Decadal and long-term boreal soil carbon and nitrogen sequestration rates across a variety of ecosystems

K.L. Manies[1], J.W. Harden[1], C.C. Fuller[1], and M.R. Turetsky[2]

[1]US Geological Survey, Menlo Park, CA, USA
[2]University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to: K.L. Manies (kmanies@usgs.gov)

Abstract

Boreal soils play a critical role in the global carbon (C) cycle; therefore, it is important to understand the mechanisms that control soil C accumulation and loss for this region. Examining C & nitrogen (N) accumulation rates over decades to centuries may provide additional understanding of the dominant mechanisms for their storage, which can be masked by seasonal and interannual variability when investigated over the short-term. We examined longer-term accumulation rates, using ^{210}Pb and ^{14}C to date soil layers, for a wide variety of boreal ecosystems: a black spruce forest, a shrub ecosystem, a tussock grass ecosystem, a sedge dominated ecosystem, and a rich fen. All ecosystems had similar decadal C accumulation rates, averaging 84 ± 42 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}. Long-term (century) C accumulation rates were slower than decadal rates, averaging 14 ± 5 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1} for all ecosystems except the rich fen, for which the long-term C accumulation rates was more similar to decadal rates (44 ± 5 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1} and 76 ± 9 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}, respectively). The rich fen also had the highest long-term N accumulation rates (2.7 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}). The lowest N accumulation rate, on both a decadal and long-term basis, was found in the black spruce forest (0.2 and 1.4 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}, respectively). Our results suggest that the controls on long-term C and N cycling at the rich fen is fundamentally different from the other ecosystems, likely due to differences in the predominant drivers of nutrient cycling (oxygen availability, for C) and reduced amounts of disturbance by fire (for C and N). This result implies that most shifts in ecosystem vegetation across the boreal region, driven by either climate or succession, will not significantly impact regional C or N dynamics over years to decades. However, ecosystem transitions to or from a rich fen will promote significant shifts in soil C and N storage.

1 Introduction

High latitudes soils store 50 % of the global soil carbon (C) pool (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Davidson and Janssens, 2006) due largely to physical factors such as low soil temperatures and wet soil conditions. As a result, C losses are

30   generally smaller than C inputs, even over long timescales (Ovenden, 1990) when disturbances such as insects and

fire are included. The majority of net C storage is in the form of thick (many cm to several meters deep) organic

soils overlying the mineral soil component. Climate change is expected to impact the boreal region in many ways,

including thawing of permafrost and reduced precipitation (Hinzman et al., 2005). These and other changes can

alter the dominant vegetation types. If the factors that moderate C storage shift, it is likely that the balance of C

35   inputs and losses will also change, impacting the net C balance. Because of the high amount of C stored in boreal

soils, changes in these C stocks can substantially affect the global C budget (Chapin et al., 2000).

Many studies have examined boreal N availability, mineralization rates, and their influence on C storage (for

example, Keller et al., 2006; Bonan and Van Cleve, 1991; Gundale et al., 2014; Allison et al., 2010), yet boreal

nitrogen (N) stocks are less well studied. It is known that boreal forests have large stocks of soil organic N

40   (Valentine, 2006), with peatland stocks comprising approximately 10-15 % of the global N pool (Loisel et al., 2014).

The majority of N within boreal ecosystems resides within the organic and mineral soil (Merila et al., 2014). The

size of these soil stocks changes with soil drainage and dominant vegetation (Van Cleve et al., 1983), in part as a

result of N loss from fire (Harden et al., 2002). Understanding N stocks and availability is important because N

controls many aspects of plant productivity and, therefore, cycling of C and N are closely linked (Vile et al., 2014).

45   Accumulation rates of C and N in soils vary according to the time scale, ecosystem type, and region studied.

Short-term accumulation rates are higher than long-term rates because there is little influence of disturbance

(Turunen et al., 2004). Accumulation rates also vary by ecosystem. Peatlands accumulate about 20-30 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}

over the long-term (see, for example, Yu et al., 2013; Jones and Yu, 2010; Turunen et al., 2002; Roulet, 2000), with

bogs typically having higher rates of C accumulation than fens (Tolonen and Turunen, 1996). Long-term C

50   accumulation rates in peatlands are driven by growing season length and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR:

Charman et al., 2013). Black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forests have C accumulation rates ranging between 10 – 40 gC

m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Harden et al., 2012; Trumbore and Harden, 1997; Goulden et al., 2011; Rapalee et al., 1998; Harden et al.,

2000), depending on soil drainage class and timescale studied. C accumulation rates of these ecosystems are

related to fire and burial of C into deeper soil layers (O'Donnell et al., 2011; Harden et al., 2012). Accumulation rates of N in northern peatlands have been found to average from $0.5 - 0.9$ g N m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Loisel et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014), although this rate has changed over time. Fens have higher rates of N accumulation than bogs (Meng et al., 2014; Trumbore et al., 1999), reflecting the importance of plant and groundwater inputs to fen ecosystems. Little is known about C or N accumulation rates for the ecosystems other than peatlands or forests (i.e., shrubs, grass tussock, etc.) that characterize boreal landscapes. Although these other ecosystem types cover less area than black spruce forests and peatlands (DeWilde and Chapin, 2006), they still comprise an important part of the Interior Alaskan landscape.

Differences in the vegetation and environmental conditions among the varied ecosystems of Alaska influence their C & N accumulation rates. Litter production varies among vegetation (Camill et al., 2001), thereby impacting rates of C and N input to the soil. The chemical content and concentration of litter also varies among vegetation types (Hobbie, 1996). Litter composed of more complex C compounds and/or lower lignin:N ratios can have lower decomposition rates and, therefore, lower rates of C loss and relative N retention. Vegetation is also correlated with soil drainage (e.g., soil moisture; Camill, 1999), the presence of permafrost, and thus the thickness of insulating organic soil layers (Lawrence and Slater, 2008; Harden et al., 2000). All of these factors affect rates of decomposition (Rapalee et al., 1998; Wickland et al., 2010; Dioumaeva et al., 2002; Wickland and Neff, 2008; Treat et al., 2014), losses due to combustion (Harden et al., 2002), and rates of mineralization (Bonan and Van Cleve, 1991; Valentine, 2006), with wetter sites having lower rates of C and N loss. Because litter inputs, litter quality, the presence of permafrost, and soil moisture and temperature all affect rates of C and N accumulation and vary among ecosystem types, it follows that rates of C and N accumulation also vary according to ecosystem type, with ecosystems with more labile litter and/or with warmer soil temperatures storing less C and N over the long-term.

Many accumulation studies focus on daily, seasonal, or annual timescales. These studies use either chamber or eddy covariance techniques to measure net ecosystem exchange (NEE). These short-term investigations have led to insights regarding the importance of water table to the net C and N budget (Chivers et al., 2009; Ise et al., 2008), the role of shallow soil layers in trace gas emissions (Wickland et al., 2010), and the importance of seasonal variations to the annual net C balance for various boreal ecosystems (Euskirchen et al., 2014). Additional insights into the drivers of C and N storage can be obtained by examining accumulation rates over longer time frames, such

as decades or centuries. Through such investigations we have learned how C accumulation rates increase as soil moisture increases (Rapalee et al., 1998), how N deposition increases C accumulation rates (Turunen et al., 2004), and how disturbances, such as fire (Pitkanen et al., 1999), reduce C and N accumulation rates.

To help our understanding of longer term C and N accumulation rates in a variety of boreal ecosystems, we compared soil-based C and N accumulation rates in five different ecosystems within Interior Alaska, each varying in soil moisture and dominant vegetation (black spruce, shrubs, tussock grass, sedge, or moss). These ecosystems were located along a moisture gradient, thereby controlling for factors such as parent material, climate, and topography, which influence soil formation (Jenny, 1941). We examined C and N accumulation rates on both decadal and century timescales to determine how the interaction of soil and vegetation influences these rates, and thus, C and N storage over time. Based on differences in soil temperature, soil moisture, and litter quality, we predicted that the black spruce ecosystem would have the lowest rate of C and N accumulation while the rich fen would have the highest rate of C and N accumulation, with the values of the other ecosystem's accumulation rates residing somewhere in between.

2 Methods

Study sites were located within the Bonanza Creek Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site (64.70°N, 148.31°W), approximately 30 km south-west of Fairbanks, Alaska, within the floodplain of the Tanana River. This region of Interior Alaska is characterized by a mean annual temperature of -7 °C and mean annual precipitation of 300 mm (Hinzman et al., 2006). We studied soils in five ecosystems located along a ~300-m transect, each of which were dominated by a different type of vegetation. The ecosystems, presented in order as they appear on the landscape, are: 1) a closed-canopy black spruce forest with a feathermoss and *Ericaceous* shrub understory (hereafter "black spruce"), 2) a shrub system comprised of willow (*Salix* sp.) and birch (*Betula* sp.) with an understory dominated by *Chamaedaphne calyculata* and sparse moss cover ("shrub"), 3) a tussock grass system dominated by *Calamagrostis canadensis* with some brown mosses present ("tussock grass"), 4) a peatland dominated by emergent vegetation such as *Equisetum fluviatile* ("sedge"), and 5) a moss dominated rich fen, comprised of both brown mosses and *Sphagnum* sp. ("rich fen"). These ecosystems varied in moisture status related to water table and presence of permafrost (Table 1; Waldrop et al., 2012). This transect extends from the

4

toe slope of an adjacent upland forest into a ~1.8 km^2 fen complex. Although in the Tanana floodplain, the sites are ~1.5 km from the current location of the river and appear to be relatively stable since site initiation in 2005. These sites have also been a part of other studies, including examining controls on ecosystem respiration (McConnell et

110   al., 2013), examining differences in the soil biotic community and their impact on soil C turnover (Waldrop et al., 2012), understanding how changing water table level impacts C cycling within the fen (Kane et al., 2013; Chivers et al., 2009), and using eddy covariance methods to calculate net ecosystem productivity (Euskirchen et al., 2014).

