# <sup>1</sup>**Modelling spatial and temporal dynamics of gross primary production**  <sup>2</sup>**in the Sahel from earth observation based photosynthetic capacity and**  <sup>3</sup>**quantum efficiency**

4

5 Torbern Tagesson<sup>1</sup>, Jonas Ardö<sup>2</sup>, Bernard Cappelaere<sup>3</sup>, Laurent Kergoat<sup>4</sup>, Abdulhakim Abdi<sup>2</sup>, 6 Stéphanie Horion<sup>1</sup>, Rasmus Fensholt<sup>1</sup>

7

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 10, DK-9 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark; E-Mails: torbern.tagesson@ign.ku.dk, stephanie.horion@ign.ku.dk, rf@ign.ku.dk 10

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sölvegatan 12, SE- 223 62 Lund, 12 Sweden, E-Mails: jonas.ardo@nateko.lu.se, hakim.abdi@gmail.com 13

<sup>3</sup>HydroSciences Montpellier, IRD, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France, E-Mail: bernard.cappelaere@um2.fr 15

4 16 Geoscience Environnement Toulouse, (CNRS/UPS/IRD), 14 av E Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France, E-17 Mail: laurent.kergoat@get.obs-mip.fr

18

19 *Correspondence to*: Torbern Tagesson (torbern.tagesson@ign.ku.dk)

20 **Abstract.** It has been shown that vegetation growth in semi-arid regions is important to the global terrestrial CO<sub>2</sub> sink, 21 which indicates the strong need for improved understanding and spatially explicit estimates of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  uptake (gross 22 primary production (GPP)) in semi-arid ecosystems. This study has three aims: 1) to evaluate the MOD17A2H GPP 23 (collection 6) product against eddy covariance (EC) based GPP for six sites across the Sahel; 2) to characterize 24 relationships between spatial and temporal variability in EC based photosynthetic capacity (F<sub>opt</sub>) and quantum 25 efficiency (α) and earth observation (EO) based vegetation indices (normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI); 26 renormalized difference vegetation index (RDVI); enhanced vegetation index (EVI); and shortwave infrared water 27 stress index (SIWSI)); and 3) to study the applicability of EO upscaled  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  for GPP modelling purposes. 28 MOD17A2H GPP (collection 6) drastically underestimated GPP, most likely because maximum light use efficiency is set too low for semi-arid ecosystems in the MODIS algorithm. Intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  were closely related to 30 SIWSI being sensitive to equivalent water thickness, whereas α was closely related to RDVI being affected by 31 chlorophyll abundance. Spatial and inter-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  were closely coupled to NDVI and RDVI,  $32$  respectively. Modelled GPP based on  $F_{opt}$  and α upscaled using EO based indices reproduced in situ GPP well for all 33 except a cropped site that was strongly impacted by anthropogenic land use. Upscaled GPP for the Sahel 2001-2014 34 was 736 $\pm$ 39 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. This study indicates the strong applicability of EO as a tool for spatially explicit estimates of GPP, F<sub>opt</sub> and α; incorporating EO based F<sub>opt</sub> and α in dynamic global vegetation models could improve estimates of 36 vegetation production, and simulations of ecosystem processes and hydro-biochemical cycles.

38 **Keywords:** remote sensing, gross primary production, light use efficiency, photosynthetic capacity, quantum efficiency, 39 vegetation index, Sahel

#### 40 **1 Introduction**

41 Vegetation growth in semi-arid regions is an important sink for fossil fuel emissions. Mean carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$ 42 uptake by terrestrial ecosystems is dominated by highly productive lands, mainly tropical forests, whereas semi-arid 43 regions are the main biome driving its inter-annual variability (Ahlström et al., 2015; Poulter et al., 2014). Semi-arid 44 regions contribute to 60% of the long-term trend in the global terrestrial C sink (Ahlström et al., 2015). It is thus 45 important to understand long-term variability of vegetation growth in semi-arid areas and the response of vegetation to 46 environmental conditions to better quantify and forecast effects of climate change.

47 The Sahel is a semi-arid transition zone between the dry Sahara desert in the North and the humid Sudanian savanna 48 in the South. The region has experienced numerous severe droughts over the last decades, which resulted in region-wide 49 famines in 1972-1973 and 1984–1985 and localized food shortages across the region in 1990, 2002, 2004, 2011 and 50 2012 (Abdi et al., 2014; United Nations, 2013). Vegetation production is thereby an important ecosystem service for 51 livelihoods in the Sahel, but it is under threat. The region is experiencing strong population growth, increasing the 52 demand on ecosystem services due to cropland expansion, increased pasture stocking rates and fuelwood extraction 53 (Abdi et al., 2014).

54 At the same time as we have reports of declining vegetation production, we have contradicting reports of the greening 55 of the Sahel based on earth observation (EO) data (Dardel et al., 2014; Fensholt et al., 2013). The greening of the Sahel 56 has mainly been attributed to alleviated drought stress conditions due to increased precipitation since the mid-1990s 57 (Hickler et al., 2005). Climate is thus another important factor regulating vegetation production. Semi-arid regions, such 58 as the Sahel, are particularly vulnerable to climate fluctuations due to their dependency on moisture.

59 Estimation of gross primary production (GPP), i.e. uptake of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by vegetation, is still a major challenge 60 for the remote sensing of ecosystem services. Gross primary production is a main driver of ecosystem services such as 61 climate regulation, carbon (C) sequestration, C storage, food production and livestock grassland production. Within EO, 62 spatial quantification of GPP generally involves light use efficiency (LUE), defined as the conversion efficiency of 63 absorbed solar light into CO2 uptake (Monteith, 1972, 1977). It has been shown that LUE varies in space and time due 64 to factors such as plant functional type, drought and temperature, nutrient levels and physiological limitations of 65 photosynthesis (Garbulsky et al., 2010; Paruelo et al., 2004; Kergoat et al., 2008). The LUE concept has been applied 66 through various methods, either by using a biome-specific LUE constant (Ruimy et al., 1994) or by modifying a 67 maximum LUE using meteorological variables (Running et al., 2004).

68 An example of a LUE based model is the standard GPP product from the Moderate Resolution Imaging 69 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensor (MOD17A2). Within the model, absorbed photosynthetically active radiation 70 (PAR) is estimated as a product of the fraction of PAR absorbed by green vegetation (FPAR from MOD15A2) 71 multiplied with daily PAR from the meteorological data of the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). A 72 set of maximum LUE parameters specified for each biome are extracted from a Biome Properties Look-Up Table 73 (BPLUT). Then maximum LUE is modified depending on air temperature  $(T_{air})$  and vapour pressure deficit (VPD) 74 (Running et al., 2004). Sjöström et al. (2013) evaluated the MOD17A2 product (collection 5.1) for Africa and showed

75 that it underestimated GPP for semi-arid savannas in the Sahel. Explanations for this underestimation were that the 76 assigned maximum LUE from BPLUT was set too low and that there were uncertainties in the FPAR product 77 (MOD15A2). Recently, a new collection of MOD17A2 at a 500 m spatial resolution was released (MOD17A2H; 78 collection 6) with an updated BPLUT, updated GMAO meteorological data, improved quality control and gap-filling of 79 the FPAR data from MOD15A2 (Running and Zhao, 2015).

80 It has been shown that the LUE method does not perform well in arid conditions and at agricultural sites (Turner et 81 al., 2005). Additionally, the linearity assumed by the LUE model is not usually found as the response of GPP to 82 incoming light follows more an asymptotic curve (Cannell and Thornley, 1998). Investigating other methods for 83 remotely determining GPP is thus of great importance, especially for semi-arid environments. Therefore, instead of 84 LUE, we focus on the light response function of GPP at the canopy scale, and spatial and temporal variation of its two 85 main parameters: maximum GPP under light saturation (canopy-scale photosynthetic capacity;  $F_{\text{opt}}$ ) and the initial slope 86 of the light response function (canopy-scale quantum efficiency; α) (Falge et al., 2001; Tagesson et al., 2015a). 87 Photosynthetic capacity is a measure of the maximum rate at which the canopy can fix  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  during photosynthesis 88 (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), whereas α is the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> fixed per incoming PAR (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> μmol PAR<sup>-1</sup>). To clarify the  $89$  difference in LUE and α in this study, LUE (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> μmol APAR<sup>-1</sup>) is the slope of a linear fit between CO<sub>2</sub> uptake 90 and absorbed PAR, whereas  $\alpha$  (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> µmol PAR<sup>-1</sup>) is the initial slope of an asymptotic curve against incoming 91 PAR.

92 It has been proven that  $F_{opt}$  and α are closely related to chlorophyll abundance due to their coupling with the electron 93 transport rate (Ide et al., 2010). Additionally, in semi-arid ecosystems, water availability is generally considered to be 94 the main limiting factor affecting intra-annual dynamics of vegetation growth (Fensholt et al., 2013; Hickler et al., 95 2005; Tagesson et al., 2015b). Several remote sensing studies have established relationships between remotely sensed 96 vegetation indices and ecosystem properties such as chlorophyll abundance and equivalent water thickness (Yoder and 97 Pettigrew-Crosby, 1995; Fensholt and Sandholt, 2003). In this study, we will analyse whether EO vegetation indices 98 can be used to upscale  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  and investigate whether this could offer a promising way to map GPP in semi-arid 99 areas. This potential will be analysed by the use of detailed ground observations from six eddy covariance (EC) flux 100 tower sites across the Sahel.

101 The three aims of this study are:

- 102 1) To investigate whether the recently released MOD17A2H GPP (collection 6) product is better at capturing 103 GPP for the Sahel than collection 5.1. We hypothesize that the MOD17A2H GPP (collection 6) product will 104 estimate GPP well for the six Sahelian EC sites because of major changes made in comparison to collection 105 5.1 (Running and Zhao, 2015).
- 106 2) To characterize the relationships between spatial and temporal variability in  $F_{opt}$  and α and remotely sensed 107 vegetation indices. We hypothesise that EO vegetation indices that are closely related to chlorophyll abundance will be most strongly coupled with spatial and inter-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α, whereas 109 vegetation indices closely related to equivalent water thickness will be most strongly coupled with intra-annual 110 dynamics in  $F_{\text{opt}}$  and  $\alpha$  across the Sahel.
- 111 3) To evaluate the applicability of a GPP model based on the light response function using EO vegetation indices 112 and incoming PAR as input data.

