1 Dear editor,

2 We are grateful for your consideration and the concise suggestions on our manuscript.

3 Here we have revised the manuscript according to your advises thoroughly. And all the details are 4

as following.

5 6

7 1. Please do not use "R" to denote rainfall. This is confusing with regression coefficient. Also, 8 please use the same symbol in text and table. Namely, in the present manuscript, you used "r" in text and "R" in tables for regression coefficients. Instead, for example, I suggest using "P" for 9 10 precipitation and "R" for regression coefficient through the manuscript.

11

12 Answer: Thanks, we have revised the denote of rainfall R to P in tables and figures.

13

2. In general, fluxes should have units of mass per unit area per unit time (e.g., g m-2 s-1 or mol 14 15 m-2 h-1). However, in your manuscript, many flux terms lack necessary dimensions. For example, in Figure 1, DOC flux may have units of (kg C ha-1 yr-1). Please check all figures and tables, 16 17 including those in Supplementary materials. 18 Answer: We have added the unit of Table 1 and 2. But for the Figure 1, we just show the annual 19 and rainy season flux of water and DOC flux, so the unit time were not shown and indicted the 20 21 DOC flux in the text as (kg C ha-1 yr-1). Thanks 22 23 24 3. The surface CO2 flux in Figure 2a seems too high, if the unit of y-axis (mg CO2 m-2 s-1) is 25 correct. Please check.

26

27 Answer: Thanks for your kind check. We have checked the data and revised the unit to (mg CO₂ $m^{-2} h^{-1}$) in Figure 2 and Figure S2. 28

29

30 Please find our revised details in the man scrip with check tracks and the clear ms.. Hope our 1

- 31 revised version is suitable for publication. Please contact us without hesitation when you have any
- 32 question about this manuscript.
- 33 Best regards!
- 34 Sincerely yours,
- 35 Wen–Jun Zhou, Yi–Ping Zhang, Li-Qing Sha and all the co-authors
- 36

- Hydrologically transported dissolved organic carbon influences soil respiration in a tropical
 rainforest
- 39 Running title: DOC influences soil respiration
- 40 Author: W.-J. Zhou^{1,2,3}, H.-Z. Lu^{1,2,3}, Y.-P. Zhang^{1,2*}, L.-Q. Sha^{1,2*}, D. Schaefer^{1,2}, Q.-H. Song^{1,2,3},
- 41 Y. Deng^{1,2}, X.-B. Deng^{1,2}
- 42 Affiliation:
- 43 ^{1.} Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden,
- 44 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China
- 45 ^{2.} Xishuangbanna Station for Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystem Studies, Chinese Ecosystem
- 46 Research Net, Mengla, Yunnan 666303, China
- 47 ^{3.} Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China
- 48 Corresponding author:
- 49 Y.-P. Zhang, Tel: +86-871-65160904, Fax: +86-871-65160916, E-mail: <u>vipingzh@xtbg.ac.cn</u>
- 50 L.-Q.Sha, Tel: +86-871-65160904, Fax: +86-871-65160916, E-mail: shalq@xtbg.ac.cn
- 51 Keywords:
- 52 Dissolved organic carbon (DOC), Soil temperature, Soil water content, Soil respiration, Tropical
- 53 rainforest
- 54 Paper type:
- 55 Primary research articles

56 Abstract

57 To better understand the effect of the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) transported by hydrological 58 processes (rainfall, throughfall, litter leachate, and surface soil water (0-20 cm)) on soil respiration in 59 tropical rainforests, we detected the DOC flux in rainfall, throughfall, litter leachate, and surface soil water (0–20 cm), compared the seasonality of $\delta^{I3}C_{DOC}$ in each hydrological process, and $\delta^{I3}C$ in leaves, 60 61 litter, and surface soil, and analyzed throughfall, litter leachate, and surface soil water (0-20 cm) effect 62 on soil respiration in a tropical rainforest in Xishuangbanna, southwest China. Results showed: The 63 surface soil intercepted 94.4 \pm 1.2% of the annual litter leachate DOC flux and is a sink for DOC. The 64 throughfall and litter leachate DOC fluxes amounted to 6.81% and 7.23% of the net ecosystem 65 exchange, respectively, indicating that the DOC flux through hydrological processes is an important 66 component of the carbon budget, and may be an important link between hydrological processes and 67 soil respiration in a tropical rainforest. Even the variability in soil respiration is more dependent on the 68 hydrologically transported water than DOC flux insignificantly, soil temperature and soil water content 69 (at 0-20 cm). The difference in δ^{13} C between the soil, soil water (at 0-20 cm), throughfall, and litter 70 leachate indicated that DOC is transformed in the surface soil and decreased the sensitivity indices of 71 soil respiration of DOC flux to water flux, which suggests that soil respiration is more sensitive to the 72 DOC flux in hydrological processes, especially the soil water DOC flux, than to soil temperature or soil 73 moisture.

75 1. Introduction

76	Dissolved organic carbon (DOC), the most active form of fresh carbon, stimulates microbial activity
77	and affects CO ₂ emissions from the surface soil (Bianchi, 2011, Chantigny, 2003, Cleveland et al.,
78	2006). This indicates that the proportion of DOC that leaches from the soil is a crucial component of
79	the carbon balance (Kindler et al., 2011, Stephan et al., 2001), which is also estimated as the high ratio
80	of DOC flux to net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in forests, grasslands, and croplands (Sowerby et al.,
81	2010). The DOC from water-extractable soil carbon is regenerated quickly and functions as an
82	important source of substrate for soil respiration (SR), especially microbial heterotrophic respiration
83	(HR) (Cleveland et al., 2004, Jandl and Sollins, 1997, Schwendenmann and Veldkamp, 2005), which
84	contributes more to SR than does autotrophic respiration. Laboratory studies have shown that DOC
85	also plays an important role in SR in the surface soil (De Troyer et al., 2011, Fr dberg et al., 2005, Qiao
86	et al., 2013). However the mechanisms underlying the effects of DOC on the carbon budget and SR in
87	the field remain unclear.
88	Hydrological processes that transport DOC, such as throughfall and litter leachate, are important
89	sources of DOC in surface soil water (De Troyer et al., 2011, Kalbitz et al., 2000, Kalbitz et al., 2007,
90	Kindler et al., 2011). The soil retains most of the DOC that reaches the soil surface from the throughfall
91	and litter leachate (Chuyong et al., 2004, Dezzeo and Chacón, 2006, Liu and Sheu, 2003, Liu et al.,
92	2008, McJannet et al., 2007, Schrumpf et al., 2006, Zimmermann et al., 2007). Qiao et al. (2013)
93	suggested that the addition of labile organic carbon increases the decomposition of the native soil
94	organic carbon (SOC) by exerting a priming effect, and augments the CO ₂ emissions in subtropical

95	forests. Because of the massive rainfall in tropical rainforests, more DOC flux is transported to the soil
96	by throughfall and litter leachate than in other forests. The high temperature and leaching in tropical
97	forests may mean that the fresh DOC from hydrological processes affects SR differently in tropical
98	rainforests than in boreal and temperate forests (De Troyer et al., 2011, Fröberg et al., 2005, Qiao et al.,
99	2013). For this reason, research into the role of hydrologically transported DOC in the SR in tropical
100	rainforest is essential.