Three soil cores, encompassing all of the organic soil and extending into the mineral soil below, were collected at each site at randomly selected locations within an area less than ~10 m^2. Sampling for the black spruce and low

115   shrub site occurred during the summer and samples were obtained using a combination of soil blocks cut to a known volume and using a 'Makita' coring device (4.8 cm diameter; Nalder and Wein, 1998). Soil cores from the other three sites were obtained in the spring, when the ground was frozen, using a SIPRE corer (7.6 cm diameter; Rand and Mellor, 1985). Each soil profile was then divided into subsamples representing soil horizons. Soil horizon thicknesses ranged between 2-14 cm, with 85% of samples having a thickness ≤5 cm. This separation occurred

120   either in the field or, if frozen, in the lab, based on visual factors such as level of decomposition and root abundance. Each horizon sample was described using modified soil survey techniques (Manies et al., 2016).

Soils horizon samples were processed in several steps: first they were air dried (20-25 °C) and then homogenized. The samples were then split into two parts: an archive split and an analytical split. The analytical split was oven dried and then ground. Soils classified as organics were oven dried at 65 °C and ground to <0.25 mm

125   using a Cyclone mill (Udy Corporation., Ft. Collins, Colorado). Mineral soils were oven dried at 105 °C and ground using a mortar and pestle until the soil passed through a 60 mesh (0.25 mm) screen. Total C and N content was analyzed using a Carlo Erba 1500 Series 2 elemental analyzer (Fisons Instruments; Manies et al., 2016). C and N stock inventories were calculated as the total amount of C or N within the profile to the organic/mineral soil boundary. Recent ages were determined by measuring ^{210}Pb and ^{226}Ra activities using gamma spectrometry by

130   means of a Princeton Gamma HPGe germanium well detector using previously described methods (Van Metre and Fuller, 2009; Fuller et al., 1999). Total ^{210}Pb activity was measured and is the combination of supported ^{210}Pb (produced in situ through the decay of ^{226}Ra in the soil) and unsupported ^{210}Pb (produced in the atmosphere and added to the ecosystem through atmospheric deposition). Unsupported ^{210}Pb was defined as the difference

between measured total ^{210}Pb and [226]Ra. Subsamples from each soil horizon within the profile, starting at the

135   surface, were measured until unsupported ^{210}Pb was not detected.

Unsupported ^{210}Pb values were used to calculate dry mass accumulation rates (MAR, g cm^{-2} yr^{-1}) for each soil

horizon, from which dates of formation were calculated using both the Constant Flux: Constant Sedimentation

method (CF:CS; Robbins, 1978) and Constant Rate of Supply method (CRS; Appleby and Oldfield, 1978). To account

for compaction and loss of mass due to organic matter decomposition, both methods modelled unsupported [210]Pb

140   as a function of cumulative dry mass (g/cm^2), not depth (Appleby and Oldfield, 1992). Cumulative dry mass is the

product of bulk density of the horizon (g/cm^3) and the horizon thickness (cm). The CF:CS method is based on fitting

the decrease in unsupported ^{210}Pb versus cumulative dry mass to a single exponential function based on decay,

and thus, estimating an overall MAR by assuming a constant MAR through time. The CRS method assumes a

constant rate of input of unsupported ^{210}Pb activity per unit area and determines a mass accumulation rate for

145   each soil horizon sampled by mass balance using the integrated unsupported activity of the whole profile and,

thus, accounts for changes in MAR over time. The age of each sample interval is calculated from the resulting MAR

from the surface downward. Uncertainty of the CRS MAR and resulting ages are derived from counting error,

propagated from the top of the core downward (Binford, 1990; Van Metre and Fuller, 2009). As the soil profiles

become deeper, and thus older, the total ^{210}Pb activity approaches the supported activity, with the difference

150   (unsupported activity) becoming similar to or less than the uncertainty in the measurement (which is propagated

from the top of the core downward) (Binford, 1990; Van Metre and Fuller, 2009). At some point the magnitude of

these errors become larger than the age estimated for that horizon (for example, the estimated age of the 19-22

cm horizon of BZBS 4 was 143 yrs old with an estimated error of 144 yrs; Table S1). This tends to occur for horizons

dated older than 1920. To minimize these errors we constrained our decadal C accumulation rates to only include

155   organic soil that had formed within the six decades previous to our sampling. Decadal C accumulation rates were

calculated as the cumulative mass of C from the moss surface for the base of the that soil horizon, divided by the

age of this soil horizon using the CRS age, which is more appropriate for ecosystems with variable rates of

accumulation (Appleby and Oldfield, 1978; MacKenzie et al., 2011).

We also dated macrofossils, obtained from several processed, and therefore homogenized, soil horizons,

160   using AMS radiocarbon measurements for comparison to ^{210}Pb ages. (Suppl. Material S2). Additionally, bulk soil

6

samples, with roots removed, were submitted from the basal organic soil horizon to determine the timing of basal organic soil horizon formation. These samples were submitted to the USGS extraction laboratory (Reston, VA) for complete combustion and trapping of CO_2. Targets were prepared and submitted for accelerator mass spectrometry at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Resulting ^{14}C data were corrected for ^{13}C and then

165   calibrated using CALIB v 7.0 (intercal13; Reimer et al., 2013), or, if they dated post-1950, CALIBomb (intercal13, NHZ1 curve extension). Long-term C accumulation rates were calculated as the amount of C within the organic soil profile divided by the ^{14}C age of that ecosystem. Ecosystem age was calculated as the average of the minimum and maximum ^{14}C calibrated ages (Suppl. Table S2).

3 Results

170   ### 3.1 Carbon, Nitrogen, and [210]Pb Inventories

The rich fen site has significantly deeper organic soils than the other four sites (p<0.001), resulting in four or more times the amount of C and N than the other ecosystems (Table 2). Average unsupported ^{210}Pb inventories (dpm/cm^2) for each of the five ecosystem types were statistically similar (p=0.62, Table 2), which indicates that atmospheric input is the same for all ecosystem types and there are no apparent losses or transport of [210]Pb

175   among sites. Whereas all the unsupported ^{210}Pb was found in organic soil in most systems, between 10-15% of the unsupported ^{210}Pb activity was found in the mineral soil horizons (2-4 cm thick horizons) for the tussock grass site. Because unsupported ^{210}Pb is deposited on the organic soil surface while bound to atmospheric aerosols and dust particles (Shotyk et al., 2015), we did not expect to find it in mineral soil layers. Its presence in mineral soil suggests that some of ^{210}Pb bearing particles may be transported downward in the grass ecosystem. The potential

180   downward movement of unsupported ^{210}Pb would result in higher apparent CRS MAR and thus younger ages. Therefore, the tussock grass site was not included in the comparison of decadal accumulation rates.

3.2 ^{14}C dates and dating methodology comparison

[14]C dating of the basal organic soil layers provided information regarding the initiation of soil development. This approach shows that the rich fen is the oldest ecosystem, at approximately 1390 years old (Table S2). Age

185   estimates for the shrub and sedge ecosystems ranged between 700 and 856 yrs cal BP. Unfortunately, we did not

get ages for the black spruce or tussock grass ecosystem (due to sample size limitations). Therefore, for all ecosystems except the rich fen we used an initiation age of 780 yrs (the median of the two ages listed above). We justify this approach using the following logic. First, all of the ecosystems appear to be relatively stable and lay within ~300 m of each other, along an emergent landform that grades from the rich fen up to the black spruce

190 forest. Therefore, all ecosystems along this gradient likely formed within several hundred years of each other. This assumption is supported by the fact that the sedge ecosystem is only ~100 years older than the shrub ecosystem. The grass ecosystem also lies between the shrub and sedge ecosystems along the gradient; therefore, its age of formation is likely similar to the values measured for these two ecosystems. Although the black spruce ecosystem lies at the end of the gradient, a sensitivity analysis demonstrates that a dramatically different initiation age would

195 be needed to impact our results (Table S3). Therefore, even if 780 yrs is not accurate for the black spruce ecosystem, realistic variations in this value (+/- 400 years) would not change the outcome of our analyses.

For samples with both ^{14}C and ^{210}Pb, the ages defined by each technique were in general agreement (Fig. 1). We expected the ^{14}C dates to lie somewhere within the ^{210}Pb estimates due to the fact that the macrofossils were obtained from a homogenized sample comprised of the material from an entire soil horizon and so could have

200 formed at any time between when that soil horizon formed (the base) and the top of that horizon. In two instances the range of dates predicted using ^{14}C was older than the ^{210}Pb based age estimates (Fig. 1: Shrub, 8.5-12.5 cm; Rich fen, 5-10 cm). Because the 5-10 cm rich fen ^{14}C date is also older than the two samples below it (10-15 and 15-20 cm), this ^{14}C date is likely not accurate. The younger ^{210}Pb date for the 8.5 – 12.5 cm Shrub-1 horizon could indicate that there has been some movement of ^{210}Pb within the soil profile, which has been known to occur with

205 this dating technique (Turetsky et al., 2004). However, the ^{14}C and ^{210}Pb ages for the 4.5 – 8.5 cm horizon match well, which we would not expect if downward transport was a significant issue. In addition, adjusting our analyses to the ^{14}C dates does not change our results. Therefore, we feel comfortable moving forward using the ^{210}Pb age values.