#### 114 **2 Materials and Methods**

#### 115 **2.1 Site description**

116 The Sahel stretches from the Atlantic Ocean in the west to the Red Sea in the east. The northern border towards the

117 Sahara and the southern border towards the humid Sudanian Savanna are defined by the 150 and 700 mm isohyets,

118 respectively (Fig. 1) (Prince et al., 1995). Tree and shrub canopy cover is now generally low (< 5%) and dominated by

119 species of *Balanites*, *Acacia, Boscia* and *Combretaceae* (Rietkerk et al., 1996). Annual grasses such as *Schoenefeldia*  120 *gracilis*, *Dactyloctenium aegypticum*, *Aristida mutabilis* and *Cenchrus biflorus* dominate the herbaceous layer, but

121 perennial grasses such as *Andropogon gayanus*, *Cymbopogon schoenanthus* can also be found (Rietkerk et al., 1996; de

122 Ridder et al., 1982). From the FLUXNET database (Baldocchi et al., 2001) we selected six measurement sites with EC

123 based  $CO_2$  flux data from the Sahel (Table 1; Fig. 1). The sites represent a variety of ecosystems present in the region,

124 from dry fallow bush savanna to seasonally inundated acacia forest. For a full description of the measurement sites, we

125 refer to Tagesson et al. (2016a) and references in Table 1.

126 <Table 1>

127 <Figure 1>

128

## 129 **2.2 Data collection**

#### 130 **2.2.1 Eddy covariance and hydrometeorological in situ data**

- 131 Eddy covariance and hydrometeorological data originating from the years between 2005 and 2013 were collected from 132 the principal investigators of the measurement sites (Tagesson et al., 2016a). The EC sensor setup consisted of open-133 path CO2/H2O infrared gas analysers and 3-axis sonic anemometers. Data were collected at 20 Hz and statistics were 134 calculated for 30-minute periods. For a full description of the sensor setup and post processing of EC data, see the 135 references in Table 1. Final fluxes were filtered according to quality flags provided by FLUXNET and outliers were 136 filtered according to Papale et al. (2006). We extracted the original net ecosystem exchange (NEE) data without any 137 gap-filling or partitioning of NEE to GPP and ecosystem respiration. The hydrometeorological data collected were: air 138 temperature (T<sub>air</sub>, °C), rainfall (P; mm), relative air humidity (Rh; %), soil moisture at 0.1 m depth (SWC; % volumetric water content), incoming global radiation ( $R_g$ ; W m<sup>-2</sup>), incoming photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-</sup> 139 140  $^{-1}$ ), VPD (hPa), peak dry weight biomass (g dry weight m<sup>-2</sup>), C3/C4 species ratio and soil conditions (nitrogen and C 141 concentration; %). For a full description of the collected data and sensor setup, see Tagesson et al. (2016a).
- 142

#### 143 **2.2.2 Earth Observation data and gridded ancillary data**

144 Composite products from MODIS/Terra covering the Sahel were acquired at Reverb ECHO (NASA, 2016). Collected 145 products were GPP (MOD17A2H; collection 6), nadir bidirectional reflectance distribution function adjusted 146 reflectance (NBAR) (8-day composites; MCD43A4; collection 5.1) at  $500 \times 500$  m<sup>2</sup> spatial resolution, the normalized 147 difference vegetation index (NDVI) and the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) (16-day composites; MOD13Q1; 148 collection 6) at  $250 \times 250$  m<sup>2</sup> spatial resolution. The NBAR product was preferred over the reflectance product 149 (MOD09A1) in order to avoid variability caused by varying sun and sensor viewing geometry (Huber et al., 2014; 150 Tagesson et al., 2015c). We extracted the median of 3x3 pixels centred at the location of each EC tower. Time series of 151 EO products were filtered according to MODIS quality control data; MOD17A2H is a gap-filled and filtered product,

152 QC data from MCD43A2 were used for filtering of MCD43A4; and bit 2-5 (highest –decreasing quality) was used for 153 MOD13Q1. Finally, data were gap-filled to daily values using linear interpolation.

- 154 We downloaded ERA Interim reanalysis PAR at the ground surface (W m<sup>-2</sup>) with a spatial resolution of  $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ 155 accumulated for each 3-hour period from 2000-2015 from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
- 156 (ECMWF) (Dee et al., 2011; ECMWF, 2016a).
- 157

# 158 **2.3 Data handling**

#### 159 **2.3.1 Intra-annual dynamics in photosynthetic capacity and quantum efficiency**

160 To estimate daily values of EC based  $F_{opt}$  and α, the asymptotic Mitscherlich light-response function was fitted between 161 daytime NEE and incoming PAR using a 7-day moving window with a 1-day time step:

162 
$$
NEE = -(F_{opt}) \times (1 - e^{\left(\frac{-\alpha \times PAR}{F_{opt}}\right)}) + R_d
$$
 (1)

163 where  $F_{opt}$  is  $CO_2$  uptake at light saturation (photosynthetic capacity; µmol  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), R<sub>d</sub> is dark respiration 164 (μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and α is the initial slope of the light response curve (quantum efficiency; μmol CO<sub>2</sub> μmol PAR<sup>-1</sup>) 165 (Falge et al., 2001). By subtracting  $R_d$  from Eq. 1, the function was forced through zero and GPP was thereby estimated. 166 To ensure a high quality of fitted parameters, parameters were excluded from the analysis when fitting was insignificant 167 (p-value>0.05) and when they were out of range (F<sub>opt</sub> and α >peak value of the rainy season times 1.2). Additionally, 168 outliers were filtered following the method by Papale et al. (2006) using a 30-day moving window with a 1-day time 169 step.

170

# 171 **2.3.2 Vegetation indices**

172 The maximum absorption in red wavelengths generally occurs at 682 nm as this is the peak absorption for chlorophyll a 173 and b (Thenkabail et al., 2000), which makes vegetation indices that include the red band sensitive to chlorophyll 174 abundance. By far the most common vegetation index is NDVI (Rouse et al., 1974):

175 
$$
NDVI = \frac{\left(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{red}\right)}{\left(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{red}\right)}
$$
 (2)

176 where  $\rho_{NIR}$  is the reflectance factor in the near infrared (NIR) band (band 2) and  $\rho_{red}$  is the reflectance factor in the red 177 band (band 1). Near infrared radiance is reflected by leaf cells since absorption of these wavelengths would result in 178 overheating of the plant, whereas red radiance is absorbed by chlorophyll and its accessory pigments (Gates et al., 179 1965). Normalization is done to reduce effects of atmospheric errors, solar zenith angles and sensor viewing geometry, 180 as well as to increase the vegetation signal (Qi et al., 1994; Inoue et al., 2008).

181 A well-known deficiency of NDVI is problems of index saturation at high biomass because absorption of red light at 182 ~670 nm peaks at higher biomass loads, whereas NIR reflectance continues to increase due to multiple scattering effects 183 (Mutanga and Skidmore, 2004; Jin and Eklundh, 2014). By reducing atmospheric and soil background influences, EVI 184 is designed to increase the signal from the vegetation and maintain sensitivity in high biomass regions (Huete et al., 185 2002).

186 
$$
EVI = G \frac{(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{red})}{(\rho_{NIR} + C_1 \rho_{red} - C_2 \rho_{blue} + L)}
$$
(3)

187 where  $\rho_{blue}$  is the reflectance factor in the blue band (band 3). The coefficients C<sub>1</sub>=6 and C<sub>2</sub>=7.5 correct for atmospheric 188 influences, while L=1 adjusts for the canopy background. The factor  $G=2.5$  is a gain factor.

189 Another attempt to overcome problems of NDVI saturation was proposed by Roujean and Breon (1995), who 190 suggested the renormalized difference vegetation index (RDVI), which combines advantages of DVI (NIR-red) and 191 NDVI for low and high vegetation cover, respectively:

$$
192 \qquad \text{RDV} = \frac{(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{red})}{\sqrt{(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{red})}}
$$
\n<sup>(4)</sup>

193 As a non-linear index, RDVI is not only less sensitive to variations in geometrical and optical properties of unknown 194 foliage but also less affected by solar and viewing geometry (Broge and Leblanc, 2001).

195 The NIR and SWIR bands are affected by the same ground properties, except that SWIR bands are also strongly 196 sensitive to equivalent water thickness. Fensholt and Sandholt (2003) proposed a vegetation index, the shortwave 197 infrared water stress index (SIWSI), using NIR and SWIR bands to estimate drought stress for vegetation in semi-arid 198 environments:

199 
$$
SINSSI_{12} = \frac{(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{SWIR12})}{(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{SWIR12})}
$$
 (5)

200 
$$
SIWSI_{16} = \frac{(\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{SWIR_{16}})}{(\rho_{NIR} + \rho_{SWIR_{16}})}
$$
(6)

201 where  $\rho_{\text{swirl2}}$  is NBAR band 5 (1230-1250 nm) and  $\rho_{\text{swirl6}}$  is NBAR band 6 (1628-1652 nm). As the vegetation water 202 content increases, reflectance in SWIR decreases, indicating that low and high SIWSI values point to sufficient water 203 conditions and drought stress, respectively. The vegetation indices RDVI,  $SINSI<sub>12</sub>$  and  $SINSI<sub>16</sub>$  were calculated based 204 on NBAR bands 1, 2, 5 and 6.

205

#### 206 **2.3.3 Incoming PAR across the Sahel**

207 A modified version of the ERA Interim reanalysis PAR was used in the current study as there was an error in the code 208 producing these PAR estimates; the estimates were generally too low (ECMWF, 2016b). Accordingly, incoming PAR 209 at the ground surface from ERA Interim was systematically underestimated even though it followed the pattern of PAR 210 measured at the six Sahelian EC sites (Fig. S1 in supplementary material). In order to correct for this error, we fitted 211 and applied an ordinary least squares linear regression between in situ PAR and ERA Interim PAR (Fig. S1). The PAR 212 produced from this relationship is at the same level as in situ PAR and should be at a correct level even though the 213 original ERA Interim PAR is actually produced from the red and near infrared part of the spectrum.

214

## 215 **2.4 Data analysis**

# 216 **2.4.1 Coupling temporal and spatial dynamics in photosynthetic capacity and quantum efficiency with**  217 **explanatory variables**

The coupling between intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α and the vegetation indices for the different measurement sites 219 were studied using Pearson correlation analysis. As part of the correlation analysis, we used a bootstrap simulation 220 methodology with 200 iterations from which the mean and the standard deviation of the correlation coefficients were calculated (Richter et al., 2012). Relationships between intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α and the vegetation indices

222 for all sites combined were also analysed. In the analysis for all sites, data were normalized to avoid influence of spatial

223 and inter-annual variability. Time series of ratios of  $F_{\text{opt}}$  and  $\alpha$  ( $F_{\text{opt}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{frac}}$ ) against the annual peak values ( $F_{\text{opt}}$  peak 224 and  $\alpha_{\text{peak}}$ ; see below for calculation of annual peak values) were estimated for all sites:

$$
P_{\text{opt\_frac}} = \frac{F_{\text{opt}}}{F_{\text{opt\_peak}}} \tag{7}
$$

$$
226 \qquad \alpha_{\text{frac}} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{\text{peak}}} \tag{8}
$$

227 The same standardization procedure was used for all vegetation indices  $(VI<sub>frac</sub>)$ :

$$
VI_{\text{frac}} = \frac{VI}{VI_{\text{peak}}}
$$
 (9)

229 where  $VI_{peak}$  is the annual peak values of the vegetation indices (14-day running mean with highest annual value). The 230  $\alpha_{\text{frac}}$  and  $F_{\text{opt\_frac}}$  were correlated with the different VI<sub>frac</sub> to investigate the coupling between intra-annual dynamics in 231  $F_{\text{opt}}$  and  $\alpha$  and the vegetation indices for all sites.