101 The fate of DOC intercepted by the surface soil can be determined from variations in the DOC flux and 102 $\delta^{13}C_{DOC}$ among soil water, soil, litter leachate, and throughfall. Based on the seasonal and source (canopy leaf, litter, or soil) differences in $\delta^{43}C$ (De Troyer *et al.*, 2011), $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ studies have shown 103 104 that DOC transported from aboveground water and from the desorption of soil aggregates is retained in 105 the surface soil by soil absorption or is involved in surface carbon biochemical dynamics through soil 106 water leaching and microbiological activity (Comstedt et al., 2007, De Troyer et al., 2011, Fang et al., 107 2009, Kindler et al., 2011). This proposal has been confirmed in a laboratory leaching experiment 108 simulating a temperate forest, as performed by Park et al. (2002), who reported that the cumulative 109 amount of CO₂ evolved is positively related to the availability of carbon (Park et al., 2002). 110 Furthermore, fresh DOC fed to the surface soil influences soil CO₂ emissions in both the short term 111 (3-14 days) and long term (month to years) (Davidson et al., 2012). Therefore, several models of the 112 surface soil carbon efflux indicate that DOC is a factor that influences CO₂ emissions (Blagodatskaya 113 et al., 2011, Guenet et al., 2010, Yakov, 2010) based on recent research with controlled experiments. 114 However, the natural mechanism underlying the effects of the hydrologically transported DOC flux on 115 CO2 emissions remains unclear. The precipitation rate, NEE, and litterfall are all high in tropical forests 116 (Tan et al., 2010, Zhang et al., 2010), and several studies have shown that DOC plays an important role

in the carbon balance in these settings (Fontaine *et al.*, 2007, McClain *et al.*, 1997, Monteith *et al.*,
2007). Here, we investigate the relative contribution of hydrologically transported DOC to SR in a
rainforest compared with the contributions of soil temperature and moisture, which has not been
extensively studied until now.

121 Our study was performed in a tropical rainforest at Xishuangbanna in southwest China, on the northern 122 edge of a tropical region. This forest has less annual rainfall (1557 mm), a smaller carbon sink (1667 kg C ha⁻¹) (Tan et al., 2010, Zhang et al., 2010), lower SR (5.34 kg CO₂ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Sha et al., 2005), and 123 124 less litterfall (9.47 \pm 1.65 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Tang *et al.*, 2010) than typical rainforests of the Amazon and 125 around the equator. We hypothesized that throughfall and litter leachate DOC flux are important in 126 carbon budget, and that hydrologically transported DOC significantly affects SR in the tropical 127 rainforest at Xishuangbanna. To test these hypotheses, we determined the SR, HR, and DOC fluxes in 128 the rainfall, throughfall, litter leachate, and surface soil water (0-20 cm depth), the seasonal variability in $\delta^{13}C$ (isotopic abundance ratio of ${}^{13}C$) in DOC ($\delta^{13}C_{DOC}$) and in the carbon pools in the soil, litter, 129 130 and canopy leaves in this tropical forest.

131 2 Materials and methods

132 2.1 Study site

- 133 The study site is located at the center of the National Forest Reserve in Menglun, Mengla County,
- 134 Yunnan Province, China (21°56'N, 101°15'E), and has suffered relatively little human disturbance. The
- 135 weather in the study area is dominated by the north tropical monsoon and is influenced by the
- southwest monsoon, with an annual average temperature of 21.5 °C, annual average rainfall of 1557
- 137 mm, and average relative humidity of 86%. Based on the precipitation dynamics, the rainy season

138 occurs between May and October (with 84.1% of the total annual precipitation) and the dry season

139 between November and April.

140 The dominant trees are *Terminalia myriocarpa* and *Pometia tomentosa*, which are typical tropical

141 forest trees. Canopy height is about 45m, the land cover ratio is 100%, there are 311 species that

diamater at breast height (DBH) is larger than 2cm (Cao et al., 1996). The topographic slope is

143 12°-18°, and the soil type is oxisol, formed from Cretaceous yellow sandstone, with a pH of 4.5-5.5

and a clay content (d < 0.002 mm) of 29.5% in the surface soil (0–20 cm) (Tang *et al.*, 2007).

145 2.2 Experimental set-up

146	At the study plot (a 23.4 ha catchment), three rainfall collectors were set above the canopy on a 70 m
147	eddy flux tower to collect rain samples. Each collector had a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) funnel
148	(2.5 cm diameter) connected to a brown glass bottle, which was rinsed with distilled water before each
149	collection. There were four replicates of throughfall, litter leachate, and soil water (20 cm depth)
150	respectively. All the collectors were set around the eddy flux tower randomly. All the collectors were
151	distributed randomly around the eddy flux tower. The throughfall collectors were $200 \times 40 \text{ cm}^2$
152	V-shaped tanks made of stainless steel. A PTFE tube connected the collector to a polyethylene
153	sampling barrel. The litter leachate was collected in 40 cm \times 30 cm \times 2 cm PTFE plates. In the plate,
154	we layered 100-, 20-, and 1-mesh silica sand from the bottom to the upper edge, to a depth of 2 cm, to
155	ensure that the litterfall fragments did not reach the bottom of the plate and to filter the leachate. The
156	bottom of the plate was curved into an arc shape, causing the leachate to flow together at the bottom
157	funnel. The funnel was connected by a PTFE tube to a 10 L bottle further down the slope. The soil
158	water collector was designed like the litter leachate collector. The collection system was buried in soil

159	at a depth of 20 cm along the surface slope. To reduce the disturbance from digging as much as
160	possible, all the soil collectors were placed in holes that were approximately the size of the PTFE
161	collector, and all soil was added from the bottom to the surface, layer by layer. All the soil water and
162	litter leachate collectors were set in place 3 months before the samples were collected, to minimize the
163	influence of their installation.
164	The water fluxes from rainfall and throughfall were estimated with an installed water-level recorder.
165	The recorder was set to measure the average discharge at 30 min intervals. The daily and weekly water
166	fluxes from rainfall and throughfall were calculated from the data recorded automatically between
167	08:00 and 08:00 on the following day (local time). The water fluxes from the litter leachate and soil
168	water were determined daily by manual observation.
169	We set four 5 m \times 5 m plots around the eddy flux tower to measure SR and HR using the trenching
170	method. In each plot, three paired trenches and control treatments were used to detect both HR and SR.
171	Each treatment covered an area of 50×50 cm ² . Most fine roots occur in the first 0–20 cm of soil and
172	few occur below a depth of 50 cm in the soil of tropical rainforests. In each trenched treatment, a
173	polyvinyl chloride panel was installed, and a 50-cm-deep trench was filled with in situ soil to protect
174	root respiration during the trenching treatment.
175	The soil respiration was measured using a Li-820 system (Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE,
176	USA), which consisted of an infrared gas analyzer with a polyvinyl chloride chamber(diameter of
177	15cm and height of 15.0 cm). A polyvinyl chloride collar (diameter of 15cm an height of 5cm) was
178	installed in the forest floor to a depth of \sim 3 cm. All the leaf litter and small branches were left in the
179	collar. Soil respirations were detected from 09:00 to 14:00 local time when was taken to represent
180	respiration in that day (Sha et al. 2005, Yao et al. 2011) biweekly from February 2008 to February

181 2009.

182 Soil temperature and moisture

183	From 2008 to 2011, soil temperature and moisture at a depth of 5 cm were measured every 15 min with
184	a Campbell Scientific data logger (Campbell Scientific, North Longan, Utah, USA) which was fixed to
185	the eddy flux tower. The daily average soil temperature and moisture were calculated as the daily
186	means of the data collected every 15 min.
187	During soil respiration observation period between February 2008 and January 2009, soil water content
188	(0-12 cm) was detected by time-domain reflectometry (TDR100, Campbell Scientific, USA) in the soil
189	close to every chamber. At the same time, the soil temperature (0-10 cm) and the air temperature were

190 recorded with a needle thermometer.

191 Soil, leaf, and litter sampling

192 Soil (0–20 cm depth) near the soil water collectors, and leaf samples and litter samples from around the

water collector were collected in August and October, 2010, and in January, March, and May, 2011.

