# Australian vegetation phenology: new insights from satellite remote sensing and digital repeat photography

- 3
- 4 Caitlin E. Moore<sup>1,2</sup>, Tim Brown<sup>3</sup>, Trevor F. Keenan<sup>4,5</sup>, Remko A. Duursma<sup>6</sup>, Albert I.J.M.
- 5 van Dijk<sup>7</sup>, Jason Beringer<sup>8</sup>, Darius Culvenor<sup>9</sup>, Bradley Evans<sup>10,11</sup>, Alfredo Huete<sup>12</sup>, Lindsay
- 6 B. Hutley<sup>13</sup>, Stefan Maier<sup>14</sup>, Natalia Restrepo-Coupe<sup>12</sup>, Oliver Sonnentag<sup>15</sup>, Alison
- 7 Specht<sup>16,17</sup>, Jeffrey R. Taylor<sup>18</sup>, Eva van Gorsel<sup>19</sup>, Michael J. Liddell<sup>20</sup>
- 8 <sup>1</sup>School of Earth, Atmosphere and Environment, Monash University, Clayton, VIC, 3800, Australia.
- <sup>2</sup> Genomic Ecology of Global Change, Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois,
   Urbana, IL, 61801, USA
- <sup>3</sup>Research School of Biology, Plant Sciences, Australian National University, Acton, ACT, 0200 Australia.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde NSW 2109, Australia.
- 13 <sup>5</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Lab., 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, University of Western Sydney, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, NSW,
   2751, Australia
- <sup>7</sup>Fenner School of Environment & Society, The Australian National University, Acton, ACT, 2601, Australia.
- <sup>8</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Western Australia, Crawley 6009, WA, Understory Australia.
- <sup>9</sup> Environmental Sensing Systems, 16 Mawby Road, Bentleigh East, VIC, 3165, Australia.
- <sup>10</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Eveleigh, NSW, 2015, Australia.
- <sup>11</sup> Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network Ecosystem Modelling and Scaling Infrastructure, The University of
   Sydney, Eveleigh, NSW, Australia, 2015.
- <sup>12</sup> Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Broadway, NSW,
   2007, Australia.
- <sup>13</sup> School of Environment, Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University,
   Casuarina, NT, Australia, 0909
- 26 <sup>14</sup> maitec, P.O. Box U19, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, NT, 0815, Australia.
- 27 <sup>15</sup> Département de Géographie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada.
- 28 <sup>16</sup>Geography, Planning and Environmental Management, The University of Queensland,
- 29 St. Lucia, QLD, 4072, Australia.
- 30 <sup>17</sup> Centre of Analysis and Synthesis of Biodiversity, Domaine de Petit Arbois, Immeuble Henri Poincaré, Rue
- 31 Louis Philibert, Aix-en-Provence, France.
- <sup>18</sup>Institute of Technology Campus, Nova Scotia College System, Halifax, NS, B3K 2T3, Canada.
- 33 <sup>19</sup>CSIRO, Ocean and Atmosphere Flagship, Yarralumla, ACT, 2601, Australia.

1 <sup>20</sup> College of Science, Technology and Engineering, James Cook University, Cairns, QLD, 4878; Australian

- 2 Supersite Network, Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network.
- 3 *Correspondence to:* Caitlin E Moore (caitlin@moorescience.com.au)
- 4

### 5 Abstract

Phenology is the study of periodic biological occurrences and can provide important insights into the 6 7 influence of climatic variability and change on ecosystems. Understanding Australia's vegetation 8 phenology is a challenge due to its diverse range of ecosystems, from savannas and tropical 9 rainforests to temperate eucalypt woodlands, semi-arid scrublands and alpine grasslands. These 10 ecosystems exhibit marked differences in seasonal patterns of canopy development and plant life-11 cycle events, much of which deviates from the predictable seasonal phenological pulse of temperate 12 deciduous and boreal biomes. Many Australian ecosystems are subject to irregular events (i.e., 13 drought, flooding, cyclones and fire) that can alter ecosystem composition, structure and functioning just as much as seasonal change. We show how satellite remote sensing and ground-based digital 14 15 repeat photography (i.e., phenocams) can be used to improve understanding of phenology in Australian ecosystems. First, we examine temporal variation in phenology at the continental scale 16 17 using the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), calculated from MODerate resolution Imaging 18 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. Spatial gradients are revealed, ranging from regions with 19 pronounced seasonality in canopy development (i.e., tropical savannas) to regions where seasonal 20 variation is minimal (i.e., tropical rainforests) or high but irregular (i.e., arid ecosystems). Next, we 21 use time series colour information extracted from phenocam imagery to illustrate a range of 22 phenological signals in four contrasting Australian ecosystems. These include greening and senescing 23 events in tropical savannas and temperate eucalypt understory, as well as strong seasonal dynamics of 24 individual trees in a seemingly static evergreen rainforest. We also demonstrate how phenology links 25 with ecosystem gross primary productivity (from eddy covariance) and discuss why these processes 26 are linked in some ecosystems but not others. We conclude that phenocams have the potential to 27 greatly improve current understanding of Australian ecosystems. To facilitate sharing of this 28 information, we have formed the Australian Phenocam Network (http://phenocam.org.au/).

#### 29 Key Words

30 Phenocam, OzFlux, remote sensing, climate change, MODIS, EVI

# 31 **1 Introduction**

Phenology is the study of the timing of periodic biological occurrences, from flowering and leaf emergence to animal migrations and breeding patterns, all of which vary between and within species and are influenced to a certain degree by the climate (Lieth, 1974;Richardson et al., 2013a).
Phenological monitoring allows us to quantify and track seasonal cycles and irregular natural events,

1 as well as how vegetation phenology responds to meteorological events and climate change. At the 2 ecosystem level, the timing of leaf emergence and senescence in plants has a major influence on the 3 length of the growing season (Dragoni et al., 2011;Keenan et al., 2014b). At larger scales, phenological responses feed back to the climate system by altering the land surface energy balance 4 5 and the coupled cycles of water, carbon and nutrients from an ecosystem (Peñuelas et al., 6 2009;Richardson et al., 2013a). Research suggests that contemporary warming has advanced spring 7 onset in the northern hemisphere by ca. 2.8 days per decade since the 1970's (Parmesan, 2007), with even higher rates of change (4.8 days per decade) in more recent decades (Keenan et al., 2014b). 8 Likewise, in the southern hemisphere, advancement in spring onset has been estimated at a rate of 2.2 9 10 days per decade (Chambers et al., 2013).

In response to increasing evidence of earlier spring onsets, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has placed a high importance on the gathering and interpretation of phenology observations to improve our understanding of the ecological impacts of climate change (Ciais et al., 2013). Understanding the processes that lead to modifications in phenology, as well as the complex links between phenological shifts and climatic variability and change at local, regional and global scales, requires more detailed field-based observations that underpin larger scale remote sensing and ecological modelling tools (de Jong et al., 2012;Migliavacca et al., 2012;Melaas et al., 2013).

18 Repeat photography with digital cameras (phenocams) has emerged as a powerful observational tool 19 for ecological research and evaluation of remote sensing data products and model simulations 20 (Richardson et al., 2007; Migliavacca et al., 2011; Hufkens et al., 2012; Wingate et al., 2015; Brown et 21 al., 2016). Digital images collected from phenocams typically provide red-green-blue (RGB) colour 22 channel information that can be partitioned and converted to quantitative indices (Woebbecke et al., 23 1995; Gillespie et al., 1987; Sonnentag et al., 2012). These indices have been shown to closely track 24 vegetation colour changes, such as canopy greenness, and are indicative of different plant 25 development stages in a variety of ecosystems (Sonnentag et al., 2011; Inoue et al., 2014; Keenan et al., 26 2014a;Peichl et al., 2014;Toomey et al., 2015). A loosely organized global phenocam network is 27 emerging with regional networks including the PhenoCam Network (Richardson et al., 2009a) and the 28 National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) in the USA (Keller et al., 2008), the EUROPhen 29 network in Europe (Wingate et al., 2015;Brown et al., 2016), the Phenological Eyes Network (PEN) 30 in Japan (Nasahara and Nagai, 2015) and most recently, the Australian Phenocam Network (Brown et 31 al., 2016). These networks provide support for phenocam data collection and sharing in the wider 32 scientific community and are a platform for the development of open data and data sharing standards.

Phenology information can also be derived from satellite remote sensing imagery. Satellite data provides spatially explicit time series of vegetation phenology proxies such as the enhanced vegetation index (EVI, Huete et al., 2002), and the normalised difference vegetation index (NDVI, 1 Tucker, 1979), as well as estimates of canopy cover fraction, the fraction of absorbed 2 photosynthetically active radiation and leaf area index (LAI) derived from optical remote sensing 3 (Gonsamo and Chen, 2014). In addition, vegetation optical depth and biomass can be derived from 4 radar or passive microwave remote sensing (Andela et al., 2013;Liu et al., 2013;Liu et al., 2011;Liu et 5 al., 2007). Such remotely sensed vegetation phenology proxies can be analysed to estimate temporal 6 changes in the vegetation characteristics at the landscape scale and contribute toward the development 7 of satellite phenology products, such as the MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Land Cover Dynamics product (Ganguly et al., 2010), and the Australian satellite land 8 9 surface phenology product (Broich et al., 2015).

10 Satellite-derived phenology can be compared to changes observed at smaller scales with phenocams. 11 For example, Hufkens et al. (2012) and Klosterman et al. (2014) used phenocams to evaluate the 12 MODIS MCD12Q2 product for deciduous forests in the north-eastern USA. Despite discrepancies 13 related to spatial scale and site representativeness, the results showed good agreement between the 14 phenocam- and satellite-derived timing of phenological events (i.e., phenophases). For various 15 temperate and boreal forest, grassland and peatland ecosystems, time series data of canopy greenness derived from phenocam imagery have been used to analyse seasonal changes of net ecosystem 16 17 exchange (NEE) and gross primary productivity (GPP) (Ahrends et al., 2009;Richardson et al., 18 2007;2009b;Zhu et al., 2013;Toomey et al., 2015). Characterising changes in plant physiology (Keenan et al., 2014a), modelling of canopy development (Wingate et al., 2015) and quantifying inter-19 20 annual variation in phenology (Inoue et al., 2014) have also been achieved using phenocams. Despite 21 the promising applications of phenocam data in North America, Europe and Asia, there has been a 22 slow uptake of phenocam research in Australia; a continent characterized by diverse ecosystem types 23 often with distinctly different phenological characteristics compared to temperate and boreal 24 deciduous ecosystems (Chambers et al., 2013).

