



1 Integrated soil fertility management drives the effect of cover crops on GHG

- 2 emissions in an irrigated field
- 3 Guillermo Guardia^a*, Diego Abalos^b, Sonia García-Marco^a, Miguel Quemada^a, María Alonso-
- 4 Ayuso^a, Laura M. Cárdenas^c, Elizabeth R. Dixon^c, Antonio Vallejo^a
- 5 ^a ETSI Agronomos, Technical University of Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain.
- 6 ^b School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada.
- ^c Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Devon, EX20 2SB, UK.
- 8 * Corresponding author. Tf. 0034-913363694. e-mail: guillermo.guardia@upm.es

9 Abstract

10 Agronomical and environmental benefits are associated with replacing winter fallow by cover crops (CC). Yet, the effect of this practice on nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions 11 remains poorly understood. In this context, a field experiment was carried out under 12 13 Mediterranean conditions to evaluate the effect of replacing the traditional winter fallow (F) by vetch (Vicia sativa L.; V) or barley (Hordeum vulgare L.; B) on greenhouse gas 14 15 (GHG) emissions during the intercrop and the maize (Zea mays L.) cropping period. The maize was fertilized following Integrated Soil Fertility management (ISFM) 16 17 criteria. Maize nitrogen (N) uptake, soil mineral N concentrations, soil temperature and 18 moisture, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and GHG fluxes were measured during the 19 experiment. The ISFM resulted in low cumulative N2O emissions (0.57 to 0.75 kg N2O-20 N ha⁻¹), yield-scaled N₂O emissions (3-6 g N₂O-N kg aboveground N uptake⁻¹) and N surplus (31 to 56 kg N ha⁻¹) for all treatments. Although CCs increased N₂O emissions 21 during the intercrop period compared to F (1.6 and 2.6 times in B and V, respectively), 22 23 the ISFM resulted in similar cumulative emissions for the CCs and F at the end of the maize cropping period. The higher C:N ratio of the B residue led to a greater proportion 24





of N_2O losses from the synthetic fertilizer in these plots, when compared to V. No significant differences were observed in CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes at the end of the experiment. This study shows that the use of both legume and non-legume CCs combined with ISFM could provide, in addition to the advantages reported in previous studies, an opportunity to maximize agronomic efficiency (lowering synthetic N requirements for the subsequent cash crop) without increasing cumulative or yieldscaled N₂O losses.

32 **1. Introduction**

33 Improved resource-use efficiencies are pivotal components of a sustainable 34 agriculture that meets human needs and protects natural resources (Spiertz, 2010). Several strategies have been proposed to improve the efficiency of intensive irrigated 35 36 systems, where nitrate (NO_3) leaching losses are of major concern, both during cash crop and winter fallow periods (Quemada et al., 2013). In this sense, replacing winter 37 intercrop fallow with cover crops (CCs) has been reported to decrease NO_3^- leaching via 38 39 retention of post-harvest surplus inorganic nitrogen (N) (Wagner-Riddle and Thurtell, 1998), consequently improving N use efficiency (NUE) of the cropping system (Gabriel 40 and Quemada, 2011). Furthermore, the use of CCs as green manure for the subsequent 41 42 cash crop may further increase soil fertility and NUE (Tonitto et al., 2006; Veenstra et al., 2007) through slow release of N and other nutrients from the crop residues, leading 43 44 to synthetic fertilizer saving.

From an environmental point of view, N fertilization is closely related with the production and emission of nitrous oxide (N₂O) (Davidson and Kanter, 2014), a greenhouse gas (GHG) with a molecular global warming potential c. 300 times that of carbon dioxide (CO₂) (IPCC, 2007). Nitrous oxide released from agricultural soils is





49 mainly generated by nitrification and denitrification processes, which are influenced by several soil variables (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). Thereby, modifying these 50 parameters through agricultural management practices (e.g. fertilization, crop rotation, 51 52 tillage or irrigation) aiming to optimize N inputs, can lead to strategies for reducing the emission of this gas (Ussiri and Lal, 2012). In order to identify the most effective GHG 53 mitigation strategies, side-effects on methane (CH_4) uptake and CO_2 emission (i.e. 54 55 respiration) from soils, which are also influenced by agricultural practices (Snyder et al., 2009), need to be considered. 56

57 To date, the available information linking GHG emission and maize-winter CCs 58 rotation in the scientific literature is scarce. The most important knowledge gaps include 59 effects of plant species selection and CCs residue management (i.e. retention, 60 incorporation or removal) (Basche et al., 2014). Cover crop species may affect N_2O emissions in contrasting ways, by influencing abiotic and biotic soil factors. These 61 62 factors include mineral N availability in soil and the availability of carbon (C) sources for the denitrifier bacterial communities, soil pH, soil structure and microbial 63 64 community composition (Abalos et al., 2014). For example, non-legume CCs such as winter cereals could contribute to a reduction of N2O emissions due to their deep roots, 65 which allow them to extract soil N more efficiently than legumes (Kallenbach et al., 66 2010). Conversely, the higher C:N ratio of their residues as compared to those of 67 68 legumes may provide energy for denitrifiers, thereby leading to higher N₂O losses in the presence of mineral N from fertilizers (Sarkodie-Addo et al., 2003). Moreover, winter 69 70 CCs can also abate indirect gaseous N losses through the reduction of leaching and 71 subsequent emissions from water resources (Feyereisen et al., 2006). Thus, the estimated N₂O mitigation potential for winter CCs ranges from 0.2 to 1.1 kg N₂O ha⁻¹ 72 yr⁻¹ according to Ussiri and Lal (2012). 73





74 In a CC-maize rotation system, mineral fertilizer application to the cash crop 75 could have an important effect on NUE and N losses from the agro-ecosystem. Different methods for calculating the N application rate (e.g. conventional or integrated) can be 76 77 employed by farmers, affecting the amount of synthetic N applied to soil and the overall effect of CCs on N2O fluxes. Integrated Soil Fertility Management (ISFM) (Kimani et 78 al., 2003) provides an opportunity to optimize the use of available resources, thereby 79 80 reducing pollution and costs from over-use of N fertilizers (conventional management). ISFM involves the use of inorganic fertilizers and organic inputs, such as green manure, 81 82 aiming to maximize agronomic efficiency (Vanlauwe et al., 2011). When applying this technique to a CC-maize crop rotation, N fertilization rate for maize is calculated taking 83 into account the background soil mineral N and the expected available N from 84 mineralization of CC residues, which depends on residue composition. Differences in 85 soil mineral N during the cash crop phase may be significantly reduced if ISFM 86 87 practices are employed, affecting the GHG balance of the CC-cash crop cropping 88 system.

89 Only one study has investigated the effect of CCs on N₂O emissions in Mediterranean cropping systems (Sanz-Cobena et al., 2014). These authors found an 90 effect of CCs species on N₂O emissions during the intercrop period. After 4 years of CC 91 92 (vetch, barley or rape)-maize rotation, vetch was the only CC species that significantly 93 enhanced N₂O losses compared to fallow, mainly due to its capacity to fix atmospheric N_2 and because of higher N surplus from the previous cropping phases in these plots. In 94 95 this study a conventional fertilization (same N synthetic rate for all treatments) was 96 applied during the maize phase; how ISFM practices may affect these findings remains unknown. Moreover, the relative contribution of mineral N fertilizer, CC residues 97 and/or soil mineral N to N2O losses during the cash crop has not been assessed yet. In 98





this sense, stable isotope analysis (i.e. ¹⁵N) has emerged as a way to identify the source
and the dominant processes involved in N₂O production (Arah, 1997). A comprehensive
understanding of the N₂O biochemical production pathways and nutrient sources is
crucial for the development of effective mitigation strategies.

