REVIEWER 1	SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT / REVIEWER COMMENTS	AUTHOR COMMENTS / REVISED MANUSCRIPT
Comment 1 / L. 279	"SEM images on the left hand side of Figs. 5 and 6 are	Changed to:
	taken from the"	"SEM images on the left hand side of Figs. 4 and 5 are taken"
Comment 2 / L. 303	"The small changes in MUD values must be attributed	Changed to:
	to fact that it was impossible"	"The small changes in MUD values may be attributed to the fact that it
		was impossible"
Comment 3 / L. 343-348	"Thus, as the replacement reaction proceeds, the	As the reviewer requested, we explain the nucleation of calcite even at a
	percolating diagenetic pore fluid is undersaturated with	low degree of supersaturation. We include an additional Figure (Fig. 12) and
	respect to aragonite but is saturated with respect to	include in the text a new chapter (4.1), see below.
	calcite"	
	If the fluid were saturated with respect to calcite this	4.1 Driving force in comparison to nucleation barrier
	phase would not nucleate in the first place and would	In sedimentary environments the fate of metastable biogenic aragonite or
	not grow after its nucleation. A certain degree of	high-Mg calcite can follow two scenarios: (1) the metastable biogenic
	supersaturation is required for the system to overcome	matter can be completely dissolved and removed by fluid transport to form
	the energy barriers associated to both, heterogeneous	molds that are later filled by cement or other neogenic minerals or (2) the
	nucleation of calcite on aragonite and calcite growth.	metastable minerals may be replaced by stable low-Mg calcite in-situ, by a
	This could be better explained.	process which involves dissolution of the metastable phase into a nano- to
		micro-scale local fluid volume (e.g. a thin fluid film) from which the stable
		low-Mg calcite precipitates without long-range transport (Brand & Veizer,
		1980, 1981; Brand, 1991, 1994; Bathurst, 1994; Maliva 1995, 1998; Maliva
		et al., 2000; Titschak et al., 2009, Brand et al., 2010).). The latter process
		may preserve original morphological boundaries and microstructures such
		as prisms, tablets and fibres in bivalve shells. The replacement reaction
		from aragonite to stable low-Mg calcite is driven by the higher solubility
		(free energy) of the the metastable phase compared to the the stable
		phase. Thus, as the replacement reaction proceeds, the reactive,
		percolating experimental or diagenetic pore fluid becomes undersaturated
		with respect to aragonite owing to its relative supersaturation with respect
		to calcite, the less soluble mineral phase in the system. The maximal

1

supersaturation $\Omega_{max}$ with respect to calcite, which can be obtained in a
fluid, which draws its calcium and carbonate ions from the dissolution of
aragonite, can be described as:
$O = \frac{K_{sp} (Aragonite)}{K_{sp} (Aragonite)}$
$K_{sp}$ (Calcite)
(1)
, where $K_{sp}$ stands for the ion activity products of the respective phase in
the relevant pore fluid. The free energy difference or thermodynamic
driving force is given by $\Delta G_{max}$ = - RTIn $\Omega_{max}$ . To obtain an estimate we used
the data of Plummer & Busenberg (1982) and calculated the solubility
products for calcite and aragonite for 25 °C, 100 °C, and 175 °C (Fig. 12). The
maximal supersaturations $\Omega_{max}$ thus obtained are 1.39 (25 °C), 1.26 (100 °C),
and 1.18 (175 °C). The replacement reaction first requires a nucleation step:
the formation of the first calcite crystallites larger than the critical size r*
(Morse et al, 2007). Empirical nucleation theory relates the activation
energy $\Delta G_A(r^*)$ necessary to form a nucleus of critical size to the specific
surface energy $\sigma$ needed to form the interface between the nucleating
phase and the matrix phase as
$\Delta G_A(r^*) \propto \frac{\sigma^3}{\sigma^3}$
$(-RTln\Omega)^2$
(2) Only superscription pushes are switching could envit be of size $r > r^*$ of coloring
Only supercritical flucter of pre-existing seed crystals of size i >1° of calcite
can lower their free energy as their volume free energy gained by growth
exceeds the adverse energy contributions of increasing interface area. To
oblain a significant number of supercritical nuclei a critical supersaturation
needs to be reached (Morse et al., 2007, Gebauer et al., 2008, Nindiyasari
et al., 2014, Sun et al. 2015). Reported values for critical supersaturation
levels $\Omega_{crit}$ required for calcite nucleation in various conditions range from
the order of 3.7 (Lebron & Suarez, 1996, Zeppenfeld, 2003) to the order of
30 (Norse et al., 2007; Gebauer et al., 2008) or even several hundreds e.g.
IN hydrogel matrices (Nindiyasari et al., 2014). The DFT study of Sun et al.

		(2015) arrives at $\Omega_{crit}$ = 5 for systems free of inhibitors such as Mg, and $\Omega_{crit}$ = 35 for modern sea-water. Accordingly, the supersaturation produced by the dissolution of aragonite is very small compared to supersaturation levels typically required for the nucleation of calcite. Thus we can expect that nucleation is a critical kinetic step in the replacement reaction of aragonite by calcite.
Comment 4 / L. 420-428	<ul> <li>Indiverer, we find that experiments conducted with the Mg-containing burial solution yield larger calcite crystals (black arrows in Fig. 14B) in comparison to the size of the grains obtained from experiments carried out with meteoric water (Fig. 14A). Grains obtained from alteration experiments with meteoric fluid show a significantly higher degree of mean misorientation (up to 10 degrees, black arrows in Fig. 14A), compared to that in grains that grew in burial solution. We attribute this to the nucleation rate: the crystals growing from each nucleus consume the aragonite educt (the precursor, original aragonite) until they abutted each other. Thus, larger crystals in the experiment with burial solution result from a smaller number of calcite nuclei. Again, this supports the idea that Mg2+ inhibits calcite nucleation"</li> <li>I basically agree with the authors explanation. However, I would have liked a discussion of the Mg content of the newly formed calcite. If this is magnesian calcite, is higher solubility compared to that of pure calcite would determine a smaller driving force for the transformation. In other words, both nucleation and growth would occur</li> </ul>	We included a paragraph (see below, chapter 4.5), a new rable (rable A1) and a Figure showing the Mg distribution in an altered shell measured by electron microprobe analysis (Fig. A13). The newly formed calcite contains only small amounts of magnesium (Table A1) in the order of 0.1 wt % (or 0.006 in the formula unit), while the strontium content of the original aragonite in the order of 0.4 wt.% is retained in the calcite (0,005 in the formula unit). At the rim of the sample, where it was in direct contact with the bulk of the experimental fluid, we observe the local formation of Mg-rich carbonates in some places only (Fig. A13B and Table A1), with measured Mg-contents up to 19.7 wt % (0.716 in the formula unit, encountered in scan field 3 at the outer rim of the sample). The averaged composition in scan fields 4 and 9 may indicate dolomite, but like scan field 3, which has a Mg content exceeding that of dolomite, we more likely have magnesite with some calcite present, as judged from the EPMA map (Fig. A13B).
	I under lower supersaturation, which would fulther	