25 The diversity of Australian ecosystems, and their marked differences to northern hemisphere 26 temperate deciduous and boreal biomes, poses the challenge of how to best define and quantify 27 vegetation phenology signals, especially due to their responses to irregular natural events such as 28 rainfall, flooding, fire and extreme temperatures. While the traditional definition of the term 29 phenology as the "timing of recurrent biological events" (Lieth, 1974) is generally assumed to apply 30 to seasonally cyclical events, such as canopy bud burst and senescence in winter-deciduous forests, a 31 closer look at life patterns in many Australian biomes yields a more complex picture of non-seasonal, 32 yet still periodic, events as the major drivers of phenology (Specht and Brouwer, 1975; Pook et al., 33 1997;Ma et al., 2015).

In this synthesis, we examine the drivers of plant phenological cycles across Australia, illustrate the potential measurement tools available, and suggest future avenues of required research. At the 1 national scale, we demonstrate how satellite observations can be used to characterize broad-scale 2 phenological variability across the continent, and discuss the major drivers underlying these patterns. 3 At the ecosystem scale, we highlight the value of using digital image archives obtained from phenocams installed at a selection of contrasting OzFlux sites (Australian and New Zealand flux 4 5 tower network, see Beringer et al. (2016)), for examining the phenology of canopies and individual 6 plants in Australian ecosystems. We discuss how greenness information obtained from phenocam 7 imagery can be compared with flux tower estimates of GPP and why such relationships are stronger 8 from some Australian ecosystems than for others. Finally, we assess the feasibility and effectiveness 9 of phenocams for continuously observing Australia's diverse ecosystems.

#### 10 2 Drivers of phenology in Australia

11 Australian ecosystems include a diverse range of biomes spanning from tropical savannas and 12 rainforests in the north, to arid and semi-arid shrublands and grasslands in the centre and finishing 13 with temperate evergreen forests and woodlands in the south (Fig. 1, see also Beringer et al. (2016) in 14 this issue). Stern and Dehani (2013) recently identified the major climate zones in Australia as 15 ranging from equatorial and tropical in the north, through to subtropical, desert, grassland, and 16 temperate in the south (Fig. 1). This wide-ranging climatic variability results in long-term mean 17 annual rainfall ranging from 134 to 2804 mm and mean annual air temperature ranging from 3.8 to 18 29.0 °C (from 1961-1990 data gridded at 0.1 degrees (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2013), Fig. 1). Therefore, Australian vegetation has adapted a number of unique phenologies to respond to these 19 20 climatic drivers.

21 Temperature is an important phenological driver in Australian ecosystems (Chambers et al., 2013), 22 just as it is in temperate deciduous and boreal ecosystems in the northern hemisphere. However, 23 Australian ecosystem dynamics are arguably influenced more strongly by the availability of water, because 70 % of its land mass is classified as arid or semi-arid deserts and grasslands (Fig. 1, 24 25 Chambers et al., 2013). The response of LAI and vegetation cover to rainfall events, mean annual 26 rainfall and the corresponding soil water availability is well documented (Pook, 1985;Specht and 27 Specht, 1989;Ellis and Hatton, 2008;Donohue et al., 2009;2013;Andela et al., 2013;Duursma et al., 28 2016). Similarly, vegetation density closely follows climate gradients in average rainfall (Ellis and 29 Hatton, 2008; Donohue et al., 2009; Hutley et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013). Large-scale variability in 30 climatic conditions governs vegetation distribution across the continent and most Australian 31 vegetation has adapted in some form around the need to maintain a balance between water access and 32 water loss.

Among the exceptions to this concept are temperate and tropical rainforest ecosystems found along the east coast of the continent, where rainfall is almost continually in excess of water requirement (Fig. 1). In contrast, C4 grass phenology is driven by wet season rainfall across Australia's tropical 1 savanna ecosystems whilst the C3 eucalypt overstory remains relatively static throughout the year, 2 supported by access to deeper soil water reserves (Walker and Langridge, 1997; Eamus et al., 3 2002; Hutley et al., 2011). Recurrent dry spells and droughts are often associated with high mortality events in south-western Australia (Evans and Lyons, 2013; Matusick et al., 2013) and in both riparian 4 5 (Davies et al., 2008;Gehrke et al., 2006) and non-riparian trees (Semple et al., 2010) in south-eastern 6 Australia. Over longer timescales, non-seasonal shifts in temperature and rainfall, as well as second 7 order effects on soil water availability, have been attributed to forest declines (Evans et al., 2013) and mortality (Evans and Lyons, 2013) that can be linked to changes in phenology. 8

9 Fire events commonly occur in Australia and are often triggered by drought and dry conditions, which 10 are not traditionally regarded as phenological drivers but nonetheless have a cyclical character in 11 many Australian ecosystems (Maier and Russell-Smith, 2012). Most eucalypt forests in Australia 12 suffer periodic burning and have adapted strategies to allow prompt regrowth after fire (epicormic 13 growth) to obtain a rapid photosynthetic advantage over other vegetation competing for light 14 (Hodgkinson, 1998;Burrows, 2008). Drought can also trigger insect attacks, which have been 15 observed to result in rapid canopy defoliation and ecosystem carbon loss in a eucalypt forest in southeastern Australia (Keith et al., 2012; van Gorsel et al., 2013). Seasonal grazing due to insects and other 16 17 arboreal browsers is another periodic disturbance in Australian ecosystems that can inhibit new leaf 18 production and greatly modify the canopy, thus thwarting phenology responses observable in these 19 ecosystems (Lowman, 1985;Specht, 1985;Melzer et al., 2000).

20 Temporal variations in leaf colour, although not as pronounced as in temperate deciduous forests, do 21 occur in evergreen species that dominate Australian ecosystems. For example, eucalypt leaves can 22 contain large amounts of anthocyanin, causing a reddening of the leaf surface (Sharma and Crowden, 23 1974). While there is no general consensus on why anthocyanins are present in leaves (Gould et al., 24 2000), their accumulation is associated with the expansion and subsequent predation of young foliage 25 (Close and Beadle, 2003), nutrient deficits that affect photosynthesis (Terashima and Evans, 26 1988; Sugiharto et al., 1990) or as a protection against solar radiation (Gould, 2004). Accumulation of 27 foliar anthocyanin has been observed in leaves of many Australian plant species (Nittler and Kenny, 28 1976;Hodges and Nozzolillo, 1996;Kumar and Sharma, 1999;Close et al., 2000;2001a;2001b), as well 29 as reddening of adult leaves due to a changing anthocyanin to chlorophyll ratio when exposed to 30 stressors such as cold or drought (Close et al., 2001a;Stone et al., 2001;Barry et al., 2009). In other 31 cases, exposure to stressful processes can result in a decrease in leaf chlorophyll content, 32 accompanied by or the precursor to, visible chlorosis (Coops et al., 2004). Such leaf reddening events 33 are visible and often occur in a cyclical manner, therefore they can be considered as phenological in 34 nature and may be identified by phenocams. The examples listed in this section illustrate the wide ranging drivers of vegetation phenology in Australian ecosystems and highlight the need for 35 36 continued research to better understand how phenology may respond to climate change in the future.

#### **1 3** Continental scale phenology from satellite sensors

To characterize the phenological diversity of Australian ecosystems at the continental scale, we used satellite remote sensing observations to map land surface seasonal variability in measures of vegetation greenness. For this, we used EVI as a phenology indicator to construct an EVI seasonality map for Australia using 8-day composites of spectral reflectance observations from MODIS (MCD43C4.005 product) at 0.05 degree (c. 5 km) resolution for the period 2000-2012. We calculated EVI (Huete et al., 2002) as Eq. (1):

8 
$$EVI = G \frac{\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{red}}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}} + L + C_1 \cdot \rho_{\text{red}} - C_2 \cdot \rho_{\text{blue}}}$$
(1)

9 where  $\rho_{\text{NIR}}$ ,  $\rho_{\text{red}}$ , and  $\rho_{\text{blue}}$  are atmospherically corrected spectral reflectance in near-infrared, red and 10 blue wavelength ranges, respectively; G is a gain factor (G = 2.5); C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> are aerosol resistance 11 coefficients (C<sub>1</sub> = 6, C<sub>2</sub> = 7.5); and L is a soil-adjustment factor (L = 1). Values of EVI below 0 and 12 above 1 were removed, as these pixels were either contaminated by cloud or open water.

13 The mean seasonal pattern for each pixel, based on variability in EVI from 2000-2012, was calculated 14 as the mean annual pattern in 8-day values over the 13 years. Subsequently, this seasonal pattern was 15 subtracted from the time series for each pixel to yield seasonally adjusted anomalies. We then 16 calculated (i) the standard deviation in these seasonally adjusted anomalies (n=585), as well as (ii) the 17 overall mean EVI and (iii) the standard deviation of the mean seasonal pattern (n=45). Combining 18 these three measures allowed us to distinguish areas in Australia where the phenological signal was 19 primarily 'non-seasonally dynamic' (EVI varied but not in accordance with seasons), 'constantly 20 high' (EVI remained high year-round), or 'seasonally dynamic' (EVI showed regular seasonal 21 variability).

22 To explore phenological variability in more detail around Australia, EVI is displayed by time series 23 plots for selected sites (Fig. 2; A - F). Our continental phenological response map shows the tropical region of northern Australia (Fig. 2; A & B) experiences a predictable seasonal phenology, as it 24 25 receives reliable summer monsoon rainfall (Cook and Heerdegen, 2001). In contrast, large areas of 26 Australia are characterised by non-seasonal variability in vegetation cover (Fig. 2, C). These areas 27 primarily fall within the arid interior, as well as in the south-western and south-eastern subhumid regions, where rainfall variability has been particularly strong during the observation period, 28 29 including the worst multi-year drought on record (Van Dijk et al., 2013;Broich et al., 2014).

Tropical savanna ecosystems in northern Australia depict both seasonal (monsoon driven) and nonseasonal changes (fire driven), represented by EVI for Howard Springs (location A), which has a high level of variability as shown by the highest amplitude in EVI for all sites (i.e., amplitude of 0.31, Fig.