194 The leaves of the dominant species were randomly picked from the canopy around the plots, and litter

samples were collected from around the plots. Soil samples were collected with a steel soil sampler

196 (diameter = 5 cm, height = 20 cm). All the leaf and litter samples were oven-dried to constant weight at

197 60 °C. After drying, the leaf and litter were ground and passed through a 1 mm screen. Wind-dried soil

198 was manually broken by hand and sieved (100 mesh) to remove larger particles, roots, and visible soil

199 fauna. Plant and soil samples were analyzed for total C and $\delta^{13}C$ values with an elemental analyzer

200 (Elementar vario PYRO cube, Germany) coupled to an continuous flow system isotope ratio mass

- 201 spectrometer (IsoPrime 100 Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer, Germany, EA-MS). Samples (1.00-3.00
- 202 mg plant samples and 10-40 mg soil sample dried and sieved through 100 mesh size) were wrapped in

203 a tin boat and loaded into the auto-sampler (EA3000, Eurovector, Milan, Italy) coupled to the

- 204 EA-IRMS. The sample was flash combusted in a combustion reactor held at 1120°C. The produced
- 205 CO₂ was separated by the CO₂ absorption column, and carried by helium to ion source for
- 206 measurements. The reference CO₂ (>99.999%) flowed in at 420 seconds and lasted for 30 seconds. The
- 207 isotopic results are expressed in standard notation $(\delta^{d_3}C)$ in parts per thousand (‰) relative to the
- 208 standard Pee Dee Belemnite:
- 209 $\delta^{13}C = [{}^{13}R_{sample}/{}^{13}R_{standard} 1] \times 1000$ (1)
- 210 where R is the molar ratio ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$.
- 211

212 2.3 Water sampling and analysis

213 All the 24 h cumulative water samples were collected at the sampling sites between 08:00 and 10:00 214 (local time), following the procedure outlined by Zhou et al. (2013), using high-density polyethylene 215 bottles. The sampling bottles were completely filled, allowing no headspace. After the bottles were 216 washed with 3% HCl solution, they were rinsed with distilled water. Before sample collection, the 217 bottles were pre-rinsed three times with the sample water. The study was performed over three full 218 calendar years, from January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2011. The water samples were collected on the 219 day following a rain event during the dry season and once a week during the rainy season in 2009, and 220 once a week in 2010 and 2011. All the water samples were immediately transported to the laboratory in 221 insulated bags to prevent DOC decomposition. 222 Based on the analytical method of Zhou et al. (2013), all the samples were vacuum-filtered through a 223 0.45 µm glass fiber filter (Tianjinshi Dongfang Changtai Environmental Protection Technology, 224 Tianjin, China) and were pre-rinsed with deionized water and the sample water under vacuum. The

225	filtered samples were analyzed for DOC within 24 h of collection using a total organic carbon/total
226	nitrogen (TOC/TN) analyzer (LiquiTOC II, Elemental Analyses System GmbH, Germany).
227	To analyze the water DOC isotopic δ^{13} C-DOC (δ^{13} C _{DOC}), the samples were collected on the same day
228	as the leaves, litter, and 0–20 cm soil samples were collected. Subsamples (500 mL) of the rain,
229	throughfall, litter leachate, and soil water samples were passed through a 0.45 μm glass fiber filter and
230	transferred to another 500 mL polyethylene terephthalate bottle. All the filtered water was frozen and
231	placed in a freeze dryer until it was reduced to a fine powder. The δ^{13} C of the freeze-dried DOC was
232	analyzed with a method similar to that for the plant and soil samples. Considering the lower C content,
233	more sample amount (20-60mg) were weighted, the combustion temperature was set at 920 $^\circ$ C, and the
234	reference CO_2 flowed in at 475 seconds, later than for the soil and plant samples. The sample $\delta^{13}C$
235	abundance were calculated according to Eq (1).
236	2.4 Calculations and statistics
236 237	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil
236 237 238	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of
236 237 238 239	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear
236 237 238 239 240	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear regression tests. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the hydrological DOC
236 237 238 239 240 241	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear regression tests. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the hydrological DOC fluxes among different hydrological processes. The seasonal difference of hydrological DOC fluxes,
236 237 238 239 240 241 242	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear regression tests. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the hydrological DOC fluxes among different hydrological processes. The seasonal difference of hydrological DOC fluxes, $\delta^{l_3}C_{DOC}$ was tested by independent sample t test. The SPSS 15.0 software was used for all calculations.
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear regression tests. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the hydrological DOC fluxes among different hydrological processes. The seasonal difference of hydrological DOC fluxes, $\delta^{l_3}C_{DOC}$ was tested by independent sample t test. The SPSS 15.0 software was used for all calculations. Because the individual correlations between the water flux and the DOC concentration in the
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244	2.4 Calculations and statistics The correlations among the daily water flux and DOC concentration, SR, HR, soil moisture, and soil temperature from February 2008 to January 2009, and the weekly SR and HR rates and the amounts of DOC and water in 2009–2011 were tested with Pearson's correlation (two-tailed) and nonlinear regression tests. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the hydrological DOC fluxes among different hydrological processes. The seasonal difference of hydrological DOC fluxes, $\delta^{l_3C}_{DOC}$ was tested by independent sample t test. The SPSS 15.0 software was used for all calculations. Because the individual correlations between the water flux and the DOC concentration in the throughfall, litter leachate, and soil water were significant (Fig. S1), the regression equations used for

246	$C_{\rm TF} = 48.69 e^{-0.097x}$ _adjusted $r^2 = 0.3883, p = 0.002$ (2)
247	$C_{LL} = 60.93e^{-0.048x}$ _adjusted $r^2 = 0.4131, p < 0.001$ (3)
248	C _{sw20} = 6.78e ^{-0.02048x} adjusted $r^2 = 0.5840, p < 0.001$ (4)
249	where C_{TF} , C_{LL} , and C_{sw20} are the DOC concentrations (mg L ⁻¹) in the throughfall, litter leachate, and
250	soil water (0–20 cm), respectively, and x is the water flux per day (mm d_1^{-1}).
251	We did not collect all the individual rainfall events, throughfall, litter leachate, and soil water samples
252	to analyze the DOC concentrations, but interpolated all the DOC concentrations and water fluxes
253	according to eq(2)–(4).
254	The daily DOC flux was calculated as
255	$F = CV/100 \tag{5}$
256	where F is the daily DOC flux (kg C $ha^{-1} d^{-1}$), C is the DOC concentration (mg L^{-1}), and V is the water
257	flux (mm d^{-1}) per day.
258	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹) was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes.
258 259	The $\frac{bi}{bi}$ weekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹) was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of
258 259 260	The bi weekly carbon flux <u>(kg C ha⁻¹ week ⁻¹)</u> was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations
258 259 260 261	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹)was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between
258 259 260 261 262	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹) was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between February 2008 and January 2009. SR and HR during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31,
258 259 260 261 262 263	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹)was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between February 2008 and January 2009. SR and HR during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 were calculated based on the equation Y = ae ^{bx} from the data collected between February 2008
258 259 260 261 262 263 263	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹)was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between February 2008 and January 2009. SR and HR during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 were calculated based on the equation Y = ae ^{bx} from the data collected between February 2008 and January 2009, as follows:
258 259 260 261 262 263 263 264 265	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹) was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between February 2008 and January 2009. SR and HR during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 were calculated based on the equation $Y = ae^{bx}$ from the data collected between February 2008 and January 2009, as follows: SR = 46.37e ^(0.1175) $r^2 = 0.8966$, $p < 0.0001$ (6)
258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266	The biweekly carbon flux (kg C ha ⁻¹ week ⁻¹)was calculated as the sum of the daily DOC fluxes. Soil temperature and soil water content of eddy flux tower explained 89.96% and 80.57% dynamic of that of soil respiration observation plot from Feb. 2008 to Jan. 2009 respectively, and the correlations between soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm and both SR and HR were strong (Fig. S2) between February 2008 and January 2009. SR and HR during the period from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 were calculated based on the equation $Y = ae^{bx}$ from the data collected between February 2008 and January 2009, as follows: SR = 46.37e ^(0.11T5) $r^2 = 0.8966$, $p < 0.0001$ (6) HR = 18.90e ^(0.14T5) $r^2 = 0.8372$, $p < 0.0001$ (7)

带格式的: 上标

and T5 is soil temperature at 5 cm depth.