Regression trees were used to fill gaps in the daily estimates of  $F_{opt}$  and α. One hundred tree sizes were chosen based 233 on 100 cross-validation runs, and these trees were then used to estimate  $F_{opt}$  and α following the method in De'ath and 234 Fabricius (2000). We used SWC, VPD,  $T_{air}$ , PAR and the vegetation index with the strongest correlation with intra-235 annual dynamics as explanatory variables in the analysis. In the analysis for all sites, the same standardization 236 procedure as done for  $F_{opt}$ ,  $\alpha$ , and the vegetation indices was done for the hydrometeorological variables. The 100  $F_{opt}$ 237 and  $\alpha$  output subsets from the regression trees were averaged and used for filling gaps in the times series of  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$ . 238 From these time series, we estimated annual peak values of  $F_{opt}$  and α ( $F_{opt\_peak}$  and α<sub>peak</sub>) as the 14-day running mean 239 with the highest annual value. To investigate spatial and inter-annual variability in  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  across the measurement 240 sites of the Sahel,  $F_{opt\_peak}$  and  $\alpha_{peak}$  were correlated with the annual sum of P; yearly means of  $T_{air}$ , SWC, RH, VPD and 241 R<sub>g</sub>; annual peak values of biomass; soil nitrogen and C concentrations; the C3/C4 ratio; and VI<sub>peak</sub>.

242

#### 243 **2.4.2 Parameterization and evaluation of the GPP model and evaluation of the MODIS GPP**

244 On the basis of Eq. 1 and the outcome of the statistical analysis previously described under subsection 2.4.1 (for results, 245 see subsect. 3.2), a model for estimating GPP across the Sahel was created:

$$
246 \qquad \text{GPP} = -\mathbf{F}_{\text{opt}} \times (1 - e^{\left(\frac{-\alpha \times \text{PAR}}{\mathbf{F}_{\text{opt}}}\right)})
$$
(10)

247 Firstly,  $F_{opt\_peak}$  and  $\alpha_{peak}$  were estimated spatially and inter-annually using linear regression functions fitted against the 248 vegetation indices with strongest relationships to spatial and inter-annual variability in  $F_{opt\ peak}$  and  $\alpha_{peak}$  for all sites. Secondly, exponential regression functions were established for  $F_{opt\_frac}$  and  $\alpha_{frac}$  with the vegetation index with the 250 strongest relationships to intra-annual variability of  $F_{\text{opt frac}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{frac}}$  for all sites. By combining these relationships,  $F_{\text{opt}}$ 251 and  $\alpha$  can be calculated for any day of year and for any point in space across the Sahel:

$$
252 \tF_{opt} = F_{opt\_peak} \times F_{opt\_frac} = (k_{Fopt} \times NDVI_{peak} + m_{Fopt}) \left( n_{Fopt} \times e^{(l_{Fopt} \times RDVI_{frac}}) \right)
$$
(11)

253 
$$
\alpha = \alpha_{\text{peak}} \times \alpha_{\text{frac}} = (k_{\alpha} \times \text{RDV}_{\text{peak}} + m_{\alpha}) (n_{\alpha} \times e^{(l_{\alpha} \times \text{RDV}_{\text{frac}})})
$$
(12)

254 where  $k_{\text{Fopt}}$  and  $k_a$  are slopes and m<sub>Fopt</sub> and m<sub>α</sub> are intercepts of the linear regressions giving  $F_{\text{opt\_peak}}$  and  $\alpha_{\text{peak}}$ ,

255 respectively; l<sub>Fopt</sub> and l<sub>α</sub> are coefficients and n<sub>Fopt</sub> and n<sub>α</sub> are intercepts of the exponential regressions giving F<sub>opt frac</sub> and 256  $\alpha_{\text{frac}}$ , respectively. Equations 11 and 12 were inserted into Eq. 10, and GPP was thereby estimated as:

$$
GPP = -\left(F_{opt\_peak} \times F_{opt\_frac}\right) \times (1 - e^{\left(\frac{-(a_{peak} \times a_{frac} k}{F_{opt\_frac}}) \times RAR}{F_{opt\_frac}}\right)} = -\left(\left(k_{Fopt} \times NDVI_{peak} + m_{Fopt}\right)\left(n_{Fopt} \times e^{\left(l_{Fopt} \times RDVI_{frac}}\right)\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left(1 - e^{\left(\frac{-(k_{\alpha} \times RDVI_{peak} + m_{\alpha})\left(n_{\alpha} \times e^{\left(l_{\alpha} \times RDVI_{frac}}\right) \times PAR}{\left(k_{Fopt} \times RDVI_{frac}}\right)\right)}\right)\right)
$$
\n(13)

258 A bootstrap simulation methodology was used when fitting the least squares regression functions for 259 parameterization of the GPP model (Richter et al., 2012). For each of the iterations, some of the EC site-years were 260 included and some were omitted. The bootstrap simulations generated 200 sets of  $k_{\text{Fopt}}$ ,  $k_{\alpha}$ ,  $m_{\text{Fopt}}$ ,  $m_{\alpha}$ ,  $l_{\text{Fopt}}$ ,  $l_{\alpha}$ ,  $n_{\text{Fopt}}$ ,  $n_{\alpha}$ 261 and coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$ . Possible errors (e.g. random sampling errors, aerosols, electrical sensor noise, 262 filtering and gap-filling errors, clouds and satellite sensor degradation) can be present in both the predictor and the 263 response variables. Hence, we selected reduced major axis regressions to account for errors in both predictor and 264 response variables when fitting the regression functions. The regression models were validated against the omitted site-265 years within the bootstrap simulation methodology by calculating the root mean square error (RMSE), and by fitting an 266 ordinary least squares linear regression between modelled and independent variables.

267 Similarly, the MODIS GPP product (MOD17A2H; collection 6) was evaluated against independent GPP from the EC 268 sites by calculating the RMSE and by fitting an ordinary least squares linear regression.

#### 269

#### 270 **3 Results**

## 271 **3.1 Evaluation of the MODIS GPP product**

272 There was a strong linear relationship between the MODIS GPP product (MOD17A2H; collection 6) and independent 273 GPP (slope=0.17; intercept=0.11 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>; R<sup>2</sup>=0.69; n=598). However, MOD17A2H strongly underestimated 274 independent GPP (Fig. 2), resulting in a high RMSE (2.69 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>). It can be seen that some points for the Kelma 275 site were quite low for MOD17A2H, whereas they were relatively high for the independent GPP (Fig. 2). Kelma is an 276 inundated Acacia forest located in a clay soil depression. These differentiated values were found in the beginning of the 277 dry season, when the depression was still inundated, whereas the larger area was turning dry.

- 278 <Figure 2>
- 279

#### 280 **3.2 Intra-annual dynamics in photosynthetic capacity and quantum efficiency**

281 Intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α differed in amplitude, but were otherwise similar across the measurement sites in 282 the Sahel (Fig. 3). There was no green ground vegetation during the dry season, and the low photosynthetic activity was 283 due to few evergreen trees. This resulted in low values for both  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  during the dry season. The vegetation responded strongly to rainfall, and both  $F_{opt}$  and α increased during the early phase of the rainy season. Generally,  $F_{opt}$ 285 peaked slightly earlier than  $\alpha$  (average  $\pm 1$  standard deviation: 7 $\pm 10$  days) (Fig. 3).

286 <Figure 3>

287 All vegetation indices described intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  reasonably well at all sites (Table 2). The vegetation 288 index  $\text{SIWSI}_{12}$  had the highest correlation for all sites except Wankama Millet, where it was RDVI. When all sites were 289 combined, all indices described well seasonality in  $F_{opt}$ , but RDVI had the strongest correlation (Table 2).

290 Intra-annual dynamics in  $\alpha$  were also closely coupled to intra-annual dynamics in the vegetation indices for all sites 291 (Table 2). For  $\alpha$ , RDVI was the strongest index describing intra-annual dynamics, except for Wankama Fallow, where it 292 was EVI. When all sites were combined, all indices described intra-annual dynamics in  $\alpha$  well, but RDVI was still the 293 index with the strongest relationship (Table 2).

294 <Table 2>

The regression trees used for gap-filling explained the intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α well for all sites (Table 3; 296 Fig. S2 in Supplementary material). The regression trees explained intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  better than in α, and 297 multi-year sites were better predicted than single year sites (Fig. S2). The main explanatory variables coupled to intra-298 annual dynamics in F<sub>opt</sub> for all sites across the Sahel were in the order of RDVI, SWC, VPD, T<sub>air</sub>, and PAR; and for α, 299 they were RDVI, SWC, VPD and T<sub>air</sub> (Table 3). The strong relationship to SWC and VPD indicates drought stress 300 during periods of low rainfall. For all sites across the Sahel, incorporating hydrometeorological variables increased the 301 ability to determine intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  compared to the ordinary least squares linear regressions against 302 vegetation indices (Table 2, data given as *r;* Table 3; Fig. 3 and Fig. S2). For all sites, incorporation of these variables 303 increased  $R^2$  from 0.81 to 0.87 and from 0.74 to 0.84 for  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$ , respectively.

304 <Table 3> 305

#### 306 **3.3 Spatial and inter-annual dynamics in photosynthetic capacity and quantum efficiency**

307 Large spatial and inter-annual variability in  $F_{opt\_peak}$  and  $\alpha_{peak}$  were found across the six measurement sites;  $F_{opt\_peak}$  $308$  ranged between 10.1 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Wankama Millet 2005) and 50.0 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Dahra 2010), and α<sub>peak</sub> 309 ranged between  $0.020 \mu$ mol  $CO_2 \mu$ mol PAR<sup>-1</sup> (Demokeya 2007) and  $0.064 \mu$ mol  $CO_2 \mu$ mol PAR<sup>-1</sup> (Dahra 2010) (Table 4). The average 2-week running mean peak values of  $F_{opt}$  and α for all sites were 26.4 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 0.040 311  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub>  $\mu$ mol PAR<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. All vegetation indices determined spatial and inter-annual dynamics well in both  $F_{opt\_peak}$  and  $\alpha_{peak}$  (Table 5);  $F_{opt\_peak}$  was most closely coupled with NDVI<sub>peak</sub>, whereas  $\alpha_{peak}$  was more closely coupled 313 with RDVI<sub>peak</sub> (Fig. 4).  $F_{opt\_peak}$  also correlated well with peak dry weight biomass, C content in the soil, and RH, 314 whereas  $\alpha_{\text{peak}}$  also correlated with peak dry weight biomass and C content in the soil (Table 5).