2; A). In contrast, the tropical rainforest at Cape Tribulation (location B) shows a regular but low level

of seasonal variability where the amplitude varies much less than that for site A (i.e., amplitude of
0.15, Fig. 2; B). An exception to this regular cycle is the impact of cyclone Larry in early 2006. Cape
Tribulation is located nearby and within the same tropical rainforest as the Cow Bay OzFlux site (Fig.
2; B). Both sites show the same seasonal trend and these changes in EVI can be related to changes in

5 NEE that are observed at the OzFlux towers at both sites (Beringer et al., 2016).

Further inland, floodplain vegetation in the arid Channel Country (location C) responds primarily to 6 7 infrequent and irregular flood events produced in the large upstream catchment. For a flood event in 8 2010, the time series shows an initial reduction in EVI (open water produces negative EVI (Huete et 9 al., 2002)) with a subsequent green flush (i.e., amplitude increases from 0.1 to 0.48, Fig. 2; C). The 10 Western Australian wheat belt (location D) shows a winter peak in vegetation (i.e., Jun-Sep in the 11 southern hemisphere), corresponding with maximum leaf area before the cropping of winter wheat 12 (i.e., EVI amplitude of 0.41, Fig. 2; D). In contrast to location C, the year 2010 was the driest on 13 record with poor harvests, recognisable as a lower EVI signal for that year at location D. Sub-tropical 14 evergreen forests on the central New South Wales (NSW) coast (location E) show a seasonal cycle 15 (i.e., amplitude of 0.20, Fig. 2; E) more like that of tropical rainforests further north (location B), which is most likely a phenological adaptation to rainfall during both summer and winter (Bowman, 16 17 2000). Highland vegetation in Tasmania (location F) shows a summer green phenology that is more 18 reminiscent of temperate forest ecosystems in the northern hemisphere. These selected examples 19 suggest that for most, but not all, of Australia's ecosystems it is not temperature or radiation but water 20 availability and extreme events that drive vegetation phenology. Furthermore, in addition to the more 21 or less predictable seasonal variations in precipitation, there is very strong variability in rainfall 22 between years due to the strong influence of ocean circulation modes such as the El Niño Southern 23 Oscillation, Indian Ocean Dipole, Southern Annular Mode and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (Van Dijk 24 et al., 2013;Broich et al., 2014;Cleverly et al., 2016).

# 25 4 Ecosystem scale phenology from phenocams

26 Digital repeat photography has already been shown to provide high temporal resolution phenology 27 information throughout many vegetation biomes in the northern hemisphere (i.e., Richardson et al. 28 (2007); Migliavacca et al. (2011); Sonnentag et al. (2012); Keenan et al. (2014a); Klosterman et al. 29 (2014);Toomey et al. (2015)). Images collected by phenocams are typically stored in JPEG format 30 with red, green, blue (RGB) digital numbers (DN) for each pixel in the image. Raw image format can 31 also be used, which is discussed in detail by Richardson et al. (2013b) and Brown et al. (2016). 32 Variability in scene illumination can affect the RGB brightness levels (Woebbecke et al., 33 1995; Richardson et al., 2007), which can be minimised by using a transformation of the RGB numeric values to chromatic coordinates (Gillespie et al., 1987;Woebbecke et al., 1995;Sonnentag et al., 34

2012). Of these indices, the green chromatic coordinate (GCC) has been identified as the most
relevant to green vegetation phenology, given by Eq. (2):

$$3 \quad GCC = G / (R + G + B) \tag{2}$$

where R, G and B are the per-pixel DN stored in the image, recorded as arbitrary units of intensity by
the camera's charge coupled device. GCC is calculated for each pixel of the image, and then averaged
over a user-defined region of interest (ROI). The red (RCC) and blue (BCC) chromatic coordinates
were also calculated, in the same way as GCC in Eq. (2). We calculated chromatic coordinates using
only images collected between the hours of 10 am and 4 pm, based on local site time, to reduce the
bias of seasonal solar radiation change on the 'daily' values, which is a common phenocam analysis
technique (Sonnentag et al., 2012;Filippa et al., 2016).

11 An advantage of being able to transform the phenocam images into these quantitative indices is that it 12 allows for automated quality control of the data. This is important when a large network of automated 13 measurements is being considered at a continental and global scale (Brown et al., 2016). Automated 14 quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) routines are regularly used for large-scale processing 15 of other network data, including ecosystem flux data such as OzFlux (Beringer et al., 2016;Isaac et al., 16 2016), and continental scale observatories such as NEON (Taylor and Loescher, 2013) and TERN 17 (Karan et al., 2016). Furthermore, these automated routines can allow for the fast calculation of online 18 summary metrics that can be used for diagnosing instrument problems and highlighting potential 19 flaws in data collection (Smith et al., 2014). As a result, instrument downtime can be minimized and 20 data collection can be optimized across a large network of sensors.

## 21 4.1 Insights from existing phenocams

22 To demonstrate the utility of phenocams for monitoring *in-situ* vegetation phenology in Australian 23 ecosystems, we calculated GCC from image data sets from four contrasting ecosystems in Australia; 24 Cow Bay (AU-Cow, Fig. 2; site 1), Howard Springs (AU-How, Fig. 2; site 2), Tumbarumba (AU-25 Tum, Fig. 2; site 3) and Whroo (AU-Whr, Fig. 2; site 6). We used R software (R Core Team, 2013) to 26 extract and calculate chromatic coordinates (Eq. 2) from phenocam images collected between the 27 hours of 10 am and 4 pm at local site time (i.e. UTC + 10:00 or 09:30 hr). Temporal coverage varied for each camera, so we analysed all available images within the 10 am to 4 pm timeframe and 28 29 calculated a daily average value of GCC, RCC and BCC.

#### 30 4.1.1 Tropical rainforest

31 In the EVI phenology map, the Daintree tropical rainforest region (Fig. 2; B) displays constantly high

- 32 EVI, as greenness varies little throughout the year compared with other sites. Overstory phenology at
- the evergreen Cow Bay rainforest OzFlux site (AU-Cow) showed GCC of the entire tree canopy was
- 34 not seasonally dynamic either (Fig. 3). However, six individual tree crowns selected as ROIs and

1 analysed individually revealed a more dynamic variability in GCC (Fig. 3), which fluctuated in line 2 with leaf shedding and flushing events in some trees (Tree 1, Species Wrightia laevis and Tree 2, 3 Acmena graveolens) or remained relatively constant in others (Tree 3, Dysoxylum alliaceum and Tree 4 4, Cerbera floribunda). These events were determined via visual inspection of images that clearly 5 revealed leaf loss (Fig. 4). Species-rich ecosystems can include a wide range of phenologies (Wright 6 and van Schaik, 1994;Reich et al., 2004) that become indistinguishable when averaged over the entire 7 ecosystem. In contrast to temperate deciduous and boreal ecosystems in the northern hemisphere, where seasonal dynamics of the canopy are largely temperature-controlled, the changes evident from 8 9 Cow Bay suggest that the different species respond to a variety of cues, resulting in an apparent 10 evergreen canopy despite significant individual phenological variability (Wu et al., 2016).

11 Tropical rainforests in nearby southeast Asia often show little or no clear seasonal dynamics in 12 canopy cover and productivity (Kho et al., 2013), but are well known for synchronous mast fruiting 13 with a return frequency of around 2-10 years (Visser et al., 2011). The general flowering in these 14 forests that is associated with these mast fruiting events has been shown to be triggered by irregular 15 droughts (Sakai et al., 2006). More recently, phenocams have been used to analyse the phenology of a dipterocarp canopy, a forest type associated with mast events in Borneo (Nagai et al., 2016). This 16 17 study confirmed that indices such as % RGB and GCC can be used to track flowering and leaf 18 flushing at the individual tree level.

19 Less understood are similar 'masting' events in the forests of the wet tropics of north Queensland (M. 20 Bradford, pers. comm.). These masting events occur with a return frequency of around 7 years and the 21 trigger appears to be drier than normal conditions. Another characteristic of the Wet Tropics area is 22 cyclone activity during the wet season, where the coastal forests are known colloquially as 'cyclone 23 scrub'. The return frequency of low intensity cyclones is around 5 years (Australian system: Category 24 1-for a coastal crossing) while more intense cyclones have longer return frequencies (Turton and 25 Stork, 2008). To date, no long-term phenology studies have been published for the rainforests of Far 26 North Queensland (FNQ). The combination of phenocams and weather stations on the Cow Bay (AU-27 Cow), Cape Tribulation (AU-Ctr) and Robson Creek (AU-Rob) OzFlux towers, all parts of the FNQ 28 Rainforest SuperSite (Fig. 2), will allow the timing and the drivers of synchronous masting events and 29 effects of cyclone activity to be studied in detail over the next few decades.

To highlight the utility of phenocams for identifying individual phenological variability in FNQ, we looked at one of the trees (Tree 1, *W. laevis*, the most variable tree in Fig. 3) in more detail (Fig. 4). When leaf shedding occurred, GCC rapidly decreased with an associated rapid increase in BCC, which is reflective of the change from green foliage to blue-tinged bare woody components. The analysis also showed a slower rate of leaf flushing compared to the swift leaf fall event. At the onset to the rapid decrease in GCC, RCC increased markedly (Fig. 4). This increase in redness may be due

1 to the build-up of anthocyanin pigments that cause the red colouration of senescing leaves, also a 2 distinct feature of deciduous forest canopies in autumn (Hoch et al., 2001;Lee et al., 2003;Gould, 3 2004). This species, W. laevis, may be found further north in semi-deciduous and deciduous vegetation communities that are connected floristically to the rainforests of the Daintree region. The 4 5 leaf phenology of the species is not described in the literature (to our knowledge), however, a recent 6 useful reference on similar leaf phenology in tropical rainforest species may be found in Wu et al. 7 (2016). The vegetation types found at the Cow Bay site, and wider Daintree region (Fig. 2), experience monsoon-driven seasonality, so it would seem likely that the deciduous character 8 9 displayed by W. laevis is an adaptive advantage for these plant communities. The GCC, RCC and 10 BCC responses show that phenocams can capture leaf reddening and leaf fall at fine spatial and temporal resolution, thereby opening up opportunities for understanding the causes and effects of such 11 12 changes in more detail.

#### 13 4.1.2 Tropical savanna

14 Unlike the constantly high EVI of Australia's tropical rainforests, savannas display seasonally 15 dynamic EVI at the regional scale (Fig. 2; A). Extraction of GCC for understory images revealed a strong seasonal phenological response at the Howard Springs site, evident by a sharp increase in GCC 16 17 at the onset of the wet season (i.e., October-November, Fig. 5). In contrast, similar comparison of 18 these indices for the overstory images showed that overstory dynamics varied much less than those of 19 the understory (Fig. 5). Therefore, the seasonality in EVI (Fig. 2; A) is primarily driven by the highly 20 seasonally dynamic growth of the C4 grassy understory and less by the comparatively consistent 21 evergreen overstory tree canopy. Fractional overstory cover at Howard Springs is approximately 50 % 22 (Kanniah et al., 2009) and while the understory is homogenous at the landscape scale, it can vary at 23 the phenocam scale (i.e., field of view (FOV) of several meters, Moore et al., 2016). To account for 24 this, GCC was calculated from four phenocams installed at the site and averaged to obtain a single 25 daily GCC estimate.

