Carbon degradation in agricultural soils flooded with seawater after managed coastal realignment

Kamilla S. Sjøgaard¹, Alexander H. Treusch¹ and Thomas B. Valdemarsen²

²Department of Biology. ¹Nordic Center for Earth Evolution, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M, 5230, Denmark

5 Correspondence to: Kamilla S. Sjøgaard (kamillasjogaard@biology.sdu.dk)

Abstract. Permanent flooding of low-lying coastal areas is a growing threat due to climate change and related sea level rise. An increasingly common solution to protect coastal areas lying below sea-level is intentional flooding by 'managed coastal realignment'. However, the biogeochemical implications of flooding agricultural soils with seawater are still not well understood. We conducted a 1-year mesocosm experiment to investigate microbial carbon degradation processes in soils

- 10 flooded with seawater. Agricultural soils were sampled on the northern coast of the island Fyn (Denmark) at Gyldensteen Strand, an area that was subsequently flooded in a coastal realignment project. We found rapid carbon degradation to TCO₂ one day after experimental flooding and onwards and microbial sulfate reduction established quickly as an important mineralization pathway. Nevertheless, no free sulfide was observed as it precipitated as Fe-S compounds with Fe acting as a natural buffer, preventing toxic effects of free sulfide in soils flooded with seawater. Organic carbon degradation decreased
- 15 significantly after 6 months, indicating that most of the soil organic carbon was refractory towards microbial degradation under the anoxic conditions created in the soil after flooding. During the experiment only 6-7 % of the initial soil organic carbon pools were degraded. On this basis we suggest that most of the organic carbon present in coastal soils exposed to flooding through sea level rise or managed coastal realignment will be permanently preserved.

1 Introduction

- 20 Sea level rise driven by global climate change is expected to continue for centuries and will in the near future impact about 70 % of the global coastlines (Church et al., 2013). Rising sea level causes higher and more frequent storm surges and lead to more incidences of floodwaters overtopping and breaking coastal defenses (FitzGerald et al., 2008). Reclaimed coastal areas with low elevation are especially vulnerable to flooding. A low cost strategy of coastal protection is 'managed coastal realignment', whereby old coastal defenses are deliberately breached, and new ones are constructed further inland (Cooper,
- 25 2003; French, 2008; Roman and Burdick, 2012). The flooded areas created by managed coastal realignment act as buffer zones, protecting populated areas or valuable assets against flooding (Gedan et al., 2011). There are an increasing number of projects where coastal soils are flooded with seawater by managed costal realignment and similar techniques (Herbert et al., 2015; Pethick, 2002; Wolters et al., 2005).

Many studies have been performed on freshwater wetlands experiencing salinization from seawater intrusion and less on diked and drained agricultural soil systems exposed to flooding (Ardon et al., 2016; Ardon et al., 2013; Portnoy, 1999; Portnoy and Giblin, 1997). Existing studies show that flooding with seawater has dramatic consequences for soil biogeochemistry. Depending on soil porosity and moisture content, soil environments can have deep oxygen penetration (75-

- 5 100 cm) (Dziejowski et al., 1997; MacDonald et al., 1993; Neira et al., 2015), since oxygen (O₂) can rapidly be supplied from the overlying atmosphere via diffusion. Therefore, surface soils are predominantly oxic environments where soil organic matter is degraded by a wide variety of microorganisms, fungi and fauna (Boer et al., 2005; Kalbitz et al., 2000). Aerobic degradation is catalysed by hydrolytic enzymes and reactive oxygen radicals that can break bonds in refractory organic compounds such as lignin and cellulose, and facilitate complete degradation of soil organic carbon (SOC) to CO₂
- 10 (Canfield, 1994). However, when soils are flooded, O_2 penetration is dramatically reduced, since O_2 solubility in water is low and O_2 diffusion in water is 10^4 times slower than in air (Neira et al., 2015). O_2 will therefore be depleted by microbial and abiotic O_2 consuming processes in soils flooded with seawater, and become anoxic except for the upper few milimeters. In aquatic anoxic soils and sediments mutualistic consortia of microorganisms degrade organic macromolecules into smaller moieties by the excretion of exoenzymes and extracellular hydrolysis, which are then fermented into smaller organic
- 15 molecules, mainly acetate (Valdemarsen and Kristensen, 2010). The fermentation products are taken up by other microorganisms and oxidized to carbon dioxide (CO₂) by the reduction of alternative electron acceptors (e.g. nitrate, Mn oxides, Fe oxides and sulfate) (Arnosti, 2011; Glud, 2008). Sulfate is abundant in seawater, and microbial sulfate reduction (SR) is therefore expected to become a major mineralization pathway in soils flooded with seawater (Sutton-Grier et al., 2011; Weston et al., 2011).
- While some studies have looked at SOC mineralization pathways in different types of soils introduced to saltwater (Ardon et al., 2016; Chambers et al., 2013; Neubauer et al., 2013; Weston et al., 2006; Weston et al., 2011), a lot is still unknown about how the dynamics between initial SOC degradation to DOC and the terminal mineralization are affected by the introduction of saltwater (Herbert et al., 2015). Many soils subject to managed coastal realignment contain considerable amounts of SOC (Franzluebbers, 2010; Wolters et al., 2005). The degradation of SOC after flooding will depend on the rate of establishment of heterotrophic microbial communities and their ability to degrade SOC (Schmidt et al., 2011). Labile organic carbon may be easily degraded by marine microorganisms, while more complex organic carbon, and especially structurally complex organic compounds such as cellulose and lignin, may be virtually non-degradable in anoxic environments (Kim and Singh, 2000; Kristensen and Holmer, 2001). Flooding of coastal soils by sea level rise and coastal
- 30 In this study the fate of SOC after flooding with seawater was investigated in soils collected at Gyldensteen Strand on the northern coast of Fyn, Denmark, an area that was designated to be flooded in a coastal realignment project. We were especially interested in following the temporal establishment of dominating microbial pathways and quantifying the rates and temporal trajectories of SOC degradation in newly flooded soils. We hypothesized that (1) total SOC degradation activity in soils after flooding depends on SOC content and lability, and that (2) a large proportion of SOC will be non-

realignment may therefore cause significant preservation of the SOC contained in the soils at the time of flooding.

degradable due to the anoxic soil conditions forming after the flooding. To investigate the response in test these hypotheses we performed parallel mesocosm experiments with two different types of soils that were experimentally flooded with seawater. SOC-degradation and other biogeochemical developments in the mesocosms were traced with high temporal and spatial resolution for the next 12 months. The results showed how flooding with seawater impacts C-degradation and soil

biogeochemistry and formed the basis for an initial evaluation of potential feedbacks of flooding on atmospheric CO_2

5

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study site

concentrations.