269 Sensitivity indices calculations

Firstly, weekly soil respirations fluxes, weekly average of soil temperature and soil water content, weekly water and DOC fluxes were standardized by the ratio of measured value to the mean value during the observation period. Secondly, linear regression equitation was used between the standardized soil respirations values and T, SWC, water and DOC fluxes respectively. Thirdly, we considered the slope of the linear regression as the sensitivity indices which showed the soil respirations variation rate with soil temperature, soil water content, water and DOC fluxes changing.

276 3 Results

277 3.1 Water and DOC fluxes in a tropical rainforest

The seasonal and annual water fluxes decreased from the rainfall to the surface soil (Fig. 1a). The interception rate of the water between hydrological processes was higher in the dry season than in the rainy season (Fig. 1a, Table 1). The highest annual interception rate was between the litter leachate and the surface soil ($63.85 \pm 7.98\%$), which was $62.19 \pm 15.07\%$ in the rainy season and $81.64 \pm 23.38\%$ in the dry season.

The seasonal dynamics of the DOC flux were similar to those of the water flux (Fig. 1, Table 1). The annual DOC flux increased from rainfall (41.9 \pm 3.8kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) to throughfall (113.5 \pm 8.5 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and to litter leachate (127.7 \pm 8.5 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), and then decreased sharply to the surface soil at 0–20 cm (7.07 \pm 1.4 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Fig. 1b). The surface soil intercepted most of the DOC coming from the previous layer (annual: 94.4 \pm 1.2%, dry season: 96.7 \pm 4.4%, rainy season: 93.9 \pm 2.6%). That the interception rates for water and DOC were greatest in the surface soil indicates the surface soil is the most important water and DOC sink in this tropical rainforest (Table 1).

290 3.2 Isotopic characteristics of DOC in the hydrological processes of a tropical rainforest

During the transfer of rainfall to soil water (0–20 cm), $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ was highest in the rainfall DOC and lowest in the throughfall DOC in both the rainy and dry seasons (Table 2). The seasonal difference in $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ was highest in the surface soil water (3.25‰) and lowest in the litter leachate (0.11‰). From the litter leachate to the surface soil water, $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ increased significantly by 4.26‰ (p = 0.05) in the rainy season, but increased by only 1.12‰ (not significant, p = 0.39) in the dry season. $\delta^{43}C$ increased from the canopy leaves to the soil and did not differ significantly between seasons (Table 2). In both the dry and rainy seasons, $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ in water was higher than $\delta^{43}C$ in the corresponding element

298 (comparing throughfall with leaves, litter leachate with litter, and soil water with soil at 20 cm depth) 299 (Table 2). The smallest difference between $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ and $\delta^{43}C$ in each compartment occurred between 300 soil water DOC and soil carbon in the dry season, which was only 0.23‰. The greater difference 301 between $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ and $\delta^{43}C$ in the rainy season than in the dry season for soil water and soil (Table 2) 302 indicates that the biogeochemical dynamics of DOC are more active in the rainy season than in the dry 303 season in soil.

- 304 3.3 Surface soil CO₂ flux dynamics in a tropical rainforest
- In the tropical rainforest at Xishuangbanna, SR was dominated by HR (Fig. 2). HR contributed more to SR during the rainy season (76.8 \pm 0.8%) than during the dry season (66.5 \pm 0.5%), and the annual contribution of HR to SR was 71.7 \pm 0.7%. SR and HR were higher in the rainy season than in the dry season, similar to the dynamics of the hydrological and DOC fluxes (Fig. 1).
- 309 Standardized soil temperature explained 98.7% and 98.2% of the variation in standardized SR and HR, 15

310	respectively, and standardized soil moisture explained 55.8% and 56.8% of the variation in
311	standardized SR and HR, respectively (Table 3). The sensitivity indices of SR and HR for soil
312	temperature at a depth of 10 cm were 0.56 and 0.46, respectively, whereas their sensitivity indices for
313	soil moisture were 0.65 and 0.53, respectively, based on observational data (Table 3, Fig. S2).

314 3.4 Influence of DOC flux on soil CO₂ flux in a tropical rainforest

315 There were significant correlations between the standardized weekly SR and HR and the standardized 316 weekly water fluxes and DOC fluxes through the hydrological processes (Table 3). Based on the 317 definition of the temperature-dependent sensitivity index for soil respirations, which is the slope of 318 standardized soil respirations caused by increase in standardized temperature, we also defined a 319 soil-water-content-dependent sensitivity index, a DOC-flux-dependent sensitivity index, and a 320 water-flux-dependent sensitivity index in this study, analogous to the temperature-dependent sensitivity 321 index for SR (Table 3). An independent t test showed that the DOC-flux-dependent sensitivity indices 322 for SR (2.72 \pm 0.51) and HR (2.21 \pm 0.42) were significantly lower than the water-flux-dependent sensitivity indices for SR (2.87 \pm 0.52, t = -2.68, p = 0.06) and HR (2.33 \pm 0.43, t = -2.57, p = 0.06), 323 324 respectively, which indicates that SR and HR were more sensitive to the water flux than to the DOC 325 flux through the hydrological processes. The significant difference was observed between the 326 water-flux-dependent indices (t = 13.78, p<0.001) for SR (2.87 \pm 0.52) and HR (2.33 \pm 0.43), or 327 between the DOC-flux-dependent indices (t = 13.12, p<0.001) for SR (2.72 \pm 0.51) and HR (2.21 \pm 328 0.42).

The soil-water-content-dependent sensitivity indices for HR (0.53) and SR (0.65) were than the soil-temperature-dependent sensitivity indices (HR, 0.46; SR, 0.56),but less than all the

331	water-flux-dependent and DOC-flux-dependent sensitivity indices for SR and HR (Table 3). This
332	indicates that SR and HR are more sensitive to the hydrological water flux and DOC flux than to the
333	soil water content and soil temperature. A comparison of the sensitivity indices for water flux, DOC
334	flux, soil temperature, and soil moisture in all the hydrological processes reveals that SR and HR were
335	most sensitive to the water flux (3.70) dynamics which is a little higher than DOC flux (3.57) in the soil
336	water (0-20 cm depth) when weekly variations in the Xishuangbanna tropical rainforest were
337	considered.

. . .