	explain the smaller number of crystals and their larger	
	sizes.	
Comment 5 / L. 472	"in palaeontology as it a prerequisite to taxonomic,"	Changed to:
		"in palaeontology as it is a prerequisite to taxonomic, ,"
Comment 6 / L. 489-492	"In particular, the resistance of biogenic aragonite to	We have conducted the following alteration experiments: at 100 °C, 125
	replacement by calcite up to temperature of 175 °C	°C, 150 °C and 175 °C, ranging for time periods between one and 84 days.
	during hydrothermal alteration offers an additional	Details are given in Table 1, Fig. A11 and all experiments are described in
	explanation for the preservation of aragonitic	the method (2.2.3) as well as in the results (3.2) sections. Thus, we
	shells/skeletons, besides the taphonomic windows	investigated in this study shell samples that were not only altered at 100
	envisaged by Cherns et al. (2008)"	°C and 175 °C but also between these two temperatures. In addition we
		conducted experiments that lasted up to three months, and not only for
	The authors conduct experiments at two temperatures,	28 days. During the time span of a Ph. D. study (3 years) we could not
	100 and 175 ºC. In my opinion, the fact that at 100 ºC	conduct experiments with geologic time scales.
	the aragonite-calcite transformation does not occur after	
	28 days does not qualify them to state that there is a	
	resistance of biogenic aragonite to be replaced by calcite	
	at temperatures below 175 °C. The window temperature	
	between 100 and 175 °C is too large and 28 days is not	
	such a large time, not for an experiment and more so	
	when compared to geological times.	
Comment 7 / L. 522-524	"7. Between two tipping points, one between 50 and	Taking results from the literature and from our experiments conducted at
	60 °C, the other between 160 and 180 °C, aragonite	additional temperatures, we can state that between the two tipping
	appears to precipitate from supersaturated aqueous	points, one between 50 and 60 °C (Kitano et al. 1962; Taft, 1967, Ogino et
	solutions rather than calcite, such that the hydrothermal	al. 1987, Balthasar and Cusack, 2015), the other between 160 and 180 °C
	treatments of aragonite within this temperature bracket	(Perdikouri et al, 2011, 2013, this paper), aragonite appears to precipitate
	do not yield calcite"	from supersaturated aqueous solutions rather than calcite, such that the
		hydrothermal treatments of aragonite within this temperature bracket do
	This is not a conclusion of this work. I understand that it	not yield calcite"
	must correspond to the paper by Balthasar and Cusack	

	(2015), but it is not supported by results in this manuscript.	
Comment 8 / L. 525-527	"	See reply to comment 6.
	temperatures lower than 175°C contributes to explain	During the time span of a Ph. D. study (3 years) we could not conduct
	why aragonitic or bimineralic shells and skeletons have a	experiments with geologic time scales.
	good potential of preservation and a complete fossil	Our experiments record the short term answer which may be
	record"	different from the the possible change through geological times. However,
		within the applied time and temperature range we find very interesting
	See comment 6.	results that help to understand microstructural and mineralogical findings
		generated from diagenesis taking place in nature. There is evidence
		that our results may explain some patterns of the geological record and if
		this is true for the 100 °C experiments it is also true for the 175 °C ones.
		There is no reason to expect a different behavior in 28 days in the window
		between 100 and 175 °C. So our assumptions and conclusions are valid
		and should be kept. The important point is that they help to explain and
		discuss several patterns of aragonitic preservation which were left
		unsolved up to now. Of course these are preliminary data, but they help
		to identify an experimental procedure to follow to understand diagenetic
		processes.
		We will never be able to experiment with geological time, but we can get
		observations that help to explain patterns.
Comment 9 / L. 1019-	"shown in Fig. 5. 10 mM NaCl + 10 mM"	Changed to:
1020		"shown in Fig. 4. 10 mM"
Comment 10 / L. 1108-	" Fig. 14. Grain area versus mean misorientation within	We briefly discussed this point in sub chapter 4.5 (see below).
1113	individual grains obtained for newly formed calcite at	
	alteration of Arctica islandica aragonite in artificial	Grains obtained from alteration experiments with meteoric fluid show a
	meteoric (A) and in burial (B) solutions at 175 °C and for	significantly higher degree of mean misorientation (up to 10 degrees, black
	7 and 84 days, respectively. The Mg-containing (burial)	arrows in Fig. 15A), compared to the grains that grew in burial solution.
	alteration fluid induces the formation of large calcite	Large mean misorieantations of >4 $^\circ$ occur notably in the grains grown in
	grains that show a low degree of misorientation within	the 7 days treatment in meteoric solution, while the corresponding 84 days