103 The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of two different CC species (barley and vetch) and fallow on GHG emissions during the CC period and during the 104 105 following maize cash crop period in an ISFM system. An additional objective was to 106 study the contribution of the synthetic fertilizer and other N sources to N₂O emissions using ¹⁵N labelled fertilizer. We hypothesized that: 1) the presence of CCs instead of 107 fallow would affect N_2O losses, leading to higher emissions in the case of the legume 108 109 CC (vetch) in accordance with the studies of Basche et al. (2014) and Sanz-Cobena et 110 al. (2014); and 2) in spite of the ISFM during the maize period, which theoretically would lead to similar soil N availability for all plots, the distinct composition of the CC 111 112 residues would affect N2O emissions. In order to test these hypotheses, a field experiment was carried out using the same management system for 8 years, measuring 113 GHGs during the 8th year. To gain a better understanding of the effect of the 114 management practices tested on the overall GHG budget of a cropping system, CH₄, 115 CO_2 and yield-scaled N₂O emissions were also analyzed during the experimental 116 period. The relative contribution of each N source (synthetic fertilizer or soil 117 118 endogenous N, including N mineralized from the CCs) to N₂O emissions was also evaluated by ¹⁵N-labelled ammonium nitrate (AN) in a parallel experiment. 119

120

121 **2. Materials and methods**

122 2.1. Site characteristics





123 The study was conducted at "La Chimenea" field station (40°03'N, 03°31'W, 124 550 m a.s.l.), located in the central Tajo river basin near Aranjuez (Madrid, Spain), where an experiment involving cover cropping systems and conservation tillage has 125 126 been carried out since 2006. Soil at the field site is a silty clay loam (Typic Calcixerept; Soil Survey Staff, 2014). Some of the physico-chemical properties of the top 0-10 cm 127 soil layer, as measured by conventional methods, were: pH_{H2O} , 8.16; total organic C, 128 19.0 g kg⁻¹; CaCO₃, 198 g kg⁻¹; clay, 25%; silt, 49% and sand, 26%. Bulk density of 129 the topsoil layer determined in intact core samples (Grossman and Reinsch, 2002) was 130 1.46 g cm⁻³. Average ammonium (NH₄⁺) content at the beginning of the experiment 131 was 0.42 ± 0.2 mg N kg soil⁻¹ (without differences between treatments). Nitrate 132 concentrations were 1.5±0.2 mg N kg soil⁻¹ in fallow and barley and 0.9±0.1 mg N kg 133 soil⁻¹ in vetch. Initial dissolved organic C (DOC) contents were 56.0 ± 7 mg C kg soil⁻¹ in 134 135 vetch and fallow and 68.8±5 mg C kg soil⁻¹ in barley. The area has a Mediterranean semiarid climate, with a mean annual air temperature of 14 °C. The coldest month is 136 137 January with a mean temperature of 6 °C, and the hottest month is August with a mean 138 temperature of 24 °C. During the last 30 years, the mean annual precipitation has been 139 approximately 350 mm (17 mm from July to August and 131 mm from September to November). 140

Hourly rainfall and air temperature data were obtained from a meteorological
station located at the field site (CR10X, Campbell Scientific Ltd, Shepshed, UK). A
temperature probe inserted 10 cm into the soil was used to measure soil temperature.
Mean hourly temperature data were stored on a data logger.

145

146

2.2 Experimental design and agronomic management





147 Twelve plots $(12m \times 12m)$ were randomly distributed in four replications of three cover cropping treatments, including a cereal and a legume: 1) barley (B) 148 (Hordeum vulgare L., cv. Vanessa), 2) vetch (V) (Vicia sativa L., cv. Vereda), and 3) 149 150 traditional winter fallow (F). Cover crop seeds were broadcast by hand over the stubble of the previous crop and covered with a shallow cultivator (5 cm depth) on October 10th 151 2013, at a rate of 180 and 150 kg ha⁻¹ for B and V, respectively. The cover cropping 152 phase finished on March 14th 2014, with an application of glyphosate (N-153 phosphonomethyl glycine) at a rate of 0.7 kg a.e. ha⁻¹. All the CC residues were left on 154 top of the soil. Thereafter, a new set of N fertilizer treatments was set up for the maize 155 cash crop phase. Maize (Zea mays L., Pioneer P1574, FAO Class 700) was direct drilled 156 on April 7th 2014 in all plots, resulting in a plant population density of 7.5 plants m^{-2} ; 157 harvesting took place on September 25th 2014. The fertilizer treatments consisted of AN 158 159 applied on 2nd June at three rates: 170, 140 and 190 kg N ha⁻¹ in F, V and B plots, respectively, according to ISFM practices. For the calculation of each N rate, the N 160 available in the soil (which was calculated following soil analysis as described below), 161 162 the expected N uptake by maize crop, and the estimated N mineralized from V and B residues were taken into account, assuming that crop requirements were 236.3 kg N ha⁻¹ 163 (Quemada et al., 2014). Estimated NUE of maize plants for calculating N application 164 165 rate was 70% according to the NUE obtained during the previous years in the same 166 experimental area. Each plot received P as triple superphosphate (45% P₂O₅, Fertiberia[®], Madrid, Spain) at a rate of 69 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, and K as potassium chloride 167 (60% K₂O, Fertiberia[®], Madrid, Spain), at a rate of 120 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ just before sowing 168 maize. All N, P and K fertilizers were broadcast by hand, and immediately after N 169 fertilization the field was irrigated to prevent ammonia volatilization. The main crop 170





- 171 previous to sowing CCs was sunflower (Helianthus annuus L., var. Sambro). Neither
- the sunflower nor the CCs were fertilized.

In order to determine the amount of N2O derived from the N fertilizers, double-173 labelled AN (¹⁵NH₄¹⁵NO₃, 5 % atom ¹⁵N, from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., 174 Massachusetts, USA) was applied on 2m x 2m subplots established within each plot at a 175 rate of 130 kg N ha⁻¹. In order to reduce biases due to the use of different N rates (e.g. 176 apparent priming effects or different mixing ratios between the added and resident soil 177 178 N pools) the same amount of N was applied for all treatments. In each subplot, the CC residue was also left on top of the soil. This application took place on 26th May by 179 spreading the fertilizer homogenously with a hand sprayer, followed by an irrigation 180 181 event.

182 Sprinkler irrigation was applied to the maize crop in a total amount of 688.5 mm in 31 irrigation events. Sprinklers were installed in a 12m x 12m framework. The water 183 doses to be applied were estimated from the crop evapotranspiration (ETc) of the 184 185 previous week (net water requirements). This was calculated daily as $ETc. = Kc \times ETo$, where ETo is reference evapotranspiration calculated by the FAO Penman-Monteith 186 187 method (Allen et al., 1998) using data from the meteorological station located in the 188 experimental field. The crop coefficient (Kc) was obtained using the relationship for maize in semiarid conditions (Martínez-Cob, 2008). 189

Two different periods were considered for data reporting and analysis: Period I
(from CC sowing to N fertilization of the maize crop), and Period II (from N
fertilization of maize to the end of the experimental period, after maize harvest).

193

194 2.3 GHG emissions sampling and analyzing





195 Fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ were measured from October 2013 to October 196 2014 using opaque manual circular static chambers as described in detail by Abalos et al. (2013). One chamber (diameter 35.6 cm, height 19.3 cm) was located in each 197 experimental plot. The chambers were hermetically closed (for 1 h) by fitting them into 198 stainless steel rings, which were inserted at the beginning of the study into the soil to a 199 depth of 5 cm to minimize the lateral diffusion of gases and to avoid the soil disturbance 200 201 associated with the insertion of the chambers in the soil. The rings were only removed during management events. Each chamber had a rubber sealing tape to guarantee an 202 203 airtight seal between the chamber and the ring. A rubber stopper with a 3-way stopcock was placed in the wall of each chamber to take gas samples. Greenhouse gas 204 205 measurements were always made with barley/vetch plants inside the chamber. During 206 the maize period, gas chambers were set up between maize rows.

