1 2	Blue carbon stocks in Baltic Sea eelgrass (Zostera marina) meadows
3	Maria Emilia Röhr ^{1,2*} , Christoffer Boström ¹ , Paula Canal- Vergés ³ , Marianne Holmer ²
4	
5	¹ Åbo Akademi University, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Environmental and Marine
6	Biology, Artillerigatan 6, 20520 Åbo, Finland
7	² University of Southern Denmark, Department of Biology, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M,
8	Denmark
9 10	³ Danish Shellfish Centre, DTU Aqua, Technical University of Denmark, Øroddevej 80, 7900 Nykøbing Mors, Denmark
11	
12	* Corresponding author;
13	
14	Emilia Röhr (mrohr@abo.fi)
15	Abstract
16	
17	Although seagrasses cover only a minor fraction of the ocean seafloor, their carbon sink capacity
18	accounts for nearly one-fifth of the total oceanic carbon burial and thus play a critical structural
19	and functional role in many coastal ecosystems. We sampled 10 eelgrass (Zostera marina)
20	meadows in Finland and 10 in Denmark to explore seagrass carbon stocks (Corg stock) and
21	carbon accumulation rates (Corg accumulation) in the Baltic Sea area. The study sites represent
22	a gradient from sheltered to exposed locations in both regions to reflect expected minimum and
23	maximum stocks and accumulation. The Corg stock integrated over the top 25 cm of the
24	sediment averaged 627g C m $^{-2}$ in Finland, while in Denmark the average Corg stock was over six
25	times higher (4324 g C m ⁻²). A conservative estimate of the total organic carbon pool in the
26	regions ranged between 6.98-44.9 t C ha-1. Our results suggest that the Finnish eelgrass
27	meadows are minor carbon sinks compared to the Danish meadows, and that majority of the

28 Corg produced in the Finnish meadows is exported. Our analysis further showed that > 40 % of

29 the variation in the Corg stocks was explained by sediment characteristics i.e. dry density, porosity and silt content. In addition, our analysis show, that the root: shoot- ratio of Z. marina 30 31 explained > 12 % and contribution of Z. marina detritus to the sediment surface Corg pool explain > 10 % of the variation in the Corg stocks. The mean monetary value for the present 32 carbon storage and carbon sink capacity of eelgrass meadows in Finland and Denmark, were 33 281 and 1809 \in ha⁻¹, respectively. For a more comprehensive picture of seagrass carbon storage 34 capacity, we conclude that future Blue Carbon studies should in a more integrative way, 35 36 investigate the interactions between sediment biogeochemistry, seascape structure, plant 37 species architecture and the hydrodynamic regime.

38 Keywords: Blue Carbon, eelgrass, seagrass, carbon stock, carbon accumulation, sequestration,

39 carbon sink

40

41 Copyright statement

42

The article is distributed under the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0</u> License. Unless otherwise stated, associated published material is distributed under the same licence. Authors grant Copernicus Publications a license to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher. Authors grant Copernicus Publications commercial rights to produce hardcopy volumes of the journal for purchase by libraries and individuals. Authors grant any third party the right to use the article freely under the stipulation that the original authors are given credit and the appropriate citation details are mentioned.

50

51 Introduction

52

The atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) enters the ocean via gas-exchange processes at the oceanatmosphere interface. In the ocean dissolved inorganic carbon is fixed in photosynthesis by

primary producers, and released again through respiration. A large percentage of this fixed carbon is stored and sequestered in the sediments of vegetated coastal ecosystems of which the three globally most significant are saltmarshes, mangrove forests and seagrass meadows (Herr et al. 2012). The carbon stored by these ecosystems is known as Blue Carbon (Duarte et al. 2005 Duarte et al. 2013a, Nellemann et al. 2009). Blue Carbon ecosystems function as carbon sinks, in which the rate of carbon sequestered by the ecosystem exceeds the rate of carbon lost through respiration and export.

62 Seagrass meadows play a critical structural and functional role in many coastal ecosystems (Orth et al. 2006). Although seagrass meadows only cover globally about 300 000 - 600 000 km² 63 of the ocean sea floor, corresponding to 0.1 to 0.2 % of the total area, their carbon sink capacity 64 (capacity of seagrasses to absorb and store carbon in living and dead biomass and in the 65 sediments) may account for up to 18 % of the total oceanic carbon burial (Gattuso et al. 1998, 66 67 Duarte et al. 2005, Kennedy et al. 2010, Fourgurean et al. 2012). A large portion of the carbon sequestered (captured and stored) by seagrasses is stored in sediments with a conservative 68 69 value of 10 Pg C in the top 1 meter of seagrass sediments (Fourqurean et al. 2012). Consequently, recent global estimates imply that seagrass sediments store almost 25 200 to 84 70 000 t C km² (Fourgurean et al. 2012). More importantly, carbon in submerged sediments is 71 stored for timescales of millennia while terrestrial soils are usually less stable and only 72 73 sequester carbon up to decades (Hendriks et al. 2008).

74

The coasts of Scandinavia and the Baltic Sea are key distribution areas for eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.) meadows (Boström et al. 2002, Boström et al. 2014). The meadows extend from fully saline (>30) along the Norwegian coast to brackish (5-6) archipelago areas of Finland. This region is estimated to support >6 000 individual meadows covering at least 1 500 – 2 000 km², which is four times more than the combined eelgrass area of Western Europe (Spalding et al. 2003, Boström et al. 2014). Consequently, this region plays a key role in the coastal carbon dynamics, but we presently lack estimates of the role of eelgrass for carbon storage in temperate

sediments. Seagrasses are lost at accelerating rates and it has been estimated that 29 % of global 82 seagrass area has disappeared since the initial recording of seagrasses in 1879 (Waycott et al. 83 84 2009). This decline could have severe consequences on the total capacity of marine ecosystems to store and sequester carbon in addition to the other ecosystem services seagrass meadows 85 provide. Little is known about the magnitude of carbon emissions from degraded seagrasses 86 87 ecosystems, not to mention its economic implications. A recent study points out, that despite the importance of these ecosystems in the global carbon budget, none of the three Blue Carbon 88 89 ecosystems have been included in global carbon market protocols (Pendleton et al. 2012).

90

Seagrasses exhibit marked differences in shoot architecture and grow under variable 91 environmental settings, making direct extrapolations between species and locations difficult. 92 93 Consequently, there is a pressing need to better understand which factors are causing variability 94 in carbon storage (Corg stocks, carbon stored in living and dead seagrass biomass and 95 sediments) and the capacity of seagrass meadows to sequester carbon (Corg accumulation) in 96 seagrass sediments. Indeed, recent studies show considerable influence of seagrass habitat setting, sediment characteristics and species-specific traits on the variability in carbon storage 97 capacity in seagrass meadows (Duarte et al. 2013a, Lavery et al. 2013, Miyajima et al. 2015). 98 Such differences contribute to uncertainty in local and global estimates of the carbon storage 99 100 capacity and carbon dynamics in coastal seagrass areas.

101

In order to determine seagrass Corg stocks and Corg accumulation, knowledge on the sources of the carbon stored in the sediments is also crucial. The different Corg sources vary in their turnover compared to seagrasses (sources other than seagrasses being typically faster) and volumes of standing stock (typically less) and thus affect the dynamics of the Corg stocks and accumulation (Fry et al. 1977, Kennedy et al. 2004, Kennedy et al. 2010). Seagrasses are known to be enriched in δ^{13} C compared to other potentially sources of Corg in the seagrass sediments, such as plankton, macroalgae, allochtonous carbon material, seagrass epiphytes, and benthic microalgae (Kennedy et al. 2004, Kennedy et al. 2010, Fry and Sherr 1984, Moncreiff and Sullivan 2001, Bouillon et al. 2002, Bouillon and Boschker 2006, Macreadie et al. 2014). Thus, the stable isotope signals of seagrasses and other potential Corg sources can be relatively easily and reliably used as a proxy for identification of the origin of Corg in seagrass sediment carbon pool (Kennedy et al. 2010). Unfortunately, the current knowledge base on how these factors interact and influence carbon fluxes and storage is, at best, limited at both local and global scales.

116

In this study, we contrast storage, accumulation rates and sources of the accumulated carbon in
eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) meadows in two regions differing in salinity, temperature and
seagrass productivity, namely Finland and Denmark. Specifically we asked;

120 (1) How large is the carbon storage capacity (Corg stocks) of Baltic Sea eelgrass meadows?

- (2) Which are the environmental factors determining the variability of carbon storage (Corg
 stocks) and accumulation (Corg accumulation) at local and regional scales?
- (3) How do the sediment characteristics influence the carbon storage (Corg stocks) ofeelgrass meadows at local and regional scales?
- (4) How much carbon (Corg stocks) is presently stored in Finnish and Danish eelgrassmeadows, respectively.
- 127 (5) What is the present and historically lost (only in Denmark) monetary carbon value?