	<ul> <li>the grains (B), while with artificial meteoric solution, the solution that is devoid of Mg, significantly smaller grains are obtained. However, the latter occur with a high mean misorientation within the individual, newly formed grains"</li> <li>I miss a discussion of why the mean misorientation within the individual, newly formed grains in contact with burial solutions. I guess that this points to Mg incorporating into the newly formed calcite.</li> </ul>	treatment does not show a significant increase in grain area compared to the 7 days treatment.
REVIEWER 2		
Comment 1	The main result of this study is that below 175 °C there are no signs of aragonite to calcite trans-formation. At 175 °C the authors found a period of 4 days where the systems seems "dormant". After this period the transformation reaction becomes detectable and runs to completion within a remark-able short time of just 6 days. It would be of great interest for future studies to elucidate the processes that occur during the 4 day dormant period. This seems to be a likely analog to cement hydration reactions, in which an induction period of low heat production is followed by an "acceleration period", during which significant nucleation and growth advances the overall extent of reaction.	We thank for the comment and fully agree with the reviewer. Accompanying experiments are conducted right now.
Comment 2	For the community, it is an interesting question as to whether (micro)organisms simply passively modify local environmental conditions, thus rendering metastable what would otherwise be thermodynamically unstable	The question of the production of metastable aragonite by organisms was not an issue in this study.

	phases, or if, conversely, such organisms actively form unstable phases by pumping electrons or redox-sensitive molecules against gradients [1,2]. In any case, we would expect that the deviation from thermodynamic equilibrium would be relatively small. In other words, one would expect that energetically expensive reactions would also be "expensive" for the organism involved.	
	hypothesis. It takes a significant increase in temperature to convert the aragonite into thermodynamically stable calcite. However, this insight does not answer the important question: why do organisms produce metastable aragonite and not the stable phase calcite?	
Comment 3	Another interesting point is the potential occurrence of spatial patterns in the distribution of replacement reaction rates. The existence of a characteristic porosity distribution within the shell material is able to foster heterogeneous material fluxes. Thus, in future studies we expect direct mapping of the reaction rate in order to identify rate components that form the heterogeneous overall reaction rate. Such rate components provide important input values for the simulation of fluid-solid reactions [3].	We thank the reviewer for his highly valuable suggestions and will perform future experiments and simulation studies accordingly.
REVIEWER 3		
Comment 1	Does the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of BG? YES, particularly taphonomy of shells.	
Comment 2	Does the paper present novel concepts, ideas, tools, or data? YES, particularly, the focus is highly innovative.	

Comment 3	Are substantial conclusions reached? YES, it adds	
	substantial knowledge to the particular process studied.	
Comment 4	Are the scientific methods and assumptions valid and	
	clearly outlined? YES, in general, although I find that	
	some conceptual aspects should be explained more in	
	length (see detailed comments.	
Comment 5	Are the results sufficient to support the interpretations	
	and conclusions? YES, in general (but see detailed	
	comments).	
Comment 6	Is the description of experiments and calculations	Chemical and experimental information on hydrothermal experiments
	sufficiently complete and precise to allow their	utilised in the present study are given in Table 1.
	reproduction by fellow scientists (traceability of results)?	
	YES, in general, although additional data on the	
	composition of the fluids (Table 1) are needed	
Comment 7	Do the authors give proper credit to related work and	
	clearly indicate their own new/original contribution?	
	YES, in general (but see detailed comments).	
Comment 8	Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper?	
	YES.	
Comment 9	Does the abstract provide a concise and complete	
	summary? YES.	
Comment 10	Is the overall presentation well-structured and clear?	
	YES.	
Comment 11	Is the language fluent and precise? YES	
Comment 12	Are mathematical formulae, symbols, abbreviations, and	Following abbreviations, which are not common, were defined:
	units correctly defined and used? Some technical	"SEM visualization and electron Probe Micro Analysis (EPMA)"
	abbreviations (EPMA, TAP, PET, LPET, LLIF: : :) should be	"TAP (thallium acid pthalate) crystal"
	defined for non-specialists.	"PET (pentaerythritol) crystal"
	L. 173: "SEM visualization and EPMA"	"LPET (large pentaerythritol) crystalLLIF (large lithium flouride)
		crystal"

	L. 190: "TAP crystal" L. 191: "PET crystal"	
	L. 192: "LPETLLIF"	
Comment 13	Should any parts of the paper (text, formulae, figures, tables) be clarified, reduced, combined, or eliminated?	
	NO.	
Comment 14	Are the number and quality of references appropriate? YES.	
Comment 15	Is the amount and quality of supplementary material appropriate? YES.	
Comment 16 / L. 133	"create local micro-environments for physiological	Changed to:
	generate of their composite"	" living organisms create local micro-environments for physiological generation of their composite"
	'for physiological generate', this is an odd expression	
Comment 17 / L. 138	"dealt with pre-Neogene A. islandica specimens"	Changed to:
		"dealt with A. islandica specimens"
	'pre-Neogene A. islandica', certainly not this species.	
Comment 18 / L. 157	"samples as well as the mineral in reference,	Changed to:
	geologic,"	"samples as well as the mineral part in the reference specimens, i.e.
		geologic, and non-biological aragonite,"
Comment 19 / L. 159	"with glass knifes to"	Changed to:
		"with glass knives to"
Comment 20 / L. 193	"and hematite (Fe), and were used"	Changed to:
		"and hematite (Fe), were used"
Comment 21 / L. 198-	" Hydrothermal alteration experiments mimicked	The used fluid compositions are empirical values and may differ from
199	burial diagenetic (and meteoric) alteration of recent A.	those which can be found in nature. Nevertheless, we decided to use 10
	islandica under controlled laboratory conditions.	mM of NaCl for the simulated meteoric fluid and 100 mM of NaCl and 10
	Chemical and isotopic compositions of experimental	mM of MgCl <sub>2</sub> for the simulated burial fluid due to the fact that burial
	fluids are given in Table 1"	waters have more Na compared to meteoric fluids but also some Mg.