26 There are two main strategies employed by plants growing in seasonally dry savannas; drought 27 avoidance through deciduous or die-back (i.e., annual/perennial) phenology and drought tolerance through evergreen phenology (Williams et al., 1997;Tomlinson et al., 2013). We observed both 28 29 strategies, as annual grasses displayed a boom-bust cycle in GCC whereas the eucalypt dominated 30 overstory maintained its leaf cover without major variability in GCC. However, the savanna overstory 31 at this site also includes a small portion (c. 20 %) of semi-, brevi- and fully deciduous species that 32 shed their leaves primarily in the dry season (i.e., mid April - mid October, Williams et al., 33 1997; Hutley et al., 2011). The phenocams at this site did not capture these species, highlighting the 34 need to consider phenocam positioning and FOV when installing in complex ecosystems.

The strong seasonal changes seen in the understory (Fig. 5) are a characteristic phenological response of savanna grasses to the onset of the dry season (i.e., April-May). Each year, the commencement of the dry season triggers understory C4 grass senescence (Andrew and Mott, 1983) and canopy leaf fall (Williams et al., 1997) as the plants prepare to survive through the rainless months of May to September (Cook and Heerdegen, 2001). This phenological change results in a transition from green to brown in the understory, as evidenced by reduced GCC and a reduction of savanna GPP (Whitley

7 et al., 2011;Ma et al., 2013;Moore et al., 2016).

8 The reduction of leaf water content associated with senescence also causes an increase in fire 9 susceptibility. During the dry season, the senesced understory is consumed in fire events at 1-5 year 10 intervals (Beringer et al., 2015). Fire can affect phenology in the short term through its impact on 11 canopy area (Cernusak et al., 2006; Beringer et al., 2007) and over longer timescales through 12 feedbacks to plant demography (Beringer et al., 2011;Werner and Franklin, 2010;Werner and Prior, 13 2013). In addition to fire, cyclone activity can disturb Australia's savannas with wind throw from 14 severe tropical storms resulting in patches of defoliation (roughly every 5 years) and extreme cyclones 15 causing up to complete destruction (once every 500-1000 years, Hutley et al., 2013). In Australian 16 savannas, pronounced spatio-temporal variability exists in this phenology, which still requires further 17 examination in fine detail so it can be more accurately understood (Ma et al., 2013). The coupling of 18 phenocam imagery with MODIS phenology information (i.e., EVI) may assist in exploring the effects 19 of disturbance in more detail, and whilst outside the scope of this study, it offers exciting 20 opportunities for future research.

#### 21 **4.1.3** Temperate evergreen forest

22 We calculated GCC for the Tumbarumba OzFlux site (AU-Tum), a wet (i.e., high rainfall) temperate 23 evergreen forest that represents another key Australian biome. Two phenocams were installed at this 24 site, one on the flux tower at 60 m with a nadir view angle (i.e., TimeLapseCam, Wingscapes, Calera, 25 AL, USA) and the other at 1.5 m with an oblique view angle (i.e., Raspberry Pi RGB camera, 26 Raspberry Pi Foundation, UK). As a result, the cameras measured different ROI's. Despite the 27 differences in orientation and view, GCC values from both phenocams at Tumbarumba clearly increased from November 2014 to January 2015, showing a flush of the understory leading into 28 29 summer (Fig. 6).

For wet temperate evergreen ecosystems in Australia, like the forest at Tumbarumba, flushing events in summer are a common occurrence (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2015), as temperature and light tend to be the primary drivers of phenology, with water availability a secondary factor (van Gorsel et al., 2013;Rawal et al., 2014). Tumbarumba is situated in a subalpine zone where winter minimum temperatures often drop below zero, with occasional snow events (van Gorsel et al., 2013). Low temperature and incoming solar radiation in winter result in reduced vegetation cover and activity in

1 alpine and subalpine regions, whereas increased radiation and temperature in summer promotes rates 2 of vegetation emergence, flowering and cover (Law et al., 2000; Venn and Morgan, 2007; Green, 3 2010). A recent study from Rawal et al. (2014), looking at environmental effects on eucalypt phenology, found that photoperiod length and temperature were key variables controlling the growth 4 5 rates of several euclypt species in wet and dry temperate evergreen forests in south-eastern Australia. 6 While this notion holds true for wet temperate forests such as Tumbarumba (Keith et al., 2012;van 7 Gorsel et al., 2013), water availability increases in importance as a phenology driver in dry temperate forests (Rawal et al., 2014; Duursma et al., 2016). Continued phenocam monitoring at Tumbarumba 8 9 and other dry temperate sites (i.e., Whroo (site 6) & Cumberland Plain (site 12), Fig. 2), as well as the 10 addition of more cameras in wet and dry temperate forests, will improve our understanding of the phenological processes occurring at temperate evergreen sites over longer timescales in Australia. 11

#### 12 **4.2** Phenocams and ecosystem productivity

13 Whilst phenocams are clearly useful for identifying fine scale phenological changes in terrestrial 14 ecosystems often missed by larger scale satellite indices, the extracted indices can also provide a 15 useful comparison with productivity estimates, such as GPP. Toomey et al. (2015) compared phenocam derived GCC to eddy covariance derived estimates of GPP at several sites within North 16 17 America and Canada and found correlations between some ecosystem GCC indices and GPP. They 18 concluded that phenocams can be a valuable tool for independently verifying variability in GPP attributable to phenology. To demonstrate the potential of this idea for Australian ecosystems, we 19 20 plotted GPP data from two contrasting Australian ecosystems against phenocam derived GCC. At the 21 first site, Howard Springs tropical savanna (GPP data from Moore et al. (2016)), GCC varied in line 22 with that of GPP, both of which reached a maximum at the peak of the wet season (Fig. 5). The GCC 23 signal rapidly decreases once the predominant understory grasses senesce at the end of the wet season, 24 and is also reflected by a reduction in GPP (Fig. 5). Whitley et al. (2011) attributed increased LAI in 25 the understory to the rapid increase in savanna GPP. Likewise, Moore et al. (2016) attribute the 26 seasonal dynamics of savanna GPP to be largely determined by understory flushing, with a more steady contribution from the overstory throughout the year. Fig. 5 supports the conclusions of Whitley 27 28 et al. (2011) and Moore et al. (2016) as it shows a much more dynamic understory when compared 29 with the overstory, the cycles of which are reflected in the ecosystem GPP estimate.

At the second site, Whroo dry temperate evergreen woodland (GPP data from Beringer et al. (2016)), GCC and GPP did not track each other over time like at Howard Springs (Fig. 7). While GCC remains relatively constant, there is a clear growing season displayed by GPP in the summer months (i.e., Dec-Feb, Fig. 7). In evergreen ecosystems such as Whroo, productivity is driven more by vegetation responses to meteorological drivers (i.e., solar radiation, air temperature, rainfall) rather than to phenological variability (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2015). Leaf area index at Whroo is maintained at approximately 1.0 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> throughout the year (I. McHugh, *pers. comm.*) and being evergreen in nature, the phenocam is not able to discern phenological variability related to greenness at the
 ecosystem scale. For temperate evergreen ecosystems in Australia, phenocams are not as useful for
 linking with GPP (Restrepo-Coupe et al., 2015), but still likely hold value for identifying individual
 phenology signals within the evergreen canopy.

#### **5 5 Expanding the Australian Phenocam network**

6 We have shown the value of phenocams for ecosystem monitoring in Australia, how they can be used 7 to inform on species level changes, supplement large scale satellite remote sensing data and aid in 8 interpreting ecosystem GPP. In Australia, phenocams have primarily been installed at several pre-9 existing Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) supported OzFlux sites 10 (http://www.ozflux.org.au/), at which eddy covariance flux towers are used to study carbon, water and 11 energy exchanges between ecosystems and the atmosphere. Phenocams are currently being deployed 12 across TERN and the Australian SuperSite Network (http://www.tern-supersites.net.au), where a 13 comprehensive set of co-located measurements of vegetation, faunal biodiversity, soil/water and 14 remote sensing are being made. Despite these recent deployments, the spatial and temporal coverage 15 of phenocams in Australia remains limited (Fig. 2).

Several of the phenocams in Australian ecosystems are either in the early stages of operation or have 16 experienced issues with long-term stability in data collection (i.e., FOV shifts, lack of timestamp 17 standards; loss of data) and some ecosystems in Australia are missing altogether (i.e., alpine 18 19 ecosystems, managed agricultural land, mangroves, Fig. 2). Phenocams are one of few observing 20 methods that can bridge across spatial and temporal scales, from individual plants to the ecosystem 21 and continental scales, and between biophysical function and ecological condition and composition. 22 They can capture seasonal trends (Fig. 5, 6 & 7) and short lived events (Fig. 3 & 4) that are often 23 missed by coarser scale techniques (i.e., satellite sensors, Fig. 2). As such, establishment of a national 24 phenocam network in Australia will be an extremely valuable contribution to help integrate data 25 products between TERN Facilities addressing different ecosystem questions at different scales (i.e., 26 the SuperSites, OzFlux, LTERN, AusCover and eMAST).

#### 27 **5.1 Data standardisation and sharing**

Standardization and quality control in phenocam data collection is essential to support cross-site and cross-ecosystem comparisons. There is a clear need for standards in measurement in Australia, with a wide range of phenocam hardware currently in use. Brown et al. (2016) provide recommendations for improving phenocam datasets and improving comparability between sites, including:

- maintaining metadata and data management standards (i.e., image naming, FOV, camera
   settings) for all camera-based data
- registering all publicly available phenocams with a regional phenocam network

#### 1 • making datasets available online wherever possible

2 Analysis of some of the datasets revealed that camera quality and installation issues (i.e., sun glare, inappropriate image acquisition times, power consumption) play a role in data quality. However, the 3 4 single greatest challenge was found to be changes in data quality and characteristics over time that 5 limit or prevent automated analysis. In particular, changes in the FOV over time due to intentional or 6 unintentional reorientation of the camera, creates a major obstacle to the collection of a sufficiently 7 long time series and to automated data analysis. Slight or gradual changes can be dealt with through 8 additional image co-registration efforts, but they can be arduous and reduce the area effectively 9 available for analysis. Solid mounting of the camera and, when necessary, accurate re-alignment after 10 removal (i.e., for maintenance) or unintentional reorientation, can help alleviate such issues. 11 Maintaining a consistent FOV is critical. For all but the most homogeneous environments, the FOV of 12 the phenocam is the dataset. Each time the camera moves, the usable pixels for which there is long-13 term monitoring data become increasingly constrained.

Data publication and sharing are also important factors to consider. We have formed the Australian Phenocam Network (http://phenocam.org.au/) to provide a platform for phenocam data sharing, storage and publication to the wider scientific community. This network is continually evolving, with data made available under the TERN 'By Attribution' license based on the Creative Commons framework (http://www.tern-supersites.net.au). As for other national and global multi-site data sets, open access to phenocam data will enhance the reproducibility and extensibility of research and the combined value of such data (Brown et al., 2016).