128

129 Materials and methods

130 Study area

131

132 Plant and sediment samples were collected in June-September 2014 from 10 sites in Finland

133 (The Archipelago Sea) and 10 sites in Denmark (Funen and Limfjorden) (Fig. 1). The Baltic Sea

sediments are typically mineral sediments consisting of glaciofluvial deposits and only a small

fraction of the sediment carbon content consists of carbonates (Leipe et al. 2011). The inorganic carbon content in our samples was low and contributed with 0.5-5 % of total carbon content (n= 10 sites per region) and therefore carbonates were not removed from the sediment samples prior to the analysis to avoid analytical errors in low organic samples (Schlacher and Connolly 2014). However, when interpreting the data it should be kept in mind, that given the %IC variation in the samples for the different sites (range 0.1-5.78 %; average 3.33%), the

141 inorganic carbon could cause bias in the stable isotope signals of the sediment surface

samples (range 0.16-1.17%; average 0.76%).

143 The study sites in each region spanned a gradient from sheltered to exposed areas. The Archipelago Sea of southwestern Finland is a shallow (mean depth 23 m), brackish (salinity 5-6) 144 145 coastal area characterized by a complex mosaic of some 30.000 islands and skerries (Boström et al. 2006, Downie et al. 2013). The region is heavily influenced by human pressures, especially 146 eutrophication, and exhibits naturally steep environmental gradients, as well as, strong 147 148 seasonality temperature productivity (Boström al. 2014). in and et

Limfjorden is a brackish water area in the Jutland peninsula connected to both North Sea and 150 Kattegat with salinity ranging from 17 to 35. The Fjord has a surface area of \sim 1500 km² and a 151 mean depth of 4.7 m (Olesen and Sandjensen 1994, Wiles et al. 2006, Petersen et al. 2013). 152 153 Funen is located between the Belt Seas in the transition zone where waters from Baltic Sea and 154 Kattegat meet. The salinity of the area ranges between 10 and 25 and the annual mean water temperature ranges from 10-15° C (Rask et al. 1999). This study was conducted in shallow (< 10 155 m) fjords around Funen. Also the Danish areas are heavily influenced by human pressures, 156 eutrophication 157 especially from intense agricultural farming. (DMU; Danmarks 158 Miljøundersøgelser, 2003).

159

149

160 Field sampling

All samples were collected from depths of 2.5-3 m by scuba diving. At all sites, three replicate 162 163 sediment cores (corer: length: 50 cm, diameter: 50 mm) were taken randomly at a minimum 164 distance of 15 m from each other. The corer was manually forced to a depth of 30-40 cm and the sediment between 0-25 cm was used for the analysis. The cores were capped in both ends under 165 166 water, and kept in a vertical position during transport to the laboratory. Eelgrass production and 167 biomass were measured at all sites from four randomly chosen locations within the eelgrass 168 meadow. In the vicinity of each sediment core, shoot density was counted using a 0.25 m² frame, 169 and above- and belowground biomass samples were collected with a corer (diameter 19.7 cm) 170 and bagged underwater. Additionally, when present, samples of plants and algae (drift algae, other angiosperms, phytoplankton and epiphytes) considered likely carbon sources in the 171 172 eelgrass meadows were collected from each site for identification and analysis of stable isotope 173 composition. Approximately 10 g wet material was collected for each species. Annual eelgrass 174 production was determined from estimates of previous growth by applying the horizontal rhizome elongation technique (Short and Duarte 2001). From each site, five replicate rhizome 175 176 samples with the longest possible intact rhizome carefully removed, were collected and 177 transported to the laboratory for further analysis.

178

179 Seagrass variables

180

In the laboratory, the above- and belowground biomass was separated and eelgrass leaves and 181 rhizomes were cleaned from epiphytes, detritus and fauna with freshwater and gently scrubbed 182 with a scalpel. All plant material was dried to constant weight (48 h in 60° C). The belowground 183 184 biomass was separated into living and dead rhizomes and dried separately. Only the living rhizomes were used for the belowground biomass measurements while samples of both living 185 and dead rhizomes were used for analysis of organic carbon (OC) and stable isotopic 186 composition of the organic carbon (δ^{13} C). The root: shoot-ratio was calculated as the ratio 187 between below- and aboveground biomasses of Z. marina samples. A pooled sample of 2 188

youngest leaves from 10 randomly selected shoots were collected prior to drying from the aboveground biomass samples and dried separately for analysis of OC and δ^{13} C. All samples were analyzed by Thermo Scientific, delta V advantage, isotope ratio mass spectrometer. The measured isotope ratios were represented using the δ- notation with Vienna Peedee belemnite as reference material.

194

Determination of annual eelgrass production was done by measuring length of each individual 195 196 internode of the rhizomes to the nearest millimeters. To obtain an estimate of the mean annual 197 production per site, internode length measurements of individual replicates (n= 5) were pooled. 198 Due to lack of two annual production peaks in both regions the annual production was estimated 199 based on the distance between shortest and longest measured internodes, assuming that they 200 represent the time point when the water temperature was at its minimum and maximum 201 average, respectively. The time points for the water temperatures were obtained from databases 202 of the Finnish and Danish Meteorological Institutes, respectively.

203

204 Sediment variables

205

206 In the laboratory, sediment samples were sliced into sections of 2-5 cm, where the upper 10 cm 207 layer was divided into 2 cm layers and the remaining part in 5 cm layers. From each subsample visible plant parts and fauna were removed before the sediment was homogenized. From the 0-2 208 209 cm section a subsample of 20 ml was taken for grain size analysis by a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 210 particle size analyzer. The sediment silt content was calculated as the fraction with particle size of 2-63 µm from the range of all particle sizes (Folk and Ward 1957). Sediment water content, 211 212 dry bulk density and porosity were determined from a subsample of 5 ml that was taken using a cut-off 5 ml syringe and weighed before and after drying at 105°C for 6 h from all sediment 213 214 layers. The dried sediment samples were homogenized in a mortar and divided into two 215 subsamples from which one was used for analysis of sediment organic matter content (OM, as 216 loss of ignition: 4 h in 520°C), and the other for analysis of sediment δ^{13} C and OC as described 217 above for the plant materials. Inorganic carbon content was low in sediments from both regions 218 (< 5 %) and considered insignificant compared to the organic fraction (1-2 order of magnitude 219 higher).

220

221 Corg stock and Corg accumulation calculations

222

223 The depth integrated Corg stocks were calculated according to Lavery et al. (2013) by 224 multiplying the OC (OC mg gDW⁻¹) measured from different sections of the sediment core with 225 the corresponding sediment dry density (g cm⁻³). These numbers were then depth integrated over 25 cm in order to estimate the depth integrated carbon density. To estimate sediment Corg 226 227 stock and Corg accumulation of Finnish and Danish eelgrass area we used averages from 10 sites 228 from each region in our calculations. The Corg (obtained by depth integration of the carbon 229 density (mg C cm⁻³) of the sampled region was multiplied with estimated seagrass area of the 230 region based on the most recent areal estimates (in km²) of seagrass distribution available in the 231 literature (Boström et al. 2014) and given as Corg in g C m⁻². In Finland the estimated areal 232 extent was 30 km², while in Denmark the extrapolations were based on the minimum and maximum estimates of the areal extent, respectively (673 and 1345 km²; Boström et al. 2014). 233 234 Results for carbon accumulation (applied by multiplying the depth integrated Corg stock, 235 regional seagrass area and sediment accumulation rate estimate from literature) in each area 236 are given as Corg accumulation (t y ⁻¹). Due to lack of long term monitoring of sediment accumulation in eelgrass meadows, we used available minimum, average and maximum 237 sediment accumulation rates in seagrass meadows obtained from literature (Duarte et al. 2013b, 238 239 Serrano et al. 2014, Miyajima et al. 2015).

240

To calculate the total Corg pool in Danish and Finnish eelgrass sediments, we summed the following three components: (1) the annual areal eelgrass carbon accumulation rate (Corg

accumulation in t C ha⁻¹y⁻¹, calculated by dividing the measured Corg stocks (C g DW m⁻²) in each 243 region with the time that it takes to accumulate this stock with a sedimentation rate of 2.02 mm 244 245 y^{-1}), (2) the total C in the average living aboveground and belowground Z. marina tissue (t C ha-1), and (3) the mean Corg stocks (t C ha-1) in eelgrass sediments in Denmark and Finland, 246 247 respectively. To calculate the present and lost economic value of eelgrass carbon stocks, we used 248 the social cost of carbon of 40.3 € t C⁻¹ (United States Government 2010) and multiplied this value with the Corg stocks (t C km⁻²). To estimate the Danish eelgrass losses over the past 100 249 250 years in economic terms, we used the calculations above, but accounted for the annually lost 251 sequestration value by multiplying the rate by 100. We used the most recent loss estimates for 252 Denmark for the period 1900-2000, assuming that the present coverage constitutes 10 % or 20 253 % of the historical area, respectively (Boström et al. 2014).

254

255 Sediment carbon sources

256

The Isosource 1.3 isotope mixing model software (Phillips and Gregg 2003) was used to estimate the contribution of different carbon sources to the sediment surface Corg stock. We ran the Isosource model using the δ^{13} C obtained from stable isotope analysis of *Z. marina* leaves, living and dead rhizomes and for samples of other abundant Corg sources within the meadow (n=1-5) with increments of 1 % and tolerance of 0.1. Numbers are given as percentage contribution to the sediment surface carbon pool.