	This initial bit needs to be expanded further. More data on the composition of fluids and why their compositions have been chosen are necessary.	Moreover, both simulated diagenetic fluids were spiked with <sup>18</sup> O-depleted oxygen in order to trace fluid-solid exchange reactions. The isotopic aspect of the performed hydrothermal alterations were analysed by other project members (see Ritter et al., 20016, accepted manuscript in Sedimentology) in order to trace fluid-solid exchange reactions. Due to the fact that the present manuscript does neither focus, nor deal with isotopic changes, we deleted the mentioned isotopic fluid compositions.
Comment 22 / L. 207	" this temperature regime is far beyond natural	References added, see below:
,	meteoric diagenetic environments but are typical for the	"Obviously, this temperature regime is far beyond natural meteoric
	burial realm"	diagenetic environments (Lavoie and Bourque, 1993) but are typical for the burial realm (Heydari, 1997)"
	At least a reference is needed before the period.	
Comment 23 / L. 237-	" The shell portion facing seawater, indicated with	Changed to:
238	yellow stars in Figs. 1A and 1B, consists of aragonite	" This shell portion is highly porous (see the white dotted features in Fig.
	crystal units in the 5 $\mu$ m size range (Fig. 2A). This shell	1B), pore diameters range within a few micrometers"
	portion is highly porous (Fig. 1B) with pore diameters in	
	the range of a few (< 5) micrometres"	See Fig.A2
	This feature is not visible at the magnification of Fig. 1B.	
Comment 24 / L. 240	" dense and is composed of very small aragonite	Changed to:
	crystallites with sizes of less than 1 μm (Fig. 2B) and	" is dense and is composed of very few small aragonite crystallites with
	contains very few pores"	pore sizes of less than 1 $\mu m$ (Fig. 2B)"
	Fig. 2B is heavily etched. Accordingly, any conclusion	
	about the density of pores is doubtful.	
Comment 25 / L. 260	" shell of <i>A. islandica</i> is composed of nanoparticles that	Changed to:
	are a few tens of nanometres in diameter"	" In order to check the validity of nanoscale structural features observed
		in pristine Arctica islandica shells, we prepared non-biological aragonite
	Again, the nanogranules I Fig. 3C are etching and not	grown from solution in a similar way (microtome cut, polished, etched
	original features.	slightly, only for 180 seconds, critical point dried). As it is well visible in

		Fig. A3 etch pits develop, the presence in aragonite grown from solution
Comment 26 / L. 260-	"the shell of <i>A. islandica</i> is composed of nanoparticles	These are co-aligned to form mesocrystals – probably true but not
261	that are a few tens of nanometres in diameter (white	demonstrated here.
	arrows in Fig. 3C). These are co-aligned to form	The term mesocrystal was deleted.
	mesocrystals - here in the 1-5 μm size range"	
	'These are co-aligned to form mesocrystals', probably	
	true, but not demonstrated here. Ladvise deletion.	
Comment 27 / L. 284-	"At higher magnification a multitude of tiny holes	" At higher magnification a multitude of tiny holes (indicated with yellow
287	(indicated with yellow arrows in Figs. 5C, 5D) become	arrows in Figs. 5C, 5D and enlarged in Figs. A7A and A8B) become readily
	readily visible. In the unaltered shell these holes were	visible"
	filled with the network of biopolymer fibrils	
	interconnecting the mineral units (e.g. Fig. 3B)"	We changed the text accordingly and included two new Figures in the
		appendix.
	'holes', do the authors refer to the membranes between	
	mineral units? Please, be precise.	
Comment 28 / L. 302-	" Hydrothermal treatment of A. islandica at 100 °C	In the first few days of alteration the pristine aragonite microstructure
303	does not produce a significant change in aragonite co-	predetermines to some degree the microstructure of the newly formed
	orientation pattern, texture, grain fabrics, and grain size	product.
	distributions. The pristine and the hydrothermally	
	treated shell materials appear to be quite similar"	
	the coincidence in the orientations of the c-axes of	
	aragonite and calcite, before and after alteration, is	
	remarkable. I would like the authors to commont on this	
	Could there be some kind of epitaxial growth of calcite	
	on the aragonite?	
Comment 29 / L. 303	"values must be attributed to fact"	Changed to:
		"values must be attributed to the fact"

Comment 30 / L. 304- 305	"EBSD scan fields on the different samples in exactly corresponding spots with respect to the outer shell margin and to the patterns of growth lines"	"EBSD scan fields on the different samples in exactly corresponding spots with respect to the outer shell margin and to the patterns of annual growth lines"
	'and to the patterns of growth lines', what is meant with this exactly? please reword.	
Comment 31 / L. 305- 306	"The shell is not uniformly textured. In particular, the slight preferred crystallographic orientation of the a*- axes (the (100) plane normal) in Fig. 6C is a singular case, while c-axis preferred orientation is otherwise dominant (Fig. 6C)"	We checked our data shown in Fig. 6 amended the text accordingly to the reviewers comment.
	There is something wrong here. The maximum for a* is more or less coincident with the maximum of c*, and does not conform with the orientations of b*. The authors should review their data to make sure that the a* pole figure is the correct one.	
Comment 32 / L. 306	"while c-axis preferred"	Changed to: "while the c-axis preferred"
Comment 33 / L. 329- 330	"The MUD values for the newly formed calcite material are high (Figs. 9, 10), but this is related to the fact that within the range of the EBSD scan just a small number of large, newly formed, individual crystals is encountered. "	We cannot change the number of newly formed crystals. The investigation of epitaxial growth of the product on the educt was not the major point of investigation in our study.
	The explanation based on the small number of crystals mapped is not enough. Again, as above, is there the possibility of epitaxial growth?	
Comment 34 / L. 351	"Characteristics of the grains obtained by reaction at 100 °C and 175 °C"	We changed the title according to the comment of the reviewer.