This study was conducted in relation to the nature restoration project at Gyldensteen Strand funded by the Danish Aage V.
Jensen Nature Foundation. The sampling site (55°34'26.4"N 10°08'17.0"E) was a shallow intertidal habitat until 1871 (size of ~600 ha), where it was diked and continuously drained to create new land for agriculture. The reclaimed area was for the following 140 years mainly used for production of different crops such as onions and grains (Stenak, 2005). As a part of the nature restoration project, selected sections of the dikes were removed in March 2014 and 211 ha of the area were permanently flooded with seawater and turned into a shallow and mostly subtidal marine lagoon.

15 2.2 Experimental design and Sampling

Sampling for the mesocosm experiment was performed in November 2013, half a year before the flooding of the site, at two different stations representing uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils (Fig. 1). Station UC was located in an area with low elevation, which never could be properly drained. Station UC was therefore abandoned for agriculture and became a reed swamp that accumulated plant material and litter. Station C, however, resembled the majority of the re-flooded area that was

20 farmed since the land reclamation (fertilized, ploughed and used for monoculture, also illustrated in Fig. 1). From each station, 15 soil cores were sampled in 30 cm long, 8 cm internal diameter stainless steel core liners. The core liners were hammered 25 cm down into the soil, dug up with a spade and closed in both ends with rubber stoppers.

In the laboratory, the headspaces of individual soil cores were gently flooded with 22-26 salinity seawater collected from the shore face directly north of station UC (Fig. 1). Soil cores were then transferred to 70 L incubation tanks filled with

25 seawater. During the whole experiment the flooded cores were maintained at 15 °C and kept in darkness. The water in the tanks was rigorously aerated through air diffuser stones and 10-20 L of the seawater in the tanks was exchanged with fresh seawater (also collected from the shore face) every 14 days. Thus soil cores were incubated under constant environmental conditions, while factors such as diurnal temperature variations, tidal exchange, benthic primary production and bioturbation were omitted by the experimental setup.

The flooded soil cores were incubated for 12 months. Flux experiments were conducted with 3 random soil cores from each station at various times (weekly in the first month, biweekly for the next 3 months and monthly hereafter). Core sectionings were performed on 3 randomly selected soil cores from each station at different times during the experiment (before the flooding, 1 week after and after 2, 4, 6 and 12 months).

5 2.2.1 Flux experiments

Fluxes of O_2 , dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and $TCO_2 (= CO_3^{2^2} + HCO_3^2 + H_2CO_3)$ between soil and overlying water were measured regularly as described above. Cores were equipped with stirring magnets, closed with rubber stoppers and placed around a central magnet rotating at 60 rpm and hereafter incubated for about 4 hours in darkness. O_2 was measured and water samples were taken in the headspace of the soil cores at the beginning and end of incubations. O_2 was measured with

10 an optical dissolved oxygen meter (YSI ProODO). DOC samples were stored at -20 °C until analysis using a Shimadzu TOC-5000 Total Organic Analyzer. Samples for TCO₂ analysis were kept in 3 mL gas-tight exetainers for a maximum of 1 week until analysis by flow injection (Hall and Aller, 1992).

2.2.2 Core sectioning

25

Core sectioning was performed by slicing each soil core into 6 depth intervals (0-1, 1-2, 3-5, 5-10, 10-15 and 15-20 cm).
Porewater was extracted from each depth interval by centrifugation and GF/C filtration in double centrifuge tubes (500 g, 10 min). The porewater was sampled for various parameters; 500 μL porewater were preserved with 30 μL saturated HgCl₂ for TCO₂, 250 μL porewater were preserved with 50 μL 1 M zinc acetate (ZnAc) for total dissolved sulfide (TH₂S = H₂S + HS⁻ + S₂⁻) analysis, 250 μL porewater were preserved with 100 μL 0.5 M HCl for Fe²⁺ analysis and remaining porewater was stored at -20 °C until analysis for sulfate (SO₄²⁻) and DOC. TCO₂ and DOC samples were stored and analyzed as described above. TH₂S samples were analyzed by the method of Cline (1969). Fe²⁺ samples were analyzed by the Ferrozine method (Stookey, 1970). SO₄²⁻ was analyzed by liquid ion chromatography on a Dionex ICS-2000 system.

Reactive iron, RFe, was extracted from soil subsamples from every depth interval with 0.5 M HCl for 30 min while shaking (Lovley and Phillips, 1987). After centrifugation (500 g, 10 min) the supernatant was transferred to sampling vials and stored at room temperature until analysis for reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) [RFe(II) and RFe(III), respectively]. The supernatant was analyzed for Fe^{2+} and RFe by the ferrozine method (Stookey 1970) before and after reduction with hydroxylamine (Lovley and Phillips, 1987). RFe(II) was calculated directly, while RFe(III) was calculated from the difference between RFe and RFe(II). An estimate of total Fe content was obtained by boiling combusted soil subsamples in 1 M HCl for 1 hour at 120 °C. The supernatant was stored at room temperature until analysis by the ferrozine method.

Acid volatile sulfides (AVS) (Rickard and Morse, 2005) and chromium reducible sulfur (CRS) were determined on soil subsamples preserved with 1 M ZnAc and stored at -20 °C until analysis. AVS and CRS were extracted by 2-step

30 soil subsamples preserved with 1 M ZnAc and stored at -20 °C until analysis. AVS and CRS were extracted by 2-step distillation as described in Fossing and Jørgensen (1998). Sulfide concentrations in the distillates were analyzed by the method described by Cline (1969).

Soil characteristics were also determined for every depth interval during every core sectioning. Soil density was determined gravimetrically and soil subsamples were dried (24 h, 105 °C) to determine water content and porosity. Soil organic matter content was measured as the weight loss of dry sediment after combustion (520 °C, 5 hours). SOC on selected soil samples (samples obtained after 1 week and 6 months) was also measured by elemental analysis on Carlo Erba CHN EA1108 Elemental Analyzer according to Kristensen and Andersen (1987).

2.2.3 Anoxic incubations (Jar experiments)

Depth distribution of microbial TCO₂ and DOC production and SR were estimated from anoxic soil incubations (Kristensen and Hansen, 1995; Quintana et al., 2013). The excess soil from core sectionings was pooled into 4 depth intervals (0-2, 2-5, 5-10 and 15-20 cm), thoroughly homogenized and tightly packed into 6-8 glass scintillation vials (20 mL). The vials were closed with screw caps, buried head-down in anoxic mud and incubated at 15 °C in darkness. 2 jars from each jar series were

10 closed with screw caps, buried head-down in anoxic mud and incubated at 15 °C in darkness. 2 jars from each jar series were sacrificed every week for porewater extraction in the following 4 weeks. The screw caps were changed to a perforated lid containing a GF/C filter and the jars were centrifuged upside-down in a centrifuge tube (10 min at 500 g). The extracted porewater was sampled and analyzed for TCO₂, DOC and SO₄²⁻ as described above.