263

264 Data analysis

265

All statistical analyses were performed using the PRIMER 6 PERMANOVA+ package (Anderson et al. 2008). A 2-factor mixed model was used, where sampling sites and region (FIN, DEN) were used as fixed factors for the biological response variable (sediment organic carbon stock, g C m⁻ 269 ²). In addition we ran reduced, countrywise DistLm models in order to better address the 270 possible differences in regional environmental drivers for Corg stock. Prior to analysis, the 271 environmental predictor variables (degree of sorting, sediment dry density, sediment water 272 content, sediment porosity, sediment silt content, sediment organic content, annual production, root: shoot-ratio, shoot density and percentage of Z. marina detritus contribution to Corg) were 273 274 visually inspected for collinearity using Draftsman plots of residuals. Due to autocorrelation 275 between sediment variables (water content, porosity and dry density) sediment water content 276 was removed from the environmental variables. To achieve normality in the retained 277 environmental variables, data was log-transformed (log(X+1)) and Euclidean distance was used 278 to calculate the resemblance matrix. The biological response variable (Corg stock in g C m⁻²) was 279 square-root transformed and Bray-Curtis similarity was used to calculate the abundance matrix. 280 The relative importance of different environmental variables was determined by use of DistLm, 281 a distance-based linear model procedure (Legendre and Anderson 1999). DistLm model was 282 constructed using a step-wise procedure that allows addition and removal of terms after each step of the model construction. AICc (Akaike Information Criterion with a correction for finite 283 284 sample sizes) was chosen as information criterion as it enables to fit the best explanatory environmental variables from of relatively small biological dataset compared to number of 285 286 environmental variables (Burnham and Anderson 2002). An alpha level of significance of 95 % (p<0.05) was used for all the analysis. All means are reported as mean ± SE (SEM). 287

288

289 Results

290

291 Seagrass meadow and sediment characteristics

292

In general, the Finnish meadows were found on exposed sandy bottoms while the environmental settings of the eelgrass meadows in Denmark were more variable (Fig. 2). Shoot density was nearly equal in both regions, averaging at 417± 75 (shoots m⁻²) in Finland and 418±32 (shoots m⁻²) in Denmark. In Finland variation between sites (112-773 shoots m⁻²) was greater than in 297 Denmark (300-652 shoots m⁻²). In Denmark the highest shoot density was found at the most 298 exposed site (Nyborg), while in Finland the highest shoot density was found at Sackholm, a fairly 299 sheltered site. The lowest shoot densities in Finland and Denmark were found in Tvärminne and 300 Løgstør, respectively. The mean aboveground biomasses were 101±3 and 145±5 (g DW m⁻²) and 301 the mean belowground biomasses 79±5 and 148±13 g (DW m⁻²) at Finnish and Danish sites, 302 respectively. In Denmark, the mean fraction of OC in aboveground and belowground Z. marina 303 tissue was 35±0.32 % DW and 29±1.10 % DW, respectively, while the corresponding numbers for Finland were 38±0.24 % DW and 36±0.27 % DW, respectively. Given an average total Z. 304 305 marina biomass (above- and belowground) of 293± 22.31 (Denmark) and 180±9.60 g DW m⁻² (Finland), we estimate the Corg pool in bound in living seagrass biomass to 0.66±0.005 and 306 0.94±0.014 t C ha⁻¹ in Finland and Denmark, respectively. The root: shoot-ratio was slightly 307 308 lower in Finland (0.87±0.05) than in Denmark (1.14±0.12), and varied between 0.29 to 3.29 and 309 0.15 to 6.45 in Finland and Denmark, respectively. The annual production of eelgrass for Finland 310 (average 524±62 g DW m⁻² y⁻¹) showed relatively low variation between sites (270-803 g DW m⁻¹ 311 ² y⁻¹) being lowest at Jänisholm and highest at Ryssholmen. In Denmark, the mean annual eelgrass production was almost twice as high (928±159 g DW m⁻² y⁻¹) with large variation (470-312 2172 g DW m⁻² y⁻¹). Production was lowest and highest at Dalby and Visby, respectively (Table 313 314 1).

315

316 The sediment characteristics varied significantly between Finland and Denmark. There was a significant difference ($F_{1,9}$ = 14.7, p<0.003) between regions in terms of silt content, which was 317 generally lower at Finnish $(6.3\pm1\%)$ sites than at Danish sites $(20.2\pm3.9\%)$, although in 318 319 Denmark the variation between sites ranged from 0.8 % at Nyborg to 31.6 % at Thurøbund 320 (Table 1, Fig. 2). In Finland, the variation between sites was lower and ranged from 1.6% (Kolaviken) to 15.5 % (Sackholm). At the Finnish sites the mean sediment dry density was 321 322 higher (1.35±0.01 g cm⁻³) compared to the Danish sites (1.25±0.02 g cm⁻³), and the Finnish sites 323 exhibited lower within-region variability ranging from 1.1 g cm⁻³ at Lyddaren to 1.5 cm⁻³ at

Långören, while the Danish sites varied from 0.3 g cm⁻³ at Thurøbund to 1.5 g cm⁻³ at Visby. The 324 325 Finnish sites showed consistently lower pools of organic matter (LOI: 1.4±0.3 %DW) compared 326 to the average of Danish sites (LOI: 3.9±1.5 % DW). Similarly, the mean OC content was lower in 327 Finland (0.24±0.033) than in Denmark (1.75±0.563). Consequently, the mean water content was 328 similarly lower in Finland (20.9±0.4 %: range 16-29 %) than in Denmark (37.4±1.8 %: range 17-329 76 %) (Table 1). Sediment porosity was similar in both regions, and ranged from 0.25 to 0.30 in 330 Finland, and from 0.20 to 0.40 in Denmark. At the Finnish sites, the proxy (degree of sorting) 331 that was used to estimate exposure, varied from 0.8 to 1.5 (φ), with Kolaviken being the most exposed and Ängsö being the most sheltered site. In Denmark degree of sorting varied from 0.6 332 333 to 2.1 (φ ,) with Nyborg and Visby being the most exposed and sheltered sites, respectively (Fig. 2). 334

335

336 Organic carbon stocks

The profiles of carbon densities (g C cm⁻³) in the upper 25 cm of the sediment showed marked 337 338 differences both between and within the sampled regions. At the Finnish sites, where eelgrass 339 typically grows at exposed locations, the sediment carbon density was low (mean 2.6±0.09 mg C 340 cm⁻³) and declined with depth at most of the 10 study sites (Fig. 3). At the Danish sites, however, 341 the sediment carbon density was more variable (mean 17.45±9.42 mg C cm⁻³) both within and between sites (Fig. 3). Depth integrated Corg stocks (0-25 cm, g C m⁻², Fig. 4) were particularly 342 343 high at one sheltered site in Funen, namely Thurøbund. This site is characterized by soft 344 sediments with high organic content, high annual eelgrass production and high belowground biomass (Table 1). The lowest eelgrass Corg stocks in Denmark were found at two relatively 345 346 exposed and sandy sites, namely Nyborg and Dalby (Fig. 4). The estimate of average total Corg 347 stock in Finland was 0.019±0.001 Mt C taking the total area of eelgrass into account (30 km²; 348 Table 2). Using minimum and maximum estimates of the eelgrass area in Denmark the estimates

for mean total sediment Corg stock in Denmark were 2.164±0.005 Mt C or 5.868±0.014 Mt C,
respectively (673 and 1345 km²; Table 2).

351

352 Using an annual carbon accumulation value of 0.05 and 0.35 t C ha-1 y-1 for Finland and 353 Denmark, respectively, and assuming sediment accumulation of 2.02 mm y⁻¹ on average (Table 354 2), the total pool of Corg in the Z. marina meadows (Corg bound in living biomass, sediment Corg 355 stock and Corg accumulation) corresponds to 6.98 t C ha⁻¹ (698t km⁻²) and 44.9 t C ha⁻¹ (4490 t km⁻²) for Finland and Denmark, respectively. Using the social cost of carbon of 40.3 € t C⁻¹ 356 357 (United States Government 2010), the present economic value of eelgrass carbon in Finnish and Danish eelgrass meadows is estimated at 281 and 1809 € ha⁻¹, respectively. Using an average of 358 359 these values (1045 \in ha⁻¹) and a conservative estimate of the eelgrass acreage in the Baltic Sea (2100 km²: Boström et al. 2014), we estimate a total monetary value of the present 360 361 sequestration by eelgrass meadows to be 219.4 million euro. Given the total eelgrass loss in 362 Denmark for the time period 1900-2000 is between 5381 km² (present area 20 % of historical 363 distribution) and 6053 km² (present area 10 % of historical distribution), this equals to a Corg loss of 0.042 and 0.048 Gt C, respectively. Using the acerage value (1045 € ha⁻¹) these areal loss 364 estimates corresponds to a lost economic value between 562 and 632 million euro, for the 365 minimum and maximum areal loss estimates, respectively. 366

367

368 Corg accumulation

369

The estimates for annual Corg accumulation in the Finnish seagrass meadows (30 km²) were low (0.002, 0.016, 0.033 Mt C y⁻¹), when applying sediment accumulation rates of 0.32, 2.02 and 4.20 mm y⁻¹, respectively. The low Corg accumulation in Finnish meadows was a result of low mean Corg stocks and relatively small size of seagrass area compared to Denmark (Table 2). The estimates for annual Corg accumulation for the Danish sites differed between the two subregions Limfjorden (18 km²) and Funen (179 km²). At the sampling sites around Funen, the Corg accumulation was 0.139, 0.881 and 1.832 Mt C y⁻¹, while in Limfjorden the Corg accumulation was lower (0.006, 0.038 and 0.079 Mt C y⁻¹) and similar to Corg accumulation for Finland. Using upper and lower eelgrass areal estimates, total Corg accumulation based on 3 sediment accumulation rates in Denmark were more than four orders of magnitude higher (0.376, 2.373, 3.636 and 0.75, 4.741 and 9.859 Mt C y⁻¹) than the estimated total Corg accumulation in Finnish eelgrass meadows.