	Subsection 4.1 I do not think that the title (particularly 'Characteristics of the grains') actually conforms to the contents.	
Comment 35 / L. 372	"Calcite nucleation occurs (and replacement reaction proceeds) where the hydrothermal fluid is in contact with the bio-aragonite: at the surfaces of the shell, in pores and along growth lines (Figs. 7, 8, 9)" 'Figs. 7, 8, 9', I find that only Fig. 9b is relevant in the context	We changed the text accordingly.
Comment 36 / L. 394- 395	"). A high degree of internal strain is indicated by blue colours, while light green to yellow colours highlight shell portions where local misorientation is low" May be I do not understand properly, but in the scale of misorientations, blue colors imply very low values, whereas green, yellow and red are increasing values. Isn't it the other way round?	We agree with the reviewer and the text was changed (see below): Blue colours indicate the absence of measurable internal strain, while light green to yellow colours highlight areas where local misorientation is larger than experimental resolution.
Comment 37 / L. 400- 401	"all calcite grains contain numerous small calcite crystallites, a clear cause for the occurrence of internal strains" 'numerous small 400 calcite crystallites, a clear cause for the occurrence of internal strains'. Something is inconsistent here. Crystallite boundaries are defined by misorientations values above 5°, but this is not real, just a convenience. At the positions of 'crystallites' (defined in this way) misorientations values just peak. Therefore, they are not real and cannot be the origin of	We revised the statement in the text.

	misorientations. To demonstrate that they are real, other techniques (e.g., TEM) are necessary.	
Comment 38 / L. 411	"curvilinear structures in the cross section (white arrows in Figs. 13A, 13B) and"	Changed to: "curvilinear structures in the cross section (white arrows in Figs. 13A, 13C) and"
Comment 39 / L. 412	"correspond to subgrain boundaries within the newly formed calcite crystals. These boundaries do not appear to heal or to disappear with an increased alteration time, an indication again of the little effect of alteration duration on the fabric and internal structure of calcite grains crystallized from <i>Arctica islandica</i> shell bioaragonite" The linear structures in 13C at consistent angles argue	We do not understand the comment of the reviewer and did not change our text. We think that what we state in the text is right.
Comment 40 / L. 422- 424	for crystalline structures ({104} faces of calcite?). "Grains obtained from alteration experiments with meteoric fluid show a significantly higher degree of mean misorientation (up to 10 degrees, black arrows in Fig. 14A), compared to that in grains that grew in burial solution" This conclusion does not seem significant in the absence of a statistical analysis, and in view of the low number of data which deviate from the trend in 14B.	We do observe this feature in duplicate samples.
Comment 41 / L. 426- 428	"Thus, larger crystals in the experiment with burial solution result from a smaller number of calcite nuclei. Again, this supports the idea that Mg <sup>2+</sup> inhibits calcite nucleation"	We expanded this statement in subchapter 4.5 (see also below): We attribute the large calcite grains to the nucleation rate: The crystals growing from each nucleus consume the aragonite educt (the precursor, original aragonite) until they abutted each other. Thus, larger crystals in the experiment with burial solution result from a smaller number of

	I cannot follow the statements contained in the two sentences.	calcite nuclei, which may be attributed to the presence of aqueous Mg in the experimental fluid. Note here, that both the reduction of Mg concentration in the reactive fluid, compare to that in the initial burial fluid (see Table 1), as well as speciation calculations, suggest that the formation of Mg-bearing carbonate minerals (magnesite and/or dolomite) is likely possible to occur at the experimental conditions. Indeed, we observe small patches of newly formed Mg-rich carbonates (Fig. A13). The formation of such minerals occurs at lower rates compared to pure Ca- bearing carbonates owing to the slow dehydration of aqueous Mg that is required prior to its incorporation in the crystal (e.g. Mavromatis et al., 2013) even at temperature as high as 200 °C (Saldi et al., 2009; 2012).
Comment 42 / L. 431	Subsection 4.3 In my opinion, the paragraph immediately above could be included within this subsection.	The subsections of the discussion were changed.
Comment 43 / L. 451	<ul> <li>"6C - D illustrate that the (newly formed) calcite product reveals an internal structure that is very reminiscent of the original bioaragonite/biopolymer composite"</li> <li>Fig. 6C-D is not relevant within the context. The images supporting this statement have to be referred unequivocally.</li> </ul>	We changed the sentence as follows: "As the band contrast and orientation maps of Figs. 6A-C illustrate"
Comment 44 / L. 472	"as it a"	Changed to: "as it is a"
Comment 45 / L. 475	"skeleton-forming mineral in"	Changed to: "skeleton-forming minerals in"
Comment 46 / L. 485	"allowing molluscs preservation"	Changed to: "allowing mollusc preservation"
Comment 47/ L. 487- 493	"In this perspective, the laboratory-based hydrothermal alteration experiments performed here	The text has been changed according to the suggestions of the reviewer.

	offer very interesting insights into the fate of the	
	aragonitic or bimineralic hard tissues that escape early	
	dissolution during shallow burial and have the potential	
	to enter the fossil record, a matter relatively neglected	
	so far. In particular, the resistance of biogenic aragonite	
	to replacement by calcite up to temperature of 175 $^{\circ}$ C	
	during hydrothermal alteration offers an additional	
	explanation for the preservation of aragonitic	
	shells/skeletons, besides the taphonomic windows	
	envisaged by Cherns et al. (2008). The results of our	
	experiments neatly explain the observation that the	
	mollusc fossil record is good and allows restoration of	
	evolutionary patterns"	
	Somewhere around here, the authors should state that	
	the change from aragonite to calcite does not always	
	proceed in this	
	way. For instance, there is also the possibility that total	
	dissolution followed by precipitation by calcite (or other	
	minerals, gypsum, pyrite) takes place.	
Comment 48 / L. 522-	"Between two tipping points, one between 50 and 60	The text has been changed accordingly.
524	°C, the other between 160 and 180 °C, aragonite appears	
	to precipitate from supersaturated aqueous solutions	
	rather than calcite, such that the hydrothermal	
	treatments of aragonite within this temperature bracket	
	do not yield calcite"	
	Please, state clearly that the recognition of the first	
	tipping point (50 °C to 60 °C) is not derived from the	
	present study.	