2.3 Data analysis

5

- 15 Fluxes of TCO₂, DOC and O₂ were calculated from the concentration differences between start and end samples. Microbial rates in jar experiments (DOC and TCO₂ production and SR) were calculated for 0-2, 2-5, 5-10, 15-20 cm depth intervals by fitting the time dependent concentration changes by linear regressions after removing obvious outliers (visual check). When the slopes were significant (p < 0.05), the volume specific reaction rates (nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) in individual depth layers were calculated from the regression slopes corrected for sediment porosity. Microbial reaction rates, porewater and solid pools
- 20 were depth integrated over 0-20 cm and converted to area specific units. Linear data interpolation was used to correct for missing data points, e.g. for the depth interval 10-15 cm where microbial rates were not measured. There was a significant linear correlation between organic matter content and SOC for both sampling stations $[OC(\%) = 0.442 \times LOI(\%) + 0.178,$ $r^2=0.987$, n=36]. This correlation was used to convert organic matter into SOC for the time points where SOC was not directly measured. A one-way ANOVA was performed on area specific SOC pools at the different time points to test for
- 25 significant changes in the SOC pools over time. Depth integrated SR rates were normalized to C-units since an almost 2:1 relationship between TCO_2 production and SR (Jørgensen, 2006) was observed throughout the experiment. Errors for soil characteristics, fluxes, porewater and solid pools were calculated as standard errors of the mean (SEM). Errors for depthintegrated values of microbial rates and solid pools were calculated as standard errors propagation (SEP) of standard deviation (SD) values following \pm Eq. (1):

$$30 \quad SEP = \sqrt{SD_{0-1\,cm}^2 + \dots + SD_{15-20\,cm}^2} \tag{1}$$

In a carbon budget estimating SOC degradation during the experiment, total degradation of SOC (mol m^2) was calculated as the sum of the time integrated TCO₂ efflux, time integrated DOC efflux and area specific TCO₂ and DOC in porewater by the end of the experiment. The percentage of the initial SOC pool degraded during the experiment was calculated from the estimated total degradation of SOC and mean bulk SOC pool. In a time specific carbon degradation budget, total degradation

5 to TCO₂ was calculated as the sum of time integrated TCO₂ efflux and accumulated porewater TCO₂ at different time points after flooding (1 week and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months). Based on the jar experiments, total anaerobic TCO₂ production and TCO₂ production by SR (according to a 2:1 relationship between TCO2 production and SR) was calculated by time integration at different time points after flooding (1 week and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months). Relative contributions of SR to anaerobic degradation to TCO₂ were estimated from TCO₂ production and TCO₂ production by SR measured in jar experiments.

10 3 Results

3.1 Soil characteristics

The two sampled stations had very different soil appearance, as a result of different use after the land reclamation (i.e. no cultivation and cultivation). Station UC was overgrown with mosses and grasses, and a dense layer of roots and litter characterized the upper 5 cm of the soil, while the deeper parts of the soil (>10 cm depth) consisted of clay. At station C only

- 15 relatively small amounts of grass and root material were evident in the upper 5 cm. Some of the vegetation was still alive 2 months after the flooding, as indicated by long green grass leaves seeking light, but it slowly died out thereafter. The soil at both stations contained partially degraded shell material from gastropods and bivalves remaining from when the area was a marine lagoon before 1871.
- There was very little variation in soil characteristics between successive core sectionings, so results were averaged for the whole experiment (Table 1). The water content at station UC decreased with depth from 83 % at the top to 35 % in the bottom, while water content only decreased from 32 % to 20 % at station C. The same depth trend was observed for porosity. The high water content and porosity at station UC was caused by high amounts of plant material (e.g. roots), while the soil at station C was sandy, homogenous and poor in organic debris.
- Soil organic content varied greatly with depth at station UC, and the topsoil was enriched with SOC (16 %) compared to the bottom (1 %) (Table 1). SOC varied between 0.8 and 1.4 % at station C with no depth variation. A one-way ANOVA showed no significant difference between the SOC contents at the different time points at either station UC or C (df = 17, F = 1.9, p = 1.16 for both stations).

3.2 CO₂ and DOC efflux, and O₂ consumption

TCO₂ effluxes in UC soil were highest in the beginning of the experiment with a maximum of 239 ± 30 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 30 measured on day 13 (Fig. 2a). Subsequently it decreased to about 130 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 31-199 days after flooding and stabilized around 67 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ from day 220 to the end. The TCO₂ effluxes in C soil were relatively constant around an average of 29 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

High DOC efflux was evident 1 day after flooding at station UC (108±3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Fig. 2b), while it decreased to around 60 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ 6-20 days after flooding and to 17 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ after approximately 2 months to the end. DOC effluxes at station C showed a similar pattern, averaging 25 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in the first 2 months after flooding, and decreasing to an average of 5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the remaining experiment.

 O_2 consumption decreased almost linearly during the 1-year experiment on both stations (Fig. 2c). At station UC initial O_2 consumption was 57±3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, 1-45 days after flooding, and then it steadily decreased to 19±3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ by the end. At station C there was a less pronounced temporally decreasing trend. O_2 consumption was highest initially with about 26 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at day 1-13 and then decreased to 9±0.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ by the end.

3.3 Porewater chemistry

5

Porewater DOC was high 1 week after flooding at both stations (on average 10.4 and 3.8 mM at stations UC and C, respectively; Fig. 3a). Over the experiment porewater DOC decreased slightly in UC soil, while it increased slightly in C soil.

- 15 Porewater TCO_2 concentrations in UC soil were in the range of 5-13 mM between 1 week and 2 months after flooding, and profiles showed a slightly increasing pattern with depth (Fig. 3b). Afterwards an unexpected drop in TCO_2 concentrations, especially in the deep soil (>2 cm depth), was observed. This was likely an experimental artifact, however, caused by extremely high Fe²⁺ concentrations >2 mM in the porewater. During sample storage the Fe²⁺ got oxidized to Feoxyhydroxides and formed an orange-brown precipitate at the bottom of the sample containers, probably leading to sample-
- 20 acidification and TCO₂ degassing (Moses et al. 1987; Hedin 2006). Porewater TCO₂ concentrations in UC soil after 4 months were affected by this artifact. In C soil, porewater Fe^{2+} did not accumulate at the same rate as in UC soil and only exceeded 2 mM in the 10-20 cm depth layer after 6 months. Here porewater TCO₂ accumulated gradually over time as expected (Fig. 3b). Rapid TCO₂ accumulation occurred in the first 2 months, where TCO₂ increased from 3-5 mM to 11 mM below 3 cm depth. After 2 months to the end, TCO₂ increased further in the 2-10 cm depth interval, while a decrease
- 25 occurred below 10 cm depth, which was probably related to Fe^{2+} exceeding 2 mM.

High concentrations of SO_4^{2-} were introduced to the soil when flooded with seawater. Yet the initial water infiltration and diffusion was the only transport mechanism for dissolved SO_4^{2-} in the mesocosm setup and the experimental period was evidently not sufficiently long to achieve equilibrium in SO_4^{2-} in porewater concentrations down to 20 cm depth. As a result, porewater SO_4^{2-} decreased steeply with depth at both stations (Fig. 3c). By the end of the experiment in UC soil,

30 $SO_4^{2^-}$ decreased from ~17 mM at the surface to zero below 10 cm depth. In C soil $SO_4^{2^-}$ decreased linearly from ~17 mM at the surface to 0-2 mM at the bottom.