During Period I, GHGs were sampled weekly or every two weeks. During the first month after maize fertilization, gas samples were taken twice per week. Afterwards, gas sampling was performed weekly or fortnightly, until the end of the cropping period. To minimize any effects of diurnal variation in emissions, samples were always taken at the same time of the day (10–12 am), that is reported as a representative time (Reeves et al., 2015).

213 Measurements of N_2O , CO_2 and CH_4 emissions were made at 0, 30 and 60 min 214 to test the linearity of gas accumulation in each chamber. Gas samples (100 mL) were 215 removed from the headspace of each chamber by syringe and transferred to 20 mL gas 216 vials sealed with a gas-tight neoprene septum. The vials were previously flushed in the 217 field using 80 mL of the gas sample. Samples were analyzed by gas chromatography 218 using a HP-6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a headspace autoanalyzer (HT3), 219 both from Agilent Technologies (Barcelona, Spain). HP Plot-Q capillary columns





transported gas samples to a ⁶³Ni electron-capture detector (Micro-ECD) to analyze 220 N2O concentrations and to a flame ionization detector (FID) connected to a methanizer 221 to measure CH₄ and CO₂ (previously reduced to CH₄). The temperatures of the injector, 222 223 oven and detector were 50, 50 and 350°C, respectively. The accuracy of the gas chromatographic data was 1% or better. Two gas standards comprising a mixture of 224 gases (high standard with 1500 ± 7.50 ppm CO₂, 10 ± 0.25 ppm CH₄ and 2 ± 0.05 ppm 225 226 N_2O and low standard with 200 \pm 1.00 ppm CO_2 , 2 \pm 0.10 ppm CH_4 and 200 \pm 6.00 ppb N₂O) were provided by Carburos Metálicos S.A. and Air Products SA/NV, respectively, 227 and used to determine a standard curve for each gas. The response of the GC was linear 228 within 200-1500 ppm for CO₂ and 2-10 ppm CH₄ and quadratic within 200-2000 ppb 229 for N₂O. 230

231 The increases in N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations within the chamber headspace were generally (80% of cases) linear ($R^2 > 0.90$) during the sampling period 232 233 (1h). Therefore, emission rates of fluxes were estimated as the slope of the linear 234 regression between concentration and time (after corrections for temperature) and from 235 the ratio between chamber volume and soil surface area (MacKenzie et al., 1998). Cumulative N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂, emissions per plot during the sampling period were 236 237 estimated by linear interpolations between sampling dates, multiplying the mean flux of 238 two successive determinations by the length of the period between sampling and adding 239 that amount to the previous cumulative total (Sanz-Cobena et al., 2014). The 240 measurement of CO₂ emissions from soil including plants in opaque chambers only 241 includes ecosystem respiration but not photosynthesis (Meijide et al., 2010).

242

243 $2.4^{15}N$ Isotope analysis





244 Gas samples from the subplots receiving double-labelled AN fertilizer were taken after 60 min static chamber closure 1, 4, 9, 11, 15, 18, 22 and 25 days after 245 fertilizer application. Stable ¹⁵N isotope analysis of N₂O contained in the gas samples 246 247 was carried out on a trace gas analyzer (using cryo-trapping and cryo-focusing) coupled to a 20/22 isotope ratio mass spectrometer (both from SerCon Ltd., Crewe, UK), at 248 Rothamsted Research North Wyke. Solutions of 6.6 and 2.9 atom% ammonium 249 250 sulphate $[(NH_4)_2SO_4]$ were prepared and used to generate 6.6 and 2.9 atom% N₂O (Laughlin et al., 1997) which were used as reference and quality control standards. 251 During the experiment, the mean natural abundance of atmospheric N₂O (0.369 atom% 252 ¹⁵N) was subtracted from measured enriched samples to calculate the atom percent 253 excess. To obtain the N₂O flux that was derived from fertilizer ($N_2O - N_{dff}$), the Eq. (1) 254 255 was used (Loick et al., 2016):

256
$$N_2 O - N_{dff} = N_2 O - N \times \left(\frac{N_2 O - atom \, percent \, excess_{sample}}{atom \, percent \, excess_{fertilizer}}\right) (1)$$

in which ' N_2O-N ' is the N₂O emission from soil, ' $N_2O - ape_{sample}$ ' is the ¹⁵N atom% excess of emitted N₂O (being equal to '¹⁵N atom% of measured samples' minus 0.369 atom% where 0.369 atom% is the mean natural ¹⁵N abundance of '*background* N_2O ' obtained in our experiment), and ' $ape_{fertilizer}$ ' is the ¹⁵N atom% excess of the applied fertilizer (Loick et al., 2016).

262

263 2.5 Soil and crop analyses

In order to relate gas emissions to soil properties, soil samples were collected at 0-10 cm depth during the growing season on almost all gas-sampling occasions, particularly after each fertilization event. Three soil cores (2.5 cm diameter and 15 cm





267 length) were randomly sampled close to the ring in each plot, and then mixed and homogenized in the laboratory. Soil NH4⁺ and NO3⁻ concentrations were analyzed using 268 8 g of soil extracted with 50 mL of KCl (1 M), and measured by automated colorimetric 269 270 determination using a flow injection analyzer (FIAS 400 Perkin Elmer) provided with a UV-V spectrophotometer detector. Soil (DOC) was determined by extracting 8 g of 271 homogeneously mixed soil with 50 mL of deionized water, and analyzed with a total 272 273 organic C analyser (multi N/C 3100 Analityk Jena) equipped with an IR detector. The 274 Water-Filled Pore Space (WFPS) was calculated by dividing the volumetric water content by total soil porosity. Total soil porosity was calculated according to the 275 relationship: soil porosity = (1- soil bulk density/2.65), assuming a particle density of 276 2.65 g cm⁻³ (Danielson and Sutherland, 1986). Gravimetric water content was 277 determined by oven-drying soil samples at 105 °C with a MA30 Sartorius ®. 278

Four 0.5m × 0.5m squares were randomly harvested from each plot, before killing the CC by applying glyphosate. Aerial biomass was cut by hand at soil level, dried, weighed and ground. A subsample was taken for determination of total N content. From these samples was determined CC biomass and N contribution to the subsequent maize.

At maize harvest, two 8 m central rows in each plot were collected and weighed in the field following separation of grain and straw. For aboveground N uptake calculations, N content was determined in subsamples of grain and biomass. Total N content on maize and CC subsamples were determined with an elemental analyzer (TruMac CN Leco).

289

290 2.6 Calculations and statistical analysis





Yield-scaled N_2O emissions and N surplus in the maize cash crop were calculated as the amount of N_2O emitted (considering the emissions of the whole experiment, i.e. Period I + Period II) per unit of above-ground N uptake, and taking the difference between N application and above-ground N uptake, respectively (van Groenigen et al., 2010).

Statistical analyses were carried out with Statgraphics Plus 5.1. Analyses of 296 297 variance were performed for all variables over the experiment (except climatic ones), 298 for both periods indicated in section 2.2. Data distribution normality and variance 299 uniformity were previously assessed by Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene's statistic, respectively, and transformed (log10, root-square, arcsin or inverse) before analysis 300 301 when necessary. Means of soil parameters were separated by Tukey's honest 302 significance test at P < 0.05, while cumulative GHG emissions, YSNE and N surplus were compared by the orthogonal contrasts method at P < 0.05. For non-normally 303 304 distributed data, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used on non-transformed data to evaluate differences at P < 0.05. Linear correlations were carried out to determine relationships 305 between gas fluxes and WFPS, soil temperature, DOC, NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻. Theses analyses 306 were performed using the mean/cumulative data of the replicates of the CC treatments 307 308 (n=12), and also for all the dates when soil and GHG were sampled, for Period I (n=16), Period II (n=11) and the whole experimental period (n=27). 309

310

311 3. Results

312 *3.1 Cover crop (Period I)*

313 *3.1.1 Environmental conditions and WFPS*





314	Mean soil temperature during the intercrop period was 8.8°C, ranging from 1.8
315	(December) to 15.5°C (April) (Fig. 1a), which were typical values in the experimental
316	area. Mean soil temperature during maize cropping period was 24.6°C, which was also
317	a standard value for this region. The accumulated rainfall during this period was 215
318	mm, whereas the 30-year mean is 253 mm. Water-Filled Pore Space ranged from 40 to
319	81% (Fig. 1b). No significant differences were observed for WFPS mean values
320	between the different treatments (P >0.05).