#### 21 6 Conclusion

22 We see considerable potential for the developing Australian phenocam network. Existing 23 infrastructure, supported by TERN, will assist in the establishment of this network, the 24 implementation of more phenocams around the country and the standardisation of data collection, 25 analysis and sharing. An Australian phenocam network would also provide valuable data for 26 monitoring networks such as OzFlux, SuperSites, AusPlots and eMAST. Currently, there are only a 27 small number of continuously operating phenocams in Australia, with even fewer uploading data to 28 the Australian phenocam website. Expansion of the currently sparse distribution of Australian phenocams will help improve our understanding of the diversity of phenological strategies employed 29 30 by Australian ecosystems. Combined with satellite remote sensing techniques, phenocams can 31 improve our ability to quantify and predict the functioning of Australia's ecosystem within the Earth 32 system.

#### 33 Acknowledgements

1 First and foremost, the authors would like to acknowledge the Australian Centre for Ecological 2 Analysis and Synthesis (ACEAS) for their support of a workshop aimed at developing a Phenocam 3 Network in Australia, held on Stradbroke Island in March 2014. Support for collection and archiving of some of the data used in this paper was provided through the Australian Government Terrestrial 4 Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) (http://www.tern.org.au) Facilities: ACEAS, AusCover, 5 6 SuperSites, OzFlux and eMAST. Part of the data was also funded via Australian Research Council 7 grants DP0772981 and DP130101566. Beringer is funded under an ARC Future Fellowship (FT1110602). Keenan acknowledges support from a Macquarie University Research Fellowship. 8 Tumbarumba cameras were founded by a Re-establishment Grant 2013 from the University of 9 10 Technology Sydney: "Setup of a phenocam network on some key Australian ecosystems" (N. Restrepo-Coupe, CI). Moore also personally thanks Matthew Northwood, from Charles Darwin 11 12 University, for his assistance with the Howard Springs phenocams.

#### 13 Authorship Note

This paper was conceived and outlined at the ACEAS 2014 Phenocam Workshop led by Brown and Keenan. Moore created the first draft and was the primary editor for subsequent drafts. Keenan and Brown provided oversight and additional edits. All authors provided additional text, edits and review. Liddell provided data for the Cow Bay analysis, van Dijk provided analysis of the remote sensing data, and Duursma provided primary analysis for the Cow Bay and Tumbarumba data. Moore provided data and analysis for the Howard Springs data and Culvenor provided data for Tumbarumba. Restrepo-Coupe and provided data and analysis for Cow Bay, Whroo and Tumbarumba dataset.

Figure Credits: 1: Evans & Moore, 2: van Dijk & Moore; 3&4: Duursma; 5: Moore; 6: Culvenor &
Moore; 7: Moore & Restrepo-Coupe

23

# 1 **References**

- 2 Ahrends, H. E., Etzold, S., Kutsch, W. L., Stoeckli, R., Bruegger, R., Jeanneret, F., Wanner, H.,
- 3 Buchmann, N., and Eugster, W.: Tree phenology and carbon dioxide fluxes: Use of digital
- 4 photography for process-based interpretation at the ecosystem scale, Climate Research, 39, 261-
- 5 274, 2009.
- 6 Andela, N., Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., de Jeu, R. A. M., and McVicar, T. R.: Global changes in
- 7 dryland vegetation dynamics (1988 to 2008) assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a new
- 8 passive microwave vegetation density record with reflective greenness data, Biogeosciences, 10,
- 9 6657-6676, 10.5194/bg-10-6657-2013, 2013.
- Andrew, M. H., and Mott, J. J.: Annuals with transient seed banks: the population biology of
   indigenous Sorghum species of tropical north-west Australia, Australian Journal of Ecology, 8, 265 276, 1983.
- 13 Barry, K., Corkrey, R., Stone, C., and Mohammed, C.: Characterizing eucalypt leaf phenology and
- 14 stress with spectral analysis, in: Innovations in Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry, Lecture Notes
- 15 in Geoinformation and Cartography. , edited by: Jones, S., and Reinke, K., Springer, Berlin
- 16 Heidelberg, 193-209, 2009.
- Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Tapper, N. J., and Cernusak, L. A.: Savanna fires and their impact on net
  ecosystem productivity in North Australia, Global Change Biology, 13, 990-1004, 2007.
- 19 Beringer, J., Hacker, J., Hutley, L. B., Leuning, R., Arndt, S. K., Amiri, R., Bannehr, L., Cernusak, L. A.,
- 20 Grover, S., Hensley, C., Hocking, D., Isaac, P., Jamali, H., Kanniah, K., Livesley, S., Neininger, B., Paw U,
- 21 K. T., Sea, W., Straten, D., Tapper, N., Weinmann, R., Wood, S., and Zegelin, S.: Special Savanna
- 22 patterns of energy and carbon integrated across the landscape, Bulletin of the American
- 23 Meteorological Society, 92, 1467-1485, 2011.
- 24 Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Abramson, D., Arndt, S. K., Briggs, P., Bristow, M., Canadell, J. G., Cernusak,
- L. A., Eamus, D., Edwards, A. C., Evans, B. J., Fest, B., Goergen, K., Grover, S. P., Hacker, J., Haverd, V.,
- 26 Kanniah, K., Livesley, S. J., Lynch, A., Maier, S., Moore, C., Raupach, M., Russell-Smith, J., Scheiter, S.,
- Tapper, N. J., and Uotila, P.: Fire in Australian savannas: From leaf to landscape, Global Change
- 28 Biology, 21, 62-81, 10.1111/gcb.12686, 2015.
- 29 Beringer, J., Hutley, L., McHugh, I., Arndt, S., Campbell, D., Cleugh, H., Cleverly, J., Resco de Dios, V.,
- 30 Eamus, D., Evans, B., Ewenz, C., Grace, P., Griebel, A., Haverd, V., Hinko-Najera, N., Isaac, P., Kanniah,
- 31 K., Leuning, R., Liddell, M., Macfarlane, C., Meyer, W., Moore, C., Pendall, E., Phillips, A., Phillips, R.,
- 32 Prober, S., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Rutledge, S., Schroder, I., Silberstein, R., Southall, P., Sun, M., Tapper,
- 33 N., van Gorsel, E., Vote, C., Walker, J., and Wardlaw, T.: An introduction to the Australian and New
- 34 Zealand flus tower network OzFlux, Biogeosciences Discuss., 2016.
- Bowman, D. M. J. S.: Australian Rainforests : Islands of Green in a Land of Fire, Cambridge University
   Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- Broich, M., Huete, A., Tulbure, M. G., Ma, X., Xin, Q., Paget, M., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Davies, K.,
- 38 Devadas, R., and Held, A.: Land surface phenological response to decadal climate variability across
- Australia using satellite remote sensing, Biogeosciences, 11, 5181-5198, 10.5194/bg-11-5181-2014,
  2014.
- 41 Broich, M., Huete, A., Paget, M., Ma, X., Tulbure, M., Coupe, N. R., Evans, B., Beringer, J., Devadas,
- 42 R., Davies, K., and Held, A.: A spatially explicit land surface phenology data product for science,

- monitoring and natural resources management applications, Environmental Modelling & Software,
   64, 191-204, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.11.017</u>, 2015.
- Brown, T. B., Hultine, K. R., Steltzer, H., Denny, E. G., Denslow, M. W., Granados, J., Henderson, S.,
- Moore, D., Nagai, S., and SanClements, M.: Using phenocams to monitor our changing Earth: toward
   a global phenocam network, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 14, 84-93, 2016.
- Burrows, G. E.: Syncarpia and Tristaniopsis (Myrtaceae) possess specialised fire-resistant epicormic
   structures, Australian Journal of Botany, 56, 254-264, 2008.
- 8 Cernusak, L. A., Hutley, L. B., Beringer, J., and Tapper, N. J.: Stem and leaf gas exchange and their
- 9 responses to fire in a north Australian tropical savanna, Plant, Cell and Environment, 29, 632-646,
  10 2006.
- 11 Chambers, L. E., Altwegg, R., Barbraud, C., Barnard, P., Beaumont, L. J., Crawford, R. J. M., Durant, J.
- 12 M., Hughes, L., Keatley, M. R., Low, M., Morellato, P. C., Poloczanska, E. S., Ruoppolo, V., Vanstreels,
- 13 R. E. T., Woehler, E. J., and Wolfaardt, A. C.: Phenological Changes in the Southern Hemisphere, PLoS
- 14 ONE, 8, 2013.
- 15 Cleverly, J., Eamus, D., Van Gorsel, E., Chen, C., Rumman, R., Luo, Q., Coupe, N. R., Li, L., Kljun, N.,
- 16 Faux, R., Yu, Q., and Huete, A.: Productivity and evapotranspiration of two contrasting semiarid
- 17 ecosystems following the 2011 global carbon land sink anomaly, Agricultural and Forest
- 18 Meteorology, 220, 151-159, 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.01.086, 2016.
- 19 Close, D. C., Beadle, C. L., Brown, P. H., and Holz, G. K.: Cold-induced photoinhibition affects
- establishment of Eucalyptus nitens (deane and maiden) maiden and Eucalyptus globulus labill, Trees
   Structure and Function, 15, 32-41, 2000.
- 22 Close, D. C., Beadle, C. L., and Hovenden, M. J.: Cold-induced photoinhibition and foliar pigment
- 23 dynamics of Eucalyptus nitens seedlings during establishment, Australian Journal of Plant Physiology,
- 24 28, 1133-1141, 2001a.
- 25 Close, D. C., Davies, N. W., and Beadle, C. L.: Temporal variation of tannins (galloylglucoses),
- 26 flavonols and anthocyanins in leaves of Eucalyptus nitens seedlings: Implications for light
- 27 attenuation and antioxidant activities, Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, 28, 269-278, 2001b.
- Close, D. C., and Beadle, C. L.: The Ecophysiology of Foliar Anthocyanin, Botanical Review, 69, 149 161, 2003.
- Cook, G. D., and Heerdegen, R. G.: Spatial variation in the duration of the rainy season in monsoonal
   Australia, International Journal of Climatology, 21, 1723-1732, 2001.
- Coops, N. C., Stone, C., Culvenor, D. S., and Chisholm, L.: Assessment of crown condition in eucalypt
   vegetation by remotely sensed optical indices, Journal of Environmental Quality, 33, 956-964, 2004.
- 34 Davies, P. E., Harris, J. H., Hillman, T. J., and Walker, K. F.: A report on the ecological health of rivers
- in the Murray-Darling Basin 2004-2007, Independent Sustainable Rivers Audit GRoup for the Murray Darling Basin Commission, Canberra, 2008.
- de Jong, R., Verbesselt, J., Schaepman, M. E., and de Bruin, S.: Trend changes in global greening and
- 38 browning: Contribution of short-term trends to longer-term change, Global Change Biology, 18, 642-
- 39 655, 2012.