- 315 <Table 4>
- 316 <Table 5>
- 317 <Figure 4>
- 318

# 319 **3.4 Spatially extrapolated photosynthetic capacity, quantum efficiency and gross primary production across the**  320 **Sahel and evaluation of the GPP model**

321 The spatially extrapolated  $F_{opt}$ ,  $\alpha$  and GPP averaged over the Sahel for 2001-2014 were 22.5±1.7 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, 322 0.030±0.002 μmol CO<sub>2</sub> μmol PAR<sup>-1</sup> and 736±39 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. At a regional scale, it can be seen that F<sub>opt</sub>, α 323 and GPP decreased substantially with latitude (Fig. 5). The highest values were found in south-eastern Senegal, western 324 Mali, in parts of southern Sudan and on the border between Sudan and South Sudan. Lowest values were found along

325 the northernmost parts of the Sahel on the border to the Sahara in Mauritania, in northern Mali and in northern Niger.

326 Modelled GPP was similar to independent GPP on average, and there was a strong linear relationship between 327 modelled GPP and independent GPP for all sites (Fig. 6; Table 6). However, when separating the evaluation between 328 measurement sites, it can be seen that the model reproduced some sites better than others (Fig. 7; Table 6). Wankama 329 Millet was generally overestimated, whereas the model worked on average well for Demokeya but underestimated high 330 values (Fig. 7; Table 6). Variability of independent GPP at the other sites was reproduced by the model reasonably well 331 (Fig. 7; Table 6). The final parameters of the GPP model (Eq. 13) are shown in Table 7.

- 332 <Figure 5>
- 333 <Figure 6>
- 334 <Figure 7>
- 335  $\langle$  Table 6>
- 336 < Table 7>
- 337

# 338 **4 Discussion**

339 Our hypothesis that vegetation indices closely related to equivalent water thickness (SIWSI) would be most strongly 340 coupled with intra-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  was not rejected for  $F_{opt}$ , since this was the case for all sites except for 341 Wankama Millet (Table 2). However, our hypothesis was rejected for  $\alpha$ , since it was more closely related to vegetation 342 indices of chlorophyll abundance (RDVI and EVI). In the Sahel, soil moisture conditions in the early rainy season are 343 important for vegetation growth and during this phase vegetation is especially vulnerable to drought conditions 344 (Rockström and de Rouw, 1997; Tagesson et al., 2016a; Mbow et al., 2013). Photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{opt}$ ) peaked 345 earlier in the rainy season than  $\alpha$  did (Fig. 3), thereby explaining the close relationship of  $F_{opt}$  to SIWSI. Leaf area index 346 increased over the growing season and leaf area index is closely coupled with vegetation indices related to chlorophyll 347 abundance (Tagesson et al., 2009). The increase in leaf area index increased canopy level quantum efficiency (α), 348 thereby explaining the closer relationship of  $\alpha$  to RDVI.

349 Our hypothesis that vegetation indices closely related to chlorophyll abundance would be most strongly coupled with 350 spatial and inter-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and α was not rejected for either  $F_{opt}$  or α; NDVI, EVI and RDVI all correlated 351 with spatial and inter-annual dynamics in  $F_{opt}$  and  $\alpha$  (Table 5). However, it was surprising that NDVI<sub>peak</sub> had the 352 strongest correlation with spatial and inter-annual variability in  $F_{opt}$  (Table 5). Both EVI and RDVI should be less 353 sensitive to saturation effects than NDVI (Huete et al., 2002; Roujean and Breon, 1995), and based on this it can be assumed that peak values of these indices should have stronger relationships to peak values of  $F_{opt}$  and α. However, 355 vegetation indices with a high sensitivity to changes in green biomass at high biomass loads become less sensitive to 356 green biomass changes at low biomass loads (Huete et al., 2002). The peak leaf area index for ecosystems across the 357 Sahel is generally  $\sim$ 2 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> or less, whereas the saturation issue of NDVI generally starts at a leaf area index of about 358 2-5 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> (Haboudane et al., 2004).

359 The F<sub>opt\_peak</sub> estimates from Agoufou, Demokeya and the Wankama sites were similar, whereas Dahra and Kelma 360 values were high in relation to previously reported canopy-scale  $F_{opt\_peak}$  from the Sahel (~-8 to -23 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>) 361 (Hanan et al., 1998; Merbold et al., 2009; Moncrieff et al., 1997; Boulain et al., 2009; Levy et al., 1997; Monteny et al., 362 1997). These previous studies reported much lower F<sub>opt</sub> at canopy scale than at leaf scale (e.g. Levy et al. (1997): 10 vs. 44 363 umol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>; Boulain et al. (2009): 8 vs. 50 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>). The leaf area index at Dahra and Kelma peaked at 2.1

364 and 2.7, respectively (Timouk et al., 2009; Tagesson et al., 2015a), and it was substantially higher than at the above-

365 mentioned sites. A possible explanation for high  $F_{opt}$  estimates at Dahra and Kelma could therefore be the higher leaf 366 area index. Tagesson et al. (2016b) performed a quality check of the EC data due to the high net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  exchange 367 measured at the Dahra field site and explained the high values by a combination of moderately dense herbaceous C4 368 ground vegetation, high soil nutrient availability, and a grazing pressure resulting in compensatory growth and 369 fertilization effects. Another possible explanation could be that the West African Monsoon brings a humid layer of 370 surface air from the Atlantic, possibly increasing vegetation production for the most western part of the Sahel (Tagesson 371 et al., 2016a).

372 Our model substantially overestimated GPP for Wankama Millet (Fig. 7f). Being a crop field, this site differed from 373 the other sites in its species composition and ecosystem structure, as well as land and vegetation management. Crop 374 fields in southwestern Niger are generally characterized by rather low production, resulting from decreased fertility and 375 soil loss caused by intensive land use (Cappelaere et al., 2009)**.** These specifics of the Wankama Millet site may cause 376 the model, parameterized with observations from the other study sites without this strong anthropogenic influence, to 377 overestimate GPP at this site. Similar results were found by Boulain et al. (2009) when applying an upscaling model 378 using leaf area index for Wankama Millet and Wankama Fallow. It worked well for Wankama fallow, whereas it was 379 less conclusive for Wankama Millet. The main explanation for this difference was low leaf area index in millet fields 380 because of a low density of millet stands due to agricultural practice. There is extensive savanna clearing for food 381 production in the Sahel (Leblanc et al., 2008; Boulain et al., 2009; Cappelaere et al., 2009). To further understand 382 impacts of this land cover change on vegetation production and land-atmosphere exchange processes, there is an urgent 383 need for more study sites covering cropped areas in this region.

- 384 In Demokeya, GPP was slightly underestimated for 2008 (Fig. 7c) because modelled F<sub>opt</sub> was much lower than the 385 actual measured value in 2008 (the thick black line in Fig. 4). An improvement of the model could be to incorporate  $386$  some parameters that constrain or enhance  $F_{opt}$  depending on environmental stress. Indeed, the regression tree analysis 387 indicated that incorporating hydrometeorological variables increased the ability to predict both  $F_{opt}$  and α. On the other 388 hand, for spatial upscaling purposes, it has been shown that including modelled hydrometeorological constraints on 389 LUE decreases the ability to predict vegetation production due to the incorporated uncertainty in these modelled 390 variables (Fensholt et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2014). For spatial upscaling to regional scales, it is therefore better to simply 391 use relationships to EO data. This is particularly the case for the Sahel, one of the largest dryland areas in the world, 392 which includes only a few sites of hydrometeorological observations.
- 393 The pattern seen in the spatially explicit GPP budgets (Fig. 5c) may be influenced by a range of biophysical and 394 anthropogenic factors. The clear North-South gradient is expected given the strong North-South rainfall gradient in the 395 Sahel. The West African Monsoon mentioned above could also be an explanation of high GPP values in the western 396 part of the Sahel, where values were relatively high in relation to GPP at similar latitudes in the central and eastern 397 Sahel (Fig. 5c). The areas with highest GPP are sparsely populated woodlands or shrubby savanna with a relatively 398 dense tree cover (Brandt et al., 2016). However, the maps produced here should be used with caution as they are based 399 on upscaling of data collected at only six EC sites available in the region; especially given the issues related to the 400 cropped fields discussed earlier. Still, the average GPP budget for the entire Sahel 2001-2014 was close to an average 401 annual GPP budget estimated at these six sites (692 $\pm$ 89 g C m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) (Tagesson et al., 2016a). The range of GPP budgets 402 in Fig. 5c is also similar to previous annual GPP budgets reported from other savannas across the world (Veenendaal et 403 al., 2004; Chen et al., 2003; Kanniah et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2016).

404 Although MOD17A2 GPP has previously been shown to capture GPP in several ecosystems types well (Turner et al., 405 2006; Turner et al., 2005; Heinsch et al., 2006; Sims et al., 2006; Kanniah et al., 2009), it has been shown to 406 underestimate it in others (Coops et al., 2007; Gebremichael and Barros, 2006; Sjöström et al., 2013). Gross primary 407 production of Sahelian drylands have not been captured well by MOD17A2 (Sjöström et al., 2013; Fensholt et al., 408 2006), and as we have shown, this underestimation persists in the latest MOD17A2H GPP (collection 6) product (Fig. 2). The main reason for this pronounced underestimation is that maximum LUE is set to 0.84 g C MJ<sup>-1</sup> (open shrubland; 410 Demokeya) and 0.86 g C MJ<sup>-1</sup> (grassland; Agoufou, Dahra, Kelma; Wankama Millet and Wankama Fallow) in the 411 BPLUT, i.e., much lower than maximum LUE measured at the Sahelian measurement sites of this study (average: 2.47 412 g C MJ<sup>-1</sup>; range: 1.58-3.50 g C MJ<sup>-1</sup>) (Sjöström et al., 2013; Tagesson et al., 2015a), a global estimate of ~1.5 g C MJ<sup>-1</sup> (Garbulsky et al., 2010) and a savanna site in Australia  $(1.26 \text{ g C} \text{ MJ}^{-1})$  (Kanniah et al., 2009).

414 Several dynamic global vegetation models have been used for decades to quantify GPP at different spatial and 415 temporal scales (Dickinson, 1983; Sellers et al., 1997). These models are generally based on the photosynthesis model 416 of Farquhar et al. (1980), a model particularly sensitive to uncertainty in photosynthetic capacity (Zhang et al., 2014). 417 This and several previous studies have shown that both photosynthetic capacity and efficiency (both  $\alpha$  and LUE) can 418 vary considerably between seasons as well as spatially, and both within and between vegetation types (Eamus et al., 419 2013; Garbulsky et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2014; Tagesson et al., 2015a). This variability is difficult to estimate using 420 broad values based on land cover classes, yet most models apply a constant value, which can cause substantial 421 inaccuracies in the estimates of seasonal and spatial variability in GPP. This is particularly a problem in savannas that 422 consists of several plant functional types (C3 and C4 species, and a large variability in tree/herbaceous vegetation 423 fractions) (Scholes and Archer, 1997). This study indicates the applicability of EO as a tool for parameterizing spatially 424 explicit estimates of plant physiological variables, which could improve our ability to simulate GPP. Spatially explicit 425 estimates of GPP at a high temporal and spatial resolution are essential for environmental change studies in the Sahel 426 and can contribute to increased knowledge regarding changes in GPP, its relationship to climatic change and 427 anthropogenic forcing, and simulations of ecosystem processes and hydro-biochemical cycles.