3.3 Decadal accumulation rates

210 Decadal C accumulation rates (< 60 yrs) calculated from ^{210}Pb CRS MAR were not statistically different among sites (Table 3; p-value=0.21), although the shrub ecosystem had the highest rate and the black spruce had the

8

lowest rate. Decadal rates ranged between 50 and 125 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}. Variability within each ecosystem type was high

(coefficient of variability: 12-60%). This variability is likely due to within-site heterogeneity, such as

microtopography, changes in vegetation, and differences in belowground biomass. Decadal accumulation rates of

215   the black spruce and rich fen ecosystems were similar to other literature values (Figure 2). N decadal accumulation

rates ranged from 1.4 to 5.6 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Table 3). The black spruce ecosystem had significantly lower rates of N

accumulation than the sedge and the rich fen ecosystems (p=0.004). The rich fen rate had higher decadal N

accumulation rates (4.6 g m^{-2} yr^{-1}) than values found for a Norwegian bog (0.6 - 2.1 g m^{-2} yr^{-1}; Ohlson and Okland,

1998), but similar to rates found for a variety of fens (3.7 – 7.1 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}; Trumbore et al., 1999).

220   **3.4 Long-term accumulation rates**

Long-term rates of C accumulation ranged from 8 to 44 g C m^{-2} yr^{-1} across sites (Table 3). Variability was

highest in the grass tussock sites, which had a coefficient of variability of 65%, versus 12-34% for the other

ecosystems. Long-term rates of N accumulation ranged from 0.22 to 2.66 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1} (Table 3) with the black

spruce ecosystem having the lowest rate of long-term N accumulation. The shrub, tussock grass, and sedge

225   ecosystems had similar rates of long-term N accumulation. The rich fen had significantly higher rates of N

accumulation than the other ecosystems. The long-term N accumulation rate for the rich fen (2.66 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}) is

much higher than rates previously found for general peatlands (~0.5 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}; Loisel et al., 2014; Limpens et al.,

2006) and bogs (0.87 gN m^{-2} yr^{-1}; Wang et al., 2014).

As expected, long-term C accumulation rates were lower than decadal rates for all ecosystems (Table 3; Fig. 2).

230   This decline in C accumulation rates is consistent with trends found in chronosequence studies using gas flux

(Baldocchi, 2008) and C stocks (Harden et al., 2012). However, the difference between long- and decadal rates in

the rich fen was much smaller, indicating consistently high rates of C accumulation in this ecosystem (Table 3) and

suggesting some mechanism exists for preserving this C over longer time scales. Long-term C accumulation rates

for the rich fen are especially high compared to the other ecosystems (p<0.001), which were statistically similar

235   (Table 3). Our long-term C accumulation rates for the rich fen are similar to other rates based on changes in C stock

(Figure 2; Camill et al., 2009; Trumbore and Harden, 1997; Turunen et al., 2002).

4 Discussion

The ecosystems studied here have varied historically in their dominant vegetation, the presence or absence of permafrost, and hydrology. Despite these differences in ecosystem structure we found no significant differences in decadal rates of soil C accumulation (Table 3). Therefore, while inputs and losses of C into and from the soil system may vary across these ecosystems, the balance between inputs and losses for surface soil layers has been relatively similar across the past 60 years. McConnell et al. (2013) measured ecosystem respiration (ER) at the same five ecosystems and found higher ER in the grass and sedge ecosystems (see also Waldrop et al., 2012), with the other three ecosystems having similar, lower ER; thus the grass and sedge also have higher rates of net primary production (NPP) and generally cycle C more rapidly than the other systems. Across all ecosystem types, the shallow organic soil layers, which have been created in the past six decades, sequestered an average of 84 ± 42 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}.

Carbon inputs and losses also balance out similarly over the long-term (~1000 yrs) for all of the ecosystems we studied except the rich fen, which had greater long-term C accumulation rates than the other ecosystems (44 ± 5 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}; Table 3). The similarity in long-term C accumulation rates of the black spruce, shrub, grass, and sedge ecosystems (14 ± 5 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}) was initially surprising, as we expected the small, although not statistically significant, differences in the decadal C accumulation rates to add up over time, resulting in some significant differences in long-term accumulation. In hindsight, however, this result makes sense, as the total C stored in the organic soils of these four ecosystems are similar (Table 2). These results again demonstrate that even if the magnitude of C fluxes into or from the soils systems vary across these four sites, the overall balance between C inputs and losses are similar. We note that these four ecosystems fall along the same ER – soil temperature relationship (McConnell et al., 2013), suggesting that soil temperature may be one of the main drivers of C cycling for these sites.

Nitrogen accumulation rates have been studied much less frequently than rates of C accumulation. The long-term N accumulation rate for the rich fen in this study (2.66 g N m^{-2} yr^{-1}) is five times higher than the 0.5 g N m^{-2} yr^{-} estimated by Loisel et al. (2014). There are several potential reasons for this discrepancy. First, Loisel et al. (2014) synthesized data from a wide range of peatland sites, including bogs, fens, and permafrost peatlands and thus included ecosystems with a broad spectrum of peat properties. In addition, Loisel et al. (2014) used time-

dependent C:N ratios of 65 and 40 to assign % N values for their soil horizons, resulting in average % N values that

265   never exceed 1.7 %. In contrast, the average % N value for our rich fen organic soil horizons was 2.4 %, resulting in

an average C:N ratio of 17 (Fig S1). In general, our results support Treat et al. (2015), who showed that fen C:N

ratios can be much lower than estimates used by Loisel et al. (2014), despite high variability (fen C:N averaging 29

+/- 15). Regardless, the amount of N within the rich fen ecosystem is relatively high. Reasons for this high N

storage could include high rates of N inputs, either through high rates of biological N_2 fixation or through high N

270   concentrations in source water. The majority of studies on N fixation in peatlands have focused on *Sphagnum*

species (Larmola et al., 2014; Vile et al., 2014). However, over 70 % of the ground cover in our rich fen site is

composed of brown mosses (Churchill, 2011), only some of which have been shown to fix N when exposed to

enough light (Basilier, 1979). Therefore, moss-based N_2 fixation may play a role in the N dynamics of the rich fen.

High N inputs could also result from inflows of N-rich surface or ground water. Wetlands in the Tanana River

275   floodplain can be influenced by both surface runoff and river-based groundwater, as evidenced by Ca^{++} values

(Racine and Walters, 1994). All ecosystems along the gradient, with the exception of the black spruce forest, have

been known to experience flooding during years of very high precipitation, with these flooding events dependent

on the behaviour of the Tanana River. During one of such events, Wyatt et al. (2011) found that dissolved inorganic

N (DIN) at our rich fen site peaked post-flood at ~0.50 mg L^{-1}. Dissolved organic N (DON) at this site has been

280   measured from ~ 0.86 – 1.42 mg L^{-1} (Kane et al., 2010). While these DIN and DON concentrations are not

uncommon for a northern peatland (Limpens et al., 2006), the hydrologic connection between the fen and river is

undoubtedly important to the total N budget of the wetland. In addition, long-term influences such as disturbance

likely play an important role in N cycling (see below for more discussion regarding the influence of disturbance).

The higher long-term C accumulation rate for the rich fen compared to the other ecosystems suggests that

285   long-term C cycling is fundamentally different in the rich fen. The rich fen has significant deeper organic soil (91 cm

vs 30 cm or less for the other ecosystems). Mechanisms for C sequestration within this soil could be related to (1)

higher inputs into deep soil, from processes such as rooting, (2) less decomposable substrates, which in turn

reduces C losses, and/or (3) environmental conditions (i.e., soil temperature, oxygen availability) that reduce

decomposition losses. First, we examined rooting depth for each of the ecosystems. Descriptions of the rich fen

290   soil cores (Manies et al., 2016) show that live roots are found throughout the 90 cm organic soil profile, which is

significantly deeper than the other four ecosystems (Table 1). Therefore, input of C into the deep soil from roots is one possible mechanism for the larger amount of long-term C found at the rich fen. Next, we examined the C chemistry, or "quality", based on the organic soil C:N (Schädel et al., 2014). Lower C:N indicates substrate that has undergone more decomposition and, therefore, would likely be comprised of more recalcitrant material. A

295 comparison of surface C:N (< 20 cm) shows that the fen system has lower C: N than the black spruce or shrub ecosystems, but similar values to the grass and sedge ecosystems (Figure S1). This same pattern holds true for deeper soil layers (> 20 cm; Figure S1, note that the sedge site does not have organic soil deeper than 20 cm). More decomposable material could also be reflected in higher ER rates. However, McConnell et al. (2013) found that ER at the black spruce, shrub, and rich fen sites were statistically similar. Therefore, differences in

300 decomposable substrates likely do not play an important role in supporting deep soil C storage at the rich fen. Finally, we examined differences in environmental conditions, such as temperature and oxygen availability between the fen and other sites. Colder soil temperatures at depth at the rich fen could create slower rates of C cycling due to thermal protection. However, the rich fen site has warmer summer and annual soil temperatures at both 10 and 25 cm (Table 1), and the soil temperature is above freezing even at depth (there is no shallow

305 permafrost at this site). Therefore, preservation of C by thermal protection likely is not a contributor to the large amount of organic soil in this ecosystem. Another mechanism for reducing rates of C cycling is oxygen availability. McConnell et al. (2013) found lower Q_{10} values at the rich fen, indicating less temperature sensitivity. Instead, with the shallowest water table (Table 1), it is thought that oxygen availability plays a dominant role in the protection of deep C at the rich fen (McConnell et al., 2013). Using average annual growth rates for the last 60 years, we found

310 that surface organics at rich fen become submerged in two decades, while it takes the surface material of the other ecosystems 40-90 years to reach the water table. Therefore, the rich fen organics are exposed to oxygen limiting conditions much more quickly than the other ecosystems.