- 1 Donohue, R. J., McVicar, T. R., and Roderick, M. L.: Climate-related trends in Australian vegetation
- cover as inferred from satellite observations, 1981-2006, Global Change Biology, 15, 1025-1039,
- 3 2009.
- Donohue, R. J., Roderick, M. L., McVicar, T. R., and Farquhar, G. D.: Impact of CO2 fertilization on
   maximum foliage cover across the globe's warm, arid environments, Geophysical Research Letters,
- 6 40, 3031-3035, 2013.
- 7 Dragoni, D., Schmid, H. P., Wayson, C. A., Potter, H., Grimmond, C. S. B., and Randolph, J. C.:
- 8 Evidence of increased net ecosystem productivity associated with a longer vegetated season in a
- 9 deciduous forest in south-central Indiana, USA, Global Change Biology, 17, 886-897, 2011.
- Duursma, R. A., Gimeno, T. E., Boer, M. M., Crous, K. Y., Tjoelker, M. G., and Ellsworth, D. S.: Canopy
  leaf area of a mature evergreen Eucalyptus woodland does not respond to elevated atmospheric
  [CO2] but tracks water availability, Global Change Biology, 22, 1666-1676, doi:10.1111/gcb.13151,
  2016.
- Eamus, D., Chen, X., Kelley, G., and Hutley, L. B.: Root biomass and root fractal analyses of an open
   Eucalyptus forest in a savanna of north Australia, Australian Journal of Botany, 50, 31-41,
- 16 10.1071/BT01054, 2002.
- Ellis, T. W., and Hatton, T. J.: Relating leaf area index of natural eucalypt vegetation to climate
   variables in southern Australia, Agricultural Water Management, 95, 743-747, 2008.
- Evans, B., Stone, C., and Barber, P.: Linking a decade of forest decline in the south-west of Western
  Australia to bioclimatic change, Australian Forestry, 76, 164-172, 2013.
- Evans, B. J., and Lyons, T.: Bioclimatic extremes drive forest mortality in southwest, Western
  Australia, Climate 1, 28-52, 2013.
- 23 Filippa, G., Cremonese, E., Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Forkel, M., Wingate, L., Tomelleri, E.,
- 24 Morra di Cella, U., and Richardson, A. D.: Phenopix: A R package for image-based vegetation
- 25 phenology, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 220, 141-150, 10.1098/rstb.2012.0406, 2016.
- 26 Ganguly, S., Friedl, M. A., Tan, B., Zhang, X., and Verma, M.: Land surface phenology from MODIS:
- Characterization of the Collection 5 global land cover dynamics product, Remote Sensing ofEnvironment, 114, 1805-1816, 2010.
- Gehrke, P. C., Brown, P., Schiller, C. B., Moffatt, D. B., and Bruce, A. M.: River regulation and fish
   communities in the Murray–Darling river system, Australia, River Research and Application, 11, 363-
- 31 375, 2006.
- 32 Gillespie, A. R., Kahle, A. B., and Walker, R. E.: Color enhancement of highly correlated images. II.
- Channel ratio and "chromaticity" transformation techniques, Remote Sensing of Environment, 22,
   343-365, 1987.
- 35 Gonsamo, A., and Chen, J. M.: Improved LAI algorithm implementation to MODIS data by
- incorporating background, topography, and foliage clumping information, IEEE Transactions on
   Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 52, 1076-1088, 2014.
- 38 Gould, K. S., Markham, K. R., Smith, R. H., and Goris, J. J.: Functional role of anthocyanins in the
- leaves of Quintinia serrata A. Cunn, Journal of Experimental Botany, 51, 1107-1115, 2000.

- 1 Gould, K. S.: Nature's Swiss army knife: The diverse protective roles of anthocyanins in leaves,
- 2 Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, 2004, 314-320, 2004.
- Green, K.: Alpine taxa exhibit differing responses to climate warming in the Snowy Mountains of
   Australia, Journal of Mountain Science, 7, 167-175, 2010.
- Hoch, W. A., Zeldin, E. L., and McCown, B. H.: Physiological significance of anthocyanins during
  autumnal leaf senescence, Tree Physiology, 21, 1-8, 2001.
- Hodges, D. M., and Nozzolillo, C.: Anthocyanin and anthocyanoplast content of cruciferous seedlings
  subjected to mineral nutrient deficiencies, Journal of Plant Physiology, 147, 749-754, 1996.
- Hodgkinson, K. C.: Sprouting success of shrubs after fire: Height-dependent relationships for
  different strategies, Oecologia, 115, 64-72, 1998.
- 11 Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E. P., Gao, X., and Ferreira, L. G.: Overview of the
- 12 radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices, Remote Sensing of 13 Environment 82 195-212 2002
- 13 Environment, 83, 195-213, 2002.
- 14 Hufkens, K., Friedl, M. A., Keenan, T. F., Sonnentag, O., Bailey, A., O'Keefe, J., and Richardson, A. D.:
- Ecological impacts of a widespread frost event following early spring leaf-out, Global Change Biology,
  18, 2365-2377, 2012.
- 17 Hutley, L. B., Beringer, J., Isaac, P. R., Hacker, J. M., and Cernusak, L. A.: A sub-continental scale living
- 18 laboratory: Spatial patterns of savanna vegetation over a rainfall gradient in northern Australia,
- 19 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151, 1417-1428, 2011.
- 20 Hutley, L. B., Evans, B. J., Beringer, J., Cook, G. D., Maier, S. W., and Razon, E.: Impacts of an extreme
- cyclone event on landscape-scale savanna fire, productivity and greenhouse gas emissions,
   Environmental Research Letters, 8, 2013.
- 23 Inoue, T., Nagai, S., Saitoh, T. M., Muraoka, H., Nasahara, K. N., and Koizumi, H.: Detection of the
- 24 different characteristics of year-to-year variation in foliage phenology among deciduous broad-
- leaved tree species by using daily continuous canopy surface images, Ecological Informatics, 22, 5868, 10.1016/j.ecoinf.2014.05.009, 2014.
- Isaac, P., Cleverly, J., McHugh, I., van Gorsel, E., Ewenz, C., and Beringer, J.: OzFlux Data: Network
  integration from collection to curation, Biogeosciences Discuss., 2016, 1-41, 10.5194/bg-2016-189,
  2016.
- Kanniah, K. D., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Tapper, N. J., and Zhu, X.: Evaluation of Collections 4 and 5
  of the MODIS Gross Primary Productivity product and algorithm improvement at a tropical savanna
  site in northern Australia, Remote Sensing of Environment, 113, 1808-1822, 2009.
- 33 Karan, M., Liddell, M., Prober, S. M., Arndt, S., Beringer, J., Boer, M., Cleverly, J., Eamus, D., Grace, P.,
- 34 van Gorsel, E., Hero, J. M., Hutley, L., Macfarlane, C., Metcalfe, D., Meyer, W., Pendall, E., Sebastian,
- A., and Wardlaw, T.: The Australian Supersite Network: a continental, long-term terrestrial
- ecosystem observatory, Science of the Total Environment, 16, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.170,
- 37 2016.
- 38 Keenan, T. F., Darby, B., Felt, E., Sonnentag, O., Friedl, M., Hufkens, K., O'Keefe, J., Klosterman, S.,
- 39 Munger, J. W., Toomey, M., and Richardson, A. D.: Tracking forest phenology and seasonal

- 1 physiology using digital repeat photography: a critical assessment, Ecological Applications, 24, 1487-
- 2 1489, 2014a.
- 3 Keenan, T. F., Gray, J., Friedl, M. A., Toomey, M., Bohrer, G., Hollinger, D. Y., Munger, J. W., O'Keefe,
- 4 J., Schmid, H. P., Wing, I. S., Yang, B., and Richardson, A. D.: Net carbon uptake has increased
- 5 through warming-induced changes in temperate forest phenology, Nature Climate Change, 4, 598-
- 6 604, 2014b.
- 7 Keith, H., van Gorsel, E., Jacobsen, K. L., and Cleugh, H. A.: Dynamics of carbon exchange in a
- 8 Eucalyptus forest in response to interacting disturbance factors, Agricultural and Forest
- 9 Meteorology, 153, 67-81, 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.019, 2012.
- 10 Keller, M., Schimel, D. S., Hargrove, W. W., and Hoffman, F. M.: A continental strategy for the
- National Ecological Observatory Network, Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 6, 282-284,
  2008.
- 13 Kho, L. K., Malhi, Y., and Tan, S. K. S.: Annual budget and seasonal variation of aboveground and
- belowground net primary productivity in a lowland dipterocarp forest in Borneo, Journal of
- 15 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 1282-1296, 2013.
- 16 Klosterman, S. T., Hufkens, K., Gray, J. M., Melaas, E., Sonnentag, O., Lavine, I., Mitchell, L., Norman,
- 17 R., Friedl, M. A., and Richardson, A. D.: Evaluating remote sensing of deciduous forest phenology at
- 18 multiple spatial scales using PhenoCam imagery, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 2305-2342,
- 19 10.5194/bgd-11-2305-2014, 2014.
- Kumar, V., and Sharma, S. S.: Nutrient deficiency-dependent anthocyanin development in Spirodela
  polyrhiza L. Schleid, Biologia Plantarum, 42, 621-624, 1999.
- Law, B., Mackowski, C., Schoer, L., and Tweedie, T.: Flowering phenology of myrtaceous trees and their relation to climatic, environmental and disturbance variables in northern New South Wales,
- 24 Austral Ecology, 25, 160-178, 2000.
- Lee, D. W., O'Keefe, J., Holbrook, N. M., and Feild, T. S.: Pigment dynamics and autumn leaf
  senescence in a New England deciduous forest, eastern USA, Ecological Research, 18, 677-694, 2003.
- Lieth, H.: Purposes of a Phenology Book, in: Phenology and Seasonality Modeling, edited by: Lieth,
  H., Ecological Studies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 3-19, 1974.
- Liu, Y., de Jeu, R., van Dijk, A., and Owe, M.: TRMM-TMI satellite observed soil moisture and
- vegetation density (1998-2005) show strong connection with El Nino in eastern Australia,
   Geophysical Research Letters 34, 10 1029/2007GL020211, 2007
- 31 Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 10.1029/2007GL030311, 2007.
- Liu, Y. Y., de Jeu, R. A. M., McCabe, M. F., Evans, J. P., and van Dijk, A. I. J. M.: Global long-term passive microwave satellite-based retrievals of vegetation optical depth, Geophysical Research
- <sup>.</sup> Letters, 38, 10.1029/2011GL048684, 2011.
- Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., McCabe, M. F., Evans, J. P., and de Jeu, R. A. M.: Global vegetation
- biomass change (1988–2008) and attribution to environmental and human drivers, Global Ecology
   and Biogeography, 22, 692-705, 10.1111/geb.12024, 2013.
- Lowman, M. D.: Temporal and spatial variability in insect grazing of the canopies of five Australian
   rainforest tree species, Australian Journal of Ecology, 10, 7-24, 1985.