428

429 **Acknowledgements** Data is available from Fluxnet (http://fluxnet.ornl.gov) and CarboAfrica 430 (http://www.carboafrica.net/index en.asp). Data for the Mali and Niger sites were made available by the AMMA-431 CATCH regional observatory (www.amma-catch.org), which is funded by the French Institut de Recherche pour le 432 Développement (IRD) and Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU). The project was funded by the Danish 433 Council for Independent Research (DFF) Sapere Aude programme. The Faculty of Science, Lund University supported 434 the Dahra and Demokeya measurements with an infrastructure grant. Tagesson and Ardö received support from the 435 Swedish National Space Board. We would like to thank the editor Charles Bourque, the reviewer Niall Hanan, and an 436 anonymous reviewer for very constructive and thorough critique which helped improving the manuscript a lot.

437

# 438 **References**

439 Abdi, A., Seaquist, J., Tenenbaum, D., Eklundh, L., and Ardö, J.: The supply and demand of net 440 primary production in the Sahel, Environ. Res. Lett., 9, 094003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/9/094003, 441 2014.

- Ahlström, A., Raupach, M. R., Schurgers, G., Smith, B., Arneth, A., Jung, M., Reichstein, M.,
- Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., Jain, A. K., Kato, E., Poulter, B., Sitch, S., Stocker, B. D., Viovy,
- N., Wang, Y. P., Wiltshire, A., Zaehle, S., and Zeng, N.: The dominant role of semi-arid ecosystems
- 445 in the trend and variability of the land  $CO_2$  sink, Science, 348, 895-899, 10.1126/science.aaa1668,
- 2015.
- Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C.,
- Davis, K., Evans, R., Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T.,
- Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw, K. T., Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H. P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala,
- T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: FLUXNET: A New Tool to Study the Temporal and Spatial
- Variability of Ecosystem–Scale Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapor, and Energy Flux Densities, Bull.
- Am. Meteorol. Soc., 82, 2415-2434, 10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<2415:fantts>2.3.co;2, 2001.
- Boulain, N., Cappelaere, B., Ramier, D., Issoufou, H. B. A., Halilou, O., Seghieri, J., Guillemin, F.,
- Oï, M., Gignoux, J., and Timouk, F.: Towards an understanding of coupled physical and biological
- processes in the cultivated Sahel 2. Vegetation and carbon dynamics, J. Hydrol., 375, 190-203, 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.11.045, 2009.
- Brandt, M., Hiernaux, P., Rasmussen, K., Mbow, C., Kergoat, L., Tagesson, T., Ibrahim, Y. Z.,
- Wélé, A., Tucker, C. J., and Fensholt, R.: Assessing woody vegetation trends in Sahelian drylands
- using MODIS based seasonal metrics, Remote Sens. Environ., 183, 215-225,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.05.027, 2016.
- Broge, N. H., and Leblanc, E.: Comparing prediction power and stability of broadband and
- hyperspectral vegetation indices for estimation of green leaf area index and canopy chlorophyll
- density, Remote Sens. Environ., 76, 156-172, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00197-8,
- 2001.
- 465 Cannell, M., and Thornley, J.: Temperature and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Responses of Leaf and Canopy Photosynthesis:
- a Clarification using the Non-rectangular Hyperbola Model of Photosynthesis, Ann. Bot., 82, 883- 892, 1998.
- Cappelaere, B., Descroix, L., Lebel, T., Boulain, N., Ramier, D., Laurent, J. P., Favreau, G.,
- Boubkraoui, S., Boucher, M., Bouzou Moussa, I., Chaffard, V., Hiernaux, P., Issoufou, H. B. A., Le
- Breton, E., Mamadou, I., Nazoumou, Y., Oi, M., Ottlé, C., and Quantin, G.: The AMMA-CATCH
- experiment in the cultivated Sahelian area of south-west Niger Investigating water cycle response
- to a fluctuating climate and changing environment, J. Hydrol., 375, 34-51,
- 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.06.021, 2009.
- Chen, C., Cleverly, J., and Zhang, L.: Modelling Seasonal and Inter-annual Variations in Carbon
- and Water Fluxes in an Arid-Zone Acacia Savanna Woodland, 1981–2012, Ecosystems, 19, 625- 644, 2016.
- Chen, X., Hutley, L., and Eamus, D.: Carbon balance of a tropical savanna of northern Australia., Oecologia, 137, 405-416, 2003.
- Coops, N. C., Black, T. A., Jassal, R. S., Trofymow, J. A., and Morgenstern, K.: Comparison of
- MODIS, eddy covariance determined and physiologically modelled gross primary production (GPP) in a Douglas-fir forest stand, Remote Sens. Environ., 107, 385-401,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.09.010, 2007.
- Dardel, C., Kergoat, L., Hiernaux, P., Mougin, E., Grippa, M., and Tucker, C. J.: Re-greening Sahel:
- 30 years of remote sensing data and field observations (Mali, Niger), Remote Sens. Environ., 140,
- 350-364, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.09.011, 2014.
- De'ath, G., and Fabricius, K. E.: Classification and regression trees: A powerful yet simple
- technique for ecological data analysis, Ecology, 81, 3178-3192, 10.2307/177409, 2000.
- de Ridder, N., Stroosnijder, L., and Cisse, A. M.: Productivity of Sahelian rangelands : a study of
- the soils, the vegetations and the exploitation of that natural resource, PPS course book. Primary
- Production in the Sahel, Agricultural University, Wageningen, 1982.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
- Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot,
- J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B.,
- Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P.,
- Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut,
- J. N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data
- assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-597, 10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- Dickinson, R. E.: Land Surface Processes and Climate—Surface Albedos and Energy Balance, in:
- Advances in Geophysics, edited by: Barry, S., Elsevier, 305-353, 1983.
- Eamus, D., Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Grant, N., Faux, R., and Villalobos-Vega, R.: Carbon and
- water fluxes in an arid-zone Acacia savanna woodland: An analyses of seasonal patterns and
- responses to rainfall events, Agric. For. Meteorol., 182–183, 225-238,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.04.020, 2013.
- ECMWF: ERA Interim Daily: http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/, access: 04-04-2016, 2016a.
- ECMWF: ERA-Interim: surface photosynthetically active radiation (surface PAR) values are too low https://software.ecmwf.int/wiki/display/CKB/ERA-
- Interim%3A+surface+photosynthetically+active+radiation+%28surface+PAR%29+values+are+too +low, access: 7 November, 2016b.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Burba, G., Ceulemans,
- R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Grunwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jensen, N. O.,
- Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C. T., Law, B. E., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J. B., Moors, E.,
- Munger, J. W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Suyker, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma,
- S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., and Wofsy, S.: Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange, Agric. For. Meteorol., 107, 43-69, 2001.
- 516 Farquhar, G. D., Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
- assimilation in leaves of C3 plants, Planta, 149, 78-90, 1980.
- Fensholt, R., and Sandholt, I.: Derivation of a shortwave infrared water stress index from MODIS
- near- and shortwave infrared data in a semiarid environment, Remote Sens. Environ., 87, 111-121, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2003.07.002, 2003.
- Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I., Rasmussen, M. S., Stisen, S., and Diouf, A.: Evaluation of satellite based
- primary production modelling in the semi-arid Sahel, Remote Sens. Environ., 105, 173-188,
- 10.1016/j.rse.2006.06.011, 2006.
- Fensholt, R., Rasmussen, K., Kaspersen, P., Huber, S., Horion, S., and Swinnen, E.: Assessing Land
- Degradation/Recovery in the African Sahel from Long-Term Earth Observation Based Primary
- Productivity and Precipitation Relationships, Remote Sensing, 5, 664-686, 2013.
- Garbulsky, M. F., Peñuelas, J., Papale, D., Ardö, J., Goulden, M. L., Kiely, G., Richardson, A. D.,
- Rotenberg, E., Veenendaal, E. M., and Filella, I.: Patterns and controls of the variability of radiation
- use efficiency and primary productivity across terrestrial ecosystems, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 19,
- 253-267, 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00504.x, 2010.
- Gates, D. M., Keegan, H. J., Schleter, J. C., and Weidner, V. R.: Spectral Properties of Plants, Appl.
- Optics, 4, 11-20, 1965.
- Gebremichael, M., and Barros, A. P.: Evaluation of MODIS Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) in
- tropical monsoon regions, Remote Sens. Environ., 100, 150-166,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.10.009, 2006.
- Haboudane, D., Miller, J. R., Pattey, E., Zarco-Tejada, P. J., and Strachan, I. B.: Hyperspectral
- vegetation indices and novel algorithms for predicting green LAI of crop canopies: Modeling and
- validation in the context of precision agriculture, Remote Sens. Environ., 90, 337-352,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2003.12.013, 2004.
- Hanan, N., Kabat, P., Dolman, J., and Elbers, J. A. N.: Photosynthesis and carbon balance of a Sahelian fallow savanna, Global Change Biol., 4, 523-538, 1998.
- Heinsch, F. A., Maosheng, Z., Running, S. W., Kimball, J. S., Nemani, R. R., Davis, K. J., Bolstad,
- P. V., Cook, B. D., Desai, A. R., Ricciuto, D. M., Law, B. E., Oechel, W. C., Hyojung, K., Hongyan,
- L., Wofsy, S. C., Dunn, A. L., Munger, J. W., Baldocchi, D. D., Liukang, X., Hollinger, D. Y.,
- Richardson, A. D., Stoy, P. C., Siqueira, M. B. S., Monson, R. K., Burns, S. P., and Flanagan, L. B.:
- Evaluation of remote sensing based terrestrial productivity from MODIS using regional tower eddy
- flux network observations, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 1908-1925, 10.1109/TGRS.2005.853936, 2006.
- Hickler, T., Eklundh, L., Seaquist, J. W., Smith, B., Ardö, J., Olsson, L., Sykes, M. T., and
- Sjöström, M.: Precipitation controls Sahel greening trend, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21415,
- doi:10.1029/2005GL024370, 2005.
- Huber, S., Tagesson, T., and Fensholt, R.: An automated field spectrometer system for studying
- VIS, NIR and SWIR anisotropy for semi-arid savanna, Remote Sens. Environ., 152, 547–556, 2014.
- Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E. P., Gao, X., and Ferreira, L. G.: Overview of the
- radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices, Remote Sens. Environ., 83, 195–213, 2002.
- Ide, R., Nakaji, T., and Oguma, H.: Assessment of canopy photosynthetic capacity and estimation
- of GPP by using spectral vegetation indices and the light-response function in a larch forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 150, 389-398, 2010.
- Inoue, Y., Penuelas, J., Miyata, A., and Mano, M.: Normalized difference spectral indices for
- estimating photosynthetic efficiency and capacity at a canopy scale derived from hyperspectral and CO2 flux measurements in rice, Remote Sens. Environ., 112, 156-172, 2008.
- Jin, H., and Eklundh, L.: A physically based vegetation index for improved monitoring of plant phenology, Remote Sens. Environ., 152, 512-525, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.07.010, 2014.
- Kanniah, K. D., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Tapper, N. J., and Zhu, X.: Evaluation of Collections 4
- and 5 of the MODIS Gross Primary Productivity product and algorithm improvement at a tropical savanna site in northern Australia, Remote Sens. Environ., 113, 1808-1822,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.04.013, 2009.
- Kanniah, K. D., Beringer, J., and Hutley, L. B.: The comparative role of key environmental factors
- in determining savanna productivity and carbon fluxes: A review, with special reference to
- Northern Australia, Progress in Physical Geography, 34, 459-490, 2010.
- Kergoat, L., Lafont, S., Arneth, A., Le Dantec, V., and Saugier, B.: Nitrogen controls plant canopy
- light-use efficiency in temperate and boreal ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res., 113, 1-19,