Long-term C and N accumulation rates are also impacted by long-term factors, such as disturbance. The main disturbance in the boreal region is fire (Zoltai et al., 1998; Turetsky et al., 2011), which impacts the boreal C and N

315 cycles directly through emissions and indirectly via decreasing albedo (Ueyama et al., 2014), removing insulating organic soil layers (Pastick et al., 2014), and decreasing soil moisture (Carrasco et al., 2006), all of which impact decomposition rates. Because the rich fen has a shallower water table than the other ecosystems (Table 1), this

ecosystem is less likely to burn (Zoltai et al., 1998; Camill et al., 2009; Harden et al., 2000), even in dry years, and less severely if it does burn (Camill et al., 2009; Harden et al., 2000). Therefore, while the other ecosystems likely experienced many fires over the last several millennia, these fire events had a much smaller, if any, impact on C and N loss from the rich fen.

Decadal and long-term C accumulation rates can be used to constrain C accumulation rates as measured by eddy covariance flux towers. Euskirchen et al. (2014) examined annual C accumulation rates in 2012 and 2013 at this same rich fen location and found C accumulation rates of 36 and 127 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}, respectively. By comparison, our C accumulation rates ranged from 76 and 44 gC m^{-2} yr^{-1} (short- and long-term rates, respectively). The tower based net ecosystem exchange (NEE) in 2013 is 1.5 and 3 times higher than the decadal and long-term C accumulation rates found in this study, respectively, while the 2012 NEE rate is lower than both rates. As our decadal rates are averaged over the last six decades, this discrepancy suggests that the large C loss values Euskirchen et al. (2014) found in 2013 cannot be sustained over decades. Interannual variations in NEE for boreal systems are influenced by the length of the snow free season, soil temperature, light limitation (i.e., cloudiness), and changes in water table (Baldocchi, 2008). If tower measurements were continued over a longer time period we would expect high variability in annual NEE values and those values to be based on that year's weather conditions. Based on our decadal C accumulation rates years of high net C accumulation, like 2013, should be balanced out with years of net loss or low C accumulation to equal decadal rates from core profiles.

It is important to note that our sites are located close to the Tanana River and thus our findings are may be more indicative of locations where the groundwater can be influenced by river water. We also found a high level of within-ecosystem variability, with coefficients of variability of up to 60%. This variability is likely due microsite variability in surface vegetation, microtopography, and soil characteristics such as porosity, all which influence C and N cycling, and thus, accumulation rates. This variability limited our ability to make inferences about soil C and N accumulation rates between the four non-fen ecosystems. We also acknowledge that there is uncertainty associated with both dating techniques used in this study. Downward transport of ^{210}Pb could make the ages presented here appear younger than the actual age of the soil horizon. There are also potential uncertainties with ^{14}C ages due to the movement of younger atmospheric C into the soil through roots or fungi and the uptake of C from non-atmospheric sources (Bauer et al., 2009). To minimize these factors, future researchers could improve

345 upon our methods by increasing the number of soil cores, having higher resolution for soil horizons, and studying the possibility of ^{210}Pb downwash using ^{7}Be (Hansson et al., 2014). Regardless of the high within-ecosystem variability and potential accuracy of ages, we found significant differences in the long-term C and N accumulation rates of the rich fen in comparison to the other four ecosystems studied.

Future changes to Interior Alaska's climate are likely to affect C and N accumulation rates of the ecosystems

350 studied here differently. Increases in air temperatures (Hinzman et al., 2005) are likely to increase ER at the black spruce, shrub, grass and sedge ecosystems, based on findings by McConnell et al. (2013). This change will, in turn, reduce the decadal C accumulation rates of these ecosystems. However, climate induced shifts from vegetation from one ecosystem type to another among the four similar ecosystems should not impact either short- or long-term C accumulation rates, as we found similar rates among these four ecosystem types. Therefore, shifts between

355 these ecosystem types likely should not impact the regional C budget. This statement assumes, however, that any changes in climate influence the balance between C inputs and losses equally among ecosystems. Projected increases in fire severity and frequency (Turetsky et al., 2011) will also impact C accumulation rates, especially on the long-term. In contrast, rich fens are more likely to sustain their C and N accumulation rates as long as water tables are maintained as this high water table appears to diminish decomposition and reduce disturbance, thereby

360 helping the rich fen maintain its C and N stocks. However, the magnitude of the rate can be expected to be quite variable from year-to-year (Euskirchen et al., 2014; Baldocchi, 2008). The C and N balance of rich fens are like to be significantly impacted only if there are dramatic drops in water table (Waddington et al., 2014), which would require large changes to both the precipitation regime and subsurface hydrology (i.e., input sources of water), thereby increasing the susceptibility of the rich fen to wildfire and decreasing the zone of anoxic conditions, both

365 of which are important in maintain the large C and N stocks of this site.

5 Conclusions

This study provides C and N accumulation rates for a variety of northern ecosystems, many of which previously had little or no data available. Knowing rates of C and N accumulation in these five ecosystems will aid in the understanding of and ability to model their C & N cycles. For example, the overall C balance for four of the

370 five ecosystems were similar, even though inputs and losses are different, despite differences in dominant

14

vegetation, presence or absence of near-surface permafrost, and depth to water table. The significantly higher long-term C & N accumulation rates at the rich fen support the idea that long-term biogeochemical cycling in this ecosystem is different. We hypothesize that the black spruce, shrub, tussock grass, and sedge ecosystems experience more wildfires than the rich fen site, reducing their ability to preserve C and N over the long-term.

375 Additionally, C cycling in the rich fen ecosystem appears to be driven by different biogeochemical processes (such as lower oxygen availability) which results in the annual C balance of the rich fen more likely being a net C sink, thereby increasing long-term C accumulation rates. Climate change may increase rates of disturbance and soil temperatures for the non-rich fen ecosystems, impacting C and N accumulation rates. However, shifts from one ecosystem type to another among these four ecosystems would not impact regional C budgets. Our data also

380 suggest that climate change is less likely to significantly impact C budgets at the rich fen, as large changes in rich fen C accumulation rates would only occur if there is a dramatic drop in water table, which would require large changes to both the precipitation regime and subsurface hydrology.

7 References

Allison, S. D., Gartner, T. B., Mack, M. C., Mcguire, K., and Treseder, K.: Nitrogen alters carbon dynamics during early succession in boreal forest, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 1157-1164,
395   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.03.026, 2010.
Appleby, P. G., and Oldfield, F.: The calculation of Lead-210 dates assuming a constant rate of supply of unsupported ^{210}Pb to the sediment, Catena, 5, 1-8, 1978.

Appleby, P. G., and Oldfield, F.: Application of ^{210}Pb to sedimentation studies, in: Uranium-series Disequilibrium: Application to Earth, Marine, and Environmental Sciences, edited by: Ivanovich, M., and Harmon, R. S., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 731-778, 1992.

Aurela, M., Laurila, T., and Tuovinen, J.-P.: The timing of snow melt controls the annual CO_2 balance in a subarctic fen, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L16119, 10.1029/2004GL020315, 2004.

Aurela, M., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J. P., Hatakka, J., Riutta, T., and Laurila, T.: Carbon dioxide exchange on a northern boreal fen, Boreal Environment Research, 14, 699–710, 2009.

Baldocchi, D.: 'Breathing' of the terrestrial biosphere: lessons learned from a global network of carbon dioxide flux measurement systems, Australian Journal of Botany, 56, 1–26, 2008.

Basilier, K.: Moss-Associated Nitrogen Fixation in Some Mire and Coniferous Forest Environments around Uppsala, Sweden, Lindbergia, 5, 84-88, 1979.

Bauer, I. E., Bhatti, J. S., Swanston, C., Wieder, R. K., and Preston, C. M.: Organic Matter Accumulation and Community Change at the Peatland–Upland Interface: Inferences from ^{14}C and [210]Pb Dated Profiles, Ecosystems, 12, 636-653, 10.1007/s10021-009-9248-2, 2009.

Binford, M. W.: Calculation and uncertainty analysis of ^{210}Pb dates for PIRLA project lake sediment cores, Journal of Paleolimnology, 3, 253-267, 1990.

Bonan, G. B., and Van Cleve, K.: Soil temperature, nitrogen mineralization, and carbon source-sink relationships in boreal forests, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 22, 629–639, 1991.

Camill, P.: Patterns of boreal permafrost peatland vegetation across environmental gradients sensitive to climate warming, Canadian Journal of Botany, 77, 721-733, 10.1139/b99-008, 1999.