Comment 49 / L. 598	"Dr. E. M. Harper, Prof. U. Brand"	Request was removed.
	Dr. E.M. Harper is also a coauthor; please remove	
Comment 50 / L. 654	", and Ramirez,"	Changed to: "Ramírez"
Comment 51 / L. 657	"Ramirez-Rico, J., Gonzalez-Segura, and Sanchez- Navas"	Changed to: "Ramirez-Rico, J., González-Segura, and Sánchez-Navas"
Comment 52 / L. 666	"Choudens-Sanchez, V., and Gonzales, L. A"	Changed to: "Choudens-Sánchez, V., and Gonzáles, L. A"
Comment 53 / L. 670	"genera Glycymeris, Aequipecten and Arctica,"	Changed to: "genera Glycymeris, Aequipecten and Arctica,"
Comment 54 / L. 677	"Perez-Huerta"	Changed to: "Pérez-Huerta"
Comment 55 / L. 718	"of bivalvemolluscs"	Changed to: "of bivalve molluscs"
Comment 56 / L. 775	"Arctica islandica"	Changed to: "Arctica islandica"
Comment 57 / L. 826	"Zetterstrom"	Changed to: "Zetterström"
Comment 58 / L. 1023- 1024	<ul> <li>"Readily observable are minute round holes within the mineral units (yellow arrows in B, C, D) that were filled in the pristine shell, prior to alteration, by biopolymer fibrils"</li> <li>How do the authors know that the minute holes were filled with biopolymer fibrils. I do not think the statement can be drawn with the techniques used in this</li> </ul>	"For further details concerning the interlinkage between mineral units and nanoparticles with organic matrices, see Figs. A7 and A8"
REVIEWER 4	study. I will remove it.	

Comment 1	First paragraph of 'Abstract' may be moved to 'Introduction'.	We do not agree.
Comment 2 / L. 52	" Experimental conditions were between 100 °C and 175 °C" I understand from the text that experimental conditions were at 100°C and 175°C, and not 'between' these	Changed to: "Experimental conditions were between 100 °C and 175 °C with the main focus on 100 °C and 175 °C,"
Comment 3 / L 58	temperatures. " In all experiments below 175 °C there are no signs."	The text has been changed accordingly (see below):
	'in all experiments below 175 °C' This implies that the described observation occurs at, e.g., 174 °C. Be precise.	" In all experiments up to 174 °C there are no signs of a replacement reaction of shell aragonite to calcite in X-ray diffraction bulk analysis. At 175 °C the replacement reaction started after a dormant time of 4 days"
Comment 4 / L. 72	"The absence of aragonite replacement by calcite at temperatures lower than 175°C contributes to explain why aragonitic or bimineralic shells and skeletons have a good potential of preservation" Again, this statement implies that 175 °C is a critical throchold temperature, while it is simply the	This finding has been explained in the text in great detail. The alteration temperature of 175 °C corresponds to temperatures that are present at shallow burial diagenesis.
	temperature at which many of the experiments were run.	
Comment 5 / L. 83	" They are widespread in the fossil record and are sensitive to changes in seawater composition -which they record with a limited vital effect (e.g. Brand et al.,	We follow the suggestion of the reviewer and changed that sentence accordingly.
	2003; Parkinson et al., 2005; Schöne & Surge, 2012; Brocas et al., 2013)"	"They are widespread in the fossil record and are sensitive to changes in seawater composition (e.g. Brand et al., 2003; Parkinson et al., 2005; Schöne & Surge, 2012; Brocas et al., 2013)"

	'limited vital effect' This is certainly an over-	
	simplification, as 'vital effects' depend on the complexity	
	of the biomineralisation process of the organism, the	
	element or isotope system considered; and can be	
	negligible or significant.	
Comment 6 / L. 91-106	" we performed laboratory-based alteration	We shortened the text according to the suggestion of the reviewer.
	experiments with Arctica islandica shells with the aim to	
	obtain time series data sets. The bivalve A. islandica has	
	been studied in several scientific disciplines, i.e. biology	
	(Morton, 2011; Oeschger and Storey, 1993; Taylor, 1976;	
	Strahl et al., 2011), ecology (Beal and Kraus, 1989; Kilada	
	et al., 2007; Lewis et al., 2001; Ridgway et al., 2012;	
	Thórarinsdóttir and Einarsson, 1996), gerontology	
	(Abele, 2002; Ridgway and Richardson, 2011; Strahl,	
	2007), pollution monitoring (Krause-Nehring et al., 2012;	
	Palmer and Rand, 1977; Swaileh, 1996) and shellfisheries	
	management (Adelaja et al., 1998; Harding et al., 2008;	
	Thórarinsdóttir and Jacobson, 2005). A. islandica has also	
	gained profound attention in paleoclimatology due to its	
	long lifespan and its use as a high-resolution long-term	
	archive (e. g. Schöne, 2004; Schöne, 2005a, 2005b;	
	Wanamaker et al., 2008; Marchitto et al., 2000, Butler et	
	al., 2009, Wanamaker et al., 2011, Karney et al., 2012	
	Schöne, 2013, Butler et al., 2013). On the long-term	
	perspective, A. islandica plays an important role in	
	palaeontology, not only as a Neogene palaeoecological	
	and palaeoclimatic archive (e.g. Schöne, 2004; Schöne,	
	2005a, 2005b; Wanamaker et al., 2008; Marchitto et al.,	
	2000, Butler et al., 2009, Wanamaker et al., 2011, Karney	
	et al., 2012 Schöne, 2013, Butler et al., 2013, Crippa et	

