.: Using light-sue and production efficiency models to predict photosynthetic and net carbon
  exchange during forest canopy disturbance, Ecosystems, 11, 26-44, doi:10.1007/s10021-007-9105-0,
  2008.
- Donato, D.C., Kauffman, J.B., Murdiyarso, D., Kurnianto, S., Stidham, M., and Kanninen, M.: Mangroves
  among the most carbon-rich forests in the tropics, Nat. Geosci., 4, 293-297, doi:10.1038/ngeo1123,
  2011.
- 553 Gelman, A., Carlin, J.B., Stern, H.S., Rubin, D.B.: Bayesian Data Analysis, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Chapman and 554 Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL., 696 pp., 2004.
- 555 Gilks, W.R., Best, N.G., and Tan, K.K.C.: Adaptive rejection metropolis sampling within Gibbs sampling, 556 Appl. Stat. J. Roy. St. Soc. C, 44(4), 455-472, 1995.
- 557 Goetz, S.J., Prince, S.D., Goward, S.N., Thawley, M.M., and Small, J.: Satellite remote sensing of primary 558 production: an improved production efficiency modeling approach, Ecol. Model., 122, 239-255, 1999.
- 559 Gu, L., Baldocchi, D.D., Wofsy, S.E., Munger, J.W., Michalsky, J.J., Boden, T.A.: Response of a 560 deciduous forest to the Mt. Pinatubo eruption: enhanced photosynthesis, Science, 299, 2035-2038, 561 2003.
- Heinsch F.A., Zhao, M., Running, S.W., Kimball, J. S., Nemani, R.R., Davis, K.J., Bolstad, P.V., Cook
  B.D., Desai, A.R., Ricciuito, D.M., Law, B.E., Oechel, W.C., Kwon, H., Luo, H., Wofsy, S.C., Dunn,
  A.L., Munger, J.W., Baldocchi, D.D., Xu, L., Hollinger, D.Y., Richardson, A.D., Stoy, P.C., Siqueira,
  M.B.S., Monson, R.K., Burns, S.P., and Flanagan, L.B.: Evaluation of remote sensing based
  terrestrial productivity from MODIS using regional tower eddy flux network observations, IEEE T.
  Geosci. Remote, 44, 1908-1925, 2006.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E.P., Gao, X., and Ferreira, L.G.: Overview of the radiometric
  and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices, Remote Sens. Environ., 83, 195-213,
  2002.
- 571 Jiang, Z., Huete, A.R., Didan, K., Miura, T.: Development of a two-band enhanced vegetation index 572 without a blue band, Rem. Sens. Environ., 112, 3833-3845, 2008.