Camill, P., Lynch, J. A., Clark, J. S., Adams, J. B., and Jordan, B.: Changes in biomass, aboveground net primary production, and peat accumulation following permafrost thaw in the boreal peatlands of Manitoba, Canada, Ecosystems, 4, 461–478, 2001.

Camill, P., Barry, A., Williams, E., Andreassi, C., Limmer, J., and Solick, D.: Climate-vegetation-fire interactions and their impact on long-term carbon dynamics in a boreal peatland landscape in northern Manitoba, Canada, Journal of Geophysical Research, 114, G04017, 10.1029/2009jg001071, 2009.

Carrasco, J., Neff, J. C., and Harden, J. W.: Modeling the long-term accumulation of carbon in boreal forest soils: influence of physical and chemical factors, Journal of Geophysical Research - Biogeosciences, 111, G02004, 10.1029/2005JG000087, 2006.

Chapin, F. S., Mcguire, A. D., Randerson, J. T., Pielke, R., Sr., Baldocchi, D., Hobbie, S. E., Roulet, N., Eugster, W., Kasischke, E. S., Rastetter, E. B., Zimov, S. A., and Running, S. W.: Arctic and boreal ecosystems of western North America as components of the climate system, Global Change Biology, 6 211–223, 2000.

Charman, D. J., Beilman, D. W., Blaauw, M., Booth, R. K., Brewer, S., Chambers, F. M., Christen, J. A., Gallego-Sala, A., Harrison, S. P., Hughes, P. D. M., Jackson, S. T., Korhola, A., Mauquoy, D., Mitchell, F. J. G., Prentice, I. C., Van Der Linden, M., De Vleeschouwer, F., Yu, Z. C., Alm, J., Bauer, I. E., Corish, Y. M. C., Garneau, M., Hohl, V., Huang, Y., Karofeld, E., Le Roux, G., Loisel, J., Moschen, R., Nichols, J. E., Nieminen, T. M., Macdonald, G. M., Phadtare, N. R., Rausch, N., Sillasoo, Ü., Swindles, G. T., Tuittila, E. S., Ukonmaanaho, L., Väliranta, M., Van Bellen, S., Van Geel, B., Vitt, D. H., and Zhao, Y.: Climate-related changes in peatland carbon accumulation during the last millennium, Biogeosciences, 10, 929-944, 10.5194/bg-10-929-2013, 2013.

Chivers, M. R., Turetsky, M. R., Waddington, J. M., Harden, J. W., and Mcguire, A. D.: Effects of Experimental Water Table and Temperature Manipulations on Ecosystem CO2 Fluxes in an Alaskan Rich Fen, Ecosystems, 12, 1329-1342, 10.1007/s10021-009-9292-y, 2009.

Churchill, A. C.: Alaskan Peatland Experiment: Community structure and productivity data for 2007-2010 II - Species Abundance, LTER, B. C., University of Alaska Fairbanks, BNZ:468, 2011, http://www.lter.uaf.edu/data/data-detail/id/468.

Davidson, A. E., and Janssens, A. I.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, 2006.

Dewilde, L. O., and Chapin, F. S., Iii: Human Impacts on the Fire Regime of Interior Alaska: Interactions among Fuels, Ignition Sources, and Fire Suppression, Ecosystems, 9, 1342-1353, 10.1007/s10021-006-0095-0, 2006.

Dioumaeva, I., Trumbore, S. E., Schuur, E. a. G., Goulden, M. L., Litvak, M., and Hirsch, A.: Decomposition of peat
450   from upland boreal forest: Temperature dependence and sources of respired carbon, Journal of
        Geophysical Research, D108, WFX 3-1–WFX 3-12, doi:10.1029/2001JH000848, 2002.

Dunn, A. L., Barford, C. C., Wofsy, S. C., Goulden, M. L., and Daube, B. C.: A long-term record of carbon exchange in
        a boreal black spruce forest: means, responses to interannual variability, and decadal trends, Global
        Change Biology, 13, 577-590, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01221.x, 2007.

455 Euskirchen, E. S., Edgar, C. W., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P., and Harden, J. W.: Differential response of carbon
        fluxes to climate in three peatland ecosystems that vary in the presence and stability of permafrost,
        Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 119, 1576-1595, 2014.

Fuller, C. C., Van Geen, A., Baskaran, M., and Anima, R.: Sediment chronology in San Francisco Bay, California,
        defined by 210Pb, 234Th, 137Cs, and 239,240Pu, Marine Chemistry, 64, 7-27,
460   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(98)00081-4, 1999.

Goulden, M. L., Mcmillan, A. M. S., Winston, G. C., Rocha, A. V., Manies, K. L., Harden, J. W., and Bond-Lamberty, B.
        P.: Patterns of NPP, GPP, respiration, and NEP during boreal forest succession, Global Change Biology, 17,
        855–871, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02274.x, 2011.

Gundale, M. J., From, F., Bach, L. H., and Nordin, A.: Anthropogenic nitrogen deposition in boreal forests has a
465   minor impact on the global carbon cycle, Global Change Biology, 20, 276-286, 10.1111/gcb.12422, 2014.

Hansson, S. V., Kaste, J. M., Chen, K., and Bindler, R.: Beryllium-7 as a natural tracer for short-term downwash in
        peat, Biogeochemistry, 119, 329-339, 10.1007/s10533-014-9969-y, 2014.

Harden, J. W., Trumbore, S. E., Stocks, B. J., Hirsch, A., Gower, S. T., O'neill, K. P., and Kaisischke, E. S.: The role of
        fire in the boreal carbon budget, Global Change Biology, 6, S174–S184, 2000.

470 Harden, J. W., Mack, M. C., Veldhuis, H., and Gower, S. T.: Fire dynamics and implications for nitrogen cycling in
        boreal forests, Journal of Geophysical Research, 107, WFX 4-1–WFX 4-8, 10.1029/2001JD000494, 2002.

Harden, J. W., Manies, K. L., O'donnell, J., Johnson, K., Frolking, S., and Fan, Z.: Spatiotemporal analysis of black
        spruce forest soils and implications for the fate of C, Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, G01012,
        10.1029/2011JG001826, 2012.

475 Hinzman, L. D., Bettez, N. D., Bolton, W. R., Chapin, F. S., Dyurgerov, M. B., Fastie, C. L., Griffith, B., Hollister, R. D.,
        Hope, A., Huntington, H. P., Jensen, A. M., Jia, G. J., Jorgenson, T., Kane, D. L., Klein, D. R., Kofinas, G.,
        Lynch, A. H., Lloyd, A. H., Mcguire, A. D., Nelson, F. E., Oechel, W. C., Osterkamp, T. E., Racine, C. H.,
        Romanovsky, V. E., Stone, R. S., Stow, D. A., Sturm, M., Tweedie, C. E., Walker, M. D., Walker, D. A.,
        Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., Winker, K. S., and Yoshikawa, K.: Evidence and implications of recent climate
480   change in northern Alaska and other arctic regions, Climatic Change, 72, 251–298, doi: 10.1007/s10584-
        005-5352-2, 2005.

Hinzman, L. D., Viereck, L. A., Adams, P. C., Romanovksy, V., and Yoshikawa, K.: Climate and permafrost dynamics
        of the Alaskan boreal forest, in: Alaska's Changing Boreal Forest, edited by: Chapin, F. S., III, Oswood, M.
        W., Van Cleve, K., Viereck, L. A., and Verbyla, D., Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 39-61,
485   2006.

Hobbie, S. E.: Temperature and Plant Species Control Over Litter Decomposition in Alaskan Tundra, Ecological
        Monographs, 66, 503-522, 10.2307/2963492, 1996.

Ise, T., Dunn, A. L., Wofsy, S. C., and Moorcroft, P. R.: High sensitivity of peat decomposition to climate change
        through water-table feedback, Nature Geosci, 1, 763-766,
490   http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/v1/n11/suppinfo/ngeo331_S1.html, 2008.

Jenny, H.: Factors of soil formation; a system of quantitative pedology, 1st ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 281 pp.,
        1941.

Jones, M. C., and Yu, Z.: Rapid deglacial and early Holocene expansion of peatlands in Alaska, Proceedings of the
        National Academy of Sciences, 107, 7347-7352, 10.1073/pnas.0911387107, 2010.

495 Kane, E. S., Turetsky, M. T., Harden, J. W., Mcguire, A. D., and Waddington, J. M.: Seasonal ice and hydrologic
        controls on dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen concentrations in a boreal rich fen, Journal of
        Geophysical Research - Biogeosciences, 115, G04012, doi: 10.1029/2010JG001366, 2010.

Kane, E. S., Chivers, M. R., Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., Petersen, D. G., Waldrop, M., Harden, J. W., and Mcguire, A.
        D.: Response of anaerobic carbon cycling to water table manipulation in an Alaskan rich fen, Soil Biology &
500   Biochemistry, 58, 50-60, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.032, 2013.

Keller, J. K., Bauers, A. K., Bridgham, S. D., Kellogg, L. E., and Iversen, C. M.: Nutrient Control of Microbial Carbon Cycling Along an Ombrotrophic-Minerotrophic Peatland Gradient, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 111, G03011, 2006.

Larmola, T., Leppänen, S. M., Tuittila, E.-S., Aarva, M., Merilä, P., Fritze, H., and Tiirola, M.: Methanotrophy induces nitrogen fixation during peatland development, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 734-739, 10.1073/pnas.1314284111, 2014.