	al., 2016), but also as a biostratigraphic tool. Formerly	
	considered a marker for the Pliocene-Pleistocene	
	boundary (Raffi, 1986) in the Mediterranean region, its	
	first appearance is now regarded as an indicator of the	
	Gelasian-Calabrian (Early Pleistocene) boundary, around	
	1.7 Ma (Crippa & Raineri, 2015)"	
	Do we need to know that much about A <i>islandica</i> in	
	order to understand the significance of this paper, which	
	is more concerned about general aspects of biological	
	aragonite diagenesis? I suggest shortening this	
	paragraph as it distracts from the main focus of the	
	paper.	
Comment 7 / L. 124	"natural or synthetic aragonite"	Changed to:
	, , ,	"geological or synthetic aragonite"
Comment 8 / L. 128-130	" Metzger & Banard (1968) and Perdikouri et al. (2011,	Changed to:
	2013) investigated aragonite blocks or single crystals and	" Metzger & Banard (1968) and Perdikouri et al. (2011, 2013)
	report that temperatures IN EXCESS of 160-170 °C are	investigated aragonite blocks or single crystals and report that
	required to transform the aragonite to calcite within a	temperatures in excess of 160-170 °C are required to transform the
	couple of days, whereas BELOW 160 °C aragonite	aragonite to calcite within a couple of days, whereas <i>below</i> 160 °C
	remains present over many weeks"	aragonite remains present over many weeks"
	edit capitalized words	
Comment 9 / L. 132-140	" During biomineralisation living organisms create local	We do not agree with the reviewer.
	micro-environments for physiological generate of their	
	composite hard tissues. After the death of the organism	
	all tissues become altered by equilibration with the	
	surrounding environment - part of the complex set of	
	processes called diagenesis. Thus, as diagenetic	
	alteration proceeds, the species-specific fingerprint of	

	the biogenic structure disappears and is replaced by inorganic features. Despite the fact that the evolutionary line of <i>A. islandica</i> dates back to the Jurassic (Casey, 1952) only a limited number of studies have dealt with <i>A. islandica</i> specimens due to the thermodynamically unstable nature of their aragonitic shells" These statements should be at the beginning of the 'Introduction'.	
Comment 10 / L. 199- 200	No isotope data are reported in table 1.	All fluids were spiked with <sup>18</sup> O-depleted oxygen in order to trace fluid-solid exchange reactions and isotopic studies investigated by Ritter et al., 2016.
Comment 11 / L. 200	<ul> <li>" All fluids for this were spiked with <sup>18</sup>O-depleted oxygen in order to trace fluid-solid exchange reactions"</li> <li>Something wrong with this sentence; as no isotope data are reported or discussed, sentence could be omitted.</li> </ul>	See comment above
Comment 12 / L. 205	"oven at temperatures between 100 °C and 175 °C for different periods of time between one day and 84 days (see Table 2)" According to table 2, samples were experimentally altered during 7, 28, and 84 days at temperatures of 100 or 175°C. Be precise.	Changed to: "oven at temperatures between 100 °C and 175 °C for different periods of time ranging between one day and 84 days ( see Table 1, Fig. A11 and Table 2 for experiments focussing on 100 °C and 175 °C)"
Comment 13 / L. 232	"Figures 1 to 5 show characteristic ultrastructural features of the shell of modern <i>A. islandica</i> . Images of the pristine shell are given in Figs. 1-3, while Figs. 4 and 5 present structural features of the hydrothermally"	We changed the text accordingly.

	It may be advisable to refer to inner and outer shell layers, consistent with the terminology in Fig. A1. 'Shell portion facing seawater' is somewhat misleading, as only the surface of the shell may be in contact with seawater, and is additionally protected by the periostracum (see Fig. A1).	
Comment 14 / L. 273- 275	"Relative to neighbouring shell increments, the Sr content along the growth lines is always higher. Maximal concentrations (along annual growth lines) in pristine and altered shells vary between 0.4 and 0.6 wt% Sr (Figs. A3, A4, A5)" No explanation is offered for the high Sr-concentrations along growth lines throughout the text. Is Sr part of the organic matrix, or the mineral aragonite?	" Relative to neighbouring shell increments, the Sr content along the growth lines is always higher (Shirai et al., 2014)" According to Shirai et al. (2014) Sr is contained in the mineral part of the shell.
Comment 15 / L. 288	I suggest reporting the EBSD results in a separate section with its own subheading.	We do not agree with the reviewer, and need to present data from all methods together in one major results section.
Comment 16 / L. 315	"Using X-ray diffraction (XRD) we obtained an overview of the kinetics of the <i>A. islandica</i> biogenic aragonite to calcite transition" The observation of calcite formation is a very fundamental result that should be higher up in the 'Result' section, not at its end, hidden in the description of aragonite microfabrics.	We do not agree with the reviewer, and need to present data from all methods together in one major results section.
Comment 17 / L. 368	"reaction in our 100 °C treatments is related to inhibition of calcite nucleation (Sun et al., 2015), a mechanism that has rarely been rigorously explored"	Possibly, this issue was not a major point of investigation in our study.

	Is there evidence that supersaturation was reached in the 100°C experiments? The experimental fluids should be initially undersaturated, no aragonite dissolution is reported from the experiments, and the data in Table 1 are not sufficient to estimate saturation states.	
Comment 18 / L. 370	" At 175 °C the replacement reaction of biological aragonite to coarse-grained calcite occurs rapidly; it starts after a dormant period of about 4 days and proceeds rapidly almost to completion after 3 more days (Figs. A3, 13A)" Fig. A3 shows alteration at 100°C, and not at 175°C.	Changed to: " At 175 °C the replacement reaction of biological aragonite to coarse- grained calcite occurs rapidly; it starts after a dormant period of about 4 days and proceeds rapidly almost to completion after 3 more days (Figs. A11, 8)"
Comment 19 / L. 375	<ul> <li>"4.2 The time lag of aragonite to calcite replacement reaction at 175 °C The several-day dormant critical size can form"</li> <li>Only the first paragraph of this section is about the time lag of calcite replacement, while 4/5 of the section are about orientation of newly formed calcite crystals. The latter discussion is very important, and certainly deserves its own subheading.</li> </ul>	We changed the title of the subparagraph 4.2.
Comment 20 / L. 445	"We observed that the fluids used (artificial meteoric and/or burial fluids) cause only a minor difference in replacement reaction kinetics in our experiments, with the MgCl <sub>2</sub> -bearing artificial burial fluid reducing the nucleation rate of calcite, thus, leading to the observed significantly larger calcite crystals in the recrystallised product"	We do not have any data on the partial pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> . Concerning the further comments of the reviewer, we slightly modified the discussion.