321

322 *3.1.2 Mineral N and DOC and cover crop residues*

Topsoil NH₄⁺ content was below 5 mg N kg soil⁻¹ almost of the time in Period I, 323 although a peak was observed after maize sowing (55 days after CCs kill date) (Fig. 2a), 324 with the highest values reached in B (50 mg N kg soil⁻¹). Mean NH_4^+ content was 325 significantly higher in B than in F (P<0.05). Nitrate content increased after CCs killing, 326 reaching values above 25 mg N kg soil⁻¹ in V treatment (Fig. 2c). Mean NO₃⁻ content 327 during Period I was significantly higher in the V plots than in the B and F plots 328 (P<0.001). Dissolved Organic C ranged from 60 to 130 mg C kg soil ⁻¹ (Fig. 2e). 329 Average topsoil DOC content was significantly higher in B than in V and F (P<0.05). 330 The total amount of cover crop biomass left on the ground was 540.5±26.5 and 331 1106.7±93.6 kg DM ha⁻¹ in B and V, respectively. Accordingly, the total N content of 332 these residues was 11.0 ± 0.6 and 41.3 ± 4.5 kg N ha⁻¹ in B and V, respectively. 333

334

335 *3.1.3 GHG fluxes*





336	Nitrous oxide fluxes ranged from -0.06 to 0.22 mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹ (Fig. 3a) in Period
337	I. The soil acted as a sink for N_2O at some sampling dates, especially for the F plots.
338	Cumulative fluxes at the end of Period I were significantly greater in CC treatments
339	compared to F (1.6 and 2.6 higher in B and V, respectively) (P<0.05; Table 1). Net CH ₄
340	uptake was observed in all intercrop treatments, and daily fluxes ranged from -0.60 to
341	0.25 mg C m ⁻² d ⁻¹ (data not shown). No significant differences were observed between
342	treatments in cumulative CH ₄ fluxes at the end of Period I (P>0.05; Table 1). Carbon
343	dioxide fluxes (data not shown) remained below 1 g C $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ during the intercrop
344	period. Greatest fluxes were observed in B although differences in cumulative fluxes
345	were not significant (P >0.05; Table 1). Nitrous oxide emissions were significantly
346	correlated to CO ₂ fluxes (P<0.01, n=17, r=0.69) and soil temperature (P<0.05, n=17,
347	r=0.55).

348

- 349 *3.2 Maize crop (Period II)*
- 350 *3.2.1 Environmental conditions and WFPS*

Mean soil temperature ranged from 19.6 (reached in September) to 32.3° C (reached in August) with a mean value of 27.9° C (Fig. 1a). Total rainfall during the maize crop period was 57 mm. Water-Filled Pore Space ranged from 19 to 84% (Fig. 1c). Higher mean WFPS values (*P*<0.01) were measured in B during some sampling dates.

356

357 3.2.2 Mineral N and DOC





358	Topsoil NH_4^+ content increased rapidly after N fertilization (Fig. 2b) decreasing
359	to values below 10 mg N kg soil ⁻¹ from 15 days after fertilization to the end of the
360	experimental period. Nitrate concentrations (Fig. 2d) also peaked after AN addition,
361	reaching the highest value (170 mg N kg soil ⁻¹) 15 days after fertilization in B (P <0.05).
362	No significant differences (P >0.05) between treatments were observed in average soil
363	$\rm NH_4^+$ or $\rm NO_3^-$ during maize phase. Dissolved Organic C ranged from 56 to 138 mg C kg
364	soil ⁻¹ (Fig. 2f). Average topsoil DOC content was 26 and 44% higher in B than in V and
365	F, respectively ($P < 0.001$).

366

367 3.2.3 GHG fluxes, Yield-Scaled N₂O emissions and N surplus

Nitrous oxide fluxes ranged from 0.0 to 5.6 mg N m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 3b). The highest 368 N₂O emission peak was observed 1-4 days after fertilization for all plots. Other peaks 369 370 were subsequently observed until 25 days after fertilization, particularly in B plots 371 where N_2O emissions 23 and 25 days after fertilization were higher (P<0.05) than those of F and V (Fig. 3b). No significant differences in cumulative N₂O fluxes were 372 observed between treatments throughout or at the end of the maize crop period (Table 373 1), albeit fluxes were numerically higher in B than in V (0.05<P<0.10). Daily N₂O 374 emissions were significantly correlated with NH_4^+ topsoil content (P<0.05, n=12, 375 376 r=0.84).

As in the previous period, all treatments were CH_4 sinks, without significant differences between treatments (*P*>0.05; Table 1). Respiration rates ranged from 0.15 to 3.0 g C m⁻² d⁻¹; no significant differences (*P*>0.05; Table 1) were observed among the CO₂ values for the different treatments. Yield-scaled N₂O emissions and N surplus are





- 381 shown in Table 1. No significant differences were observed between treatments 382 although these values were generally lower in V than in B (0.05 < P < 0.15).
- Considering the whole cropping period (Period I and Period II), N₂O fluxes significantly correlated with WFPS (P < 0.05, n=12, r=0.61), NH₄⁺ (P < 0.05, n=27, r=0.84) and NO₃⁻ (P < 0.05, n=27, r=0.50).

386

387 3.2.4 Fertilizer-derived N_2O emissions

388 The proportion (%) of N₂O losses from AN, calculated by isotopic analyses, is represented in Fig. 4. The highest percentages of N₂O fluxes derived from the synthetic 389 390 fertilizer were observed one day after fertilization, ranging from 34% (V) to 67% (B). On average, almost 50% of N₂O emissions in the first sampling event after N synthetic 391 392 fertilization came from other sources (i.e. soil endogenous N, including N mineralized 393 from the CCs). The mean percentage of N₂O losses from synthetic fertilizer throughout all sampling dates was 2.5 times higher in B compared to V (P<0.05). There were no 394 significant differences between V and F (P>0.05). 395

396

397 **4. Discussion**

398 *4.1 Role of CCs in N₂O emissions: Period I*

Cover crop treatments (V and B) increased N₂O losses compared to F, especially in the case of V (Table 1). These results are consistent with the meta-analysis of Basche et al. (2014), which showed that overall CCs increase N₂O fluxes (compared to bare fallow), with highly significant increments in the case of legumes and a lower effect in





403 the case of non-legume CCs. In the same experimental area, Sanz-Cobena et al. (2014) 404 found that V was the only CC significantly affecting N₂O emissions. The greatest differences between treatments were observed at the beginning (13-40 days after CCs 405 sowing), and at the end of this period (229 days after CCs sowing) (Fig. 3a). On these 406 dates, the mild soil temperatures and the relatively high moisture content were more 407 suitable for soil biochemical processes, which may trigger N_2O emissions (Fig. 1a, b) 408 409 (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). Average topsoil NO₃⁻ was significantly higher in V 410 (Fig. 2b), which was the treatment that led to the highest N_2O emissions. Legumes such 411 as V are capable of biologically fixing atmospheric N₂, thereby increasing soil NO₃⁻ content with potential to be denitrified. Further, the mineralization of the most 412 413 recalcitrant fraction of the previous V residue (which supplies nearly four times more N 414 than the B residue, as indicated in section 3.1.2) together with high C-content sunflower 415 residue could also explain higher NO3⁻ contents in V plots (Frimpong et al., 2011), and 416 higher N₂O losses from denitrification (Baggs et al., 2000). After CCs kill date, N 417 release from decomposition of roots and nodules and faster mineralization of V residue 418 compared to that of B (shown by NO3⁻ in soil in Fig. 2c) are the most plausible explanation for the N₂O increases at the end of the intercrop period (Fig. 3a) (Rochette 419 and Janzen, 2005; Wichern et al., 2008). 420

Some studies (e.g. Justes et al., 1999; Nemecek et al., 2008) have pointed out that N₂O losses can be reduced with the use of CCs, due to the extraction of plantavailable N unused by previous cash crop. However, in our study lower N₂O emissions were measured from F plots without CCs during the intercrop period. This may be a consequence of higher NO₃⁻ leaching in F plots (Gabriel et al., 2012; Quemada et al., 2013), limiting the availability of the substrate for denitrification. Frequent rainfall during the intercrop period (Fig. 1a) and the absence of N uptake by CCs may have led





428 to N losses through leaching, resulting in low concentrations of soil mineral N in F

429 plots.