Lawrence, D., and Slater, A.: Incorporating organic soil into a global climate model, Climate Dynamics, 30, 145–160, 2008.

Limpens, J., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., and Berendse, F.: The Nitrogen Cycle in Boreal Peatlands, in: Boreal Peatland Ecosystems, edited by: Wieder, R. K., and Vitt, D., Ecological Studies, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 195-230, 2006.

Loisel, J., Yu, Z., Beilman, D. W., Camill, P., Alm, J., Amesbury, M. J., Anderson, D., Andersson, S., Bochicchio, C., Barber, K., Belyea, L. R., Bunbury, J., Chambers, F. M., Charman, D. J., De Vleeschouwer, F., Fiałkiewicz-Kozieł, B., Finkelstein, S. A., Gałka, M., Garneau, M., Hammarlund, D., Hinchcliffe, W., Holmquist, J., Hughes, P., Jones, M. C., Klein, E. S., Kokfelt, U., Korhola, A., Kuhry, P., Lamarre, A., Lamentowicz, M., Large, D., Lavoie, M., Macdonald, G., Magnan, G., Mäkilä, M., Mallon, G., Mathijssen, P., Mauquoy, D., Mccarroll, J., Moore, T. R., Nichols, J., O'reilly, B., Oksanen, P., Packalen, M., Peteet, D., Richard, P. J., Robinson, S., Ronkainen, T., Rundgren, M., Sannel, A. B. K., Tarnocai, C., Thom, T., Tuittila, E.-S., Turetsky, M., Väliranta, M., Van Der Linden, M., Van Geel, B., Van Bellen, S., Vitt, D., Zhao, Y., and Zhou, W.: A database and synthesis of northern peatland soil properties and Holocene carbon and nitrogen accumulation, The Holocene, 24, 1028-1042, 10.1177/0959683614538073, 2014.

Mackenzie, A. B., Hardie, S. M. L., Farmer, J. G., Eades, L. J., and Pulford, I. D.: Analytical and sampling contrainsts in 210Pb dating, Science of The Total Environment, 409, 1298-1304, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.11.040, 2011.

Manies, K. L., Harden, J. W., and Fuller, C. C.: Soil Data for a Vegetation Gradient Located at Bonanza Creek Long Term Ecological Research Site, Interior Alaska, US Geological Survey, 20, 2016.

Mathijssen, P., Tuovinen, J. P., Lohila, A., Aurela, M., Juutinen, S., Laurila, T., Niemelä, E., Tuittila, E. S., and Väliranta, M.: Development, carbon accumulation, and radiative forcing of a subarctic fen over the Holocene, Holocene, 24, 1156-1166, 10.1177/0959683614538072, 2014.

Mcconnell, N. A., Turetsky, M. R., Mcguire, A. D., Kane, E. S., Waldrop, M. P., and Harden, J. W.: Controls on ecosystem and root respiration across a permafrost and wetland gradient in interior Alaska, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 45029-45029, 2013.

Meng, W., Tim, R. M., Julie, T., and Pierre, J. H. R.: The cascade of C:N:P stoichiometry in an ombrotrophic peatland: from plants to peat, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 024003, 2014.

Merila, P., Mustajarvi, K., Helmisaari, H.-S., Hilli, S., Lindroos, A.-J., Nieminen, T. M., Nojd, P., Rautio, P., Salemaa, M., and Ukonmaanaho, L.: Above- and below-ground N stocks in coniferous boreal forests in Finland: Implications for sustainability of more intensive biomass utilization, Forest Ecology and Management, 311, 17-28, 2014.

Nalder, I. A., and Wein, R. W.: A new forest floor corer for rapid sampling, minimal disturbance and adequate precision, Silva Fennica, 32, 373–381, 1998.

O'donnell, J. A., Harden, J. W., Mcguire, A. D., Kanevskiy, M. Z., and Jorgenson, M. T.: The effect of fire and permafrost interactions on soil carbon accumulation in an upland black spruce ecosystem of interior Alaska: Implications for post-thaw carbon loss, Global Change Biology, 1461–1474, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02358.x, 2011.

Ohlson, M., and Okland, R. H.: Spatial variation in rates of carbon and nitrogen accumulation in a boreal bog, Ecology, 79, 2745–2758, 1998.

Oksanen, P. O.: Holocene development of the Vaisjeäggi palsa mire, Finnish Lapland, Boreas, 35, 81-95, 10.1111/j.1502-3885.2006.tb01114.x, 2006.

Ovenden, L.: Peat Accumulation in Northern Wetlands, Quaternary Research, 33, 377–386, 1990.

Pastick, N. J., Rigge, M., Wylie, B. K., Jorgenson, M. T., Rose, J. R., Johnson, K. D., and Ji, L.: Distribution and landscape controls of organic layer thickness and carbon within the Alaskan Yukon River Basin, Geoderma, 230–231, 79-94, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.04.008, 2014.

Pitkanen, A., Turunen, J., and Tolonen, K.: The role of fire in the carbon dynamics of a mire, eastern Finland, The Holocene, 9, 453-462, 1999.

Racine, C. H., and Walters, J. C.: Groundwater-Discharge Fens in the Tanana Lowlands, Interior Alaska, U.S.A, Arctic
and Alpine Research, 26, 418-426, 10.2307/1551804, 1994.

Rand, J., and Mellor, M.: Ice-coring augers for shallow depth sampling, U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and
Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, New HampshireCRREL Report 85-21, 27, 1985.

Rapalee, G., Trumbore, S. E., Davidson, E. A., Harden, J. W., and Veldhuis, H.: Soil carbon stocks and their rates of
accumulation and loss in a boreal forest landscape, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 12, 687–701, 1998.

Reimer, P., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J., Blackwell, P., Bronk Ramsey, C., Grootes, P., Guilderson, T., Hafildason, H.,
Hajdas, I., Hatté, C., Heaton, T., Hoffmann, D., Hoff, A., Hughen, K., Kaiser, K., Kromer, B., Manning, S., Niu,
M., Reimer, R., Richards, D., Scott, E., Southon, J., Staff, R., Turney, C., and Van Der Plicht, J.: IntCal13 and
Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP, Radiocarbon, 55, 1869-1887, 2013.

Robbins, J. A.: Geochemical and geophysical applications of radioactive lead isotopes, in: Biogeochemistry of Lead
in the Environment, edited by: Nriago, J. P., Elsevier, North Holland, Amsterdam, 285-393, 1978.

Roulet, N.: Peatlands, carbon storage, greenhouse gases, and the Kyoto Protocol: Prospects and significance for
Canada, Wetlands, 20, 605-615, 10.1672/0277-5212(2000)020[0605:pcsgga]2.0.co;2, 2000.

Schädel, C., Schuur, E. a. G., Bracho, R., Elberling, B., Knoblauch, C., Lee, H., Luo, Y., Shaver, G. R., and Turetsky, M.
R.: Circumpolar assessment of permafrost C quality and its vulnerability over time using long-term
incubation data, Global Change Biology, 20, 641-652, 10.1111/gcb.12417, 2014.

Shotyk, W., Kempter, H., Krachler, M., and Zaccone, C.: Stable (^{206}Pb, ^{207}Pb, ^{208}Pb) and radioactive (^{210}Pb) lead
isotopes in 1 year of growth of Sphagnum moss from four ombrotrophic bogs in southern Germany:
Geochemical significance and environmental implications, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 163, 101-
125, 10.1016/j.gca.2015.04.026, 2015.

Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J. G., Schuur, E. a. G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S.: Soil organic carbon pools in the
northern circumpolar permafrost region, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 23, GB2023,
10.1029/2008GB003327, 2009.

Tolonen, K., and Turunen, J.: Accumulation rates of carbon in mires in Finland and implications for climate change,
The Holocene, 6, 171-178, 1996.

Treat, C. C., Wollheim, W. M., Varner, R. K., Grandy, A. S., Talbot, J., and Frolking, S.: Temperature and peat type
control CO_2 and CH_4 production in Alaskan permafrost peats, Global Change Biology, 20, 2674–2686,
10.1111/gcb.12572, 2014.

Trumbore, S. E., and Harden, J. W.: Accumulation and turnover of carbon in organic and mineral soils of the
BOREAS northern study site, Journal of Geophysical Research, 102, 28817–28830, 1997.

Trumbore, S. E., Bubier, J. L., Harden, J. W., and Crill, P. M.: Carbon cycling in boreal wetlands: A comparison of
three approaches, Journal of Geophysical Research, 104, 27673–27682 1999.

Turetsky, M. R., Manning, S. W., and Wieder, R. K.: Dating Recent Peat Deposits, Wetlands, 24, 324, 2004.

Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E., and Kasichke, E. S.: Recent
acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in Alaskan forests and peatlands, Nature Geosciences,
4, 27–31, 10.1038/NGEO1027, 2011.

Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K., and Reinikainen, A.: Estimating carbon accumulation rates of undrained mires
in Finland–application to boreal and subarctic regions, The Holocene, 12, 69-80,
10.1191/0959683602hl522rp, 2002.

Turunen, J., Roulet, N. T., and Moore, T. R.: Nitrogen deposition and increased carbon accumulation in
ombrotrophic peatlands in eastern Canada, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18, GB3002,
10.1029/2003BG002154, 2004.