- 382
- 383 Carbon sources
- 384

385 The δ^{13} C values of the surface sediment within regions where quite homogenous ranging from -22.8 to -18.9 ‰ and -17.6 to 13.5 ‰, in Finland and Denmark respectively. The analytical error 386 for the sediment δ^{13} C values was 2.8 %. The δ^{13} C in *Z. marina* tissues ranged from -11.4 to -8.5 387 388 ‰ and from -12.5 to -8.2‰, in Finland and Denmark, respectively. There was no significant 389 difference between living above- and belowground tissue and decomposed belowground tissue 390 and samples were pooled in the isotope mixing model. Although Z. marina was the dominant 391 seagrass species in Finland, the study sites included both monospecific and mixed seagrass 392 meadows. Mixed meadows typically contained pondweeds, e.g. Potamogeton pectinatus and Potamogeton perfoliatus. In particular, P. pectinatus ($\delta^{13}C$ -11.3 to -7.6 $\%_0$) and P. perfoliatus 393 $(\delta^{13}C - 15.6 \text{ to } - 12.6 \%)$ were both present at five of the Finnish study sites (Jänisholm, 394 Sackholm, Hummelskär, Tvärminne and Fårö) and P. pectinatus was present at Kolaviken, 395 396 Ryssholmen and Lyddaren. Ruppia cirrhosa (-11.5 to -8.8 ‰) was less abundant and found at three of the Finnish sites (Sackholm, Ängsö, Kolaviken) and at one study site in Denmark 397 398 (Kertinge). The δ^{13} C for phytoplankton ranged from -24.6 to -22.6 % and -18.6 to 16.4 %, in 399 Finland and Denmark, respectively. Drift algae was present at all Danish study sites, except 400 Thurøbund, and had δ^{13} C values from -17.9 to -13.5 ‰, but only at five Finnish sites (Ängsö, 401 Ryssholmen, Fårö, Långören and Hummelskär) with δ^{13} C values ranging from -20.0 to -16.3‰.

The isotope mixing model indicated that at all Finnish sites, phytoplanktonic material was the major contributor (43-86 %) to the sediment surface Corg pool. In Denmark *Z. marina* contributed with 13-81 % to the sediment surface Corg pool, contribution being lowest at the most exposed site in Nyborg and highest in Visby. The corresponding numbers for Finland were 1.5-32 %, being lowest and highest in Tvärminne and Lyddaren, respectively (Fig. 5).

408

409 Environmental factors explaining carbon pools

410

The combined (FIN + DK) DistLm analysis showed, that three sediment variables (dry density, 411 412 silt content, porosity) and three plant variables (annual eelgrass production, the root:shoot-ratio 413 and Z. marina contribution to the sediment carbon pool) explained 67 % of the variation in the sediment Corg stock (g C m⁻²) (Table 3 and 4, Fig. 6). Specifically, sediment silt content alone 414 explained > 36 % of the variation in Corg stocks (Table 3). In both regions, exposed sites 415 416 characterized by sandy, low organic sediments and low silt content, had low Corg stocks. In 417 contrast, at sheltered sites like Thurøbund in Denmark, we measured the highest sediment Corg stock along with highest silt and water content among all sites. Although sediment porosity and 418 419 sediment dry density also contributed to the model, they were of minor importance ($\sim 2 \%$ each). 420

The combined (FIN+ DK) DistLm analysis also showed that the *Z. marina* contribution to the sediment surface carbon pool explained 10.9 % of the variation in the measured Corg stocks (Fig. 6, Table 3 and Table 4). Drift algae was a significant contributor (72 %) to the sediment surface Corg pool at the Danish sites, while it appeared to play only a minor role (0-21 %) in Finland. The carbon sources were generally more mixed at the Danish study sites compared to the Finnish sites where phytoplankton dominated (Fig. 5).

427

428 While the overall model including all sites explained almost 70 % of the variation in carbon

429 stocks (Table 3, 4), and indicated that the most relevant environmental variables were included 430 in this Baltic scale analysis, reduced, countrywise DistLM models revealed different results. In 431 particular, variability in Finnish carbon stocks were explained up to 50 % by geological variables (porosity, sorting and sediment dry density), while the best sequential model for carbon stock 432 433 variability at Danish sites explained 75 % of the total variance. In contrast to Finland, the role of 434 eelgrass related variables (relative proportion of *Z. marina* in the sediment and the root:shoot ratio) where most important and explained 40 % and 25 %, respectively of the carbon stock 435 436 variability.

437

438 Discussion

439

Recent studies have shown considerable variation in the global estimates of carbon stocks (Corg stocks) and carbon accumulation rates (Corg accumulation) in seagrass meadows, indicating an incomplete understanding of factors influencing this variability (Fourqurean et al. 2012, Duarte et al. 2013a, Lavery et al. 2013, Miyayima et al. 2015). The Baltic Sea forms a key distribution area for eelgrass in Europe, but similarly to the global data sets, we have so far lacked estimates on seagrass carbon stocks and accumulation.

446

In our study, the Finnish eelgrass meadows showed consistently very low Corg stocks and Corg 447 448 accumulation, and the meadows were minor carbon sinks compared to the Danish meadows. 449 The Danish sites showed more variation in the sediment Corg stock and accumulation and Corg 450 stock was particularly high at one site, Thurøbund (26138 \pm 385 g C m⁻²), which is a relatively 451 sheltered site with high organic sediments. Expectedly, due to both larger overall eelgrass acreage and larger Corg stocks in the Danish meadows, the total Corg accumulation (0.38-9.86 t 452 C y⁻¹) was three to four orders of magnitude higher than in the Finnish meadows (0.002-0.033 t 453 $C y^{-1}$). As eelgrass in Finland generally grow in more exposed locations potentially due to 454

increased interspecific competition with freshwater plants such as common reed (*Phragmites* 455 456 australis) in sheltered locations (Boström et al. 2006), it is probable that most of the Corg produced in the Finnish meadows is exported, and thus incorporated in detrital food webs in 457 deeper bottoms. This argument is supported when applying sediment accumulation rates from 458 459 literature, as only 0.15 – 2.0 % of the annual production accumulated in Finnish meadows, while 460 the corresponding numbers for Denmark were 0.6 -7.8 %. Duarte and Cebrian (1996) estimated 461 that on average 25 % of the global seagrass primary production is exported, and seagrass 462 detritus may thus contribute significantly to Corg stocks in other locations, a fact that is often 463 overlooked.

464

465 Extrinsic drivers of carbon sequestration in seagrass meadows

466

As proposed in previous work accumulation of fine grained size fractions in seagrass sediments, 467 relative to those accumulated in bare sediments, appears to be one of the major factors 468 469 influencing the carbon sink capacity of seagrass meadows (Kennedy et al. 2010, Miyajima et al. 470 2015), and may thus be a useful proxy for the sink capacity. In addition, it is well known, that seagrasses modify sediments by reducing water flow and consequently increasing particle 471 472 trapping and sedimentation and reducing resuspension (Fonseca and Fisher 1986, Fonseca and Cahalan 1992, Gacia et al. 2002, Hendriks et al. 2008, Boström et al. 2010) and also increasing 473 Corg (Kennedy et al. 2010). 474