After 7 days of flooding the Fe^{2+} depth distribution in porewater was constant with depth, with on average 0.02 and 0.2 mM at station UC and C, respectively (Fig. 3d). Afterwards a progressive increase in porewater Fe^{2+} was observed at

both stations. At station UC Fe^{2+} increased to up to 1.3 ± 0.6 mM at 5-15 cm depth after 2 months and stabilized after 6 months, where Fe^{2+} exceeded 4 mM below 5 cm depth. The same trend was observed at station C, where Fe^{2+} accumulated to up to 3.7 mM at 15-20 cm depth after 12 months.

3.4 Anaerobic net DOC production in jar experiments

5 Net DOC production after 1 week of flooding was high in the surface 0-2 cm at station UC (2666±695 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹; Fig. 4a) and decreased exponentially with depth to 203±23 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ at 15-20 cm depth. A gradually decreasing net DOC production was observed in all depth layers over the experiment, and by the end significant net DOC production (121-172 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) was only detected in the upper 0-5 cm. A similar pattern in net DOC production was observed at station C, although rates were much lower than at station UC. After 1 week of flooding, net DOC production at station C was 1155±158 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ in the upper 0-2 cm of the soil but only 66-83 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ below. After 4 months it had decreased to 135 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ in the top 0-2 cm and no net DOC production was detected below 5 cm depth. Very low rates (21-25)

nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) were detected in the top 0-5 cm by the end.

Depth integrated net DOC production at station UC was initially 118-133 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ in the first 2 months after flooding and then gradually declined to 8 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 12 months (Fig. 5). Initial depth integrated net DOC production

15 at station C was 4-fold lower than at station UC. Net DOC production in C soil decreased by 75 % in the first 2 months after flooding and almost no net DOC production occurred after 6 months.

3.5 Anaerobic TCO₂ production in jar experiments

20

Initial depth trends in TCO₂ production were generally similar to those observed for DOC, but temporal trends were markedly different (Fig. 4b). At station UC, TCO₂ production was initially almost 1000 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ in the top 0-2 cm and decreased to 380 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ at 15-20 cm depth. After 2 months, TCO₂ production had increased in the surface 0-2 cm to 6250 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹, while rates below 10 cm depth remained relatively low. After 4 months, TCO₂ production decreased to about 2500 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ in the top 0-2 cm, while it was not possible to determine TCO₂ production rates directly for soil

deeper than 5 cm due to the problem with extremely high porewater Fe^{2+} described above. As seen below, porewater SO_4^{2-}

- concentrations were not affected by the high porewater Fe^{2+} concentrations. For the affected data points TCO_2 production 25 was calculated as rate of SR x 2, assuming that SR was the dominating CO_2 producing process in the anoxic soil (Jørgensen, 2006). The calculations showed that TCO_2 production had decreased further after 6 and 12 months in the top 5 cm (600-1000 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) and was quite stable below (0-85 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹). TCO_2 production rates were generally much lower in C soil, while relative trends for TCO_2 production and their development over time were quite similar between stations. Maximum TCO_2 production rates occurred at 0-2 cm depth, where TCO_2 production varied from 400 to 780 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ between 1
- 30 week and 2 months and then gradually decreased to 110 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ by the end. Similar trends were observed in the deeper soil, where TCO₂ production decreased from 180-310 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ after 7 days to 7-53 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ after 12 months.

Area specific TCO₂ production at station UC was initially 115-200 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ in the first 2 months, and decreased to 40 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 6 months (Fig. 5). At station C area specific TCO₂ production was relatively stable around 44 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ for the first 4 months and decreased to 21 and 10 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ after 6 and 12 months, respectively.

3.6 SR in jar experiments

- 5 Significant SR was measured in the top 0-5 cm (470 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹) in UC soil 1 week after flooding, while no SR was detected below (Fig. 4c). After 2 months, high SR was only measured in the top 0-2 cm (3128±190 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹). After 4 months SR was still highest in the topsoil (1217±147 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹), while significant SR was detected down to 10 cm depth. From 4 months to the end, SR gradually decreased at all depths to 338±147 and 43±6 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ at 0-2 and 5-10 cm depth, respectively. Since SO₄²⁻ did not reach the bottom (15-20 cm) during the experiment at station UC, no SR occurred here. In C soil SR occurred at considerably lower rates than in UC soil. After 1 week SR was 177±25 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹ at 0-2 cm
- depth and decreased exponentially with depth to zero at 15-20 cm depth. By month 2 and 4, SR occurred at all depths (20-159 nmol cm⁻³ d⁻¹). Afterwards SR decreased in the upper 15 cm while no SR was detected in the 15-20 cm depth interval.

Depth integrated SR at station UC increased from 24 to 63 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ between week 1 and month 2, corresponding to 48 and 126 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ carbon mineralization, respectively (Fig. 5). SR had decreased to 27.7 mmol m^{-2}

15 d^{-1} after 12 months. SR increased during the first 4 months in C soil (6 to 12 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and then decreased to 4 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ after 12 months.

3.7 Solid pools of Fe and S

Before flooding, RFe(II) in UC soil increased with depth from 4 μmol cm⁻³ at 0-1 cm depth to 13 μmol cm⁻³ at 15-20 cm depth, while a corresponding increase in RFe(III) occurred from 19 to 44 μmol cm⁻³ (Fig. 6). The RFe pools at station C
were relatively constant with depth, on average 2.5 and 23 μmol cm⁻³ for RFe(II) and RFe(III), respectively. Twelve months after flooding, RFe(II) in UC soil had increased to 34-59 μmol cm⁻³, while RFe(III) had accumulated to 134.5±85 μmol cm⁻³ in the top and decreased to an average of 4 μmol cm⁻³ below. A similar trend was obtained in C soil with RFe(III) accumulating to 51.9±1.4 μmol cm⁻³ on the surface. In UC and C soil, total RFe initially consisted of 78 and 92 % Fe(III), respectively, while it was reduced to 19 and 10 % by the end. Clearly, RFe(III) became reduced to RFe(II) during the experiment due to the anoxic conditions created by flooding.

The RFe content was quite heterogeneous at the study sites and there were large variations between soil cores. Based on all the depth profiles obtained over the experiment, average total Fe content in UC and C soil was 19.3 ± 2.8 mol m⁻² and 26.7 ± 1.8 mol m⁻², respectively.