Ueyama, M., Ichii, K., Iwata, H., Euskirchen, E. S., Zona, D., Rocha, A. V., Harazono, Y., Iwama, C., Nakai, T., and
Oechel, W. C.: Change in surface energy balance in Alaska due to fire and spring warming, based on
upscaling eddy covariance measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 119,
2014JG002717, 10.1002/2014JG002717, 2014.

Valentine, D. W., Kielland, K., Chapin Iii, F.S., Mcguire, A.D., and Van Cleve, K.: Patterns of biogeochemistry in
Alaskan boreal forests, in: Alaska's Changing Boreal Forest, edited by: Chapin, F. S., Oswood, M.W., Van
Cleve, K., Viereck, L.A., and Verbyla, D.L., Oxford University Press, 241–266, 2006.

Van Cleve, K., Oliver, L., Schlentner, R., Viereck, L. A., and Dyrness, C. T.: Productivity and nutrient cycling in taiga
forest ecosystems, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 13, 747–766, 1983.

Van Metre, P. C., and Fuller, C. C.: Dual-core mass-balance approach for evaluating mercury and ^{210}Pb atmospheric fallout and focusing to lakes, Environmental Science & Technology, 43, 26-32, 2009.

Vile, M. A., Kelman Wieder, R., Živković, T., Scott, K. D., Vitt, D. H., Hartsock, J. A., Iosue, C. L., Quinn, J. C., Petix, M.,
Fillingim, H. M., Popma, J. M. A., Dynarski, K. A., Jackman, T. R., Albright, C. M., and Wykoff, D. D.: N2-fixation by methanotrophs sustains carbon and nitrogen accumulation in pristine peatlands, Biogeochemistry, 121, 317-328, 10.1007/s10533-014-0019-6, 2014.

Waddington, J. M., Morris, P. J., Kettridge, N., Granath, G., Thompson, D. K., and Moore, P. A.: Hydrological feedbacks in northern peatlands, Ecohydrology, 8, 113–127, 10.1002/eco.1493, 2014.

Waldrop, M. P., Harden, J. W., Turetsky, M. R., Petersen, D. G., Mcguire, A. D., Briones, M. J. I., Churchill, A. C.,
Doctor, D. H., and Pruett, L. E.: Bacterial and enchytraeid abundance accelerate soil carbon turnover along a lowland vegetation gradient in interior Alaska, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 50, 188-198, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.02.032, 2012.

Wang, M., Moore, T., R. , Talbot, J., and Pierre, J. H. R.: The cascade of C:N:P stoichiometry in an ombrotrophic peatland: from plants to peat, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 024003, 2014.

Wickland, K. P., and Neff, J. C.: Decomposition of soil organic matter from boreal black spruce forest: environmental and chemical controls, Biogeochemistry, 87, 29-47, 10.1007/s..10533-007-9166-3, 2008.

Wickland, K. P., Neff, J. C., and Harden, J. W.: The role of soil drainage class in carbon dioxide exchange and decomposition in boreal black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forest stands, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 40, 2123–2134, DOI: 10.1139/X10-163, 2010.

Wyatt, K. H., Turetsky, M. R., Rober, A. R., Giroldo, D., Kane, E. S., and Stevenson, R. J.: Contributions of algae to GPP and DOC production in an Alaskan fen: effects of historical water table manipulations on ecosystem responses to a natural flood, Oecologia, 169, 821-832, 10.1007/s00442-011-2233-4, 2011.

Yu, Z., Vitt, D. H., Campbell, I. D., and Apps, M. J.: Understanding Holocene peat accumulation pattern of continental fens in western Canada, Canadian Journal of Botany, 81, 267-282, 10.1139/b03-016, 2003.

Yu, Z., Beilman, D. W., and Jones, M. C.: Sensitivity of Northern Peatland Carbon Dynamics to Holocene Climate Change, in: Carbon Cycling in Northern Peatlands, American Geophysical Union, 55-69, 2013.

Zoltai, S. C., Morrissey, L. A., Livingston, G. P., and De Groot, W. J.: Effects of fires on carbon cycling in North American boreal peatlands, Environ. Rev., 6, 13–24, 1998.

Table 1. Site biological, physical, and chemical information. Depth of organic soil, based on three soil cores, are averages with standard deviations. July temperatures are averaged for 2005-2011. Water table depth from measurements after July 15 for the years 2005-2008.

	Black spruce	Shrub	Tussock grass	Sedge	Rich fen
Dominant vegetation	*Ledum groendlandicum*, *Vaccinium caespitosum*, Feathermoss	*Salix* spp., *Betula* spp., *Chamaedaphne calyculata*, *Calamgrostis canadensis*	*Calamgrostis canadensis*, *Drepanocladus* spp.	*Carex atherodes*	*Drepanocladus* spp., *Sphagnum* spp., *Carex atherodes*
Depth of organic soil (cm)	21 ± 2	30 ± 15	29 ± 22	16 ± 1	91 ± 12
Shallow permafrost (<1 m)	yes	yes	no	no	no
Avg. July temperature at 10 cm (°C) [a]	8.3 ± 2.3	5.7 ± 0.9	5.7 ± 2.3	9.1 ± 3.0	15.8 ± 5.2
Avg. July temperature at 25 cm (°C) [a]	2.0 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.7	5.1 ± 2.4	7.9 ± 3.1	11.2 ± 1.7
Avg. annual temperature at 25 cm (°C) [b]	-0.03 ± 1.5	-1.5 ± 4.0	-1.3 ± 5.4	-0.03 ± 5.3	2.1 ± 5.0
Water table depth (cm)	34 ± 6	12 ± 7	15 ± 13	11 ± 12	5 ± 11
Soil moisture (% VMC at 5 cm) [b]	15 ± 3	57 ± 8	66 ± 8	72 ± 7	84 ± 2

[a] 2005-2011 [b] McConnell et al.

Table 2. Site C (g/m^2), N (g/m^2), and Unsupported ^{210}Pb (dpm/cm^2) storage data. Unsupported ^{210}Pb inventories represent the total atmospheric input of ^{210}Pb to that site. Data are averages of three cores with standard deviations. Different letters after values indicate that the values among ecosystems are statistically different based on the Tukey Honest Significant Difference test.

	Black spruce	Shrub	Tussock grass	Sedge	Rich fen
C storage in organic soil (g/m^2)	6460[a] ± 940	14140[a] ± 4850	13950[a] ± 9130	7930[a] ± 1930	61500[b] ± 7290
N storage in organic soil (g/m2)	170[a] ± 20	700[ab] ± 150	940[b] ± 540	610[a] ± 120	3690[c] ± 190
Unsupported ^{210}Pb (dpm/cm^2)	12.7[a] ± 5.6	10.7[a] ± 5.3	14.6[a] ± 2.7	10.2[a] ± 3.9	10.2[a] ± 1.3

Table 3. Decadal (< 60 yrs) and long-term (780-1400 yrs) C and N accumulation rates (g m^{-2} yr^{-1}) with their standard deviations. Accumulation rates were determined by averaging values calculated for each individual soil profile by ecosystem type. Different letters indicate significant differences among ecosystems for that accumulation rate, based on Tukey Honest Significant Difference test.

	Black spruce	Shrub	Tussock grass	Sedge	Rich fen
Decadal C accumulation rate (gC m^{-2} yr^{-1})	59[a] ± 13	127[a] ± 73	-	73[a] ± 9	76[a] ± 9
Long-term C accumulation rate (gC m^{-2} yr^{-1})	8[a] ± 1	18[a] ± 6	18[a] ± 12	10[a] ± 2	44[b] ± 5
Short:long C ratio	7.1	7	-	7.2	1.7
Decadal N accumulation rate (gN m^{-2} yr^{-1})	1.4[a] ± 0.2	3.6[ab] ± 1.7	-	5.6[b] ± 0.6	4.6[b] ± 0.5
Long-term N accumulation rate (gN m^{-2} yr^{-1})	0.22[a] ± 0.03	0.90[ab] ± 0.19	1.20[b] ± 0.69	0.79[a] ± 0.16	2.66[c] ± 0.14

Figure 1. Comparison of ^{210}Pb and ^{14}C ages for depth increments where both analyses are available. The material dated for ^{14}C ages was deciduous leaf fragments (shrub samples), seeds (sedge sample), or moss leaves and seeds (rich fen samples). The ^{210}Pb values listed include estimated error.

Figure 2. A comparison of organic soil C accumulation rates for fen and black spruce systems for this study (open symbols) and values found in the literature (solid symbols). Rates were calculated over many different time-spans (annual to millennial). Errors (where available) are standard deviations. Literature values from Aurela et al. (2004), Aurela et al. (2009), Bauer et al. (2009), Camill et al. (2009), Dunn et al. (2007), Euskirchen et al. (2014), Harden et al. (2012), Mathijssen et al. (2014), Oksanen et al. (2006), Turunen et al. (2002), Trumbore and Harden (1997), Yu et al. (2003) and Yu et al. (2013).

Table S1. [210]Pb ages for surface horizons for each profile, using both the CRS and CF:CS models. Errors are only shown for the CRS model as this is the model used in this study. Dates within square brackets have errors larger than the age difference between that horizon's age and the age of the intervals above, and therefore not considered valid. Depths with asterisks are mineral soil. BZBS = black spruce, BZWB = shrub, BZGR = tussock grass, BZEC = sedge, and BZRM = rich fen.