1 ID (T 11

338 4 Discussion

339 Our results showed that the throughfall carried most of the DOC (113.5 \pm 8.5 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) through 340 the hydrological processes in the Xishuangbanna tropical rainforest, which amounted to 6.81% of the 341 NEE $(1.67 \times 10^3 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ (Tan et al., 2010) in this tropical rainforest in southwest China. The 342 litter leachate DOC (127.7 ± 8.5 kg) accounted for 7.23% of the NEE in this forest. This result 343 indicates that the throughfall DOC is an important component of the tropical rainforest carbon budget. The litter leachate fed a great deal of DOC to the soil, but the surface soil intercepted 94.4 \pm 1.2% 344 $(127.7 \pm 8.0 \text{ kg})$ of the DOC, and the surface soil water DOC flux was only $7.1 \pm 1.4 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, 345 which was slightly less than that at the headwater stream outlet (10.31 kg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) (Zhou et al., 346 347 2013). The surface soil intercepted the bulk of the litter leachate DOC and transported little DOC to the 348 deep layer, indicating that the surface soil is the DOC sink in the tropical rainforest in Xishuangbanna. The small seasonal differences in $\delta^{l3}C_{DOC}$ in the rainfall, throughfall, and litter leachate indicate that 349 350 the DOC in the aboveground water is seasonally stable (Table 2). However, $\delta^{13}C_{DOC}$ in the soil water (at 0-20 cm) was higher in the rainy season (3.25‰) than in the dry season, indicating that the DOC 351

352	reaction in the surface soil is seasonal. In the dry season, $\delta^{13}C_{DOC}$ in the surface soil water (–27.1 ±
353	2.2‰) was similar to $\delta^{13}C_{DOC}$ in the soil (-27.3 ± 0.1‰), indicating that the soil is the major source of
354	soil water DOC. This is attributable to the combined absorption effects of the high clay content
355	(Fröberg, 2004, Lemma et al., 2007, Sanderman and Amundson, 2008, Tang et al., 2007) and the lack
356	of water carrying DOC through the different compartments in the dry season. Therefore, most DOC is
357	locally produced rather than transported. Less water and the lower DOC input from litter leachate and
358	throughfall to the surface soil (Fig. 1) also contribute to a reduction in microbial activity, which
359	contributes negligibly to the soil DOC when the soil moisture and soil temperature are low in the dry
360	season (Wu et al., 2009). In the rainy season, the soil water content and soil temperature are higher, so
361	there is more vigorous biogeochemical activity in the surface soil (Bengtson and Bengtsson, 2007).
362	Therefore, more DOC is released from the soil to be mineralized by microorganisms, and there is more
363	¹³ C in the soil water DOC (δ^{13} C = -23.9 ± 2.2‰) than in the soil (δ^{13} C, -27.3 ± 0.1‰). The relatively
364	low $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ in the litter leachate ($\delta^{43}C_{DOC} = -28.1 \pm 2.7\%$) compared with the soil water indicates that
365	the DOC from the litter leachate has attended in the carbon cycle in the surface soil (Cleveland et al.,
366	2006, De Troyer et al., 2011). Furthermore, most of the DOC from the throughfall, litter leachate, and
367	litter was fed to the surface soil, and the soil water $\delta^{43}C_{DOC}$ value was higher than that of the
368	throughfall, litter leachate DOC, and δ^{13} C soil (0–20 cm) values (Table 2). These data indicate that all
369	the DOC transported by the throughfall and litter leachate was ultimately involved in the surface soil
370	carbon cycle (Fröberg et al., 2003, 2005, Kammer et al., 2012), and has also contributed to the SR
371	because it is an important part of the surface soil carbon cycle in the tropical rainforest at
372	Xishuangbanna.

Laboratory-based studies of tropical forests have shown that DOC primes the soil CO₂ flux (Qiao *et al.*,

374	2013). A study of a temperate forest showed that the rate of DOC production is one of the rate-limiting
375	steps for SR (Bengtson and Bengtsson, 2007). Comparative studies of $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ and $^{14}\mathrm{C}$ in DOC and SOC
376	have also shown that fresh organic carbon stimulates the activity of old carbon, and increases the
377	emission of CO ₂ because DOC is the substrate of microbial activity (Cleveland et al., 2004, 2006,
378	Hagedorn and Machwitz, 2007, Hagedorn et al., 2004, Qiao et al., 2013). Because the microbial
379	biomass and potential carbon mineralization rates are higher in soils with higher DOC contents than in
380	soils with lower DOC contents (Montaño et al., 2007), the DOC turnover rate (Bengtson and
381	Bengtsson, 2007) is rapid and the transformation period is short (3-14 days) (Cleveland et al., 2006,
382	De Troyer et al., 2011). This indicates that DOC is involved in the surface soil carbon cycle in the short
383	term by affecting SR (Cleveland et al., 2004, 2006). Although we did not determine the period of the
384	DOC turnover cycle, the weekly DOC flux passing through the hydrological processes (throughfall,
385	litter leachate, soil water, and interception by the surface soil) significantly explained SR and HR, with
386	higher sensitively indices than the indices for the soil water content and soil temperature (Table 3),
387	predicting that DOC has a significant impact on soil CO ₂ emissions in this tropical rainforest.
388	It is important to consider which part of the DOC flux in the hydrological processes of this tropical
389	rainforest most strongly influences SR. Previous studies have shown that of all the factors affecting SR,
390	it is most sensitive to soil temperature (Bekku et al., 2003, Reichstein et al., 2003, Zheng et al., 2009),
391	as in the tropical forest at Xishuangbanna (Sha et al., 2005). Although soil temperature better explained
392	SR and HR than the DOC flux, the sensitivity indices for the soil water DOC fluxes were higher than
393	the sensitivity indices for soil temperature, although temperature explained the rate of SR better than
394	the DOC flux (Table 3). At this study site, HR, which depends predominantly on microbial activity and
395	substrates, contributed the major fraction of SR (Fig. S2), so not only HR, but also SR depends most

396	strongly on the microbial and respiratory substrates in this tropical rainforest. Therefore, the DOC
397	transported by the forest hydrological processes, from litter decomposition, root exudates, and the soil
398	itself, will contribute to SR (Table 2). The bioavailability of the DOC transported by hydrological
399	processes is greater than that of SOC (De Troyer et al., 2011, Kindler et al., 2011). The DOC from
400	throughfall and litter leachate is also an important contributor because $\delta^{l3}C_{DOC}$ differs between the
401	surface soil water and the litter leachate and throughfall (Table 2). Although ectotrophic mycorrhizae
402	contribute significantly to SR in the rhizospheres of some temperate and boreal forests (Neumann et al.,
403	2014; Tom è et al., 2016), in this tropical rainforest, EMF: Paraglomus, a kind of endomycorrhiza,
404	occupies more than 90% of the mycorrhizal community (Shi, 2014). Together with roots, and root
405	exudate, it contributes to the autotrophic SR, which is only 28.9% of the total SR, so the mycorrhiza is
406	not the dominant contributor to SR in this tropical rainforest. The other details of the biogeochemical
407	processes affecting DOC in the surface soil are not obvious in this study. However, according to both
408	laboratory and field studies, the DOC intercepted by the surface soil clearly affects HR (Table 3),
409	together with the DOC from litter decomposition and the soil itself (Cleveland et al., 2004, 2006,
410	Hagedorn and Machwitz, 2007, Hagedorn et al., 2004, Jandl and Sollins, 1997, Keiluweit et al., 2015;
411	Montaño et al., 2007, Qiao et al., 2013, Schwendenmann and Veldkamp, 2005;). Considering the effect
412	of DOC on SR, the surface soil water DOC is the most sensitive index of HR and SR (Table 3).
413	The DOC-flux-dependent sensitivity indices for the different parts of the hydrological processes in this
414	tropical rainforest were a little less but insignificant than the amount-of-water-dependent sensitivity
415	indices, which shows that the DOC flux affects SR less than the amount of water passing through the
416	system, because of the combined effects of water and DOC on SR. According the DOC significant
417	contribution of soil respirations (Cleveland et al., 2004, 2006, Hagedorn and Machwitz, 2007,

418	Hagedorn et al., 2004,	Qiao et al., 2013),	the little difference	e mechanisms	between DOC a	and water flux
-----	------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------	--------------	---------------	----------------

- 419 of tropical rainforest should be declared in the future study.
- 420 This study demonstrates that the surface soil is a sink for the DOC transported by hydrological
- 421 processes (Fig. 1), and that HR and SR are sensitive to the DOC flux through these processes. The most
- 422 sensitive indicator of SR is the soil water flux (at 0–20 cm) and followed by soil water DOC flux, both
- 423 exceeding the sensitivity of the soil temperature, soil water content, and other water flux, and DOC flux
- 424 along all the hydrological processes (Table 3). The variations in δ^{13} C in DOC, soil, and plants also
- 425 partly support the notion that the soil water DOC flux is the more sensitive index of SR in this tropical
- 426 rainforest. The results suggest that the DOC transported by hydrological processes plays the more
- 427 important role in the SR processes. In the context of global climate change, more attention must be paid
- 428 to the contribution of hydrologically transported DOC in future studies of the mechanisms of SR.