Although jar experiments suggested high SR in both soil types, dissolved sulfide (TH₂S) was never detected in the
porewater. Instead, a large fraction of the sulfide produced during SR accumulated as AVS and CRS in both soil types (Fig.
7). One week after flooding, AVS and CRS in UC soil were low (0.2-2.7 µmol cm⁻³), except at 2-5 cm depth where AVS content was slightly elevated. 12 months after flooding, AVS and CRS had increased to 25±10 and 41±11 µmol cm⁻³ at 2-5

cm depth, respectively, while no accumulation occurred below 10 cm depth. A similar pattern was observed in C soil, where AVS and CRS were initially constant with depth averaging 0.1 and 3.5 μ mol cm⁻³, respectively, and accumulated to 6.4±1 and 8.4±0.7 μ mol cm⁻³ after 12 months of flooding, respectively. Over the whole experiment total sulfide accumulated as AVS and CRS gradually increased, from 0.5 mol m⁻² before flooding to 4.7 mol m⁻² after 12 months in UC soil, and from 0.63 to 2 mol m⁻² in C soil.

5

3.8 Budgets for SOC degradation

Area specific SOC pools were 710.9 \pm 54 and 232.5 \pm 22 mol m⁻² (n = 18) in UC and C soil, respectively (Table 2). Total SOC degradation estimated as the sum of TCO₂ and DOC effluxes, and porewater accumulation over the 1-year experiment was 49.6 and 14.8 mol m⁻² at station UC and C, respectively, corresponding to 7 and 6 % of the SOC pools.

- 10 Total SOC mineralization to TCO_2 was estimated as the sum of TCO_2 efflux and porewater accumulation during the whole experiment (Table 3), which was 40.0 and 12.0 mol m⁻² at station UC and C respectively. The importance of anaerobic SOC degradation for total TCO_2 mineralization could be calculated from jar experiments, and a total of 32.6 and 10.8 mol m⁻² SOC was converted to TCO_2 anaerobically, corresponding to 82 and 90 % of flux-based total TCO_2 production at station UC and C, respectively. The SR measured in jar experiments corresponded to 25.3 and 4.3 mol m⁻² CO₂ production
 - 15 at station UC and C during the experiment. Thus 63 and 36 % of the flux-based total TCO₂ production was driven by SR in UC and C soil, respectively, starting at 30-40 % after 1 week and gradually increasing up to 100 % by the end of the experiment. This means that the remaining 19 and 54 % of the flux-based total TCO₂ production was produced by other anaerobic processes than SR in UC and C soil, respectively (e.g. nitrate or Fe reduction).

4 Discussion

20 4.1 Temporal trends in SOC degradation

The UC and C soil had very different organic content. UC soil had not been used for agriculture and organic matter consisting of dead and alive plant matter had accumulated in the topsoil (Table 1), while lower organic matter content was evident in C soil due to lower plant cover and regular mechanical soil reworking during agricultural cultivation (Benbi et al., 2015; Six et al., 1998). Consequently, the bulk SOC pool was 3 times higher in UC soil than in C soil. The source of soil

- 25 organic matter at both stations was terrestrial and wetland plants such as grasses, reed and herbs rich in cellulose and lignified tissues (Arndt et al., 2013; Sullivan, 1955). Such organic matter is refractory towards degradation in anaerobic marine sediments (Kristensen, 1990, 1994) compared to structurally simple phytoplankton, microphytobenthos and macroalgae, which are common organic carbon sources in coastal marine sediments (Dubois et al., 2012; Fry et al., 1977). It was therefore uncertain to which extent the SOC at Gyldensteen Strand could serve as substrate for developing microbial
- 30 communities after the flooding with seawater. Nevertheless, we observed high heterotrophic activity (e.g. O2 uptake and
 - 10

 TCO_2 production) right after the flooding, indicating that at least part of the SOC in both soil types was readily available for microbial degradation.

Cleavage of particulate organic carbon to DOC by extracellular enzymes is the primary degradation step in waterlogged anoxic soils and sediments (Arnosti, 2011; Weiss et al., 1991). The produced DOC is hereafter converted into

- 5 short chain fatty acids and acetate, by microbially mediated fermentation and hydrolysis, which then are terminally oxidized to CO_2 by e.g. SR (Canfield et al., 2005; Valdemarsen and Kristensen, 2010). DOC production can therefore generally be considered the rate-limiting step for organic carbon degradation. However, a small proportion of produced DOC is recalcitrant and may accumulate in soil pore water over time in an experimental setup without advective porewater transport. In this experiment we observed high DOC concentrations in porewater and highest DOC production in jar experiments
- 10 already 7 days after flooding with seawater (Fig. 3a & 5). Part of this DOC may have leached to the porewater as a result of e.g. cell lysis due to flooding (Kalbitz et al., 2000), while the rest was produced by microbial degradation of particulate SOC (Kim and Singh, 2000). Microbial degradation of soil organic matter to DOC was initiated immediately after flooding irrespective of the shift to anoxic conditions. Differences in DOC production rates indicated that the availability of degradable SOC was clearly highest in UC soil compared to C soil following the overall difference in total SOC content.
- 15 However, total DOC production ceased rapidly in both soil types and was close to zero after 1 year. Valdemarsen et al. 2014 similarly observed gradually decreasing DOC production over 2 years in 8 different sediment types from Odense Fjord, indicating gradual depletion of degradable organic matter despite high sediment organic content and abundance of energetically favourable electron acceptors. It therefore appears that only a minor portion of SOC (6-7 %; Table 2) is available for microbial degradation under the present conditions (flooded with seawater and anoxic conditions). The low
- 20 degradability of SOC after flooding probably reflects limitations of the anaerobic microbial communities to degrade complex organic matter of terrestrial origin (Fors et al., 2008; Yucel et al., 2013).

Heterotrophic DOC oxidizing microbes were also active immediately after flooding as shown by initial TCO_2 effluxes and high TCO_2 production in the jar experiments 7 days after flooding (Fig. 2a & 5). Rapid microbial CO_2 production has previously been observed in experiments with experimentally flooded soils (Chambers et al., 2011; Neubauer

- et al., 2013; Weston et al., 2011). In both soil types, TCO_2 production in the surface soil increased over the first 2 months, peaked, and then decreased gradually towards the end. These temporal dynamics were out of phase with DOC availability, indicating that microbes oxidizing DOC to CO_2 adapt slower to flooded conditions than fermenting and hydrolyzing microbes. Similar cases of initial DOC-production due to leaching and/or substrate hydrolysis outpacing fermentation and SR has been observed before (Arnosti et al., 1994), maybe due to lag response in the microbial community (Bruchert and
- 30 Arnosti, 2003). Nevertheless, the majority (~80 %; Table 2) of produced DOC over the whole experiment was oxidized completely to TCO₂, while the rest effluxed to the overlying water (~19 %) or accumulated in porewater (~1 %).