- Krauss, K.W., Allen, J.A.: Influences of salinity and shade on seedling photosynthesis and growth of two
   mangrove species, Rhizophora mangle and Bruguiera sexangula, introduced to Hawaii, Aquat. Bot.,
   77, 311-324, 2003.
- 576 Laffoley, D., and Grimsditch, G.: The Management of Natural Coastal Carbon Sinks, IUCN, Gland, 2009.
- Lloyd, J., and Taylor, J.A.: On the temperature dependence of soil respiration, Funct. Ecol., 8, 315-323,
   doi:10.2307/2389824, 1994.
- 579 Lovelock, C.E.: Soil respiration and belowground carbon allocation in mangrove forests, Ecosystems, 11, 342-354, doi: 10.1007/s10021-008-9125-4, 2008.
- Mayorga, E., Aufdenkampe, A.K., Masiello, C.A., Krusche, A.V., Hedges, J.I., Quay, P.D., Richey, J.E.,
  and Brown, T.A.: Young organic matter as a source of carbon dioxide outgassing from Amazonian
  rivers, Nature Letters, 436, 538-541, doi:10.1038/nature03880, 2005.
- 584 Monteith, J. L.: Solar radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems, J. Appl. Ecol., 9, 747-766, 1972.
- 585 Monteith, J. L.: The photosynthesis and transpiration of crops, Exp. Agr., 2, 1–14, 1966.
- Odum, W.E., McIvor, C.C., and Smith, T.J. III.: The ecology of the mangroves of south Florida: a
   community profile, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, Washington, D.C.
   FWS/OBS-81/24. 144 pp., Reprinted September 1985.,1982.
- 589 O'Hagan, A. and Forster, J.J.: Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics: Bayesian Inference. 2B (2 ed.), 590 Arnold, New York, NY, ISBN 0-340-80752-0, 2004.
- 591 Parida, A.K., Das, A.B., Mittra, B.: Effects of salt on growth, ion accumulation, photosynthesis and leaf 592 anatomy of the mangrove, Bruguierra parviflora, Trees, 18, 167-174, 2004.
- 593 Potter, C.: The carbon budget of California, Environ. Sci. Policy, 13, 373-383, 2010.
- 594 Prince, S.D., and Goward, S.N.: Global primary production: A remote sensing approach, J. Biogeogr., 22, 815-835, 1995.
- Raich, J.W., Rastetter, E.B., Melillo, J.M., Kicklighter, D.W., Steudler, P.A., Peterson, B.J., Grace, A.L.,
  Moore, B., and Vorosmarty, C.J.: Potential net primary productivity in South America: application of a
  global-model. Ecol. Appl., 1(4), 399-429, 1991.
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann,
  N., Ilmanov, T.G. Granier, A., Grunwald, T., Havrankova, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A.,
  Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen,
  J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccar, F., Vesala, T., Yaki, D.,
  Valentini, R.: On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem
  respiration: review and improved algorithm, Glob. Change Biol., 11, 1424-1439, doi:10.1111/j.13652486.2005.001002.x., 2005.
- Romigh, M.M., Davis, S.E, III, Rivera-Monroy, V.H., and Twilley, R.R.: Flux of organic carbon in a riverine
   mangrove wetland in the Florida Coastal Everglades, Hydrobiologia, 569, 505-516, 2006.
- Ross, M.S., Ruiz, P.L., Sah, J.P., and Hanan, E.J.: Chilling damage in a changing climate in coastal
   landscapes of the subtropical zone: a case study from south Florida, Glob. Change Biol., 15, 1817 1832, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01900.x, 2009.
- Running, S.W., Baldocchi, D.D., Turner, D.P., Gower, S.T., Bakwin, P.S., and Hibbard, K.A.: A global
   terrestrial monitoring network integrating tower fluxes, flask sampling, ecosystem modeling and EOS
   satellite data. Rem. Sens. Environ., 70, 108–128, 1999.
- Running, S.W., Thornton, P.E., Nemani, R.R., and Glassy, J.M.: Global terrestrial gross and net primary
  productivity from the earth observing system, in: Methods in ecosystem science, Sala, O., Jackson,
  R., Mooney, H. (Eds.), Springer, New York, pp. 44–57, 2000.
- 617 Schubert, P., Lund, M., Ström, L., and Eklundh, L.: Impact of nutrients on peatland GPP estimations using 618 MODIS time series data, Rem. Sens. Environ., 114, 2137–2145, 2010.
- 619 Sobrado, M.A.: Leaf photosynthesis of the mangrove Avicennia germinans as affected by NaCl, 620 Photosynthetica, 36(4), 547-555, 1999.
- Souza, M.F.L., Gomes, V.R., Freitas, S.S., Andrade, R.C.B., and Knoppers, B.: Net ecosystem
  metabolism and nonconservative fluxes of organic matter in a tropical mangrove estuary, Piauí River
  (NE of Brazil), Estuar. Coast., 32, 111-122, DOI 10.1007/s12237-008-9104-1, 2009.
- Spiegelhalter, D., Thomas, A., Best N., and Lunn, D.: WinBUGS User Manual, Version 1.4, MRC
   Biostatistics Unit, Cambridge, UK., 2003.
- Tucker, C.J., Vanpraet, C., Boerwinkel, E., Gaston, A.: Satellite remote sensing of total dry matter production in the Senegalese Sahel, Rem. Sens. Environ., 13, 461–474, 1983.

- Turner, D.P., Ritts, W.D., Cohen, W.B., Gower, S.T., Running, S.W., Zhao, M., Costa, M.H., Kirschbaum
  A., Ham, J., Saleska, S., and Ahl, D.: Evaluation of MODIS NPP and GPP products across multiple
  biomes, Rem. Sens. Environ., 102, 282–292, 2006.
- Vargas, R., Allen, M.F.: Diel patterns of soil respiration in a tropical forest after Hurricane Wilma, J.
   Geophys. Res., 113, G03021, doi:10.1029/2007JG000620, 2008.
- Xiao, X., Hollinger, D., Aber, J. D., Goltz, M., Davidson, E., Zhang, Q., and Moore III, B.: Satellite-based
   modeling of gross primary production in an evergreen needle leaf forest, Rem. Sens. Environ., 89,
   519–534, 2004.
- Zhao, M., Heinsch, F.A., Nemani, R.R., and Running, S.W.: Improvements of the MODIS terrestrial gross
   and net primary production global dataset, Rem. Sens. Environ., 95, 164–176, 2005.
- Zhao, M., and Running, S.W.: Remote sensing of terrestrial Primary Production and Carbon Cycle, in:
   Advances in Land Remote Sensing: System, Modeling, Inversion and Application, S. Liang (Eds.),
   Springer Science, pp. 423-444, 2008.
- 641