- 10.1029/2007JG000676, 2008.
- Leblanc, M. J., Favreau, G., Massuel, S., Tweed, S. O., Loireau, M., and Cappelaere, B.: Land
- clearance and hydrological change in the Sahel: SW Niger, Global Planet. Change, 61, 135-150, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.08.011, 2008.
- Levy, P. E., Moncrieff, J. B., Massheder, J. M., Jarvis, P. G., Scott, S. L., and Brouwer, J.: CO2
- fluxes at leaf and canopy scale in millet, fallow and tiger bush vegetation at the HAPEX-Sahel
- southern super-site, J. Hydrol., 188, 612-632, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03195-2, 1997.
- Ma, X., Huete, A., Yu, Q., Restrepo-Coupe, N., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B., Kanniah, K. D.,
- Cleverly, J., and Eamus, D.: Parameterization of an ecosystem light-use-efficiency model for
- predicting savanna GPP using MODIS EVI, Remote Sens. Environ., 154, 253-271,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.08.025, 2014.
- Mayaux, P., Bartholomé, E., Massart, M., Cutsem, C. V., Cabral, A., Nonguierma, A., Diallo, O.,
- Pretorius, C., Thompson, M., Cherlet, M., Pekel, J.-F., Defourny, P., Vasconcelos, M., Gregorio, A.
- D., S.Fritz, Grandi, G. D., Elvidge, C., P.Vogt, and Belward, A.: EUR 20665 EN –A Land-cover
- map of Africa, edited by: Centre', E. C. J. R., European Commisions Joint Research Centre, Luxembourg, 38 pp., 2003.
- Mbow, C., Fensholt, R., Rasmussen, K., and Diop, D.: Can vegetation productivity be derived from
- greenness in a semi-arid environment? Evidence from ground-based measurements, J. Arid Environ., 97, 56-65, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2013.05.011, 2013.
- Merbold, L., Ardö, J., Arneth, A., Scholes, R. J., Nouvellon, Y., de Grandcourt, A., Archibald, S.,
- Bonnefond, J. M., Boulain, N., Brueggemann, N., Bruemmer, C., Cappelaere, B., Ceschia, E., El-
- Khidir, H. A. M., El-Tahir, B. A., Falk, U., Lloyd, J., Kergoat, L., Le Dantec, V., Mougin, E.,
- Muchinda, M., Mukelabai, M. M., Ramier, D., Roupsard, O., Timouk, F., Veenendaal, E. M., and
- Kutsch, W. L.: Precipitation as driver of carbon fluxes in 11 African ecosystems, Biogeosciences, 6,
- 1027-1041, 10.5194/bg-6-1027-2009, 2009.
- Moncrieff, J. B., Monteny, B., Verhoef, A., Friborg, T., Elbers, J., Kabat, P., de Bruin, H., Soegaard,
- H., Jarvis, P. G., and Taupin, J. D.: Spatial and temporal variations in net carbon flux during
- HAPEX-Sahel, J. Hydrol., 188–189, 563-588, 10.1016/s0022-1694(96)03193-9, 1997.
- Monteith, J. L.: Solar radiation and productivity in tropical ecosystems, J. Appl. Ecol., 9, 747-766, 1972.
- Monteith, J. L.: Climate and the efficiency of crop production in Britain, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. B., 281, 277-294, 1977.
- Monteny, B. A., Lhomme, J. P., Chehbouni, A., Troufleau, D., Amadou, M., Sicot, M., Verhoef, A.,
- 608 Galle, S., Said, F., and Lloyd, C. R.: The role of the Sahelian biosphere on the water and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$
- cycle during the HAPEX-Sahel experiment, J. Hydrol., 188, 516-535,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03191-5, 1997.
- Mutanga, O., and Skidmore, A. K.: Narrow band vegetation indices overcome the saturation
- problem in biomass estimation, Int. J. Remote Sens., 25, 3999-4014,
- 10.1080/01431160310001654923, 2004.
- NASA: Reverb ECHO: http://reverb.echo.nasa.gov/reverb/, access: June 2016, 2016.
- Papale, D., Reichstein, M., Aubinet, M., Canfora, E., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W., Longdoz, B.,
- Rambal, S., Valentini, R., Vesala, T., and Yakir, D.: Towards a standardized processing of Net
- Ecosystem Exchange measured with eddy covariance technique: algorithms and uncertainty
- estimation, Biogeosciences, 3, 571-583, 10.5194/bg-3-571-2006, 2006.
- Paruelo, J. M., Garbulsky, M. F., Guerschman, J. P., and Jobbágy, E. G.: Two decades of
- Normalized Difference Vegetation Index changes in South America: identifying the imprint of
- global change, Int. J. Remote Sens., 25, 2793-2806, 10.1080/01431160310001619526, 2004.
- Poulter, B., Frank, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R. B., Andela, N., Bi, J., Broquet, G., Canadell, J. G.,
- Chevallier, F., Liu, Y. Y., Running, S. W., Sitch, S., and van der Werf, G. R.: Contribution of semi-
- arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the global carbon cycle, Nature, 509, 600-603, 10.1038/nature13376, 2014.
- Prince, S. D., Kerr, Y. H., Goutorbe, J. P., Lebel, T., Tinga, A., Bessemoulin, P., Brouwer, J.,
- Dolman, A. J., Engman, E. T., Gash, J. H. C., Hoepffner, M., Kabat, P., Monteny, B., Said, F.,
- Sellers, P., and Wallace, J.: Geographical, biological and remote sensing aspects of the hydrologic
- atmospheric pilot experiment in the sahel (HAPEX-Sahel), Remote Sens. Environ., 51, 215-234,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(94)00076-Y, 1995.
- Qi, J., Chehbouni, A., Huete, A. R., Kerr, Y. H., and Sorooshian, S.: A modified soil adjusted vegetation index, Remote Sens. Environ., 48, 119-126, 1994.
- Richter, K., Atzberger, C., Hank, T. B., and Mauser, W.: Derivation of biophysical variables from
- Earth observation data: validation and statistical measures, J. Appl. Remote Sens., 6, 063557, 10.1117/1.JRS.6.063557, 2012.
- Rietkerk, M., Ketner, P., Stroosnijder, L., and Prins, H. H. T.: Sahelian rangeland development; a catastrophe?, J. Range Manage., 49, 512-519, 1996.
- Rockström, J., and de Rouw, A.: Water, nutrients and slope position in on-farm pearl millet
- cultivation in the Sahel, Plant Soil, 195, 311-327, 10.1023/A:1004233303066, 1997.
- Roujean, J.-L., and Breon, F.-M.: Estimating PAR absorbed by vegetation from bidirectional
- reflectance measurements, Remote Sens. Environ., 51, 375-384, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034- 4257(94)00114-3, 1995.
- Rouse, J. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., Deering, D. W., and Harlan, J. C.: Monitoring the Vernal
- Advancement of Retrogradation of Natural Vegetation, Type III, Final Report, Greenbelt, MD, 1974.
- Ruimy, A., Saugier, B., and Dedieu, G.: Methodology for the estimation of terrestrial net primary production from remotely sensed data., J. Geophys. Res., 99, 5263-5283., 1994.
- Running, S. W., Nemani, R. R., Heinsch, F. A., Zhao, M., Reeves, M., and Hashimoto, H.: A
- Continuous Satellite-Derived Measure of Global Terrestrial Primary Production, BioScience, 54, 547-560, 10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0547:ACSMOG]2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- Running, S. W., and Zhao, M.: User's Guide. Daily GPP and Annual NPP (MOD17A2/A3)
- Products NASA Earth Observing System MODIS Land Algorithm. Version 3.0 For Collection 6., University of Montana, USA, NASA, 2015.
- Scholes, R. J., and Archer, S. R.: Tree-grass interactions in savannas, Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 28, 517-544, 1997.
- Sellers, P. J., Dickinson, R. E., Randall, D. A., Betts, A. K., Hall, F. G., Berry, J. A., Collatz, G. J.,
- Denning, A. S., Mooney, H. A., Nobre, C. A., Sato, N., Field, C. B., and Henderson-Sellers, A.:
- Modeling the Exchanges of Energy, Water, and Carbon Between Continents and the Atmosphere, Science, 275, 502-509, 10.1126/science.275.5299.502, 1997.
- Sims, D. A., Rahman, A. F., Cordova, V. D., El-Masri, B. Z., Baldocchi, D. D., Flanagan, L. B.,
- Goldstein, A. H., Hollinger, D. Y., Misson, L., Monson, R. K., Oechel, W. C., Schmid, H. P.,
- Wofsy, S. C., and Xu, L.: On the use of MODIS EVI to assess gross primary productivity of North
- American ecosystems, J. Geophys. Res., 111, G04015, 10.1029/2006JG000162, 2006.
- Sjöström, M., Ardö, J., Eklundh, L., El-Tahir, B. A., El-Khidir, H. A. M., Hellström, M., Pilesjö, P.,
- and Seaquist, J.: Evaluation of satellite based indices for gross primary production estimates in a sparse savanna in the Sudan, Biogeosciences, 6, 129-138, 2009.
- Sjöström, M., Zhao, M., Archibald, S., Arneth, A., Cappelaere, B., Falk, U., de Grandcourt, A.,
- Hanan, N., Kergoat, L., Kutsch, W., Merbold, L., Mougin, E., Nickless, A., Nouvellon, Y., Scholes,
- R. J., Veenendaal, E. M., and Ardö, J.: Evaluation of MODIS gross primary productivity for Africa using eddy covariance data, Remote Sens. Environ., 131, 275-286,
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.12.023, 2013.
- Tagesson, T., Eklundh, L., and Lindroth, A.: Applicability of leaf area index products for boreal
- regions of Sweden, Int. J. Remote Sens., 30, 5619–5632, 2009.
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Cropley, F., Guiro, I., Horion, S., Ehammer, A., and Ardö, J.: Dynamics
- in carbon exchange fluxes for a grazed semi-arid savanna ecosystem in West Africa, Agr. Ecosyst.
- Environ., 205, 15-24, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.02.017, 2015a.
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Guiro, I., Rasmussen, M. O., Huber, S., Mbow, C., Garcia, M., Horion,
- S., Sandholt, I., Rasmussen, B. H., Göttsche, F. M., Ridler, M.-E., Olén, N., Olsen, J. L., Ehammer,
- A., Madsen, M., Olesen, F. S., and Ardö, J.: Ecosystem properties of semi-arid savanna grassland in
- West Africa and its relationship to environmental variability, Global Change Biol., 21, 250-264, doi:
- 10.1111/gcb.12734, 2015b.
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Huber, S., Horion, S., Guiro, I., Ehammer, A., and Ardö, J.: Deriving
- seasonal dynamics in ecosystem properties of semi-arid savannas using in situ based hyperspectral
- reflectance, Biogeosciences, 12, 4621-4635, doi:10.5194/bg-12-4621-2015, 2015c.
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Cappelaere, B., Mougin, E., Horion, S., Kergoat, L., Nieto, H.,
- Ehammer, A., Demarty, J., and Ardö, J.: Spatiotemporal variability in carbon exchange fluxes across the Sahel Agric. For. Meteorol., 226–227, 108-118, 2016a.
- Tagesson, T., Fensholt, R., Guiro, I., Cropley, F., Horion, S., Ehammer, A., and Ardö, J.: Very high carbon exchange fluxes for a grazed semi-arid savanna ecosystem in West Africa, Danish Journal of
- Geography, 116, 93-109, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00167223.2016.1178072 2016b.
- Timouk, F., Kergoat, L., Mougin, E., Lloyd, C. R., Ceschia, E., Cohard, J. M., Rosnay, P. d.,
- Hiernaux, P., Demarez, V., and Taylor, C. M.: Response of surface energy balance to water regime
- and vegetation development in a Sahelian landscape, J. Hydrol., 375, 12-12,
- 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.04.022, 2009.
- Turner, D. P., Ritts, W. D., Cohen, W. B., Maeirsperger, T. K., Gower, S. T., Kirschbaum, A. A.,
- Running, S. W., Zhao, M., Wofsy, S. C., Dunn, A. L., Law, B. E., Campbell, J. L., Oechel, W. C.,
- Kwon, H. J., Meyers, T. P., Small, E. E., Kurc, S. A., and Gamon, J. A.: Site-level evaluation of satellite-based global terrestrial gross primary production and net primary production monitoring,
- Global Change Biol., 11, 666-684, 2005.
- Turner, D. P., Ritts, W. D., and Cohen, W. B.: Evaluation of MODIS NPP and GPP products across multiple biomes, Remote Sens. Environ., 102, 282-293, 2006.
- United Nations: Sahel Regional Strategy Mid-Year Review 2013 New York, 1-59, 2013.
- Veenendaal, E. M., Kolle, O., and Lloyd, J.: Seasonal variation in energy fluxes and carbon dioxide
- exchange for a broadleaved semi-arid savanna (Mopane woodland) in Southern Africa, Global Change Biol., 10, 318-328, 2004.
- Velluet, C., Demarty, J., Cappelaere, B., Braud, I., Issoufou, H. B. A., Boulain, N., Ramier, D.,
- Mainassara, I., Charvet, G., Boucher, M., Chazarin, J. P., Oï, M., Yahou, H., Maidaji, B., Arpin-
- Pont, F., Benarrosh, N., Mahamane, A., Nazoumou, Y., Favreau, G., and Seghieri, J.: Building a
- field- and model-based climatology of local water and energy cycles in the cultivated Sahel; annual
- budgets and seasonality, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 5001-5024, 10.5194/hess-18-5001-2014, 2014.
- Yoder, B. J., and Pettigrew-Crosby, R. E.: Predicting nitrogen and chlorophyll content and
- concentrations from reflectance spectra (400–2500 nm) at leaf and canopy scales, Remote Sens.
- Environ., 53, 199-211, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00135-N, 1995.
- Zhang, Y., Guanter, L., Berry, J. A., Joiner, J., van der Tol, C., Huete, A., Gitelson, A., Voigt, M.,
- and Köhler, P.: Estimation of vegetation photosynthetic capacity from space-based measurements
- of chlorophyll fluorescence for terrestrial biosphere models, Global Change Biol., 20, 3727-3742,
- 10.1111/gcb.12664, 2014.
- 