429 Author contribution

- 430 W.-J. Zhou and D. Schaefer, H.-Z. Lu, Sha L-Q, Y.-P Zhang designed the experiments and W.-J. Zhou,
- H.-Z. Lu, Q.-H. Song, Y. Deng, X.-B. Deng carried them out. W-J Zhou prepared the manuscript with
 contributions from all co-authors.
- 433

434 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41271056, U1202234,
40801035), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (No.
XDA05020302 and XDA05050601), the Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan Province
(2015FB188), the CAS 135 Project (XTBG-F01), and the Science and Technology Service Network

- 439 Initiative of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. KFJ-EW-STS-084).
- 440 We thank the staff and technicians of the Xishuangbanna Station for Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystems
- 441 who assisted with field measurements and the Public Technology Service Center of Xishuangbanna
- 442 Tropical Botanical Garden, CAS, who contributed to ¹³C analyses. We also thank Zhi-Gang Chen and
- 443 Zhi-Hua Zhou for assistance with sampling, Zhi-Ling Chen and Li-Fang OU for laboratory work, and
- 444 Jan Mulder and Jing Zhu for reviewing the manuscript.
- 445 References
- 446 Bekku Y. S., Nakatsubo T., Kume, A., Adachi M., Koizumi, H.: Effect of warming on the temperature
- 447 dependence of soil, respiration rate in arctic, temperate and tropical soils, Appl. Soil. ecol., 22,
- 448 205–210, (2003)
- 449 Bengtson P., Bengtsson G. R.: Rapid turnover of DOC in temperate forests accounts for increased CO₂
- 450 production at elevated temperatures, Eco.Lett, 10, 783–790, 2007.
- 451 Bianchi T. S.: The role of terrestrially derived organic carbon in the coastal ocean: A changing
- 452 paradigm and the priming effect, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 108, 19473–19481, 2011.
- 453 Blagodatskaya E., Yuyukina T., Blagodatsky S., Kuzyakov Y.: Three-source-partitioning of microbial
- 454 biomass and of CO₂ efflux from soil to evaluate mechanisms of priming effects, Soil Biol.
- 455 Biochem., 43, 778–786, 2011.
- 456 Cao M., Zhang J., Feng Z., Deng J., Deng X.: Tree species composition of a seasonal rain forest in
- 457 Xishuangbanna, Southwest China, Trop. Ecol., **37**, 183–192, 1996.
- 458 Chantigny M.: Dissolved and water-extractable organic matter in soils: a review on the influence of
- 459 land use and management practices, Geoderma, 113, 357–380, 2003.

- 460 Chuyong G. B., Newbery D. M., Songwe N. C.: Rainfall input, throughfall and stemflow of nutrients in
- 461 a central African rain forest dominated by ectomycorrhizal trees, Biogeochemistry, **67**, 73–91,
- **462** 2004.
- 463 Cleveland C. C., Neff J. C., Townsend A. R., Hood E.: Composition, dynamics, and fate of leached
- dissolved organic matter in terrestrial ecosystems: results from a decomposition experiment,
 Ecosystems, 7: 175–285, 2004.
- 466 Cleveland C. C., Nemergut D. R., Schmidt S. K., Townsend A. R.: Increases in soil respiration
- 467 following labile carbon additions linked to rapid shifts in soil microbial community composition,
- 468 Biogeochemistry, **82**, 229–240, 2006.
- 469 Comstedt D., Boström B., Marshall J.D., Holm A., Slaney M., Linder S., Ekblad A.: Effects of elevated
- 470 atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature on soil respiration in a boreal forest using δ^{13} C as a
- 471 labeling tool, Ecosystems, 9, 1266–1277, 2007.
- 472 Davidson E.A., Samanta, S., Caramori, S.S., Savage, K.: The Dual Arrhenius and Michaelis-Menten
- 473 kinetics model for decomposition of soil organic matter at hourly to seasonal time scales, Global
- 474 Change Biol., 18, 371–384, 2012.
- 475 De Troyer, I., Amery F., Van Moorleghem C., Smolders E., Merckx R.: Tracing the source and fate of
- dissolved organic matter in soil after incorporation of a ¹³C labelled residue: A batch incubation
- 477 study, Soil Biol. Biochem, 43, 513–519, 2011.
- Dezzeo N., Chacón N.: Nutrient fluxes in incident rainfall, throughfall, and stemflow in adjacent
 primary and secondary forests of the Gran Sabana, southern Venezuela, Forest Eco. Manag., 234,
 218–226, 2006.
- 481 Fang H.-J., Yu G.-R., Cheng S.-L., Mo J.-M., Yan J.-H., Li S.: ¹³C abundance, water-soluble and

- 482 microbial biomass carbon as potential indicators of soil organic carbon dynamics in subtropical
- 483 forests at different successional stages and subject to different nitrogen loads, Plant Soil, 320,

484 243–254, 2009.

- 485 Fang Q.L., Sha L.: Fine roots turnover of tropical seasonal rain forest in Xishuangbanna, Yunnan, SW
- 486 China, Journal of Mountain Science, 23 (4):488-494, 2005.
- 487 Fontaine S., Barot S., Barr é P., Bdioui N., Mary B., Rumpel C.: Stability of organic carbon in deep soil
- 488 layers controlled by fresh carbon supply, Nature, 450, 277–280, 2007.
- 489 Fröberg M.: Processes controlling production and transport of dissolved organic carbon in forest soils,
- 490 Doctoral thesis, 2004.
- 491 Fröberg, M., Berggren, D., Bergkvist, B., Bryant, C., Knicker, H.: Contributions of O_i, O_e and O_a
- 492 horizons to dissolved organic matter in forest floor leachates, Geoderma, 113, 311–322, 2003.
- 493 Fröberg, M., Kleja, D.B., Bergkvist, B., Tipping, E., Mulder, J.: Dissolved organic carbon leaching
- 494 from a coniferous forest floor a field manipulation experiment, Biogeochemistry, 75, 271–287,
- 495 2005.
- 496 Guenet, B., Neill, C., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L.: Is there a linear relationship between priming effect
- 497 intensity and the amount of organic matter input? Appl. Soil Ecol., 46, 436–442, 2010.
- 498 Hagedorn, F., Machwitz, M., 2007. Controls on dissolved organic matter leaching from forest litter
- grown under elevated atmospheric CO₂, Soil Bio. Bioch., 39, 1759–1769, 2007.
- 500 Hagedorn, F., Saurer, M., Blaser, P.: A ¹³C tracer study to identify the origin of dissolved organic
- 501 carbon in forested mineral soils, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 55, 91–100, 2004.
- Jandl, R., Sollins, P.: Water extractable soil carbon in relation to the belowground carbon cycle, Bio.
 Fer. Soils, 25, 196–201, 1997.