475

In this study, the DistLm analysis showed, that contribution of *Z. marina* to the sediment surface carbon pool was an important driver (> 10.9 %) of the variation in the sediment Corg stock (Table 3 and 4, Fig. 6) when the model included both regions. Surprisingly, the reduced countrywise analysis revealed different results and showed that *Z. marina* contribution to the sediment surface carbon pool was an important driver for Corg stocks only in Denmark. We

believe that the countrywise differences in explanatory variables might relate to the more 481 482 pronounced influence of eelgrass for carbon stocks at Danish sites. Indeed, these sites exhibited 483 on average 30 % higher aboveground biomasses, 45 % higher belowground biomasses, 24 % higher root:shoot- ratios and 44 % higher productivity compared to the Finnish sites. In Finland 484 485 and the northern Baltic Sea, eelgrass meadows appears to be primarily physically controlled, 486 and thus sediment variables play a relatively more pronounced role. The results from the model 487 were also supported by our data in which we found increasing Corg stocks at the Danish sites, where Z. marina was the major source of organic carbon, contributing with 13-81% to the 488 489 surface sediment Corg, while in contrast, at the Finnish sites where only a minor fraction of carbon buried in sediments derive from eelgrass detritus (1.5-39.6 %) the Corg stocks were low. 490 Correspondingly, the average δ^{13} C value (-16.2 ‰) in the Danish sediment samples was similar 491 492 to the global median value $(-16.3\pm0.2 \ \text{\%})$ reported by Kennedy et al. (2010) in which on 493 average 51 % of the carbon was derived from seagrass detritus, whereas it was -20 ± 0.6 % in 494 Finland indicative of higher contribution from other more negative carbon sources., such as 495 phytoplankton. The importance of the Z. marina contribution to the Corg stocks may be explained by slow decomposition rates of seagrass tissue. Especially, the high proportion of 496 refractory organic compounds in the seagrass belowground parts and high C:N:P-ratios of 497 seagrass tissue in general make seagrasses less biodegradable than most marine plants and 498 499 algae (Fourqurean and Schrlau 2003, Vichkovitten and Holmer 2004, Kennedy and Björk 2009, 500 Holmer et al. 2011, Röhr et al in prep.). The slow decomposition rates are also a result of 501 reduced sediment conditions commonly encountered in Danish seagrass meadows (Kristensen 502 and Holmer 2001, Holmer et al. 2009, Pedersen et al. 2011). Despite the extensive distribution 503 (2-29 ha), high biomasses (300-800 g DW m⁻²) and major impact of drifting algal mats on coastal 504 ecosystem functioning (Norkko and Bonsdorff 1996, Salovius and Bonsdorff 2004, Rasmussen et 505 al. 2013, Gustafsson and Boström 2014), the stable isotope composition of the sediments 506 suggests that drift algae had a surprisingly minor influence on the sediment surface Corg pool in 507 both regions. Thus, despite present on several sampling sites, drift algae is likely exported and

508 mineralized in deeper sedimentation basins. Furthermore, we found that at all study sites in 509 both regions, there were several other potential sources influencing the sediment surface Corg 510 pool. Similarly, Bouillon et al. (2007) showed that in seagrass sediments adjacent to mangrove 511 forests in Kenya, none of their sites had seagrass material as the sole source of Corg, and instead 512 mangrove-derived detritus contributed significantly to the seagrass sediment Corg pool.

513

Similarly to the contribution of Z. marina to the sediment surface carbon pool, the root:shoot-514 ratio explained 12.7 % of the variation in the Corg stocks when both regions were included in 515 516 the model, but in the reduced countrywise models it was important driver for Corg stocks in only Denmark. Accordingly, the highest Corg stocks, belowground biomass and root: shoot-ratio 517 518 was found in Thurøbund (Denmark). In Finland, the highest root: shoot-ratio (2.07) was found at 519 Kolaviken, with a relatively low Corg stock (397 g C m⁻²). Due to higher degree of exposure at the 520 site (degree of sorting 0.7 ϕ) compared to Thurøbund (1.4 ϕ) it is likely that large portion of the eelgrass production was exported away from the meadow and not stored in the sediment. The 521 mean shoot densities were almost identical between regions, and shoot density did not 522 523 contribute to the model explaining Corg.

524

The annual eelgrass production explained only 2.3 % of the variation in the Corg stocks in the 525 combined model. The annual production rates were almost twice as high at Danish sites 526 527 compared to the Finnish sites. Regional differences in seagrass productivity may be caused by 528 differences in e.g. the inorganic carbon concentration in water column and light availability between the regions (with higher values in Denmark), which both affect the photosynthetic 529 capacity of the plant (Hellblom and Björk 1999, Holmer et al. 2009, Boström et al. 2014). 530 Eelgrass production tend to be higher in physically exposed areas compared to more sheltered 531 532 areas, which can be due to improved sediment oxygen conditions and hydrodynamical effects 533 (Hemminga and Duarte 2000). This finding was not supported by our study, in which we found the highest annual eelgrass production rates at both the most sheltered and exposed sites,namely Visby and Nyborg (DK).

536

537 Geographical comparisons of carbon stocks and accumulation

538

539 Our estimated Corg stocks for the study sites were generally lower (627-4324 t C km⁻²) than estimates (25200-84000 t C km⁻²) found in the literature(Nelleman et al. 2009, , Fourqurean et 540 al. 2012). Several of the studies were conducted in the Mediterranean P. oceanica meadows - a 541 habitat with superior carbon sequestration and storage capacity (Duarte et al. 2005, Lavery et al. 542 2013). The average sizes of Corg stocks in Finnish and Danish eelgrass meadows were also 543 544 considerably lower than the mean values reported by Alongi et al. (2014) for tropical seagrass 545 meadows (14270 t C km⁻²). In contrast, our estimate for the carbon stock in the top 25 cm for 546 Danish and Finnish meadows (627-6005 g C m⁻²) are comparable to Australian (262-4833 g C m⁻²) ²: Lavery et al. 2013) and Asian estimates ($3800-12000 \text{ g Cm}^{-2}$: Miyajima et al. 2015). 547

548

549 Consequences of seagrass loss for carbon pools

550

Despite the importance of seagrasses, their global distribution has decreased by 29 % since 551 552 1879 primarily due to anthropogenic pressures (Waycott et al. 2009), thus weakening the 553 carbon sink capacity of marine environments to sequester carbon (Duarte et al. 2005). Since the 1970s, the Baltic Sea has been subject to strong anthropogenic pressures (Conley et al. 2009) 554 555 leading to eelgrass declines in several countries (Boström et al. 2014). In the 1930s, the Danish eelgrass meadows were significantly reduced by the wasting disease (Rasmussen 1977). These 556 557 regime shifts in Denmark have resulted in a 80-90 % decline corresponding to 6726 km² in the 558 beginning of 1900's to 673-1345 km² in 2005, using the minimum and maximum estimates for the current coverage area, respectively (Boström et al. 2014). Using the mean carbon density 559

(17.45 mg C cm⁻³) measured at the Danish sites, the lost Corg stock is estimated to 23-27 Mt C and these large-scale seagrass declines, which are also found in Sweden and Germany, have eroded the Corg stocks in the Baltic Sea significantly (Table 2). In Finland there is a lack of longterm monitoring, but the meadows appear to be stable and cover at least 30 km² with no significant loss of Corg stocks.

565

566 Using a carbon monetary value of $40.3 \in \mathbb{C}^{-1}$, we calculated the monetary value of the present carbon storage and sequestration capacity of eelgrass meadows in Finland and Denmark to be 567 568 281 and 1809 € ha-1, respectively. Pendleton et al. (2014) calculated a global estimated economic cost of lost seagrass meadows to be 1.9-13.7 billion USD. This value was derived from 569 570 the cost of lost carbon sink capacity, ignoring other lost ecosystem services including e.g. coastal 571 protection, water quality management, food provision and the role of seagrasses as fisheries and kev habitats for marine species (Barbier et al. 2011, Atwood et al. 2015). Our estimate also only 572 considers lost carbon sink capacity and can be compared directly with Pendleton et al. (2014). 573 574 The present economic value of carbon storage and sequestration capacity of Baltic Sea eelgrass 575 meadows is thus between 1.7 and 12 % out of the global seagrass Blue Carbon value.

576

While useful, our and previous work still remain snap shots of complex processes causing local 577 578 and regional variability in estimates of seagrass Blue Carbon stocks and accumulation. Clearly, in order to produce more reliable estimates of global seagrass carbon sequestration rates and 579 stocks, there is a need for more studies integrating and modeling the individual and joint role of 580 e.g. sediment biogeochemistry, seascape structure, plant species architecture and hydrodynamic 581 582 regime. Since seagrasses are lost at accelerating rates (Waycott et al. 2009), there is also an 583 urgent need for a better understanding of the fate of lost seagrass carbon (Macreadie et al. 2014) and the development of the carbon sink capacity in restored seagrass ecosystems (Nellemann et 584 585 al. 2009, Greiner et al. 2013, Marba et al. 2015). Nelleman et al. (2009) proposed the use of 586 carbon trading programs using financial incentives for forest conservation, such as REDD+

(Reduced Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation) and NAMAs (Nationally Appropriate 587 588 Mitigation Actions), to include the blue carbon ecosystems as part of their environmental protection protocol. Both of these carbon mitigation programs require ongoing monitoring of 589 organic carbon storage and emission in the different Blue Carbon ecosystems. In order to 590 591 manage seagrass meadows, mitigate climate change and produce information required for the 592 carbon trading programs, it is fundamental to understand factors influencing the capacity of 593 seagrass meadows to capture and store carbon. By solving these uncertainties, the conservation 594 and restoration of seagrass meadows can be implemented in the most beneficial manner by e.g. 595 giving priority to protection of the seagrass meadows and species with the highest carbon sink 596 capacity and foundation of restoration projects in areas most suitable for seagrass growth 597 (Duarte et al. 2013a).