Sample	Basal Depth (cm)	[210]Pb (dpm g^{-1})	+/-	[226]Ra (dpm g^{-1})	+/-	Dry mass (g cm^{-2})	CRS basal date	+/- (yrs)	CF:CS basal date
BZBS 4	1	26.1	2.0	2.6	0.3	0.01	2005	0.1	2005
	5	24.1	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.04	2002	0.3	2002
	10	18.7	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.15	1990	1.4	1992
	15	8.7	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.50	1956	7	1956
	19	4.7	1.2	1.2	0.2	0.32	1928	20	1933
	22	2.4	0.6	0.9	0.1	0.48	[1863]	[144]	1898
BZBS 5	2	22.2	1.6	1.8	0.2	0.02	2005	0.2	2004
	5	23.0	1.2	0.4	0.1	0.12	1992	1.2	1992
	12.5	11.5	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.45	1945	10.2	1949
	16.5	2.9	1.0	0.9	0.2	0.44	1881	112	1906
BZBS 6	1	22.6	1.6	2.0	0.3	0.01	2006	0.0	2005
	3	37.6	1.7	1.4	0.1	0.02	2004	0.1	2004
	6	38.4	2.4	1.2	0.3	0.06	2000	0.4	1999
	9	10.4	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.21	1995	0.6	1984
	16	19.4	1.2	0.9	0.1	0.70	1936	9	1932
	18	1.9	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.34	[1871]	[299]	1907
BZWB 1	2	14.8	2.1	1.8	0.4	0.02	2005	0.2	2005
	4.5	13.6	1.5	0.9	0.3	0.10	2001	0.7	2002
	8.5	8.9	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.32	1988	1.9	1990
	12.5	6.1	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.44	1970	4.6	1973
	17.5	3.2	0.6	0.5	0.1	0.65	1946	12	1949
	22.5	2.3	0.5	1.1	0.1	1.20	[1881]	[91]	1905
BZWB 2	1	19.0	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.03	2003	0.4	2004
	6	8.2	1.0	0.9	0.2	0.45	1975	6.0	1975
	9	5.0	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.33	1941	17	1953
	12	3.4	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.27	[1877]	[129]	1936

BZWB 3	1	22.0	1.9	3.6	0.3	0.04	2005	0.2	n.d.
	6	6.8	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.55	1998	1.0	n.d.
	11	2.4	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.75	1994	1.7	n.d.
	16	3.8	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.40	1991	2.0	n.d.
	21	7.5	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.30	1985	2.2	n.d.
	26	6.3	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.40	1976	2.9	n.d.
	31	7.9	0.9	0.1	0.2	0.50	1951	7.0	n.d.
	36	8.1	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.40	1867	50	n.d.
BZGR 1	3	12.9	0.8	0.6	0.1	0.21	1999	0.8	2001
	7	4.9	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.32	1994	1.1	1994
	11.5	5.0	0.6	0.8	0.1	1.22	1968	6.1	1966
	14	4.8	0.4	1.3	0.1	0.73	1935	16.9	1950
	18*	2.2	0.3	1.4	0.1	1.60	[1871]	[131.4]	1914
BZGR 2	5	8.0	0.8	0.9	0.1	0.40	2000	0.7	2001
	8	7.6	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.51	1992	1.3	1994
	10	5.3	0.6	0.8	0.1	0.36	1987	1.5	1989
	12	3.0	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.40	1983	1.6	1983
	14	5.2	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.44	1975	2.2	1977
	16	4.9	0.4	1.0	0.1	0.66	1960	3.5	1968
	18	3.0	0.4	1.6	0.1	0.96	1943	6.5	1955
	20*	3.0	0.4	1.6	0.1	1.20	1909	23.4	1938
	22*	2.4	0.4	1.7	0.1	1.12	[1844]	[177]	1923
BZGR 3	5.5	6.9	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.39	1990	1.2	1989
	16	5.3	0.8	1.0	0.2	0.84	1986	3.7	1983.
	30	4.5	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.84	1964	9.1	1967
	35	3.2	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.35	1952	12	1961
	41	1.3	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.78	1941	17	1946
	54*	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.1	2.73	[1877]	[156]	1895
BZEC 1	4	7.7	0.8	1.0	0.1	0.52	1978	5.0	1977
	7	3.8	0.5	0.7	0.1	0.57	1937	20	1946
	9	2.0	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.44	[1872]	[166]	1922
BZEC 2	3	14.6	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.27	1995	1.1	1995
	7	11.1	0.9	1.1	0.1	0.56	1967	5.0	1972
	16	3.2	0.4	0.9	0.1	1.53	1902	38	1910

BZEC 3	4	12.3	1.0	1.2	0.2	0.20	2001	0.6	2001
	7	12.5	0.9	1.0	0.1	0.48	1982	2.4	1988
	11	12.0	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.28	1960	4.7	1980
	15.5	4.7	0.7	1.0	0.1	0.90	1896	40	1956
BZMR 1	2	5.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.12	2004	0.2	2001
	5	18.1	1.2	0.4	0.1	0.21	1990	1.3	1992
	10	9.3	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.35	1972	2.9	1978
	18	4.1	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.80	1933	13	1944
	32	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.1	1.54	[1869]	[127]	1880
BZMR 2	2.5	16.3	0.8	0.9	0.1	0.35	1977	3	1982
	10	4.7	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.45	1950.1	8.4	1951
	15	3.0	0.4	1.1	0.1	0.50	1915.2	27	1917
	20	1.3	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.55	[1851.0]	[208]	1880
BZMR 3	3	n.d	-	n.d	-	n.d.	2001.7	0.2	1989
	5	18.0	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.14	1991.6	1.0	1990
	7	15.0	1.9	1.3	0.3	0.16	1978.1	2.5	1980
	11	6.4	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.36	1956.9	5.8	1957
	13	5.9	1.0	1.3	0.2	0.20	1938.8	9.6	1945
	15	3.4	0.6	1.1	0.1	0.20	1922.7	15	1932
	17	2.3	0.5	1.1	0.1	0.22	[1907.7]	[23]	1918
	19	3.2	1.1	1.4	0.2	0.24	[1843.5]	[193]	1903

Table S2. [14]C raw and calibrated data. Negative values indicate ages younger than 1950 (present). These dates were calculated using CALIBomb with intercal13 and the NHZ1 bomb curve extension. All other dates were calibrated using CALIB 7.0, IntCal04 curve. Minimum and maximum ages are estimates using two sigma. Because ages are presented as cal BP, age at the time of sampling equals 2006-(1950-cal BP age).

Profile	Depth (cm)	Lab Number	Description	Fraction Modern	FM Error	Age	Age error	Min age (cal BP)	Max age (cal BP)
Shrub-1 (BZWB 1)	8.5	WW9508	Deciduous leaves of various sizes	1.15	0.0041	>Modern	-	-39.88	-42.89
	12.5	WW9509	Deciduous leaves of various sizes	1.05	0.0031	>Modern	-	-6.58	-7.30
	22.5	WW9526	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.97	0.0034	225	30	145 267	214 309
	27	WW9527	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.92	0.0027	695	25	646	683
Sedge-1 (BZEC 1)	7	WW9522	Seeds	0.99	0.0052	55	45	-5	-5
	15	WW9513	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.91	0.0032	750	30	664	727
	17	WW9514	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.90	0.0026	865	45	725	800
Rich Fen-2 (BZMR 2)	10	WW9523	Moss leaves and seeds	0.98	0.0042	130	35	56 172	151 278
	15	WW9524	Moss leaves and seeds	0.99	0.0035	100	30	15	145
	20	WW9525	Moss leaves and seeds	0.99	0.0043	115	35	11	150
	72	WW9511	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.86	0.0025	1225	25	1068	1187
	79	WW9512	Bulk soil with roots picked out	0.84	0.0024	1435	25	1297	1371

Table S3. Results from the sensitivity analysis to determine the potential impact of different ages for the black spruce ecosystem. Long-term C accumulation rates using different ages for the black spruce ecosystem were compared to accumulation rates of the three other non-fen ecosystems (shrub, tussock grass, and sedge) and, separately, the rich fen ecosystem. Only when the black spruce ecosystem is 200 years old does its long-term C accumulation rate differ significantly from the non-fen ecosystems and become similar to the rich fen ecosystem. The long-term C accumulation rate for the black spruce ecosystem found in the manuscript is 8 +/- gC m^{-2} yr^{-1}, based on an age of 780 yrs (see Section 3.2 for more information).

Selected age of black spruce ecosystem	Long-term C accumulation rate using that age (gC m^{-2} y^{-1})	Are black spruce long-term C accumulation rates significantly different when compared to:	
		Non-fen ecosystems (p-value)?	Rich-fen ecosystem (p-value)?
200 yrs	32 +/- 5	Yes (0.031)	No (0.045)
300 yrs	22 +/- 3	No (0.30)	Yes (0.003)
500 yrs	13 +/- 2	No (0.45)	Yes (0.0006)
1000 yrs	6 +/- 1	No (0.16)	Yes (0.0003)
1400 yrs	5 +/- 1	No (0.11)	Yes (0.0002)
1600 yrs	4 +/- 1	No (0.09)	Yes (0.0002)

Figure S1. C:N relationships averaged by site for the surface organic soil (≤ 20 cm, panel 1) and deep organic soil (>20 cm, panel 2). Letters indicate significant differences (Tukey multiple comparison of means).