430 Nitrous oxide emissions were low during this period, but in the range of those 431 reported by Sanz-Cobena et al. (2014) in the same experimental area. Total emissions 432 during Period I represented 8, 10 and 21% of total cumulative emissions in F, B and V, 433 respectively (Table 1). The absence of N fertilizer application to the soil combined with 434 the low soil temperatures during winter – which were far from the optimum values for nitrification and denitrification (25-30 °C) processes (Ussiri and Lal, 2012) - may have 435 caused these low N2O fluxes. The significant positive correlation between soil 436 temperature and N₂O fluxes during this period highlights the key role of this parameter 437 as a driver of soil emissions (Schindlbacher et al., 2004; García-Marco et al., 2014). 438

439

440 4.2 Role of CCs in N_2O emissions: Period II

441 Isotopic analysis during Period II, in which ISFM was carried out, showed that a 442 significant proportion of N2O emissions came from endogenous soil N or the mineralization of crop residues, especially after the first days following N fertilization 443 444 (Fig. 4). In this sense, even though an interaction between crop residue and N fertilizer 445 application has been previously described (e.g. in Abalos et al., 2013), the similar proportion of N₂O losses coming from fertilizer in B and F (without residue) one day 446 after N fertilization revealed the importance of mineral N harbored in soil micropores in 447 the N₂O bursts after the first irrigation events. 448

As we hypothesized, although ISFM practices were adopted, the different CCs played a key role in the N₂O emissions during Period II. Barley plots had higher N₂O emissions than fallow or V-residue plots (at the 10% significance level; Table 1).





452 Further, a higher proportion of N_2O emissions was derived from the fertilizer in Bresidue than in V-residue plots (Fig. 4). These results are in agreement with those of 453 Baggs et al. (2003), who reported a higher percentage of N₂O derived from the ¹⁵N-454 455 labeled fertilizer using a cereal (ryegrass) as surface mulching instead of a legume (bean). The differences between B and V in terms of cumulative N₂O emissions and in 456 the relative contribution of each source to these emissions (fertilizer- or soil-N) could be 457 458 explained by: i) the higher C:N residue of B (20.7±0.7 while that of V was 11.1±0.1, according to Alonso-Ayuso et al. (2014)) may have provided an energy source for 459 denitrification (Sarkodie-Addo et al., 2003), increasing the reduction of the NO₃ 460 supplied by the synthetic fertilizer and enhancing N₂O emissions; ii) NO₃⁻ 461 462 concentrations, which tended to be higher in B during the maize cropping phase, could 463 have led to incomplete denitrification and larger N₂O/N₂ ratios (Yamulki and Jarvis, 2002); iii) the easily mineralizable V residue (with low C:N ratio) provided an 464 465 additional N source for soil microorganisms, thus decreasing the relative amount of N_2O derived from the synthetic fertilizer (Baggs et al., 2000; Shan and Yan, 2013); and iv) V 466 467 plots were fertilized with a lower amount of immediately available N (i.e. AN) than B plots, which could have resulted in better synchronization between N release and crop 468 needs (Ussiri and Lal, 2012) in V plots. Supporting these findings, Bayer et al. (2015) 469 470 recently concluded that partially supplying the maize N requirements with winter 471 legume cover-crops can be considered a N₂O mitigation strategy in subtropical agro-472 ecosystems.

The mineralization of B residues resulted in higher DOC contents for these plots compared to the F or V plots (P<0.001). This was observed in both Period I (as a consequence of soil C changes after the 8-year cover-cropping management) and Period II (due to the CC decomposition). Although in the present study the correlation between





477 DOC and N₂O emissions was not significant, positive correlations have been previously 478 found in other low-C Mediterranean soils (e.g. Vallejo et al., 2006; López-Fernández et 479 al., 2007). Some authors have suggested that residues with a high C:N ratio can induce 480 microbial N immobilization (Frimpong and Baggs, 2010, Dendooven et al., 2012). In 481 our experiment, a N₂O peak was observed in B plots 20-25 days after fertilization (Fig. 482 3b) after a remarkable increase of NO₃⁻ content (Fig. 2d), which may be a result of a re-483 mineralization of previously immobilized N in these plots.

484 The positive correlation of N₂O fluxes and soil NO₃⁻ content and WFPS during the whole cycle further supports the importance of denitrification process for explaining 485 486 N₂O losses in this agro-ecosystem (Davidson et al., 1991; García-Marco et al., 2014). 487 However, the strong positive correlation of N₂O with NH₄⁺ indicated that nitrification 488 was also a major process leading to N₂O fluxes, and showed that the continuous dryingwetting cycles during a summer irrigated maize crop in a semi-arid region can lead to 489 490 favorable WFPS conditions for both nitrification and denitrification processes (Fig. 1c) (Bateman and Baggs, 2005). Emission Factors ranged from 0.2 to 0.6% of the synthetic 491 492 N applied, which were lower than the IPCC default value of 1%. As explained above, ecological conditions during the intercrop period (rainfall and temperature) and maize 493 phase (temperature) could be considered as normal (based on the the 30-year average) 494 495 in Mediterranean areas. Aguilera et al. (2013) obtained a higher emission factor for high 496 (1.01%) and low (0.66%) water-irrigation conditions in a meta-analysis of Mediterranean cropping systems. 497

498

499 *4.3 Methane and CO*₂ *emissions*





500 As is generally found in non-flooded arable soils, all treatments were net CH₄ sinks (Snyder et al., 2009). No significant differences were observed between treatments 501 in any of the two periods (Table 1), which is similar to the pattern observed by Sanz-502 503 Cobena et al. (2014). Some authors (Dunfield and Knowles, 1995; Tate, 2015) have suggested an inhibitory effect of soil NH₄⁺ on CH₄ uptake. Low NH₄⁺ contents during 504 almost all of the CCs and maize cycle may explain the apparent lack of this inhibitory 505 506 effect (Banger et al., 2012). However, during the dates when the highest NH_4^+ contents 507 were reached in V and B (225 days after CCs sowing) (Fig. 3a), CH₄ emissions were significantly higher for these plots (0.12 and 0.16 mg CH_4 -C m⁻² d⁻¹ for V and B, 508 respectively) than for F (-0.01 mg CH₄-C m⁻² d⁻¹) (data not shown). Similarly, the NH₄⁺ 509 peak observed two days after fertilization (Fig. 3b) decreased in the order V>F>B, the 510 same trend as CH₄ emissions (which were 0.03, -0.04 and -0.63 mg CH₄-C m⁻² d⁻¹ in V, 511 512 F and B, respectively; data not shown). Contrary to Sanz-Cobena et al. (2014), the 513 presence of CCs did not increase CO_2 fluxes (Table 1) during Period I (which was 514 longer than that considered by these authors), even though higher fluxes tended to be 515 associated to B plots, probably as a consequence of higher root biomass and plant 516 respiration rates in the cereal (B) than in the legume (V). The decomposition of CC residues and the growth of maize rooting system resulted in an increase of CO₂ fluxes 517 518 during Period II (Oorts et al., 2007; Chirinda et al., 2010), although differences between 519 treatments were not observed.