- 1 Ma, X., Huete, A., Yu, Q., Coupe, N. R., Davies, K., Broich, M., Ratana, P., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B.,
- 2 Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., and Eamus, D.: Spatial patterns and temporal dynamics in savanna
- 3 vegetation phenology across the north australian tropical transect, Remote Sensing of Environment,
- 4 139, 97-115, 2013.
- 5 Ma, X., Huete, A., Moran, S., Ponce-Campos, G., and Eamus, D.: Abrupt shifts in phenology and
- vegetation productivity under climate extremes, Journal of Geophysical Research G: Biogeosciences,
  120, 2036-2052, 10.1002/2015JG003144, 2015.
- 8 Maier, S. W., and Russell-Smith, J.: Measuring and monitoring of contemporary fire regimes in
- 9 Australia using satellite remote sensing, in: Flammable Australia: fire regimes, biodiversity and
- 10 ecosystems in a changing world., edited by: Bradstock, R. A., Gill, A. M., and Williams, R. J., CSIRO
- 11 Publishing, Melbourne, 2012.
- 12 Matusick, G., Ruthrof, K. X., Brouwers, N. C., Dell, B., and Hardy, G. S. J.: Sudden forest canopy
- 13 collapse corresponding with extreme drought and heat in a mediterranean-type eucalypt forest in
- southwestern Australia, European Journal of Forest Research, 132, 497-510, 2013.
- Melaas, E. K., Friedl, M. A., and Zhu, Z.: Detecting interannual variation in deciduous broadleaf forest
   phenology using Landsat TM/ETM+ data, Remote Sensing of Environment, 132, 176-185, 2013.
- Melzer, A., Carrick, F., Menkhorst, P., Lunney, D., and St. John, B.: Overview, critical assessment, and
  conservation implications of koala distribution and abundance, Conservation Biology, 14, 619-628,
  2000.
- 20 Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Cremonese, E., Rossini, M., Meroni, M., Sonnentag, O., Cogliati, S.,
- 21 Manca, G., Diotri, F., Busetto, L., Cescatti, A., Colombo, R., Fava, F., Morra di Cella, U., Pari, E.,
- 22 Siniscalco, C., and Richardson, A. D.: Using digital repeat photography and eddy covariance data to
- 23 model grassland phenology and photosynthetic CO2 uptake, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,
- 24 151, 1325-1337, 2011.
- Migliavacca, M., Sonnentag, O., Keenan, T. F., Cescatti, A., O'Keefe, J., and Richardson, A. D.: On the
   uncertainty of phenological responses to climate change, and implications for a terrestrial biosphere
   model, Biogeosciences, 9, 2063-2083, 2012.
- Moore, C. E., Beringer, J., Evans, B., Hutley, L. B., McHugh, I., and Tapper, N. J.: The contribution of
  trees and grasses to productivity of an Australian tropical savanna, Biogeosciences, 13, 2387-2403,
  doi:10.5194/bg-13-2387-2016, 2016.
- Nagai, S., Ichie, T., Yoneyama, A., Kobayashi, H., Inoue, T., Ishii, R., Suzuki, R., and Itioka, T.: Usability
  of time-lapse digital camera images to detect characteristics of tree phenology in a tropical
  rainforest, Ecological Informatics, 32, 91-106, 10.1016/j.ecoinf.2016.01.006, 2016.
- Nasahara, K., and Nagai, S.: Review: Development of an in situ observation network for terrestrial
   ecological remote sensing: the Phenological Eyes Network (PEN), Ecological Research, 30, 211-223,
   10.1007/s11284-014-1239-x, 2015.
- 37 Nittler, L. W., and Kenny, T. J.: Effect of Ammonium to Nitrate Ratio on Growth and Anthocyanin
- 38 Development of Perennial Ryegrass Cultivars1, Agron. J., 68, 680-682,
- 39 10.2134/agronj1976.00021962006800040038x, 1976.
- 40 Parmesan, C.: Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological
- 41 response to global warming, Global Change Biology, 13, 1860-1872, 2007.

- 1 Peichl, M., Sonnentag, O., and Nilsson, M. B.: Bringing Color into the Picture: Using Digital Repeat
- 2 Photography to Investigate Phenology Controls of the Carbon Dioxide Exchange in a Boreal Mire,
- 3 Ecosystems, 18, 115-131, 10.1007/s10021-014-9815-z, 2014.
- Peñuelas, J., Rutishauser, T., and Filella, I.: Phenology feedbacks on climate change, Science, 324,
  887-888, 2009.
- Pook, E. W.: Canopy dynamics of Eucalyptus maculata Hook. III. Effects of drought, Australian Journal
  of Botany, 33, 65-79, 1985.
- 8 Pook, E. W., Gill, A. M., and Moore, P. H. R.: Long-term variation of litter fall, canopy leaf area and
- 9 flowering in a Eucalyptus maculata forest on the south coast of New South Wales, Australian Journal
  10 of Botany, 45, 737-755, 1997.
- 11 R: a language and environment for statistical computing: <u>http://www.r-project.org/</u>, 2013.
- 12 Rawal, D. S., Kasel, S., Keatley, M. R., Aponte, C., and Nitschke, C. R.: Environmental effects on
- growth phenology of co-occurring Eucalyptus species, International Journal of Biometeorology, 58,
   427-442, 2014.
- Reich, P. B., Uhl, C., Walters, M. B., Prugh, L., and Ellsworth, D. S.: Leaf demography and phenology in
  Amazonian rain forest: A census of 40 000 leaves of 23 tree species, Ecological Monographs, 74, 323, 2004.
- Restrepo-Coupe, N., Huete, A., Davies, K., Cleverly, J., Beringer, J., Eamus, D., van Gorsel, E., Hutley,
   L., and Meyer, W. S.: MODIS vegetation products as proxies of photosynthetic potential: a look
   across meteorological and biologic driven ecosystem productivity, Biogeosciences Discussions, 12,
   19213-19267, 2015.
- Richardson, A. D., Jenkins, J. P., Braswell, B. H., Hollinger, D. Y., Ollinger, S. V., and Smith, M. L.: Use
  of digital webcam images to track spring green-up in a deciduous broadleaf forest, Oecologia, 152,
  323-334, 2007.
- 25 Richardson, A. D., Braswell, B. H., Hollinger, D. Y., Jenkins, J. P., and Ollinger, S. V.: Near-surface
- remote sensing of spatial and temporal variation in canopy phenology, Ecological Applications, 19,
   1417-1428, 2009a.
- 28 Richardson, A. D., Hollinger, D. Y., Dail, D. B., Lee, J. T., Munger, J. W., and O'Keefe, J.: Influence of
- spring phenology on seasonal and annual carbon balance in two contrasting New England forests,
   Tree Physiology, 29, 321-331, 2009b.
- 31 Richardson, A. D., Keenan, T. F., Migliavacca, M., Ryu, Y., Sonnentag, O., and Toomey, M.: Climate
- 32 change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system,
- 33 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 169, 156-173, 2013a.
- Richardson, A. D., Klosterman, S., and Toomey, M.: Near-surface sensor-derived phenology, in:
- Phenology: an integrative environmental science, edited by: Schwartz, M. D., Springer, Dordrecht,
   the Netherlands, 2013b.
- 37 Sakai, S., Harrison, R. D., Momose, K., Kuraji, K., Nagamasu, H., Yasunari, T., Chong, L., and
- 38 Nakashizuka, T.: Irregular droughts trigger mass flowering in aseasonal tropical forests in Asia,
- 39 American Journal of Botany, 93, 1134-1139, 10.3732/ajb.93.8.1134, 2006.

- Semple, B., Rankin, M., Koen, T., and Geeves, G.: A Note on Tree Deaths during the Current (2001–?)
- 2 Drought in South-eastern Australia, Australian Geographer, 41, 391-401,
- 3 DOI:10.1080/00049182.2010.498042, 2010.

Sharma, P., and Crowden, R.: Anthocyanins in some eucalyptus species, Australian Journal of Botany,
22, 623-627, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/BT9740623</u>, 1974.

- 6 Smith, D. E., Metzger, S., and Taylor, J. R.: A Transparent and Transferable Framework for Tracking
- 7 Quality Information in Large Datasets, PLoS ONE, 9, e112249, 10.1371/journal.pone.0112249, 2014.
- 8 Sonnentag, O., Detto, M., Vargas, R., Ryu, Y., Runkle, B. R. K., Kelly, M., and Baldocchi, D. D.: Tracking
- 9 the structural and functional development of a perennial pepperweed (Lepidium latifolium L.)
- 10 infestation using a multi-year archive of webcam imagery and eddy covariance measurements,
- 11 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 151, 916-926, 2011.
- Sonnentag, O., Hufkens, K., Teshera-Sterne, C., Young, A. M., Friedl, M., Braswell, B. H., Milliman, T.,
- 13 O'Keefe, J., and Richardson, A. D.: Digital repeat photography for phenological research in forest
- ecosystems, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 152, 159-177, 2012.
- Specht, A.: Temperature effects on eucalypt shoot growth in the Brisbane region, PhD Thesis,University of Queensland, 1985.
- 17 Specht, R. L., and Brouwer, Y. M.: Seasonal Shoot Growth of Eucalyptus spp. In the Brisbane Area of
- Queensland (With Notes on Shoot Growth and Litter Fall in Other Areas of Australia), Australian
   Journal of Botany, 23, 459-474, http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/BT9750459, 1975.
- Specht, R. L., and Specht, A.: Species richness of sclerophyll (heathy) plant communities in Australia the influence of overstorey cover, Australian Journal of Botany, 37, 337-350, 1989.
- 22 Stern, H., and Dehani, R. R.: The distribution of climate zones across Australia: identifying and
- 23 explaining changes during the past century, 25th Conference on Climate Variability and Change,
- 24 American Meteorological Society, Austin, TX, USA, 2013.
- Stone, C., Chisholm, L., and Coops, N.: Spectral reflectance characteristics of eucalypt foliage
  damaged by insects, Australian Journal of Botany, 49, 687-698, 2001.
- Sugiharto, B., Miyata, K., Nakamoto, H., Sasakawa, H., and Sugiyama, T.: Regulation of expression of
  carbon-assimilating enzymes by nitrogen in maize leaf, Plant Physiology, 92, 963-969, 1990.
- Taylor, J. R., and Loescher, H. L.: Automated quality control methods for sensor data: a novel
  observatory approach, Biogeosciences, 10, 4957-4971, 10.5194/bg-10-4957-2013, 2013.
- 31 Terashima, I., and Evans, J. R.: Effects of light and nitrogen nutrition on the organization of the
- 32 photosynthetic apparatus in spinach, Plant and Cell Physiology, 29, 143-155, 1988.
- 33 Tomlinson, K. W., Poorter, L., Sterck, F. J., Borghetti, F., Ward, D., de Bie, S., and van Langevelde, F.:
- Leaf adaptations of evergreen and deciduous trees of semi-arid and humid savannas on three continents, Journal of Ecology, 101, 430-440, 2013.
- 36 Toomey, M., Friedl, M. A., Frolking, S., Hufkens, K., Klosterman, S., Sonnentag, O., Baldocchi, D. D.,
- 37 Bernacchi, C. J., Biraud, S. C., Bohrer, G., Brzostek, E., Burns, S. P., Coursolle, C., Hollinger, D. Y.,
- 38 Margolis, H. A., McCaughey, H., Monson, R. K., Munger, J. W., Pallardy, S., Phillips, R. P., Torn, M. S.,
- 39 Wharton, S., Zeri, M., and Richardson, A. D.: Greenness indices from digital cameras predict the

