

# ***Interactive comment on “Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus additions on nitrous oxide emission in a nitrogen-rich and two nitrogen-limited tropical forests” by M. H. Zheng et al.***

## **Anonymous Referee #3**

Received and published: 27 January 2016

This paper studied the effects of N and P additions on N<sub>2</sub>O emission in two tropical forest soils. The authors claimed that this is the first study to exam how N and P interact to control soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission in tropical forests. As far as I can tell, the results are sound, but the conclusions might need to be further discussed. I also have several technical comments, detailed below, that should be addressed prior to publication.

1) In page 6, lines 7-9, it shows that natural atmospheric N deposition is  $\sim 50$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for this study region. Why did you add so much N (150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) for your experiments?

2) In the introduction part, it would be useful to give some information about the differences between old-growth forest and younger forest, such as soil development, plant

Full screen / Esc

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



N utilization, soil N cycling, trees root. . .

3) In page 9, lines 8-9, 'soil WFPS decreased in summer'. But in page 6, lines 4-5, you wrote 75% of precipitation falls from March to August. Why is that? Do you have the rainfall data?

4) In page 12, lines 5-6, although higher MBC in old-growth forest soil, I am still not sure about the higher activity of (de)nitrifying bacteria as the low soil pH ( $\sim 4.0$ ). Chemodenitrification or other chemical processes might be more important than (de)nitrification.

5) In page 14, lines 15-16, you only measured N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and nitrate leaching, but didn't measure other gases lost (NH<sub>3</sub>, NO, HONO, NO<sub>2</sub>) and also didn't measure nitrogen utilization by plant. Thus, it is hard to say that 'N continue to be utilized and was not lost . . .', and also hard to support the hypothesis in the following sentence.

6) One way to check the mechanism of P alleviation of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions is to compare soil microbial community in Control and P addition treatments. This might give you a clue in microbiological level.

7) For my understanding, your control experiment is under natural atmospheric N deposition? Compared with control treatment, P addition treatment didn't decrease N<sub>2</sub>O flux (Fig. 3 and 4). So it is not possible to get the conclusion that 'P fertilization can be used to reduce soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission in N-rich forests under atmospheric N deposition'. Even P addition treatment decreased N<sub>2</sub>O flux compared with high N (150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) addition treatment, how do you know P addition will also decrease N<sub>2</sub>O flux under low N addition or atmospheric N deposition (50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>)? Especially you explained that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are caused by high N content or N-rich soil.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2015-552, 2016.

BGD

Interactive  
comment

Full screen / Esc

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