- 504 Kalbitz, K., Meyer, A., Yang, R., Gerstberger, P.: Response of dissolved organic matter in the forest
- 505 floor to long-term manipulation of litter and throughfall inputs, Biogeochemistry, 86, 301–318,
- 506 2007.
- 507 Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.-H., Michalzik, B., Matzner, E.: Controls on the dynamics of dissolved
- 508 organic matter in soils: a review, Soil Sci., 165, 277–304, 2000.
- Kammer, A., Schmidt, M.W.I., Hagedorn, F.: Decomposition pathways of ¹³C-depleted leaf litter in
 forest soils of the Swiss Jura, Biogeochemistry, 108, 395–411, 2012.
- 511 Keiluweit, M., Bougoure, J. J., Nico, P. S., Pett-Ridge, J., Weber, P. K., Kleber, M.: Mineral protection
- 512 of soil carbon counteracted by root exudates, Nature Climate Change, 5(6), 588–595, 2015.
- 513 Kindler, R., Siemens, J.A.N., Kaiser, K., Walmsley, D.C., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Cellier, P.,
- 514 Eugster, W., Gleixner, G., GrŨNwald, T., Heim, A., Ibrom, A., Jones, S.K., Jones, M., Klumpp, K.,
- 515 Kutsch, W., Larsen, K.S., Lehuger, S., Loubet, B., McKenzie, R., Moors, E., Osborne, B.,
- 516 Pilegaard, K.I.M., Rebmann, C., Saunders, M., Schmidt, M.W.I., Schrumpf, M., Seyfferth, J.,
- 517 Skiba, U.T.E., Soussana, J.-F., Sutton, M.A., Tefs, C., Vowinckel, B., Zeeman, M.J.,
- 518 Kaupenjohann, M.: Dissolved carbon leaching from soil is a crucial component of the net
- 519 ecosystem carbon balance, Global Change Bio. 17, 1167–1185, 2011.
- 520 Lemma, B., Nilsson, I., Kleja, D.B., Olsson, M., Knicker, H.: Decomposition and substrate quality of
- 521 leaf litters and fine roots from three exotic plantations and a native forest in the southwestern
- 522 highlands of Ethiopia, Soil Bio. Bioch., 39, 2317–2328, 2007.
- 523 Liu, C.P., Sheu, B.H., 2003. Dissolved organic carbon in precipitation, throughfall, stemflow, soil
- 524 solution, and stream water at the Guandaushi subtropical forest in Taiwan, Forest Eco. Manag.,
- 525 172, 315–325, 2003.

- 526 Liu, W.J., Liu, W.Y., Li, J.T., Wu, Z.W., Li, H.M.: 2008. Isotope variations of throughfall, stemflow and
- soil water in a tropical rain forest and a rubber plantation in Xishuangbanna, SW China, Hydrol.
 Res., 39, 437–449, 2008.
- McClain, M.E., Richey, J.E., Brandes, J.A., Pimentel, T.P.: Dissolved organic matter and
 terrestrial-lotic linkages in the central Amazon basin of Brazil, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 11,
 295–311, 1997.
- 532 McJannet, D., Wallace, J., Reddell, P.: Precipitation interception in Australian tropical rainforests: I.
- 533 Measurement of stemflow, throughfall and cloud interception, Hydrol. Process., 21, 1692–1702,
 534 2007.
- Montaño, N.M., Garc á-Oliva, F., Jaramillo, V.J.: Dissolved organic carbon affects soil microbial
 activity and nitrogen dynamics in a Mexican tropical deciduous forest, Plant Soil, 295, 265–277,
- **537** 2007.
- 538 Monteith, D.T., Stoddard, J.L., Evans, C.D., de Wit, H.A., Forsius, M., Høg åsen, T., Wilander, A.,
- 539 Skjelkvåe, B.L., Jeffries, D.S., Vuorenmaa, J., Keller, B., Kopácek, J., Vesely, J.: Dissolved
- 540 organic carbon trends resulting from changes in atmospheric deposition chemistry, Nature, 450,
- 541 537–540. 2007.
- 542 Neumann J., Matzner E.: Contribution of newly grown extramatrical ectomycorrhizal mycelium and
- 543 fine roots to soil respiration in a young Norway spruce site, Plant soil, 378(1–2): 73–82, 2014.
- 544 Park, J.H., Kalbitz, K., Matzner, E.: Resource control on the production of dissolved organic carbon
- and nitrogen in a deciduous forest floor, Soil Bio. Bioche., 34, 1391–1391, 2002...
- 546 Qiao, N., Schaefer, D., Blagodatskaya, E., Zou, X., Xu, X., Kuzyakov, Y.: Labile carbon retention
- 547 compensates for CO₂ released by priming in forest soils, Global Change Biol., 20(6): 1943–1954,

548 2014..

- 549 Reichstein, M., Rey, A., Freibauer, A., Tenhunen, J., Valentini, R., Banza, J., Casals, P., Cheng, Y.F.,
- 550 Grunzweig, J.M., Irvine, J., Joffre, R., Law, B.E., Loustau, D., Miglietta, F., Oechel, W., Ourcival,
- 551 J.M., Pereira, J.S., Peressotti, A., Ponti, F., Qi, Y., Rambal, S., Rayment, M., Romanya, J., Rossi,
- 552 F., Tedeschi, V., Tirone, G., Xu, M., Yakir, D.: Modeling temporal and large-scale spatial
- 553 variability of soil respiration from soil water availability, temperature and vegetation productivity
- 554 indices, Global Biogeoche. Cy., 17, DOI: 10.1029/2003GB002035,2003.
- 555 Sanderman, J., Amundson, R.: A comparative study of dissolved organic carbon transport and
- stabilization in California forest and grassland soils, Biogeochemistry, 89, 309–327, 2008.
- 557 Schrumpf, M., Zech, W., Lehmann, J., Lyaruu, H.V.C.: TOC, TON, TOS and TOP in rainfall,
- throughfall, litter percolate and soil solution of a montane rainforest succession at Mt. Kilimanjaro,
- 559 Tanzania, Biogeochemistry, 78, 361–387, 2006.
- 560 Schwendenmann, L., Veldkamp, E.: The role of dissolved organic carbon, dissolved organic nitrogen,
- and dissolved inorganic nitrogen in a tropical wet forest ecosystem, Ecosystems, 8, 339–351,
- **562** 2005..
- 563 Sha, L.Q., Zheng, Z., Tang, J.W., Wang, Y.H., Zhang, Y.P., Cao, M., Wang, R., Liu, G.G., Wang, Y.S.,
- 564 Sun, Y.: Soil respiration in tropical seasonal rain forest in Xishuangbanna, SW China, Science in
- 565 China Series D-Earth Sciences, 48, 189–197, 2005(In Chinese).
- Shi L.L.: Soil Microbial Community in Forest Ecosystem as Revealed by Molecular Techniques
 —diversity pattern, maintenance mechanism, and the response to disturbance, A Dissertation
- 568 Submitted to University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, In partial fulfillment of the requirement
- 569 Doctor of Philosophy, 2014 (In Chinese).