520

521 *4.4 Yield-scaled emissions, N surplus and general assessment*

522 Yield-scaled N_2O emissions ranged from 1.74 to 7.15 g N_2O -N kg aboveground 523 N uptake⁻¹, which is about 1-4 times lower than those reported in the meta-analysis of -





van Groenigen et al. (2010) for a fertilizer N application rate of 150-200 kg ha⁻¹. Mean N surpluses of V and F (Table 1) were in the recommended range (0-50 kg N ha⁻¹) by van Groenigen et al. (2010), while the mean N surplus in B (55 kg N ha⁻¹) was also close to optimal. In spite of higher N₂O emissions in V during Period I (which accounted for a low proportion of total cumulative N₂O losses during the experiment), these plots did not emit greater amounts of N₂O per kg of N taken up by the maize plants, and even tended to decrease YSNE and N surplus (Table 1).

531 Adjusting fertilizer N rate to soil endogenous N led to lower N₂O fluxes than previous experiments where conventional N rates were applied (Sanz-Cobena et al., 532 2012; Adviento-Borbe et al., 2007), in agreement with the study of Migliorati et al. 533 534 (2014). Our results highlight the critical importance of the cash crop period on total N₂O emissions, and demonstrate that the use of either non-legume and -particularly- legume 535 CCs combined with ISFM may provide an optimum balance between GHG emissions 536 537 from crop production and agronomic efficiency (i.e. lowering synthetic N requirements for a subsequent cash crop, and leading to similar YSNE as a fallow). 538

The use of CCs has environmental implications beyond effects on direct soil 539 N₂O emissions. For instance, CCs can mitigate indirect N₂O losses (from NO₃⁻ 540 541 leaching). In the study of Gabriel et al. (2012), conducted in the same experimental area, NO₃⁻ leaching was reduced (on average) by 30% and 59% in V and B, respectively. 542 Considering an emission factor of 0.075 from N leached (De Klein et al., 2006), indirect 543 N_2O losses from leaching could be mitigated by 0.23 ± 0.16 and 0.45 ± 0.17 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ 544 ¹ if V and B are used as CCs, respectively. Furthermore, the recent meta-analysis of 545 Poeplau and Don (2015) revealed a C sequestration potential of 0.32±0.08 Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻ 546 1 with the introduction of CCs. These environmental factors together with CO₂ 547 548 emissions associated to CCs sowing and killing, should be assessed in future studies in





order to confirm the potential of CCs for increasing both the agronomic andenvironmental efficiency of irrigated cropping areas.

551

552 Conclusions

553 Our study confirmed that the presence of CCs (particularly V) during the 554 intercrop period increased N_2O losses, but the contribution of this phase to cumulative N₂O emissions considering the whole cropping cycle (intercrop-cash crop) was low (8-555 556 21%). The high influence of the maize crop period over total N₂O losses was not only 557 due to N synthetic fertilization, but also to CC residue mineralization and especially 558 endogenous soil N. The type of CC residue determined the N synthetic rate in a ISFM system and affected the percentage of N2O losses coming from N fertilizer/soil N as 559 560 well as the pattern of N₂O losses during the maize phase (through changes in soil NH_4^+ , NO3⁻ and DOC concentrations). By employing ISFM, similar N₂O emissions were 561 measured from CCs and F treatments at the end of the whole cropping period, resulting 562 in low YSNE (3-6 g N₂O-N kg aboveground N uptake⁻¹) and N surplus (31 to 56 kg N 563 ha⁻¹). Replacing winter F by CCs did not affect significantly CH_4 uptake or respiration 564 565 rates neither during intercrop or maize cropping periods. Our results highlight the critical importance of the cash crop period on total N2O emissions, and demonstrate that 566 567 the use of either legume or non-legume CC combined with ISFM may provide an 568 optimum balance between GHG emissions from crop production and agronomic 569 efficiency.

570

571 Acknowledgements





The authors are grateful to the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Innovation and the Community of Madrid for their economic support through Projects AGL2012-37815-C05-01-AGR and the Agrisost-CM Project (S2013/ABI- 2717). We also thank the technicians and researchers at the Department of Chemistry and Agricultural Analysis of the Agronomy Faculty (Technical University of Madrid, UPM). Rothamsted Research is grant funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), UK.

579

580 **References**

- Abalos, D., Sanz-Cobena, A., Garcia-Torres, L., van Groenigen, J. W., and Vallejo, A.:
 Role of maize stover incorporation on nitrogen oxide emissions in a non-irrigated
 Mediterranean barley field. Plant Soil, 364(1-2), 357-371, 2013.
- Abalos, D., Deyn, G. B., Kuyper, T. W., and van Groenigen, J. W.: Plant species identity surpasses species richness as a key driver of N₂O emissions from grassland. Glob. Change Biol., 20(1), 265-275, 2014.
- 587 Adviento-Borbe, M. A. A., Haddix, M. L., Binder, D. L., Walters, D. T., and
- 588 Dobermann, A.: Soil greenhouse gas fluxes and global warming potential in four high-
- 589 yielding maize systems. Glob. Change Biol., 13(9), 1972-1988, 2007.
- 590 Aguilera, E., Lassaletta, L., Sanz-Cobena, A., Garnier, J., and Vallejo, A.: The potential
- 591 of organic fertilizers and water management to reduce N₂O emissions in Mediterranean
- climate cropping systems. A review. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 164, 32-52, 2013.





- 593 Allen, R. G., Raes, L. S, and Smith, D. M.: Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for
- 594 computing crop water requirements. Irrigation and Drainage, Paper 56. Rome, Italy:
- 595 FAO, 1998.
- Alonso-Ayuso, M., Gabriel, J. L., and Quemada, M.: The kill date as a management tool
 for cover cropping success. Plos One, 9(10), e109587, 2014.
- Arah, J. R. M.: Apportioning nitrous oxide fluxes between nitrification and
 denitrification using gas-phase mass spectrometry. Soil Biol. Biochem., 29(8), 12951299, 1997.
- Baggs, E. M., Rees, R. M., Smith, K. A., and Vinten, A. J. A.: Nitrous oxide emission
- from soils after incorporating crop residues. Soil Use Manage., 16(2), 82-87, 2000.
- 603 Baggs, E. M., Stevenson, M., Pihlatie, M., Regar, A., Cook, H., and Cadisch, G.:
- Nitrous oxide emissions following application of residues and fertiliser under zero and
- conventional tillage. Plant Soil, 254(2), 361-370, 2003.
- Banger, K., Tian, H., and Lu, C.: Do nitrogen fertilizers stimulate or inhibit methane
 emissions from rice fields? Glob. Change Biol., 18(10), 3259-3267, 2012.
- Basche, A. D., Miguez, F. E., Kaspar, T. C., and Castellano, M. J.: Do cover crops
- 609 increase or decrease nitrous oxide emissions? A meta-analysis. J. Soil Water Conserv.,
- 610 69(6), 471-482, 2014.
- 611 Bateman, E. J., and Baggs, E.M.: Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to
- N_2O emissions from soils at different water-filled pore space. Biol. Fert. Soils, 41(6),
- 613 379-388, 2005.