	The pH of the solution and/or CO2 partial pressure must	
	be considered important, as they control the solubility of	
	the original phase. Experiments of the current study	
	were run with fluids with relatively high pH values and	
	presumably very low pCO2 (no data provided). This	
	should be discussed when comparing the present result	
	with older studies that observed more rapid reactions	
	with low-pH solutions (lines 435-436).	
Comment 21 / L. 470-	"4.4. A paleontological perspective of our laboratory-	The focus of this paragraph is to underscore the significance of the
493	based hydrothermal alteration experiments",	experiments for understanding the palaeontological record and not to
	complete part	discuss the factors that control aragonite dissolution, which, as the
		reviewer says represent, a very complex and debated subject. We think that
	I disagree with many statements in this section.	it is very important to link the results of these experiments on extant
	Aragonite dissolution is known from many near-surface	bivalves to the possible fate of the main aragonite biomineralisers, which
	environments and occurs essentially at surface	are vulnerable to early dissolution and yet can be found in the fossil record.
	temperatures, e.g. in the vadose environment. Factors	This paragraph can raise the attention of palaeontologists and fill the gap,
	other than temperature, e.g. pH of vadose fluids, anoxic	which is invariably present, between those who study recent taxa or recent
	diagenetic environments with sulfate reduction etc.,	processes and the researchers who study the fossil record, widening the
	have to be considered. Much has been written about a	audience of the readers of this paper and providing new ideas for future
	taphonomic bias related to shell mineralogy as well as	collaboration. So the message contained in this paragraph is different to
	about carbonate diagenesis, and these complex issues	what inferred by the reviewer. More than discussing aragonite dissolution,
	cannot be adequately addressed in such a short	this paragraph seeks to offer an additional explanation for the preservation
	paragraph. It is not strictly relevant to the main topic	of aragonitic shells/skeletons and thus their rather complete fossil record.
	addressed in this paper and I suggest omitting it.	We have slightly changed the text to make our message clearer.
		We modified chapter 4.4 (see below and revised manuscript).
		The alteration experiments of recent A. islandica under controlled
		laboratory conditions are very important from a palaeontological
		perspective as they reproduce burial diagenetic conditions. The

	understanding of the diagenetic processes which control organism hard
	tissue preservation in fact a fundamental prerequisite to taxonomic,
	taphonomic, palaeoecological, and biostratigraphic studies (e.g. Tucker,
	1990). Most organisms have hard tissues composed of calcium carbonate,
	and its metastable form, aragonite, is one of the first biominerals produced
	at the Precambrian-Cambrian boundary (Runnegar & Bengtson, 1990), as
	well as one of the most widely used skeleton-forming minerals in the
	Phanerozoic record and today; in fact, aragonitic shells/skeletons are
	produced by hyolithids, cnidarians, algae, and by the widespread and
	diversified molluscs.
	Several studies (Cherns & Wright, 2000; Wright et al., 2003; Wright &
	Cherns, 2004; James et al., 2005) have underscored that Phanerozoic
	marine faunas seem to be dominated by calcite-shelled taxa, the labile
	aragonitic or bimineralic groups being lost during early diagenesis (in the
	soft sediment, before lithification), potentially causing a serious
	taphonomic loss. Considering that most molluscs are aragonitic or
	bimineralic, this loss could be particularly detrimental both for
	palaeoecological and biostratigraphic studies. However, it has been shown
	that the mollusc fossil record is not so biased as expected (Harper, 1998;
	Cherns et al., 2008). This is due to high frequency taphonomic processes
	(early lithification/hardgrounds, storm plasters, anoxic bottoms, high
	sedimentation rates) that. throughout the control of organic matter
	content and residence time in the taphonomically active zone, produce
	taphonomic windows allowing mollusc preservation (James et al. 2005;
	Cherns et al., 2008). Even if the factors that control aragonite dissolution
	are multiple and their interpretation is complex.
	The laboratory-based hydrothermal alteration experiments performed
	here offer very interesting insights into the fate of the aragonitic or
	bimineralic hard tissues that escape early dissolution during shallow burial
	and have the potential to enter the fossil record. In particular, the

		resistance of biogenic aragonite to replacement by calcite up to temperature of 175 °C during hydrothermal alteration offers an additional explanation for the preservation of aragonitic shells/skeletons once they have escaped early dissolution. The results of our experiments neatly explain the observation that the mollusc fossil record is good and allows restoration of evolutionary patterns.
Comment 22 / L. 499	<ul> <li>" and contains mineral units in the 1-5 μm size range, the inner shell layers (which are closer to the soft tissue of the animal) are characterised by a dense shell structure"</li> <li>While it is correct that the inner shell layer is closer to the soft body of the bivalve, this is probably quite irrelevant for the observed differences in shell structure.</li> </ul>	Changed to: Next to the soft tissue of the animal → inner shell layer / portion Next to the seawater → outer shell layer / portion / section
	and porosity. Inner and outer shell layers are precipitated from the extrapallial fluid, i.e. at about the same distance from the tissue of the mantle margin where the shell is formed.	
Comment 23 / Table 1	Please clarify that all data (except Mg) are referring to fluids before the experiment. Did changes of any of the tabulated items occur during the experiment, or was this not analyzed?	All given values are valid for the fluids after the experiments as the stock fluids prior to the experiment were not analysed.
Comment 24	'hydrothermal': rephrase to 'solution', 'diagenetic fluid', or 'experimental' fluid. 'Hydrothermal' is not synonymous with 'hot water'.	Changed to: "experimental fluid(s)"
Comment 25	What about the importance of the organic matrix, its decay, and its role of providing pathways for fluids and in changing the chemistry of fluids? The time lag observed before nucleation of calcite crystals could be related to the time required for disintegration of the organic	The disintergration of the organic matrix is not mainly responsible for the time lag before nucleation of new calcite crystals, as the organic matrix disinteragrates very quickly and well below 100 °C.

matrix, formation of local acidic conditions due to the	
addition of CO2 from the inorganic breakdown of	
organic molecules, and the permeability created in the	
process.	