# 720 **Tables**

Measurement site Coordinates Soil type Ecosystem Dominant species  $A$ goufou<sup>a</sup> (ML-AgG, Mali)  $15.34^{\circ}N$ . 1.48°W Sandy ferruginous Arenosol Open woody savannah (4% tree cover) Trees: *Acacia spp., Balanites aegyptiaca, Combretum glutinosum Herbs: Zornia glochidiata, Cenchrus biflorus, Aristida mutabilis, Tragus berteronianus* Dahra<sup>b</sup> (SN-Dah, Senegal) 15.40°N, 15.43°W Sandy luvic arenosol Grassland/shrubland Savanna (3% tree cover) Trees: *Acacia spp., Balanites aegyptiaca*  Herbs: *Zornia latifolia, Aristida adscensionis, Cenchrus biflorus* Demokeya<sup>c</sup> (SD-Dem, Sudan) 13.28°N, 30.48°E Cambic Arenosol Sparse acacia savannah (7% tree cover) *Trees: Acacia spp.,*  Herbs: *Aristida pallida, Eragrostis tremula, Cenchrus biflorus*  Kelma<sup>a</sup> (ML-Kem, Mali) 15.22°N, 1.57°W Clay soil depression Open acacia forest (90% tree cover) Trees: *Acacia seyal, Acacia nilotica, Balanites aegyptiaca*  Herbs: *Sporobolus hevolvus, Echinochloa colona, Aeschinomene sensitive*  Wankama Fallow<sup>d</sup> (NE-WaF, Niger) 13.65°N, 2.63°E Sandy ferruginous Arenosol Fallow bush *Guiera senegalensis*  Wankama Millet<sup>e</sup> (NE-WaM, Niger) 13.64°N, 2.63°E Sandy ferruginous Arenosol Millet crop *Pennisetum glaucum*  722  $\sqrt[3]{r}$  (Timouk et al., 2009)

721 **Table 1.** Description of the six measurement sites, including location, soil type, ecosystem type and dominant species.

723  $\frac{b}{\text{Tagesson et al., 2015b}}$ 

724  $\text{C}$ (Sjöström et al., 2009)

725  $\textdegree$  d'(Velluet et al., 2014)

726  $\text{e}^{\text{e}}$ (Boulain et al., 2009)

**Table 2.** Correlation between intra-annual dynamics in photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{opt}$ ;  $F_{opt\_frac}$  for all sites), quantum efficiency ( $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha$ <sub>grac</sub> for all sites) and the different vegetation indices for the six measurement sites (Fig. 1). Values are averages±1 standard deviation generated from 200 bootstrapping runs. The bold values are the indices with the strongest correlation. EVI is the enhanced vegetation index; NDVI is the normalized difference vegetation index; RDVI is the renormalized difference vegetation index; and SIWSI is the shortwave infrared water stress index.  $\text{SIWSI}_{12}$  is based on the MODIS NBAR bands 2 and 5, whereas  $\text{SIWSI}_{16}$  is based on MODIS NBAR bands 2 and 6.

Measurement site			$F_{opt}$					$\alpha$		
	EVI	<b>NDVI</b>	<b>RDVI</b>	$SIWSI_{12}$	$SIWSI_{16}$	EVI	<b>NDVI</b>	<b>RDVI</b>	$SIWSI_{12}$	$SIWSI_{16}$
$ML-AgG$	$0.89 \pm 0.02$	$0.87 \pm 0.02$	$0.95 \pm 0.01$	$-0.95 \pm 0.01$	$-0.93 \pm 0.02$	$0.92 \pm 0.02$	$0.91 \pm 0.01$	$0.96 \pm 0.01$	$-0.94\pm0.01$	$-0.88 + 0.02$
SN-Dah	$0.92 \pm 0.005$	$0.91 \pm 0.01$	$0.96 \pm 0.003$	$-0.96 \pm 0.004$	$-0.93 \pm 0.01$	$0.89 + 0.01$	$0.90 \pm 0.01$	$0.93 \pm 0.01$	$-0.92 \pm 0.01$	$-0.87 \pm 0.01$
SD-Dem	$0.81 \pm 0.01$	$0.78 \pm 0.01$	$0.91 \pm 0.01$	$-0.93 \pm 0.01$	$-0.90 \pm 0.01$	$0.76 \pm 0.02$	$0.73 \pm 0.02$	$0.86 - 0.01$	$-0.82+0.02$	$-0.79 \pm 0.02$
MA-Kem	$0.77 \pm 0.02$	$0.83 \pm 0.02$	$0.95 \pm 0.01$	$-0.95 \pm 0.01$	$-0.90 \pm 0.02$	$0.69 \pm 0.05$	$0.73 \pm 0.04$	$0.80 + 0.03$	$-0.77+0.03$	$-0.76 \pm 0.03$
NE-WaF	$0.87 \pm 0.02$	$0.81 + 0.02$	$0.78 \pm 0.02$	$-0.90\pm0.01$	$-0.80 \pm 0.02$	$0.89 - 0.01$	$0.84 \pm 0.01$	$0.85 \pm 0.01$	$-0.88 \pm 0.01$	$-0.79 \pm 0.01$
NE-WaM	$0.41 \pm 0.05$	$0.50 \pm 0.04$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$	$-0.55+0.04$	$-0.43 \pm 0.05$	$0.72 \pm 0.02$	$0.76 \pm 0.02$	$0.81 + 0.01$	$-0.75 \pm 0.01$	$-0.72 \pm 0.01$
All sites	$0.86 \pm 0.0$	$0.79 \pm 0.0$	$0.90 \pm 0.0$	$0.75 \pm 0.0$	$0.70 \pm 0.0$	$0.83 \pm 0.01$	$0.80 \pm 0.01$	$0.86 \pm 0.01$	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.01$

**Table 3.** Statistics for the regression tree analysis. Regression tree analysis was used to study relationships between intra-annual dynamics in photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{opt}$ ;  $F_{opt\_frac}$  for all sites) and quantum efficiency ( $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha_{frac}$  for all sites) and explanatory variables. The pruning level is the number of splits of the regression tree and an indication of complexity of the system.