- Bayer, C., Gomes, J., Zanatta, J. A., Vieira, F. C. B., de Cássia Piccolo, M., Dieckow,
- 615 J., and Six, J.: Soil nitrous oxide emissions as affected by long-term tillage, cropping
- 616 systems and nitrogen fertilization in Southern Brazil. Soil Till. Res., 146, 213-222,
- 617 2015.
- 618 Chirinda, N., Olesen, J. E., Porter, J. R., and Schjønning, P.: Soil properties, crop
- 619 production and greenhouse gas emissions from organic and inorganic fertilizer-based
- arable cropping systems. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 139(4), 584-594, 2010.
- Danielson, R. E., and Sutherland, P. L.: 18 Porosity. Methods of soil analysis: Physical
 and mineralogical methods 9, 443, 1986.
- 623 Davidson, E.A.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric acid from terrestrial ecosystem, in:
- 624 Rogers, J. E., and Whitman, W. B. (Eds.), Microbial production and consumption of
- 625 greenhouse gases: Methane, Nitrous oxide and Halomethane, American Society of
- 626 Microbiology, Washington, pp. 219–236, 1991.
- 627 Davidson, E. A., and Kanter, D.: Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions.
- 628 Environ. Res. Lett., 9(10), 105012, 2014.
- 629 De Klein, C., Novoa, R. S. A., Ogle, S., Smith, K. A., Rochette, P., Wirth, T. C., Mc
- 630 Conket, B. G., Walsh, M., Mosier, A., Rypdal, K., and Williams, S. A.: IPCC guidelines
- 631 for national greenhouse gas inventories, Volume 4, Chapter 11: N₂O emissions from
- 632 managed soils, and CO₂ emissions from lime and urea application. Technical Report 4-
- 633 88788-032-4, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2006.
- 634 Dendooven, L., Patino-Zúniga, L., Verhulst, N., Luna-Guido, M., Marsch, R., and
 635 Govaerts, B.: Global warming potential of agricultural systems with contrasting tillage





- and residue management in the central highlands of Mexico. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.,
- 637 152, 50-58, 2012.
- 638 Dunfield, P., and Knowles, R.: Kinetics of inhibition of methane oxidation by nitrate,
- nitrite, and ammonium in a humisol. Appl. Environ. Microb., 61(8), 3129-3135, 1995.
- 640 Feyereisen, G. W., Wilson, B. N., Sands, G. R., Strock, J. S., and Porter, P. M.:
- 641 Potential for a rye cover crop to reduce nitrate loss in southwestern Minnesota. Agron.
- 642 J., 98(6), 1416-1426, 2006.
- Firestone, M. K., and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O
 production and consumption in soil, in Andeae, M.O., Schimel, D.S. (Eds.), Exchange
 of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere Chichester: Wiley,
 pp. 7-21, 1989.
- Frimpong, K. A., and Baggs, E. M.: Do combined applications of crop residues and
 inorganic fertilizer lower emission of N₂O from soil? Soil Use Manage., 26(4), 412-424,
 2010.
- Frimpong, K. A., Yawson, D. O., Baggs, E. M., and Agyarko, K.: Does incorporation of
 cowpea-maize residue mixes influence nitrous oxide emission and mineral nitrogen
 release in a tropical luvisol? Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 91(3), 281-292, 2011.
- Gabriel, J. L., and Quemada, M.: Replacing bare fallow with cover crops in a maize
 cropping system: yield, N uptake and fertiliser fate. Eur. J. Agron., 34, 133-143, 2011.
- Gabriel, J. L., Muñoz-Carpena, R., and Quemada, M.: The role of cover crops in
 irrigated systems: Water balance, nitrate leaching and soil mineral nitrogen
 accumulation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 155, 50-61, 2012.





- 658 García-Marco, S., Ravella, S. R., Chadwick, D., Vallejo, A., Gregory, A. S., and
- 659 Cárdenas, L. M.: Ranking factors affecting emissions of GHG from incubated
- 660 agricultural soils. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 65(4), 573-583, 2014.
- 661 Grossman, R. B., and Reinsch, T.G.: 2.1 Bulk density and linear extensibility. Methods
- of Soil Analysis. Part 4: Physical Methods, Soil Science Society of America, Madison,
- 663 USA, pp. 201-228, 2002.
- 664 IPCC: Climate change 2007. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
- 665 Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 666 Change, in: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B.,
- Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, p. 996, 2007.
- Justes, E., Mary, B., and Nicolardot, B.: Comparing the effectiveness of radish cover
 crop, oilseed rape volunteers and oilseed rape residues incorporation for reducing nitrate
 leaching. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 55(3), 207-220, 1999.
- 671 Kallenbach, C. M., Rolston, D. E., and Horwath, W. R.: Cover cropping affects soil
- N₂O and CO₂ emissions differently depending on type of irrigation. Agric. Ecosyst.
 Environ., 137(3), 251-260, 2010.
- Kimani, S. K., Nandwa, S. M., Mugendi, D. N., Obanyi, S. N., Ojiem, J., Murwira,
 Herbert K., and Bationo, A.: Principles of integrated soil fertility management. In:
 Gichuri, M. P., Bationo, A., Bekunda, M. A., Goma, H. C., Mafongoya, P. L., Mugendi,
 D. N., Murwuira, H. K., Nandwa, S. M., Nyathi, P., and Swift, M.J. (Eds.), Soil fertility
 management in Africa: A regional perspective, Academy Science Publishers (ASP),
 Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT), Tropical Soil Biology and
 Fertility (TSBF), Nairobi, KE, pp. 51-72, 2003.





- 681 Laughlin, R. J., Stevens, R. J., and Zhuo, S.: Determining nitrogen-15 in ammonium by
- 682 producing nitrous oxide. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 61(2), 462-465, 1997.
- 683 Loick, N., Dixon, E. R., Abalos, D., Vallejo, A., Matthews, G. P., McGeough, K. L.,
- 684 Well, R., Watson, C. J., Laughlin, R. J., and Cárdenas, L. M.: Denitrification as a source
- 685 of nitric oxide emissions from incubated soil cores from a UK grassland soil. Soil Biol.
- 686 Biochem., 95, 1-7, 2016.
- 687 López-Fernández, S., Diez, J. A., Hernaiz, P., Arce, A., García-Torres, L., and Vallejo,
- 688 A.: Effects of fertiliser type and the presence or absence of plants on nitrous oxide
- emissions from irrigated soils. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 78(3), 279-289, 2007.
- 690 MacKenzie, A. F., Fan, M. X., and Cadrin, F.: Nitrous oxide emission in three years as
- affected by tillage, corn-soybean-alfalfa rotations, and nitrogen fertilization. J. Environ.
- 692 Qual., 27, 698-703, 1998.
- Martínez-Cob, A.: Use of thermal units to estimate corn crop coefficients under
 semiarid climatic conditions. Irrigation Sci., 26, 335–345, 2008.
- Meijide, A., Cárdenas, L. M., Sánchez-Martín, L., and Vallejo, A.: Carbon dioxide and
 methane fluxes from a barley field amended with organic fertilizers under
 Mediterranean climatic conditions. Plant Soil, 328(1-2), 353-367, 2010.
- 698 Migliorati, M. D. A., Scheer, C., Grace, P. R., Rowlings, D. W., Bell, M., and McGree,
- 699 J.: Influence of different nitrogen rates and DMPP nitrification inhibitor on annual N₂O
- 700 emissions from a subtropical wheat-maize cropping system. Agric. Ecosyst.
- 701 Environ., 186, 33-43, 2014.





- 702 Nemecek, T., von Richthofen, J. S., Dubois, G., Casta, P., Charles, R., and Pahl, H.:
- 703 Environmental impacts of introducing grain legumes into European crop rotations. Eur.
- 704 J. Agron., 28(3), 380-393, 2008.
- 705 Oorts, K., Merckx, R., Gréhan, E., Labreuche, J., and Nicolardot, B.: Determinants of
- annual fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems
- in northern France. Soil Till. Res., 95(1), 133-148, 2007.
- 708 Poeplau, C., and Don, A.: Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of
- cover crops–A meta-analysis. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 200, 33-41, 2015.
- 710 Quemada, M., Baranski, M., Nobel-de Lange, M. N. J., Vallejo, A., and Cooper, J. M.:
- 711 Meta-analysis of strategies to control nitrate leaching in irrigated agricultural systems
- and their effects on crop yield. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 174, 1-10, 2013.
- 713 Quemada, M., Gabriel, J. L., and Zarco-Tejada, P.: Airborne hyperspectral images and
- ground-level optical sensors as assessment tools for maize nitrogen fertilization. Remote
 Sens., 6(4), 2940-2962, 2014.
- Reeves, S., and Wang, W.: Optimum sampling time and frequency for measuring N₂O
 emissions from a rain-fed cereal cropping system. Sci.Total Environ., 530, 219-226,
 2015.
- Rochette, P., and Janzen, H. H.: Towards a revised coefficient for estimating N₂O
 emissions from legumes. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 73(2-3), 171-179, 2005.
- Sanz-Cobena, A., Sánchez-Martín, L., García-Torres, L., and Vallejo, A.: Gaseous emissions of N_2O and NO and NO_3^- leaching from urea applied with urease and nitrification inhibitors to a maize (Zea mays) crop. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 149, 64-73, 2012.