- timing and seasonal dynamics of canopy-scale photosynthesis, Ecological Applications, 25, 99-115,
   2015.
- Tucker, C. J.: Red and photographic infrared linear combinations for monitoring vegetation, Remote
  Sensing of Environment, 8, 127-150, 1979.
- 5 Turton, S. M., and Stork, N. E.: Impacts of tropical cyclones on forests in the wet tropics of Australia,
- 6 in: Living in a Dynamic Tropical Forest Landscape, edited by: Stork, N. E., and Turton, S. M., Wiley7 Blackwell, Oxford, UK, 2008.
- 8 Van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Beck, H. E., Crosbie, R. S., De Jeu, R. A. M., Liu, Y. Y., Podger, G. M., Timbal, B.,
- 9 and Viney, N. R.: The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009): Natural and human
- 10 causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society, Water Resources
- 11 Research, 49, 1040-1057, 2013.
- 12 van Gorsel, E., Berni, J. A. J., Briggs, P., Cabello-Leblic, A., Chasmer, L., Cleugh, H. A., Hacker, J.,
- 13 Hantson, S., Haverd, V., Hughes, D., Hopkinson, C., Keith, H., Kljun, N., Leuning, R., Yebra, M., and
- 14 Zegelin, S.: Primary and secondary effects of climate variability on net ecosystem carbon exchange in
- an evergreen eucalyptus forest, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 182-183, 248-256, 2013.
- Venn, S. E., and Morgan, J. W.: Phytomass and phenology of three alpine snowpatch species across a
   natural snowmelt gradient, Australian Journal of Botany, 55, 450-456, 2007.
- 18 Visser, M. D., Jongejans, E., van Breugel, M., Zuidema, P. A., Chen, Y. Y., Rahman Kassim, A., and de
- 19 Kroon, H.: Strict mast fruiting for a tropical dipterocarp tree: A demographic cost-benefit analysis of
- 20 delayed reproduction and seed predation, Journal of Ecology, 99, 1033-1044, 2011.
- Walker, B. H., and Langridge, J. L.: Predicting savanna vegetation structure on the basis of plant
  available moisture (PAM) and plant available nutrients (PAN): A case study from Australia, Journal of
- 23 Biogeography, 24, 813-825, 1997.
- Werner, P. A., and Franklin, D. C.: Resprouting and mortality of juvenile eucalypts in an Australian
   savanna: Impacts of fire season and annual sorghum, Australian Journal of Botany, 58, 619-628,
- 26 10.1071/BT10139, 2010.
- Werner, P. A., and Prior, L. D.: Demography and growth of subadult savanna trees: Interactions of
  life history, size, fire season, and grassy understory, Ecological Monographs, 83, 67-93, 2013.
- 29 Whitley, R. J., Macinnis-Ng, C. M. O., Hutley, L. B., Beringer, J., Zeppel, M., Williams, M., Taylor, D.,
- 30 and Eamus, D.: Is productivity of mesic savannas light limited or water limited? Results of a
- simulation study, Global Change Biology, 17, 3130-3149, DOI: 10.1016/j.agformet.2011.01.006,
   2011.
- Williams, R. J., Myers, B. A., Muller, W. J., Duff, G. A., and Eamus, D.: Leaf phenology of woody
  species in a North Australian tropical savanna, Ecology, 78, 2542-2558, 1997.
- 35 Wingate, L., Ogeé, J., Cremonese, E., Filippa, G., Mizunuma, T., Migliavacca, M., Moisy, C., Wilkinson,
- 36 M., Moureaux, C., Wohlfahrt, G., Hammerle, A., Hörtnagl, L., Gimeno, C., Porcar-Castell, A.,
- 37 Galvagno, M., Nakaji, T., Morison, J., Kolle, O., Knohl, A., Kutsch, W., Kolari, P., Nikinmaa, E., Ibrom,
- A., Gielen, B., Eugster, W., Balzarolo, M., Papale, D., Klumpp, K., Köstner, B., Grünwald, T., Joffre, R.,
- Ourcival, J. M., Hellstrom, M., Lindroth, A., George, C., Longdoz, B., Genty, B., Levula, J., Heinesch, B.,
- 40 Sprintsin, M., Yakir, D., Manise, T., Guyon, D., Ahrends, H., Plaza-Aguilar, A., Guan, J. H., and Grace,

- J.: Interpreting canopy development and physiology using a European phenology camera network at
   flux sites, Biogeosciences, 12, 5995-6015, 10.5194/bg-12-5995-2015, 2015.
- Woebbecke, D. M., Meyer, G. E., Von Bargen, K., and Mortensen, D. A.: Color indices for weed
   identification under various soil, residue, and lighting conditions, Transactions of the American
- 5 Society of Agricultural Engineers, 38, 259-269, 1995.
- Wright, S. J., and van Schaik, C. P.: Light and the phenology of tropical trees, American Naturalist,
  143, 192-199, 1994.
- 8 Wu, J., Albert, L. P., Lopes, A. P., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Hayek, M., Wiedemann, K. T., Guan, K., Strark.,
- 9 S. C., Christoffersen, B., Prohaska, N., Tavares, J. V., Marostica, S., Kobayashi, H., Ferreira, M. L.,
- 10 Campos, K. S., da Silva, R., Brando, P. M., Dye, D. G., Huxman, T. E., Huete, A., Nelson, B. W., and
- 11 Saleska, S. R.: Leaf development and demography explain photosynthetic seasonality in Amazon
- 12 evergreen forests, Science, 351, 972-976, 2016.
- 13 Zhu, W., Chen, G., Jiang, N., Liu, J., and Mou, M.: Estimating carbon flux phenology with satellite-
- derived land surface phenology and climate drivers for different biomes: A synthesis of AmeriFlux
   observations, PLoS ONE, 8, 2013.
- 16
- 17

18



Figure 1: Mean annual rainfall (a), mean annual air temperature (b) and the major zone Köppen-Geiger Climate
 Classification (c) for Australia from 1961-1990 (data source: Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2013).



**Figure 2:** Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) map of Australia obtained from MODIS global 0.05 degree 8-day reflectance composites for 2000-2012 (MOD09) with a selection of six pixels to demonstrate the following: Yellow areas have a strong seasonal cycle, such as Howard Springs at point A. Cyan areas have a relatively strong, but non-seasonal variations in EVI, such as Channel country at point C. Magenta have a more constant moderate to high EVI with less temporal variability compared with other sites, which include sites such as Cape Tribulation at point B, the central coast at point E and the Tasmanian highlands at point F. Green areas have both seasonal and non-seasonal variation, such as the wheat belt of Western Australia at point D. Blue areas have relatively high greenness but strong non-seasonal variation. The paler colours of the map centre show weaker EVI signals. The SD in seasonally adjusted anomalies (yellow) was scaled from 0-0.1; SD in mean seasonal cycle (cyan) from 0-0.1; and mean EVI (magenta) from 0-0.6. Also included are the locations (and Fluxnet codes where applicable)

of currently operating phenocams in Australia. Numbers refer to; 1. Cow Bay (AU-Cow), 2. Howard Springs (AU-How), 3. Tumbarumba (AU-Tum), 4. Karawatha, 5. Great Western Woodlands (AU-GWW), 6. Whroo (AU-Whr), 7. Wombat (AU-Wom), 8. Robson Creek (AU-Rob), 9. Litchfield (AU-Lit), 10. Warra (AU-Wrr), 11. Calperum (AU-Cpr), 12. Cumberland Plain (AU-Cum) & EucFACE, 13. Alice Springs (AU-ASM), 14. Riggs Creek (AU-Rig), 15. Sturt Plains (AU-Stp), 16. Cape Tribulation (AU-Ctr). Phenocam data used in this paper are from sites 1, 2, 3 and 6.



Figure 3: Green chromatic coordinates (GCC) for six individual tree crowns (indicated by orange regions of interest) at the Cow Bay tropical rainforest OzFlux site (Fig. 2; site 1, AU-Cow), Queensland, Australia. Leaf shedding and flushing events (note especially Tree 1, *Wrightia laevis* and Tree 2, *Acmena graveolens*) are highly specific to individual trees. Black line represents GCC from the full camera field-of-view. See Fig. 4 for example region of interest variability over time.



**Figure 4:** Green (GCC), red (RCC) and blue (BCC) chromatic coordinates for a single tree (*Wrightia laevis*) at the Cow Bay tropical rainforest OzFlux site (Fig. 2; site 1, AU-Cow), Queensland, Australia. Quick leaf shedding and flushing events are well captured by the phenocam.



Figure 5: Ecosystem gross primary productivity (GPP) and green chromatic coordinates (GCC) for the overstory and understory, with region of interest (ROI) image examples, at the Howard Springs tropical savanna OzFlux site (Fig. 2; site 2, AU-How), Northern Territory, Australia. Data are shown as an 8-day centred running mean with ± 90 % confidence shading.



Figure 6: Phenocams in use at the Tumbarumba wet temperate OzFlux site (Fig. 2; site 3, AU-Tum), New South Wales, Australia. Figure a) shows green chromatic coordinates (GCC) for understory vegetation from two individual understory phenocams; that of b) a downward facing TimeLapseCam wingscapes (GCC\_Win) phenocam (region of interest inset) and c) an oblique facing raspberry pi (GCC\_Rpi) phenocam (region of interest inset). GCC data are shown by an 8-day centred running mean with ± 90 % confidence shading.



**Figure 7:** Ecosystem gross primary productivity (GPP) and green chromatic coordinate (GCC) index for the Whroo dry temperate OzFlux site (Fig. 2; site 6, AU-Whr) in south-eastern Victoria, Australia. Both GPP and GCC data are shown by an 8-day centred running mean with ± 90 % confidence shading. The region of interest (ROI) for GCC calculation is indicated by the orange box in the three images that represent different times throughout the year.