# 643 Tables

# 

# Table 1. MVP-LUE model forcing terms and associated uncertainty bounds

Parameter	Description	Mean	SD	2.50%	Median	97.50%
8 <sub>0</sub>	Optimum light-use efficiency (mmol C (mol photons) <sup>-1</sup> )	31.8	2.2	27.7	31.6	36.7
m <sub>EVI</sub>	Curvature of fPAR response to EVI (dimensionless)	4.03	0.52	3.11	3.99	5.21
T <sub>min</sub>	Temperature minimum (C)	2.6	0.6	1.4	2.7	3.7
T <sub>max</sub>	Temperature maximum (C)	33.5	0.6	32.4	33.5	34.8
T <sub>opt</sub>	Temperature optimum (C)	27.8	0.3	27.2	27.8	28.5
m <sub>sal</sub>	Salinity forcing (dimensionless)	0.0047	0.0022	0.0000	0.0048	0.0084
m <sub>PAR</sub>	PAR-saturation forcing	0.0101	0.0004	0.0092	0.0102	0.0110





- Fig. 1. Map of Everglades National Park showing mangrove forest zones along the coast, the study site,
- and the Park boundaries, defined by the thick green line. The 30-m EC tower, SRS6, and SH3 are co-
- located at the study site.





656 657

Fig. 2. Nighttime net ecosystem exchange (NEE ( $\mu$ mol (CO<sub>2</sub>) m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)) versus air temperature at 27 m are partitioned by low and high tide periods in 2004-2005 (**A**) and 2006-2011 (**B**) and by dry and wet season months in 2004-2005 (**C**) and 2006-2011 (**D**). Each subset of the data was divided into 30 bins and the average (circles) and ±1 standard deviation (dashed lines) were computed for each bin. Best-fit lines (equation 2) of the half-hourly NEE versus air temperature are included. During low tide periods, NEE is equivalent to R<sub>E</sub>.



Fig. 3. Eight-day average albedo (raw) and albedo adjusted to include only those values when the solar elevation angle was between  $35^{\circ}$  and  $50^{\circ}$  (**A**). Eight-day 500-m resolution EVI (**B**) values were linearly interpolated using 16-day composites. Averages include the pixel that contains the tower site and the adjacent 8 pixels.





salinities (ppt) (**C**) measured from a well at the USGS SH3 site adjacent to the tower.



Fig. 5. Eight-day sums of -NEE, mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (A) from the SRS6 tower site and derived products
 including ecosystem respiration, R<sub>E</sub>; mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (B), gross primary productivity, GPP; mol C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>
 (C), and light (PAR) use efficiency LUE; mmol C (mol photons)<sup>-1</sup> (D).



683

Fig. 6. Eight-day average light-use efficiency (LUE) normalized by the extrapolated LUE, LUE<sub>0</sub> at a

salinity value of 0 ppt during 2004-2005 and November 2006 to December 2011. The best fit line (slope =

686 -0.0146 and intercept = 1.0) represents the predicted decline in fractional LUE with 8-day average salinity

687 beginning at a value of 1.0 at zero salinity.

688



Fig. 7. Eight-day average estimated and modeled LUE (mmol C (mol photons)<sup>-1</sup>) at the tower site during 2004 through 2011. LUE estimates were not available from August 2005 through October 2006. The line (red) represents the posterior median LUE and shaded area represents the 2.5% and 97.5% uncertainty bounds. Uncertainties are provided for validation data sets derived from 5-fold cross validation. Modeled

LUE is controlled by 8-day averages of EVI, air temperature at 27 m, and surface water salinity.





704 = 
$$0.597$$
. R<sup>2</sup> =  $0.056$ ).



Fig. 9. Eight-day averages of EC-estimated and MVP-LUE modeled GPP (LUE\*PAR) and 3-period
 moving average of modeled GPP during 2004-2011.