598 Data availability and competing interest

599

600 The data is available from the corresponding author. The authors declare that they have no601 conflict of interest.

602 Acknowledgements

603

This work is part of a double degree program between Åbo Akademi University (ÅAU) and University of Southern Denmark (SDU). The study was funded by The Maj and Tor Nessling Foundation (Project 201600125: Baltic Sea blue carbon: environmental gradients influencing the carbon sink capacity of seagrass meadows) and University of Southern Denmark. We acknowledge Archipelago Centre Korpoström and University of Southern Denmark for excellent working facilities. Tiina Salo and Karine Gagnon are acknowledged for field assistance and Katrine Kierkegaard and Birthe Christensen for their assistance in the laboratory work.

611

613 References

614

Alongi, D. M.: Carbon Cycling and Storage in Mangrove Forests. Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 6:195–219, 2014.

Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N. and Clarke, K.R.: PERMANOVA for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. Plymouth, United Kingdom: PRIMER-E Ltd., 2008.

Atwood, T.B., Connolly, R.M., Euan, G.R., Lovelock, C.E., Heithaus, M.R. and Hays, G.C, Predators help protect carbon stocks in blue carbon ecosystem. Nat. Clim. Change 2015.

Barbier, E.B., Hacker, S.D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E.W., Stier, A.C. and Silliman, B.R.: The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. Ecol. Monogr.,81(2):169-193, 2011.

Boström C., Baden S., Bockelmann A., Dromph K., Fredriksen S. and Gustafsson C.: Distribution, structure and function of Nordic eelgrass (Zostera marina) ecosystems: implications for coastal management and conservation. Aq. Cons. Mar. Freshwater Ecosyst.,24(3):410-434, 2014

Boström, C,. Törnroos, A. and Bonsdorff, E.: Invertebrate dispersal and habitat heterogeneity: Expression of biological traits in a seagrass landscape. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 390(2):106-117, 2010.

Boström, C., Bonsdorff, E., Kangas, P. and Norkko, A.: Long-term changes of a brackish-water eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) community indicate effects of coastal eutrophication. Estuar. Coast. and Shelf Sci. 55(5):795-804, 2002.

Boström, C., O'Brien, K., Roos, C. and Ekebom, J.: Environmental variables explaining structural and functional diversity of seagrass macrofauna in an archipelago landscape. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol ,335(1):52-73, 2006

Bouillon S. and Boschker H.TS.: Bacterial carbon sources in coastal sediments: a cross-system analysis based on stable isotope data of biomarkers. Biogeosciences, 3(2):175-185, 2006.

Bouillon, S., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Rao, A.V.V.S., Koedam, N. and Dehairs, F.: Sources of organic carbon in mangrove sediments: variability and possible ecological implications. Hydrobiologia, 495(1-3):33-39, 2003.

Bouillon, S., Dehairs, F., Velimirov, B., Abril, G. and Borges, A.V.: Dynamics of organic and inorganic carbon across contiguous mangrove and seagrass systems (Gazi Bay, Kenya). J. Geophys. Research-Biogeosciences 112(G2):G02018, 2007.

Burnham, K.P. and Anderson, D.R.: Model Selection and Multi-Model Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach (2nd Edition). Secaucus, NJ, USA: Springer, 2002.

Conley, D.J., Björck, S., Carstensen, J., Bonsdorff, E., Destouni, G. and Gustafsson, B.G.: Hypoxia- related processes in the Baltic Sea. Env. Sci. Tech., 2009.

DMU-report, Ærtebjerg, G., Andersen, J.H. and Hansen, O.S.(Eds.): Nutrients and Eutrophication in Danish Marine Waters . A Challenge for Science and Management, National Environmental Research Institute, Denmark, 2003.

Downie, A., von Numers, M. and Boström, C.: Influence of model selection on the predicted distribution of the seagrass Zostera marina. Estuar. Coast. and Shelf S.,121:8-19, 2013.

Duarte, C.M. and Cebrian, J.: The fate of marine autotrophic production. Limnol. Oceanogr., 41(8):1758-1766, 1996.

Duarte, C.M., Middelburg, J.J. and Caraco, N.: Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic carbon cycle. Biogeosciences, 2(1):1-8, 2005.

Duarte, C.M., Kennedy, H., Marba, N. and Hendriks, I.: Assessing the capacity of seagrass meadows for carbon burial: Current limitations and future strategies. Ocean Coast Man., 83:32-38 2013.

Duarte, C.M., Losada, I.J., Hendriks, I.E., Mazarrasa, I. and Marba, N.: The role of coastal plant communities for climate change mitigation and adaptation. Nature Clim. Change,3 (11):961-968, 2013.

Folk, R.L. and Ward, W.C.: Brazos river bar: a study of significance of grain size parameters. J. Sediment Petrol 1957;27:3, 1957

Fonseca and Fisher, J.S.: A comparison of canopy friction and sediment movement between four species of seagrass with reference to their ecology and restoration. Marine ecology progress series.Oldendorf,29(1):15-22, 1986

Fonseca, M.S. and Cahalan, J.A.: A preliminary evaluation of wave attenuation by four species of seagrass. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 1992 12; 35(6):565-576, 1992.

Fourqurean, J.W. and Schrlau, J.E. Changes in nutrient content and stable isotope ratios of C and N during decomposition of seagrasses and mangrove leaves along a nutrient availability gradient in Florida Bay, USA. Chem. Ecol. 19:373-390, 2003.

Fourqurean, J.W., Duarte, C.M., Kennedy, H., Marba, N., Holmer, M. and Mateo, A.M.: Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. Nature Geoscience, 5(7):505-509, 2012.

Fry B. and Sherr E.B. d13C measurements as indicators of carbon flow in marine and freshwater ecosystems. Contrib. Mar. Sci., 27:13, 1984.

Fry, B., Scalan, R.S., Parker, P.L.: Stable Carbon Isotope Evidence for 2 Sources of Organic-Matter in Coastal Sediments - Seagrasses and Plankton. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 41(12):1875-1877, 1977.

Gacia, E., Granata, T.C. and Duarte, C.M.: An approach to measurement of particle flux and sediment retention within seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) meadows. Aquat. Bot., 65(1-4):255-268, 1999.

Gacia, E. and Duarte, C.M. and Middelburg, J.J.: Carbon and nutrient deposition in a Mediterranean seagrass (Posidonia oceanica) meadow. Limnol. Oceanogr. 47(1):23-32, 2002.

Gattuso. J.P., Frankignoulle, M. and Wollast R. Carbon and carbonate metabolism in coastal aquatic ecosystems. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 29:405-434, 1998.

Greiner, J.T., McGlathery, K.J., Gunnell, J. and McKee, B.A. Seagrass Restoration Enhances "Blue Carbon" Sequestration in Coastal Waters. Plos One, 8(8):e72469, 2013.

Gustafsson, C. and Boström, C.: Algal mats reduce eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) growth in mixed and monospecific meadows. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology.461:85-92, 2014.

Hendriks, I.E., Sintes, T., Bouma, T.J. and Duarte, C.M.: Experimental assessment and modeling evaluation of the effects of the seagrass Posidonia oceanica on flow and particle trapping. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 356:163-173, 2008.

Herr, D., Pidgeon, E., Laffoley, D. (Eds.).:Blue Carbon. Policy Framework: Based on the Discussion of the International Blue.Carbon PolicyWorking Group, IUCN and Arlington, Gland, Switzerland, USA: CI. viþ39pp, 2012.

Holmer, M., Duarte, C.M., Boschker, H.T.S. and Barron, C.: Carbon cycling and bacterial carbon sources in pristine and impacted Mediterranean seagrass sediments. Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 36(3):227-237, 2004.

Holmer, M., Baden, S., Bostrom C and Moksnes P.: Regional variation in eelgrass (Zostera marina) morphology, production and stable sulfur isotopic composition along the Baltic Sea and Skagerrak coasts. Aquat. Bot., 91(4):303-310, 2009.

Holmer, M. 2009. Productivity and biogeochemical cycling in seagrass ecosystems. In Coastal Wetlands: An Integrated Ecosystem Approach, ed. G.M.E. Perillo, E. Wolanski, D.R. Cahoon and M.M. Brinson, 377-401. Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Holmer, M., Wirachwong, P. and Thomsen, M.S.: Negative effects of stress-resistant drift algae and high temperature on a small ephemeral seagrass species. Mar. Biol.,158(2):297-309, 2011.

Kennedy, H., Gacia, E., Kennedy, D.P., Papadimitriou, S. and Duarte, C.M.: Organic carbon sources to SE Asian coastal sediments. Estuar. Coast. and Shelf S. ,60(1):59-68, 2004.

Kennedy, H. and Björk, M.: Seagrasses, in The Management of Natural Coastal Carbon Sinks in Coastal Ecosystems: Investigating and Realising the Potential. 2009.

Kennedy, H., Beggins, J., Duarte, C.M., Fourqurean, J.W., Holmer, M. and Marba, N.: Seagrass sediments as a global carbon sink: Isotopic constraints. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 24:GB4026, 2010.

Kristensen, E. and Holmer, M.: Decomposition of plant materials in marine sediment exposed to different electron accepters (O-2, NO3-, and SO42-), with emphasis on substrate origin, degradation kinetics, and the role of bioturbation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 65(3):419-433, 2001.