- 570 Sowerby, A., Emmett, B.A., Williams, D., Beier, C., Evans, C.D.: The response of dissolved organic
- 571 carbon (DOC) and the ecosystem carbon balance to experimental drought in a temperate
- 572 shrubland, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 61, 697–709, 2010.
- 573 Stephan, S., Karsten, K., Egbert, M.: Controls on the dynamics of dissolved organic carbon and
- nitrogen in a Central European deciduous forest, Biogeochemistry, 55, 327–349, 2001.
- Tan, Z., Zhang, Y., Yu, G., Sha, L., Tang, J., Deng, X., Song, Q.: Carbon balance of a primary tropical
 seasonal rain forest, J. Geophys. Res, 115 (D4), DOI: 10.1029/2009JD012913, 2010.
- 577 Tang, J.W., Cao, M., Zhang, J.H., Li, M.H., Litterfall production, decomposition and nutrient use
- efficiency varies with tropical forest types in Xishuangbanna, SW China: a 10-year study, Plant
 soil, 335, 271–288, 2010.
- Tang, Y.L., Deng, X.B., Li, Y.W., Zhang, S.B.: Research on the difference of soil fertility in the
 different forest types in Xishuangbanna, Journal of Anhui Agricultural Sciences, 35,
- 582 779–781,2007 (In Chinese).
- 583 Tom è E., Ventura, M., Folegot, S., Zanotelli, D., Montagnani, L., Mimmo, T., Tonon, G., Tagliavini, M.,
- 584 Scandellari, F.: Mycorrhizal contribution to soil respiration in an apple orchard. Appl. Soil.
- 585 ecol.,, 101, 165–173, 2016.
- 586 Wu, Y., Yang, X., Yu, G.: Seasonal fluctuation of soil microbial biomass carbon and its influence factors
- 587 in two types of tropical rainforests, Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 18, 658–663, 2009 (In
- 588 Chinese).
- 589 Yakov, K.: Priming effects: Interactions between living and dead organic matter. Soil Bio. Biochem. 42,
 590 1363–1371, 2010.
- 591 Zhang, Y., Tan, Z., Song, Q., Yu, G., Sun, X.: Respiration controls the unexpected seasonal pattern of

- carbon flux in an Asian tropical rain forest, Atmos. Environ. 44, 3886–3893, 2010.
- 593 Zheng, Z.M., Yu, G.R., Fu, Y.L., Wang, Y.S., Sun, X.M., Wang, Y.H.: Temperature sensitivity of soil
- 594 respiration is affected by prevailing climatic conditions and soil organic carbon content: A
- trans-China based case study, Soil Bio. Biochem., 41, 1531–1540, 2009.
- 596 Zimmermann, A., Wilcke, W., Elsenbeer, H.: Spatial and temporal patterns of throughfall quantity and
- quality in a tropical montane forest in Ecuador, J. Hydrol. 343, 80–96, 2007.

599 Table legends

- 600 Table 1 The interception rate of the water between hydrological processes in the tropical rainforest at
- 601 Xishuangbanna southwest China
- **602** Table 2 DOC δ^{13} C dynamics along the hydrological processes (R, rainfall, TF, throughfall, LL, litter
- 603 leachate) and the $\delta^{l_3}C$ in leaves, litter, and surface soil in the tropical rainforest at Xishuangbanna,
- 604 southwest China
- 605 Table 3 Results of a regression analysis of the weekly water flux, DOC flux, soil respiration (SR), and
- 606 heterotrophic respiration (HR) along the hydrological processes (TF, throughfall, LL, litter leachate) in
- 607 the tropical rainforest in Xishuangbanna, southwest China.
- 608 Figure captions
- 609 Figure 1 Amount of water (A) and DOC flux along the hydrological processes in the tropical rainforest
- 610 at Xishuangbanna, southwest China.
- 611 Figure 2 Dynamics of soil respiration (SR) and heterotrophic respiration (HR) (a) and soil temperature
- 612 at 5cm and soil water content at 10cm (b) in the tropical rainforest at Xishuangbanna, southwest China.
- 613 The shaded area indicates the rainy season.
- 614

615

616 Table 1

Internetion (0		Annual	Rainy season	Dry season
Interception (%)			(%)	
Water flux	Between TF and RP	53.9 ± 11.7	43.1±2.7	41.3±14.8
	Between LL and TF	33.9±6.6	33.9±9.8	34.1±27.6
	Between SW20cm and LL	63.8±8.0	62.2±15.1	81.6±23.3
DOC flux	Between TF and R	137.0±19.9	182.0 ± 16.0	170.8±7.8
	Between LL and TF	$1.1\pm\!\!17.0$	16.1±9.4	12.7±4.3
	Between SW20cm and LL	-96.7±4.4	-93.9±2.6	-94.4±1.2

617 R-P indicates rainfall, TF indicates throughfall, LL indicates litter leachate, SW20 indicates soil water

at a depth of 20 cm.

带格式表格

619 Table2

620										
Season		<u>RP</u>	TF	LL	Soil water	Leaves	Litter	Soil	带格式表格	
					(0-20 cm)			(0-20 cm)		
					<u>%</u> 0					
Rainy se	eason	-23.9 ± 3.3^{a}	-28.7 ± 1.7^{bc}	-28.1±2.7 ^{bc}	-23.9 ± 1.6^{a} *	-32.4 ± 0.6^{d}	-30.4 ± 0.2^{cd}	−27.3±0.1 ^b		
Dry seas	son	-23.8 ± 1.3^{a}	$-29.1 \pm \! 1.6^{bc}$	$-28.1 \pm \! 1.5^{bc}$	-27.1 ± 2.2^{b}	-32.5 ± 0.5^{d}	-30.2 ± 0.1^{cd}	−27.3±0.1 ^{bc}		

621 R-P indicates rainfall, TF indicates throughfall, LL indicates litter leachate, SW20 indicates soil water

622 at a depth of 20 cm.

623 Different superior letters indicate significant differences between the treatments according to Lsd test

624 (P < 0.05).

 $\label{eq:constraint} \textbf{625} \qquad \text{``indicates the significant seasonal difference according to independent sample t test (p < 0.1)}$

626 Table 3

		SR				HR			
		а	b	R^2	р	а	b	R^2	р
	Т	0.56	0.54	0.987	< 0.001	0.46	0.64	0.982	< 0.001
	SWC	0.65	0.41	0.558	< 0.001	0.53	0.52	0.568	< 0.001
DOC flux	<u>RP</u>	2.31	-1.17	0.423	< 0.001	1.86	-0.74	0.425	< 0.001
	TF	2.36	-1.25	0.429	< 0.001	1.91	-0.83	0.413	< 0.001
	LL	2.71	-1.57	0.355	< 0.001	2.21	-1.10	0.366	< 0.001
	SW20	3.57	-2.23	0.227	< 0.001	2.91	-1.62	0.240	< 0.001
	LL-SW20	2.66	-1.53	0.352	< 0.001	2.17	-1.07	0.363	< 0.001
Water flux	<u>RP</u>	2.42	-1.35	0.323	< 0.001	1.96	-0.92	0.331	< 0.001
	TF	2.55	-1.44	0.316	< 0.001	2.06	-0.99	0.323	< 0.001
	LL	3.02	-1.83	0.301	< 0.001	2.46	-1.31	0.312	< 0.001
	SW20	3.70	-2.34	0.166	< 0.001	3.02	-1.71	0.178	< 0.001
	LL-SW20	2.64	-1.54	0.257	< 0.001	2.14	-1.08	0.267	< 0.001

628 Equations used to calculate the sensitivity indices: sensitivity index = a, where b is the constant of the

629 regression equation for standardized soil respirations, and soil temperature, soil water content, water

630 flux, and DOC flux: Y = aX + b, where Y is the standardized soil respiration rate, and X is standardized

632 T indicates soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm, SWC indicates soil water content, TF indicates

633 throughfall, LL indicates litter leachate, SW20 indicates soil water at a depth of 20 cm, LL- SW20

634 indicates the difference between litter leachate and soil water at a depth of 20 cm.

⁶³¹ soil temperature, soil water content, water flux, or DOC flux.





Water Flux (mm)

DOC Flux (kg C ha⁻¹)

Figure 2