4.2 SOC degradation pathways

 SO_4^{2-} was an important electron acceptor in both soils and SR accounted for 63 and 36 % of the total TCO₂ production during the experiment in UC and C soil, respectively (Table 3). One week after flooding, active SR corresponding to 30-40 % of anaerobic TCO₂ production was detected in the jar experiment. The relative importance of SR increased gradually over

- 5 the experiment and by the end accounted for up to 100 % of the anaerobic TCO_2 production in both soil types. This is in accordance with Weston et al. (2006) who measured SR in freshwater marsh soil exposed to saltwater in anoxic flow through reactors, and found that the relative importance of SR for total TCO_2 production increased from 18 % initially to >95 % after 4 weeks. The delay in SR probably reflects a lag phase for the community of SO_4^{2-} reducing microbes to respond to elevated SO_4^{2-} levels. The delay in SR could also reflect initial competition with other TCO_2 producing pathways (e.g. NO_3^{-} and Fe
- 10 reduction) in the time right after flooding when NO_3^- and oxidized Fe might have been abundant. However, as the soil became reduced due to increased SOC degradation activity and limited O_2 supply, electron acceptors other than SO_4^{2-} were rapidly depleted and SR became the dominant respiration pathway.

By combining results from flux and jar experiments it was possible to confine the relative importance of different microbial respiration pathways in flooded soils. The difference between TCO_2 effluxes (aerobic + anaerobic processes) and

- 15 TCO₂ production in jar experiments (anaerobic processes) suggested that aerobic respiration only played a minor role in the flooded soils (18 and 10 % in UC and C soil, respectively). On the other hand, SR was quantitatively a very important pathway, constituting 63 and 36 % of total C-mineralization to TCO₂ in UC and C soil, respectively. Hence 19 (UC) to 54 % (C) of TCO₂ production occurred by respiration processes not directly accounted for. Weston et al. (2006) found that Fe reduction was responsible for about 60 % of CO₂ production in the first 4 days after saltwater intrusion in coastal soils. When
- 20 considering the high initial concentrations and the rapid decrease in soil RFe(III) in our experiment (Fig. 6), respiratory Fereduction was probably an important respiration process initially. However, based on this experiment it was not possible to distinguish between biological and chemical Fe-reduction.

4.3 Fate of SOC

- In this study we observed that only 6-7 % of the total SOC pool in coastal soils was degraded by microbial processes in the first year after flooding with seawater. The low final SOC degradation rates, and especially the very low final DOC production in both soil types, suggest that the majority of SOC present in soils at the time of flooding will be permanently buried due to the limited ability of anaerobic microbial communities to degrade complex organic matter of terrestrial origin (Burdige, 2007; Canfield, 1994; Hedges and Keil, 1995). For comparison Neubauer et al. (2013) similarly found long-term reduction of degradation rates and lability of SOC pools in a tidal freshwater marsh experiencing saltwater intrusion, which
- 30 also support preservation of SOC. Hence flooding of coastal soils due to sea level rise or intentional flooding by managed realignment may lead to significant C-preservation. At Gyldensteen Strand SOC burial will be in the order of $48\pm6\cdot10^3$ kg SOC ha⁻¹ (average \pm SEM, n = 30) when considering a detailed investigation of the soil characteristics down to 20 cm depth

(T. Valdemarsen, unpublished results). However, this C-preservation does not constitute a permanent C-sink as it only relates to the SOC buried in the soils at the time of flooding.

4.4 Efficient Fe-driven sulfide buffering in flooded soils

- Accumulation of free H₂S is often seen in metabolically active organic enriched marine sediments, where it has toxic effects
 on benthic fauna (Hargrave et al., 2008; Valdemarsen et al., 2010). It was therefore a concern if free H₂S would accumulate in the soils from Gyldensteen after flooding, since this could hamper the succession of benthic fauna as well as overall ecological developments. However, despite the extremely high initial SR rates in the flooded soils, comparable to SR measured beneath fish farms (Bannister et al., 2014; Holmer et al., 2003) no accumulation of free H₂S occurred in any of the soil types. Dent (1986); Portnoy and Giblin (1997); Weston et al. (2011) also observed a similar lack of H₂S accumulation in soils introduced to saltwater, suggesting that newly flooded soils have a high capacity to buffer H₂S. Budget considerations suggest that most of the produced H₂S was immediately re-oxidized, e.g. with O₂ in the surface soils, while a significant proportion (37 and 93 % in UC and C soil, respectively) precipitated as different Fe-S compounds, for instance FeS and Fe₃S₄ in AVS and FeS₂ and S⁰ in CRS (Reddy and DeLaune, 2008; Rickard and Morse, 2005; Valdemarsen et al., 2010).
- The depth profiles of solid Fe and S showed that sulfide precipitation occurred at the same depths where active SR was measured, i.e. in the upper 10 cm in UC soil and down to 20 cm depth in C soil. The decreasing microbial activity and increasing Fe(II) over time will create a long term sulfide buffering capacity in the soil (Schoepfer et al., 2014).

5 Conclusions

In this study a rapid stimulation of heterotrophic microbial degradation of SOC was observed in two different soils (uncultivated or cultivated) following flooding with seawater. Degradation rates peaked in the first 2 months after flooding,

- and hereafter gradually declined to low levels after 1 year. Microbial SR was rapidly established in both soil types and was the dominating respiration pathway. Nevertheless, despite extremely high SR rates, H_2S did not accumulate in the soils as it was re-oxidized with O_2 at the soil-water interphase or precipitated with Fe to form AVS and CRS. All three hypotheses stated initially were confirmed. Total SOC degradation activity in the tested soils clearly did depend on SOC content (hypothesis 1) and was 3-fold higher in organic rich uncultivated soil compared to the organic poor cultivated soil. However,
- only a small proportion of SOC (6-7 %) was degraded in the first year after flooding, and when considering the low final SOC degradation rates, it appears that a large proportion of SOC is non-degradable under anoxic marine conditions and will essentially be preserved after flooding (hypothesis 2). Hence this study suggests that in soils flooded with seawater the majority of SOC will be permanently preserved.
- 30 *Acknowledgements.* We thank technician Birthe Christiansen for help with chemical analyses. Further we thank Erik Kristensen and Marianne Holmer for valuable discussions and for initiating research at Gyldensteen Strand. This work was
 - 13

supported by a grant from the Danish National Research Foundation (grant number: DNRF53) and the Aage V. Jensen Nature Foundation.

References

50

Ardon, M., Helton, A. M., and Bernhardt, E. S.: Drought and saltwater incursion synergistically reduce dissolved organic carbon export from coastal freshwater wetlands, Biogeochemistry, 127, 411-426, 2016.
Ardon, M., Morse, J. L., Colman, B. P., and Bernhardt, E. S.: Drought-induced saltwater incursion leads to increased wetland nitrogen export, Glob Chang Biol, 19, 2976-2985, 2013.
Arndt, S., Jorgensen, B. B., LaRowe, D. E., Middelburg, J. J., Pancost, R. D., and Regnier, P.: Quantifying the degradation of organic matter in marine sediments: A review and synthesis, Earth-Science Reviews, 123, 53-86, 2013.
Arnosti, C.: Microbial extracellular enzymes and the marine carbon cycle, Ann Rev Mar Sci, 3, 401-425, 2011.
Arnosti, C., Repeta, D. J., and Blough, N. V.: Rapid bacterial degradation of polysaccharides in anoxic marine systems, Geochimica et

cosmochimica acta, 58, 2639-2652, 1994. Bannister, R. J., Valdemarsen, T., Hansen, P. K., Holmer, M., and Ervik, A.: Changes in benthic sediment conditions under an Atlantic salmon farm at a deep, well-flushed coastal site, Aquaculture Environment Interactions, 5, 29-47, 2014.