Lavery, P.S., Mateo, M., Serrano, O. and Rozaimi. M.: Variability in the Carbon Storage of Seagrass Habitats and Its Implications for Global Estimates of Blue Carbon Ecosystem Service. Plos One ,8 (9):e73748, 2013.

Legendre, P. and Anderson, M.J.: Distance-based redundancy analysis: Testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments (vol 69, pg 1). Ecol. Monogr., 69 (4):512-512, 1999.

Leipe, T., Tauber, F.,Vallius, H., Virtasalo, J., Uścinowicz, S.,Kowalski, N.,Hille, S., Lindgren, S.,and Myllyvirta, T.:Particulate organic carbon (POC) in surface sediments of the Baltic Sea. Geo-Mar. Lett. 31:175-188,2011.

Macreadie, P.I., Baird, M.E., Trevathan-Tackett, S.M., Larkum, A.W.D. and Ralph, P.J.: Quantifying and modelling the carbon sequestration capacity of seagrass meadows - A critical assessment. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 83(2):430-439, 2014.

Marba, N., Arias-Ortiz, A., Masque, P., Kendrick, G. A., Mazarrasa, I., Bastyan, G. R., Garcia-Orellana, J. and Duarte, C. M.: Impact of seagrass loss and subsequent revegetation on carbon sequestration and stocks. J.of Ecology 103:296-302, 2015

Mcleod,E., Chmura, G.L., Bouillon, S., Salm, R., Bjork, M. and Duarte, C.M.: A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO2. Front. Ecol. Env., 9(10):552-560, 2011.

Miyajima, T., Hori, M., Hamaguchi, M., Shimabukuro, H., Adachi, H. and Yamano, H.: Geographic variability in organic carbon stock and accumulation rate in sediments of East and Southeast Asian seagrass meadows. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 29(4):397-415, 2015.

Moksnes, P.-E. and Cole, S. G.: Valuing multiple eelgrass ecosystem services in Sweden: Fish production and uptake of carbon and nitrogen. Front. Mar. Sci. 2(121):1-18, 2015.

Moncreiff, C.A. and Sullivan M.J.: Trophic importance of epiphytic algae in subtropical seagrass beds: evidence from multiple stable isotope analyses. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 215:93-106, 2001.

Nellemann, C., Corcoran, E., Duarte, C.M., Valdés, L., De Young, C. and Fonseca, L.: Blue Carbon. A Rapid Response Assessment, 2009.

Norkko, A. and Bonsdorff, E.: Rapid zoobenthic community responses to accumulations of drifting algae. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 131:143-157, 1996.

Olesen, B. and Sandjensen, K.: Patch Dynamics of Eelgrass Zostera marina. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 106(1-2):147-156, 1994.

Orth, R.J., Carruthers, T.J.B., Dennison, W.C., Duarte, C.M., Fourqurean, J.W. and Heck, K.L.Jr. : A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems. Bioscience, 56(12):987-996, 2006.

Pedersen, M. Ø., Serrano, O., Mateo, M.A, Holmer, M.: Temperature effects on decomposition of a Posidonia oceanica mat. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 65: 169-182, 2011.

Pendleton, L., Donato, D.C., Murray, B.C., Crooks, S., Jenkins, W.A. and Sifleet, S: Estimating Global "Blue Carbon" Emissions from Conversion and Degradation of Vegetated Coastal Ecosystems. Plos One, 7(9):e43542, 2012.

Petersen, J.K., Maar, M., Ysebaert, T. and Herman, P.M.J.: Near-bed gradients in particles and nutrients above a mussel bed in the Limfjorden: influence of physical mixing and mussel filtration. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 490:137-146, 2013.

Phillips, D.L. and Gregg, J.W.: Source partitioning using stable isotopes: coping with too many sources. Oecologia, 136(2):261-269, 2003.

Rask, N., Pedersen, S.E. and Jensen, M.H.: Response to lowered nutrient discharges in the coastal waters around the island of Funen, Denmark. Hydrobiologia, 393:69, 1999.

Rasmussen, E.: The wasting disease of eelgrass Zostera marina and its effects on environmental factors and fauna. Seagrass ecosystems. A scientific perspective. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1977.

Rasmussen, J.R., Pedersen, M.F., Olesen, B., Nielsen, S.L. and Pedersen, T. M .: Temporal and spatial dynamics of ephemeral drift-algae in eelgrass Zostera marina, beds. Estuar. Coast. and Shelf S.

119:167-175, 2013.

Salovius, S. and Bonsdorff, E.: Effects of depth, sediment and grazers on the degradation of drifting filamentous algae (*Cladophora glomerata* and *Pilayella littoralis*).Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology.298:93-109,2004.

Schlacher, T.A and Conolly, R.M.: Effects of acid treatment on carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios in ecological samples: a review and synthesis. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 5(6):541-550,2014.

Serrano, O., Lavery, P.S., Rozaimi, M. and Mateo, A. M.: Influence of water depth on the carbon sequestration capacity of seagrasses. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 28(9):950-961, 2014.

Short, F. and Duarte, C.M.: Methods for the measurement of seagrass growth and production. In: Short and Cole, editors. Global seagrass research methods Amsterdam: Elsevier; p. 155-182, 2001.

Spalding, M., Taylor, M., Ravilious, C., Short, F., Green, E.: Global overview: The distribution and status of seagrasses. In: Green, E.P. and Short F.T.: editors. World Atlas of Seagrasses: Present Status and Future Conservation. Berkeley California: University of California Press, p. 5-26, 2003.

United States Government. Technical Support Document: Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order 12866 (United States Government Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Carbon, Washington, DC), 2010.

Vichkovitten, T. and Holmer, M. Contribution of plant carbohydrates to sedimentary carbon mineralization. Org. Geochem., 35(9):1053-1066, 2004.

Waycott, M., Duarte, C.M., Carruthers, T.J.B., Orth, R.J., Dennison, W.C. and Olyarnik, S.: Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106(30):12377-12381, 2009.

Wiles, P.J., van Duren, L.A., Hase, C., Larsen, J. and Simpson, J.H.: Stratification and mixing in the Limfjorden in relation to mussel culture. J. Mar. Syst., 60(1-2):129-143, 2006.



Fig. 1. The study sites in Denmark and Finland. a) study regions, b) Finnish study sites, c)Limfjorden study sites, d) Funen study sites.





Fig. 2. Silt content (%) (a, c) and degree of sediment sorting (b, d) at the study sites in Finland and Denmark, respectively. Lower values in degree of sorting indicate well-sorted sediment

types.





Fig. 3. Sediment profiles of organic carbon density (mg C cm⁻³) in the top 25 cm of the Finnish and Danish eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) meadows. Note the difference in the scale of x-axis between the regions. Numbers below detection limit (%OC < 0.01 %DW) are not included in the figure. Average (+/-SEM; n=1-3).



FINLAND

Fig. 4. Corg stocks (g C m⁻²) in the top 25 cm of sediment in Finnish and Danish eelgrass (*Zostera*

marina) meadows. Note that the value of Thurøbund (grey bar) corresponds to right y-axis.

Fig. 5. Relative contribution of different organic matter sources (*Z. marina, P. perfoliatus, P. pectinatus, Ruppia cirrhosa,* phytoplankton and drift algae) to the ¹³C signal of the sediment surface layer (0-2 cm) in Finnish and Danish eelgrass (*Z. marina*) meadows.

758 Fig. 6. Distance-based redundancy analysis (DbRDA) plot showing the environmental parameters (percentage of *Z. marina* in sediment carbon pool, above:belowground-ratio, annual 759 eelgrass production, sediment silt content [%], sediment dry density and sediment porosity) 760 fitted to the variation in the Corg stock (g C m⁻²) at the Finnish and Danish eelgrass (*Z. marina*) 761 sites, respectively. Vectors indicate direction of the parameters effect. Site codes: Finland; 762 Ko=Kolaviken, Ry=Ryssholmen, Tv=Tvärminne, Få=Fårö, Ly=Lyddaren, 763 Lå=Långören, Hu=Hummelskär, Jä=Jänisholm, Sa=Sackholm, Än=Ängsö. Site codes: Denmark; Ag12=Agerø12, 764 Ag3=Agerø3, Vi=Visby, Lg=Løgstør, Lo=Lovns, Th=Thurøbund, Lu=Lunkebugt, Da=Dalby, 765 Ke=Kertinge, Ny=Nyborg. 766

Table 1. Location, silt content (% silt), sediment dry density (Dry dens. g cm⁻³), sediment organic carbon content (SedOC, % DW), sediment organic matter content (SedOM, %DW), δ^{13} C sediment surface, δ^{13} C *Z. marina* leaves, δ^{13} C *Z. marina* rhizomes, seagrass shoot density (Shoot dens., shoots m⁻²), seagrass above and belowground biomass (AB and BB, gDW m⁻²), root:shoot-ratio (R:S), and aboveground production (Production, gDW m⁻² y⁻¹) at the sampling sites. SE (n= 3–4) is given. Annual seagrass production is calculated from pooled values of replicates per site and therefore no SE is shown.