- 725 Sanz-Cobena, A., García-Marco, S., Quemada, M., Gabriel, J. L., Almendros, P., and
- 726 Vallejo, A.: Do cover crops enhance N2O, CO2 or CH4 emissions from soil in
- 727 Mediterranean arable systems? Sci. Total Environ., 466, 164-174, 2014.
- 728 Sarkodie-Addo, J., Lee, H. C., and Baggs, E. M.: Nitrous oxide emissions after
- application of inorganic fertilizer and incorporation of green manure residues. Soil Use
- 730 Manage., 19(4), 331-339, 2003.
- 731 Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Effects of soil
- moisture and temperature on NO, NO₂, and N₂O emissions from European forest soils.
- 733 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., (1984–2012), 109(D17), 2004.
- Shan, J., and Yan, X.: Effects of crop residue returning on nitrous oxide emissions in
- 735 agricultural soils. Atmos. Environ., 71, 170-175, 2013.
- 736 Snyder, C. S., Bruulsema, T. W., Jensen, T. L., and Fixen, P. E.: Review of greenhouse
- 737 gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects. Agric.
- 738 Ecosyst. Environ., 133(3), 247-266, 2009.
- 739 Soil Survey Staff: Keys to Soil Taxonomy, Washington, DC, USA: USDA, Natural
- 740 Resources Conservation Service, 2014.
- 741 Spiertz, J. H. J.: Nitrogen, sustainable agriculture and food security. A review. Agron.
- 742 Sustain. Dev., 30(1), 43-55, 2010.
- 743 Tate, K. R.: Soil methane oxidation and land-use change–from process to mitigation.
- 744 Soil Biol. Biochem., 80, 260-272, 2015.





- 745 Tonitto, C., David, M. B., and Drinkwater, L. E.: Replacing bare fallows with cover
- 746 crops in fertilizer-intensive cropping systems: A meta-analysis of crop yield and N
- 747 dynamics. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 112(1), 58-72, 2006.
- 748 Ussiri, D., and Lal, R.: Soil emission of nitrous oxide and its mitigation, Springer749 Science & Business Media, 2012.
- Vallejo, A., Skiba, U. M., García-Torres, L., Arce, A., López-Fernández, S., and
 Sánchez-Martín, L.: Nitrogen oxides emission from soils bearing a potato crop as
 influenced by fertilization with treated pig slurries and composts. Soil Biol. Biochem.,
 38(9), 2782-2793, 2006.
- van Groenigen, J. W., Velthof, G. L., Oenema, O., van Groenigen, K. J., and van
 Kessel, C.: Towards an agronomic assessment of N₂O emissions: a case study for arable
 crops. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 61(6), 903-913, 2010.
- Vanlauwe, B., Kihara, J., Chivenge, P., Pypers, P., Coe, R., and Six, J.: Agronomic use
 efficiency of N fertilizer in maize-based systems in sub-Saharan Africa within the
 context of integrated soil fertility management. Plant Soil, 339(1-2), 35-50, 2011.
- Veenstra, J. J., Horwath, W. R., and Mitchell, J. P.: Tillage and cover cropping effects
 on aggregate-protected carbon in cotton and tomato. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 71(2), 362371, 2007.
- Wagner-Riddle, C., and Thurtell, G.W.: Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural fields
 during winter and spring thaw as affected by management practices. Nutr. Cycl.
 Agroecosys., 52(2-3), 151-163, 1998.





- 766 Wichern, F., Eberhardt, E., Mayer, J., Joergensen, R. G., and Müller, T.: Nitrogen
- rhizodeposition in agricultural crops: methods, estimates and future prospects. Soil Biol.
- 768 Biochem., 40(1), 30-48, 2008.
- 769 Yamulki, S., and Jarvis, S.: Short-term effects of tillage and compaction on nitrous
- oxide, nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, methane and carbon dioxide fluxes from grassland.
- 771 Biol. Fert. Soils, 36(3), 224-231, 2002.

Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2016-29, 2016 Manuscript under review for journal Biogeosciences Published: 29 March 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.

Table 1 Total cumulative N₂O-N, CH₄-C and CO₂-C fluxes, yield-scaled N₂O emissions (YSNE) and N surplus in the three cover crop treatments (fallow, F,

(00) ۲

> g N2O-N kg aboveground N uptake⁻¹ vetch, V, and barley, B) at the end of both cropping periods. P value was calculated with Student's *t*-test and d.f.=9. (*) and S.E. denote significant at P<0.05 YSNE -0.12 -0.14 -2.59 -2.16 0.89 3.06 5.64 0.85 0.06 4.21 kg N ha⁻¹ Surplus -38.67 55.94 15.30 31.47 13.72 -3.16 -0.08 -1.79 0.94 0.11 kg CO₂-C ha⁻¹ 2372.07 2595.07 2778.84 -127.50 -134.37443.02 582.13 177.35 463.01 46.33 -1.00 83.36 417.8 -1.64 0.34 0.140.19 CO_2 0.86 1.620.14 kg CH4-C ha⁻¹ -11.45 -23.69 -0.28 -6.23 -0.46 -0.35 -0.30-0.24 -0.61 -0.57 -0.33 -1.25 0.07 0.560.58 0.080.242.08CH4 0.190.85 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ 0.03 (*) -11.48 -26.59 -7.46 -0.30 -1.90 N_2O 0.13 0.080.03-2.5 0.100.05 5.290.08 0.57 0.480.74 0.77 0.09 1.99 Estimate P value P value Estimate Estimate Estimate P value and the standard error of the mean, respectively. P value t-test t-test t-test t-test Treatment S.E. B S.E. В \geq Ľ, \geq [L F versus CCs F versus CCs V versus B V versus B End of Period II Period I End of 775









776 **Figure captions:**

- Figure 1. Daily mean soil temperature (°C) rainfall and irrigation (mm) (a) and soil
- 778 WFPS (%) in the three cover crop (CC) treatments (fallow, F, vetch, V, and barley, B)
- during Period I (**b**) and II (**c**). Vertical lines indicate standard errors.

Figure 2a, b NH_4^+ -N; **c, d** NO_3^- -N; and **e, f** DOC concentrations in the 0–10 cm soil

layer for the three cover crop (CC) treatments (fallow, F, vetch, V, and barley, B) during

both cropping periods. The black arrows indicate the time of spraying glyphosate over

the cover crops. The dotted arrows indicate the time of maize sowing. Vertical lines

784 indicate standard errors.

Figure 3. N₂O emissions for the three cover crop (CC) treatments (fallow, F, vetch, V,

and barley, B) during Period I (a) and II (b). The black arrows indicate the time of
spraying glyphosate over the cover crops. The dotted arrows indicate the time of maize
sowing. Vertical lines indicate standard errors.

Figure 4. Proportion of N₂O losses (%) coming from N synthetic fertilizer during Period II, for the three cover crop treatments (fallow, F, vetch, V, and barley, B). Vertical lines indicate standard errors. "NS" and * denote not significant and significant at P<0.05, respectively.

793