15 Benbi, D. K., Brar, K., Toor, A. S., and Singh, P.: Total and labile pools of soil organic carbon in cultivated and undisturbed soils in northern India, Geoderma, 237, 149-158, 2015.
Description: W. Falmer, M. D. Schwarzschall, P. C. and Padda, L. Lining, in a function of function of function of the development of the standard sta

Boer, W., Folman, L. B., Summerbell, R. C., and Boddy, L.: Living in a fungal world: impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development, FEMS Microbiol Rev, 29, 795-811, 2005.

Bruchert, V. and Arnosti, C.: Anaerobic carbon transformation: experimental studies with flow-through cells, Mar Chem, 80, 171-183, 20 2003.

Burdige, D. J.: Preservation of organic matter in marine sediments: controls, mechanisms, and an imbalance in sediment organic carbon budgets?, Chem Rev, 107, 467-485, 2007.

Canfield, D. E.: Factors influencing organic carbon preservation in marine sediments, Chem Geol, 114, 315-329, 1994.

Canfield, D. E., Kristensen, E., and Thamdrup, B.: Aquatic geomicrobiology, Advances in marine biology, 48, 1-599, 2005.

25 Chambers, L. G., Osborne, T. Z., and Reddy, K. R.: Effect of salinity-altering pulsing events on soil organic carbon loss along an intertidal wetland gradient: a laboratory experiment, Biogeochemistry, 115, 363-383, 2013.

Chambers, L. G., Reddy, K. R., and Osborne, T. Z.: Short-Term Response of Carbon Cycling to Salinity Pulses in a Freshwater Wetland, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 75, 2000-2007, 2011.

- Chirinda, N., Elsgaard, L., Thomsen, I. K., Heckrath, G., and Olesen, J. E.: Carbon dynamics in topsoil and subsoil along a cultivated toposequence, Catena, 120, 20-28, 2014.
- Church, J. A., Clark, P. U., Cazenave, A., Gregory, J. M., Jevrejeva, S., Levermann, A., Merrifield, M. A., Milne, G. A., Nerem, R. S., Nunn, P. D., Payne, A. J., Pfeffer, W. T., Stammer, D., and Unnikrishnan, A. S.: Sea Level Change. In: Climate Change: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., and A. Nauels, Y. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.
- Cline, J. D.: Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters, Limnology and Oceanography, 14, 454-458, 1969.
 Cooper, N. J.: The use of 'managed retreat' in coastal engineering, Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, 156, 101-110, 2003.
 Dent, D.: Acid sulphate soils: a baseline for research and development, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Netherlands, 1986.
- 40 Dubois, S., Savoye, N., Gremare, A., Plus, M., Charlier, K., Beltoise, A., and Blanchet, H.: Origin and composition of sediment organic matter in a coastal semi-enclosed ecosystem: An elemental and isotopic study at the ecosystem space scale, Journal of Marine Systems, 94, 64-73, 2012.

Dziejowski, J. E., Rimmer, A., and Steenhuis, T. S.: Preferential movement of oxygen in soils?, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 61, 1607-1610, 1997.

45 Fang, C. and Moncrieff, J. B.: The dependence of soil CO2 efflux on temperature, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 33, 155-165, 2001. FitzGerald, D. M., Fenster, M. S., Argow, B. A., and Buynevich, I. V.: Coastal impacts due to sea-level rise, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 36, 601-647, 2008.

Fors, Y., Nilsson, T., Risberg, E. D., Sandström, M., and Torssander, P.: Sulfur accumulation in pinewood (Pinus sylvestris) induced by bacteria in a simulated seabed environment: Implications for marine archaeological wood and fossil fuels, International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 62, 336-347, 2008.

Fossing, H. and Jørgensen, B.: Measurement of Bacterial Sulfate Reduction in Sediments: Evaluation of a Single-Step Chromium Reduction Method, Biogeochemistry, 8, 205-222, 1998.

Franzluebbers, A. J.: Soil organic carbon in managed pastures of the southeastern United States of America. In: Grassland carbon sequestration: management, policy and economics, Abberton, M., Conant, R., and Batello, C. (Eds.), Integrated Crop Management, Rome, 2010.

French, J. R.: Hydrodynamic modelling of estuarine flood defence realignment as an adaptive management response to sea-level rise, Journal of Coastal Research, 24, 1-12, 2008.

5

Fry, B., Scalan, R. A., and Parker, P. L.: Stable carbon isotope evidence for two sources of organic matter in coastal sediments: seagrasses and plankton, Geochimica et cosmochimica acta, Vol. 41, 1875-1877, 1977.

10 Gedan, K. B., Kirwan, M. L., Wolanski, E., Barbier, E. B., and Silliman, B. R.: The present and future role of coastal wetland vegetation in protecting shorelines: answering recent challenges to the paradigm, Climatic Change, 106, 7-29, 2011. Glud, R. N.: Oxygen dynamics of marine sediments, Marine Biology Research, 4, 243-289, 2008.

Hall, P. O. J. and Aller, R. C.: Rapid, Small-Volume, Flow Injection Analysis for Σ CO2 and NH4 + in Marine and Freshwaters, Limnology and Oceanography, 37, 1113-1119, 1992.

Hargrave, B. T., Holmer, M., and Newcombe, C. P.: Towards a classification of organic enrichment in marine sediments based on biogeochemical indicators, Mar Pollut Bull, 56, 810-824, 2008.
 Hedges, J. I. and Keil, R. G.: Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis, Mar Chem, 49, 81-115, 1995.

Herbert, E. R., Boon, P., Burgin, A. J., Neubauer, S. C., Franklin, R. B., Ardón, M., Hopfensperger, K. N., Lamers, L. P. M., and Gell, P.:

A global perspective on wetland salinization: ecological consequences of a growing threat to freshwater wetlands, Ecosphere, 6, art206, 2015.
 Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M., Heilskov, A., Olesen, B., and Terrados, J.: Biogeochemical conditions in sediments enriched by organic matter

Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M., Heilskov, A., Olesen, B., and Terrados, J.: Biogeochemical conditions in sediments enriched by organic matter from net-pen fish farms in the Bolinao area, Philippines, Mar Pollut Bull, 46, 1470-1479, 2003.

Hursh, A., Ballantyne, A., Cooper, L., Maneta, M., Kimball, J., and Watts, J.: The sensitivity of soil respiration to soil temperature, moisture, and carbon supply at the global scale, Global Change Biol, 23, 2090-2103, 2017.