772

773

Country/	Silt	Dry dens.	SedOM	SedOC	δ13 C sediment	δ13 C	δ13 C	Shoot	AB	BB	R:S	Production
Location	content	(g cm -3)	(% DW)	(% DW)	surface	Z. marina	Z. marina rhizomes	density (shoots m ⁻²)	(gDW m-2)	(gDW m -2)		(gDW m ⁻² y ⁻¹)
Finland	(70)					icaves	Thizonics	(3110013 111)				
Fårö	5.0 ± 1.4	1.32+0.025	0.66+0.07	0.13+0.001	-20.6+0.3	-9.7+0.4	-9.0+0.20	304+32	138+20	167+28	1.27+0.13	773
Hummelskär	9.0+2.6	1.33+0.009	1.06+0.20	0.35+0.019	-19.4+1.2	-9.3+0.3	-9.8+0.25	364+31	70+11	28+2	0.45+0.06	446
Iänisholm	7.1+2.1	1.37+0.076	0.93+0.20	0.33+0.135	-22.1+0.4	-10.8+0.4	-11.0+0.28	128+17	65+16	46+2	1.44+0.53	270
Kolaviken	1.9+0.2	1.34+0.035	0.75+0.02	0.13+0.011	-19.5+0.2	-10.3+0.3	-11.4+0.34	476+96	74+6	149+16	2.07+0.27	324
Lyddaren	4.9+2.5	1.34+0.171	1.75+0.70	0.45+0.094	-13.5+3.5	-8.8+0.4	-9.6+0.29	228+42	86+7	57+12	0.64+0.09	505
Långören	4.4±2.1	1.42 ± 0.046	2.70 ± 2.10	0.19 ± 0.019	-18.9±0.4	-8.5±0.1	-8.9±0.15	436±53	121±46	68±25	0.58±0.06	788
Ryssholmen	2.7±0.6	1.34±0.054	0.89±0.20	0.16±0.004	-20.7±0.3	-11.5±0.1	-11.5±0.29	756±57	160±3	136±16	0.86±0.11	803
Sackholm	12.4±1.9	1.36±0.042	0.95±0.20	0.26±0.027	-21.1±0.8	-10.3±0.7	-9.9±0.34	774±234	110±18	37±9	0.31±0.04	377
Tvärminne	9.2±1.9	1.33±0.034	0.88±0.20	0.20±0.016	-22.7±0.6	-11.6±0.1	-11.5±0.25	112±11	99±16	38±7	0.37±0.01	436
Ängsö	6.3±0.5	1.36±0.052	0.84±0.02	0.20±0.010	-20.1±0.3	-10.3±0.1	-10.3±0.28	604±98	91±6	63±9	0.67±0.05	521
FIN average	6.3±1	1.35±0.014	1.4±0.3	0.24±0.033	-19.9±0.3	-10.1±0.3	10.3±0.32	417±75	101±3	79±5	0.87±0.06	524
Denmark												
Agero 3	29.4±6.2	1.24±0.085	1.94±0.60	2.30±0.082	-13.0±1.7	-9.2±0.5	-11.1±0.22	448±89	181±33	84±8	0.52±0.07	1075
Agero 12	27.3±7.7	1.35±0.173	1.65 ± 0.80	0.29±0.135	-17.4±0.8	-10.7±0.3	-11.9±0.21	404±90	110±2	46±9	0.40 ± 0.08	576
Dalby	8.1±1.2	1.37±0.034	0.67±0.03	0.12±0.009	-17.3±0.7	-9.7±0.3	-10.5±0.56	400±48	76±7	83±10	1.09 ± 0.11	470
Kertinge	27.1±1.5	1.15±0.045	12.59±1.60	3.23±0.236	-16.6±0.2	-9.2±0.1	-9.8±0.08	328±64	90±17	64±14	0.68±0.02	527
Lovns	17.3±2.7	1.22±0.092	2.90±0.50	1.53±0.088	-16.3±2.4	-11.5±0.4	-12.2±0.37	360±27	141±4	100±11	0.70±0.06	848
Lunkebugt	33.0±7.4	1.23±0.227	4.72±2.40	1.71±0.806	-16.9±0.3	-8.9±0.9	-10.6±0.38	347±81	210±10	382±24	1.82±0.08	1056
Løgstør	4.0±0.4	1.23±0.025	0.75±0.03	0.31±0.089	-17.7±0.4	-9.7±0.4	-10.4±0.51	300±14	149±11	63±13	0.42±0.07	755
Nyborg	0.5±0.3	1.17±0.027	0.42±0.02	0.10±0.006	-17.6±1.1	-9.3±0.2	-10.6±0.34	652±30	203±24	214±50	1.00 ± 0.14	1179
Thurøbund	34.6±2.8	1.27±0.030	14.48±0.80	5.78±0.512	-15.5±0.4	-8.2±0.1	-9.0±0.22	420±98	101±16	398±15	4.54±0.70	619
Visby	21.0±3.6	1.25±0.021	1.17±0.06	2.18±0.201	-13.8±1.2	12.0±0.6	-12.4±0.70	520±21	193±13	49±4	0.25 ± 0.01	2172
DK average	20.2±3.9	1.25±0.022	3.9±1.5	1.75±0.563	-16.20±0.2	-9.8±0.4	-10.9±0.33	418±32	145±5	148±14	1.14 ± 0.13	928

Table 2. Estimated average carbon stocks (g C m⁻² and Mt C), annual areal carbon accumulation (Corg acc. t C ha⁻¹y⁻¹) and annual carbon accumulation

(Annual Corg (Mt C y⁻¹) in Finnish and Danish eelgrass (*Z. marina*) meadows. Denmark_{lost} = eelgrass area of the region lost since the beginning 1900's.
 Limfjorden_{lost} = eelgrass area of the region lost since the 1900's. See text for calculations. *) mean carbon density (mg C cm⁻³) calculated for Denmark

is used. n.d= no data. For calculations of annual carbon accumulation three different sediment accumulation rates were applied (0.32 mm y⁻¹;

780 Miyayima et al. 2015, 2.02 mm y^{-1} ; Duarte et al. 2013b and 4.2 mm y^{-1} ; Serrano et al. 2014), for Corg seq the sediment accumulation rate of 2.02 mm

700

781 y^{-1} was used.

								/83
						Annual Corg accur (Mt C y ⁻¹)	nulation	784
Region	Seagrass area (km²)	Carbon density (mg C cm ⁻³)	Corg stock (g C m ⁻²)	Corg stock (Mt C)	Corg acc. (t C ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹)			
						0.32 mm y ⁻¹	2.02 mm y ⁻¹	4.2 mm y ⁻¹
Finland	30	2.60±0.09	627±25	0.019±< 0.001	0.052	0.002	0.016	0.0328
Limjorden	18	10.57±1.66	2644±207	0.047 ± 0.007	0.213	0.006	0.038	0.079
Funen	179	24.32±9.15	6005±1127	1.090 ± 0.410	0.491	0.139	0.881	1.832
$Denmark_{\text{min}}$	673	17.45±9.42*	4324±1188*	2.164±0.005	0.352	0.376	2.373	3.636
$Denmark_{\text{max}}$	1345	17.45±9.42*	4324±1188*	5.868±0.014	0.352	0.75	4.741	9.859
Denmark _{lost}	5381-6230	17.45±9.42*	17.45±9.42*	23.478-27.183	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d

Table 3. Table from DistLm analysis showing variables in the marginal tests and the results for statistical analysis. 787 788

MARGINAL TESTS

	MARGINAL TESTS				
	Variable	SS	Pseudo-F	P-value	Proportion
	1. Root: shoot- ratio	5309	10.64	0.002	0.155
	2. Sediment dry density	10704	26.37	0.001	0.313
	3. Annual eelgrass production	4959	9.82	0.002	0.145
	4. Shoot density	48	0.08	0.911	0.001
	5. Porosity	3507	6.61	0.010	0.102
	6. Silt content (%)	12653	33.99	0.001	0.369
	7. C:N-ratio of plant material	464	0.79	0.397	0.014
	8. Z. marina content (%)	12179	32.02	0.001	0.356
89	9. Degree of sorting	9725	23.01	0.001	0.284
90					
91					
92					
93					
94					
95					
96					
97					
98					
99					
00					
01					
)2					

808 Table 4. Table from DistLm analysis showing results from the sequential tests and solution given

809 by the analysis.

Variable								
	AICc	Sum of squares	Pseudo-F	P- value	Proportion	Cumulative proportion	Degrees of freedom	
Silt content (%)	357.4	12653	33.9	0.001	0.369	0.369	58	-
Root: shoot-ratio	346.0	4375	14.5	0.001	0.127	0.497	57	
Z. marina content (%)	333.6	3745	15.6	0.001	0.109	0.606	56	
Production	332.2	805	3.5	0.037	0.023	0.630	55	
Sediment dry density	331.3	700	3.2	0.049	0.020	0.650	54	
Porosity	330.8	602	2.8	0.056	0.017	0.668	53	
BEST SOLUTION	AICc	R ²	RSS	Variables	Selections			
	330.8	0.668	11363	6	1-3;5;6;8			