Measurement site.	Explanatory variables:					Pruning level	$R^2$
$F_{opt}$	1	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{4}$	5		
ML-AgG	SIWSI <sub>12</sub>	Tair	PAR	<b>SWC</b>		16	0.98
SN-Dah	SIWSI <sub>12</sub>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>	Tair	PAR	84	0.98
SD-Dem	SIWSI <sub>12</sub>	<b>VPD</b>	<b>SWC</b>	Tair	PAR	33	0.97
ML-Kem	SIWSI <sub>12</sub>	<b>PAR</b>	Tair	<b>VPD</b>		22	0.98
NE-WaF	SIWSI <sub>12</sub>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>	Tair		14	0.92
NE-WaM	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>	Tair		18	0.75
All sites	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	Tair	<b>VPD</b>		16	0.87
$\alpha$							
ML-AgG	<b>RDVI</b>					3	0.95
SN-Dah	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>VPD</b>	<b>SWC</b>	Tair	<b>PAR</b>	21	0.93
SD-Dem	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>PAR</b>	Tair		16	0.93
ML-Kem	<b>RDVI</b>	Tair				$\overline{4}$	0.75
NE-WaF	<b>EVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>			10	0.90
NE-WaM	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>	Tair		15	0.86
All sites	<b>RDVI</b>	<b>SWC</b>	<b>VPD</b>	Tair		16	0.84

Measurement site	Year	$\alpha_{\text{peak}}$	$F_{\text{opt\_peak}}$
ML-AgG	2007	0.0396	24.5
SN-Dah	2010	0.0638	50.0
	2011	0.0507	42.3
	2012	0.0480	39.2
	2013	0.0549	40.0
SD-Dem	2007	0.0257	16.5
	2008	0.0327	21.0
	2009	0.0368	16.5
ML-Kem	2007	0.0526	33.5
NE-WaF	2005	0.0273	18.2
	2006	0.0413	21.0
NE-WaM	2005	0.0252	10.6
	2006	0.0200	10.1
Average		0.0399	26.4

**Table 4.** Annual peak values of quantum efficiency (α<sub>peak</sub>; μmol CO<sub>2</sub> μmol PAR<sup>-1</sup>) and photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{opt\_peak}$ ; µmol  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for the six measurement sites (Fig. 1). The peak values are the 2-week running mean with highest annual value.

Table 5. Correlation matrix between annual peak values of photosynthetic capacity (F<sub>opt\_peak</sub>) and quantum efficiency  $(\alpha_{\text{peak}})$  and measured environmental variables: annual rainfall (P); yearly averages of air temperature at 2 m height (T<sub>air</sub>), soil water content measured at 0.1 m depth (SWC ; % volumetric water content), relative humidity (Rh), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), and incoming global radiation  $(R_g)$ ; soil nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) contents; annual peak values of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI<sub>peak</sub>), the enhanced vegetation index (EVI<sub>peak</sub>), the renormalized difference vegetation index (RDVI<sub>peak</sub>), the short-wave infrared water stress index based on MODIS NBAR bands 2 and 5 (SIWSI<sub>12peak</sub>), and the SIWSI based on MODIS NBAR bands 2 and 6 (SIWSI<sub>16peak</sub>). Sample size was 13 for all except the marked explanatory variables.

Explanatory variable	$F_{opt\_peak}$	$\alpha_{\rm peak}$
Meteorological data		
$P$ (mm)	$0.24 \pm 0.26$	$0.13 \pm 0.27$
$T_{\text{air}}$ (°C)	$-0.07+0.25$	$-0.01 + 0.25$
SWC $(\%)^a$	$0.33 \pm 0.25$	$0.16 \pm 0.27$
Rh(%)	$0.73 \pm 0.16^*$	$0.60 \pm 0.19$
VPD (hPa)	$0.20 \pm 0.26$	$0.15 \pm 0.30$
$R_{\rm g}$ (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	$-0.48 + 0.21$	$-0.41 \pm 0.24$
Biomass and edaphic		
data		
Biomass (g DW $m^{-2}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	$0.77 \pm 0.15$ <sup>*</sup>	$0.74 \pm 0.14$ <sup>*</sup>
C3/C4 ratio	$-0.05 \pm 0.26$	$0.06 \pm 0.30$
N cont. $(\%)^b$	$0.22 \pm 0.11$	$0.35 \pm 0.14$
C cont. $(\%)^b$	$0.89 \pm 0.06$ **	$0.87 \pm 0.07$ **
Earth observation data		
NDVI <sub>peak</sub>	$0.94 \pm 0.05$ **	$0.87 \pm 0.07**$
$\mathrm{EVI}_{\mathrm{peak}}$	$0.93 \pm 0.04$ **	$0.87 \pm 0.07$ **
RDVI <sub>peak</sub>	$0.93 \pm 0.04$ **	$0.89 \pm 0.07$ **
$\text{SIWSI}_{12\text{peak}}$	$0.85 \pm 0.08$ **	$0.84 \pm 0.08$ **
$SIWSI_{16peak}$	$0.67 \pm 0.12$ <sup>*</sup>	$0.65 \pm 0.15$
Photosynthetic		
variables		
$F_{opt}$		** $0.94 \pm 0.03$
$\sqrt[3]{\text{sample}}$ size equals 11.		
$\mathfrak{b}$ 1 1 . 0		

<sup>b</sup>sample size equals 9.

\* significant at 0.05 level.

\*\* significant at 0.01 level

Measurement	In situ GPP	Modelled GPP	<b>RMSE</b>		Intercept	
site	(µmol $CO_2$ m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	(µmol $CO_2$ m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	(µmol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	slope	(µmol $CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )	$R^2$
$ML-AgG$	$5.35 \pm 6.38$	$5.97 \pm 5.80$	$2.48 \pm 0.10$	$0.84 \pm 0.003$	$1.46 \pm 0.01$	$0.86 \pm 0.002$
SN-Dah	$9.39 \pm 10.17$	$8.87 + 9.67$	$3.99 \pm 1.34$	$0.88 \pm 0.002$	$0.62 \pm 0.01$	$0.85 + 0.001$
SD-Dem	$4.26 + 4.55$	$3.98 \pm 3.90$	$3.15 \pm 1.06$	$0.63 \pm 0.003$	$1.31 \pm 0.007$	$0.54 \pm 0.02$
ML-Kem	11.16±8.02	$10.52 + 9.22$	$4.35 \pm 1.23$	$1.02 \pm 0.003$	$-0.82 \pm 0.03$	$0.78 + 0.002$
NE-WaF	$5.77 + 4.17$	$6.63 \pm 3.53$	$2.47 \pm 1.05$	$0.70 \pm 0.005$	$2.58+0.02$	$0.69 \pm 0.003$
NE-WaM	$3.04 \pm 1.93$	$6.35 \pm 3.47$	$4.12 \pm 0.99$	$1.31 \pm 0.004$	$2.37 \pm 0.02$	$0.53 \pm 0.003$
Average	$6.73 \pm 7.72$	$7.02 \pm 7.39$	$3.68 \pm 0.55$	$0.83 \pm 0.07$	1.34±0.82	$0.84 \pm 0.07$

**Table 6**. Statistics regarding the evaluation of the gross primary production (GPP) model for the six measurement sites (Fig. 1). In situ and modelled GPP are averages  $\pm$  1 standard deviation. RMSE is the root mean square error, and slope, intercept and  $R^2$  are from the fitted ordinary least squares linear regressions.

**Table 7.** The parameters for Eq. 13 that were used in the final gross primary production (GPP) model. RMSE is the root mean square error, and  $R^2$  is the coefficient of determination for the regression models predicting the different variables.

Parameter	Value	<b>RMSE</b>	$R^2$	
$k_{\text{Fopt}}$	$79.6 \pm 6.3$		$0.89 \pm 0.05$	
$m_{\text{Fopt}}$	$-7.3 \pm 3.2$	$5.1 \pm 1.3$		
$l_{\text{Fopt}}$	$3.51 \pm 0.19$		$0.88 \pm 0.06$	
$n_{\text{F}^{}}$	$0.03 \pm 0.006$	$0.15 \pm 0.02$		
$\alpha$	$0.16 \pm 0.02$			
$m_{\alpha}$	$-0.014\pm0.007$	$0.0069 \pm 0.0021$	$0.81 \pm 0.10$	
$1_{\alpha}$	$3.75 \pm 0.27$			
$n_{\alpha}$	$0.02 \pm 0.007$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$0.80 \pm 0.10$	

# **Figure captions**

**Figure 1**. Land cover classes for the Sahel and the location of the six measurement sites of this study. The land cover classes

5 are based on multi-sensor satellite observations (Mayaux et al., 2003). The sites are Agoufou (ML-AgG), Dahra (SN-Dah), Demokeya (SD-Dem), Kelma (ML-Kem), Wankama Fallow (NE-WaF) and Wankama Millet (NE-WaM). The thick black line delineates borders of the Sahel based on annual 150 and 700 mm isohytes (Prince et al., 1995).

**Figure 2.** Evaluation of the MODIS based GPP product MOD17A2H (collection 6) against eddy covariance based GPP from 10 the six measurement sites (Fig. 1). The thick black line shows the one-to-one ratio and the grey dotted line, the fitted ordinary least squares regression.

**Figure 3.** Time series of photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{opt}$ ) and quantum efficiency ( $\alpha$ ) for the six measurement sites. Also included are time series of the vegetation indices with highest correlation with  $F_{opt}$  (VI<sub>Fopt</sub>) and quantum efficiency (VI<sub>a</sub>) 15 (Table 2). The sites are a) Agoufou (ML-AgG), b) Dahra (SN-Dah), c) Demokeya (SD-Dem), d) Kelma (ML-Kem), e) Wankama Fallow (NE-WaF) and f) Wankama Millet (NE-WaM).

**Figure 4.** Scatter plots of annual peak values for the six measurement sites (Fig. 1) of a) photosynthetic capacity ( $F_{\text{opt peak}}$ ) and b) quantum efficiency ( $\alpha_{peak}$ ) against peak values of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI<sub>peak</sub>) and renormalized 20 difference vegetation index (RDVI<sub>peak</sub>), respectively. The annual peak values were estimated by taking the annual maximum of a 2-week running mean.

Figure 5. Maps of a) peak values of photosynthetic capacity (F<sub>opt\_peak</sub>) averaged for 2001-2014, b) peak values of quantum efficiency ( $\alpha_{\text{peak}}$ ) averaged for 2001-2014, and c) annual budgets of GPP averaged for 2001-2014.

25

**Figure 6.** Evaluation of the modelled gross primary production (GPP) (Eq. 13) against in situ GPP from all six measurement sites. The thick grey line shows the one-to-one ratio, whereas the thin dotted grey line is the fitted ordinary least squares regression.

30 **Figure 7.** Evaluation of the modelled gross primary production (GPP) (Eq. 13) against in situ GPP for the six sites across Sahel (Fig. 1). The thick black lines show the one-to-one ratios, whereas the dotted thin grey lines are the fitted ordinary

least squares regressions. The sites are a) Agoufou (ML-AgG), b) Dahra (SN-Dah), c) Demokeya (SD-Dem), d) Kelma (ML-Kem), e) Wankama Fallow (NE-WaF) and f) Wankama Millet (NE-WaM).