Jørgensen, B. B.: Bacteria and Marine Biogeochemistry. In: Marine Geochemistry, Schulz, H. D. and Zabel, M. (Eds.), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2006.

Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J. H., Michalzik, B., and Matzner, E.: Controls on the dynamics of dissolved organic matter in soils: A review, Soil Science, 165, 277-304, 2000.

30 Kim, Y. S. and Singh, A. P.: Micromorphological characteristics of wood biodegradation in wet environments: A review, Iawa Journal, 21, 135-155, 2000.

Kristensen, E.: Characterization of Biogenic Organic Matter by Stepwise Thermogravimetry (STG), Biogeochemistry, 9, 135-159, 1990. Kristensen, E.: Decomposition of Macroalgae, Vascular Plants and Sediment Detritus in Seawater: Use of Stepwise Thermogravimetry,

- Biogeochemistry, 26, 1-24, 1994.
 Kristensen, E. and Andersen, F. O.: Determination of organic carbon in marine sediments: a comparison of two CHN-analyzer methods, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 109, 15-23, 1987.
 Kristensen, E. and Hanner, K. Determination of organic carbon in marine sediments: a comparison of two CHN-analyzer methods, Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 109, 15-23, 1987.
- Kristensen, E. and Hansen, K.: Decay of plant detritus in organic-poor marine sediment: Production rates and stoichiometry of dissolved C and N compounds, Journal of Marine Research, 53, 675-702, 1995.

Kristensen, E. and Holmer, M.: Decomposition of plant materials in marine sediment exposed to different electron acceptors (O2, NO3-,
 and SO42-), with emphasis on substrate origin, degradation kinetics, and the role of bioturbation, Geochimica et cosmochimica acta, 65, 419-433, 2001.

Lovley, D. R. and Phillips, E. J.: Rapid assay for microbially reducible ferric iron in aquatic sediments, Applied and environmental microbiology, 53, 1536-1540, 1987.

MacDonald, J. D., Costello, L. R., and Berger, T.: An evaluation of soil aeration status around healthy and declining oaks in an urban environment in California, Journal of Aboriculture, 19, 209-219, 1993.

Neira, J., Ortiz, M., Morales, L., and Acevedo, E.: Oxygen diffusion in soils: Understanding the factors and processes needed for modeling, Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 75, 35-44, 2015.

Neubauer, S. C., Franklin, R. B., and Berrier, D. J.: Saltwater intrusion into tidal freshwater marshes alters the biogeochemical processing of organic carbon, Biogeosciences, 10, 8171-8183, 2013.

50 Pethick, J.: Estuarine and tidal wetland restoration in the United Kingdom: Policy versus practice, Restoration Ecology, 10, 431-437, 2002. Portnoy, J. W.: Salt Marsh Diking and Restoration: Biogeochemical Implications of Altered Wetland Hydrology, Environ Manage, 24, 111-120, 1999.

Portnoy, J. W. and Giblin, A. E.: Biogeochemical effects of seawater restoration to diked salt marshes, Ecological Applications, 7, 1054-1063, 1997.

55 Quintana, C. O., Kristensen, E., and Valdemarsen, T.: Impact of the invasive polychaete Marenzelleria viridis on the biogeochemistry of sandy marine sediments, Biogeochemistry, 115, 95-109, 2013.



Reddy, K. R. and DeLaune, R. D.: Biogeochemistry of Wetlands: Science and Applications, CRC Press, 2008. Rickard, D. and Morse, J. W.: Acid volatile sulfide (AVS), Mar. Chem., 97, 141-197, 2005.

Roman, C. T. and Burdick, D. M.: Tidal Marsh Restoration: A Synthesis of Science and Management, Island Press, Washington, DC, 2012

- 5 Rustad, L. E., Campbell, J. L., Marion, G. M., Norby, R. J., Mitchell, M. J., Hartley, A. E., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Gurevitch, J., and Gcte-News: A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming, Oecologia, 126, 543-562, 2001.
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S., and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem 10 property, Nature, 478, 49-56, 2011.
- Schoepfer, V. A., Bernhardt, E. S., and Burgin, A. J.: Iron clad wetlands: Soil iron-sulfur buffering determines coastal wetland response to salt water incursion, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 119, 2209-2219, 2014. Six, J., Elliott, E. T., Paustian, K., and Doran, J. W.: Aggregation and soil organic matter accumulation in cultivated and native grassland soils, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 62, 1367-1377, 1998.
- Stenak, M.: Inddæmningerne på Nordfyn. In: De inddæmmede landskaber en historisk geografi, Landbohistorisk Selskab, 2005. 15 Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine - A New Spectrophotometric Reagent for Iron, Analytical Chemistry, 42, 779-781, 1970. Sullivan, J. T.: Cellulose and Lignin in Forage Grasses and Their Digestion Coefficients, Journal of Animal Science, 14, 710-717, 1955. Sutton-Grier, A. E., Keller, J. K., Koch, R., Gilmour, C., and Megonigal, J. P.: Electron donors and acceptors influence anaerobic soil organic matter mineralization in tidal marshes, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 43, 1576-1583, 2011.
- 20 Valdemarsen, T. and Kristensen, E.: Degradation of dissolved organic monomers and short-chain fatty acids in sandy marine sediment by fermentation and sulfate reduction, Geochimica et cosmochimica acta, 74, 1593-1605, 2010. Valdemarsen, T., Kristensen, E., and Holmer, M.: Sulfur, carbon, and nitrogen cycling in faunated marine sediments impacted by repeated organic enrichment, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 400, 37-53, 2010.
- Valdemarsen, T., Quintana, C. O., Kristensen, E., and Flindt, M. R.: Recovery of organic-enriched sediments through microbial 25 degradation: implications for eutrophic estuaries, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 503, 41-58, 2014.
- Weiss, M. S., Abele, U., Weckesser, J., Welte, W., Schiltz, E., and Schulz, G. E.: Molecular architecture and electrostatic properties of a bacterial porin, Science, 254, 1627-1630, 1991.
- Weston, N. B., Dixon, R. E., and Joye, S. B.: Ramifications of increased salinity in tidal freshwater sediments: Geochemistry and microbial pathways of organic matter mineralization, Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, 2006.
- 30 Weston, N. B., Vile, M. A., Neubauer, S. C., and Velinsky, D. J.: Accelerated microbial organic matter mineralization following salt-water intrusion into tidal freshwater marsh soils, Biogeochemistry, 102, 135-151, 2011. Wolters, M., Garbutt, A., and Bakker, J. P.: Salt-marsh restoration: evaluating the success of de-embankments in north-west Europe,
- Biological Conservation, 123, 249-268, 2005. Yucel, M., Galand, P. E., Fagervold, S. K., Contreira-Pereira, L., and Le Bris, N.: Sulfide production and consumption in degrading wood
- 35 in the marine environment, Chemosphere, 90, 403-409, 2013.

	Depth (cm)	Water content (%)	Porosity	SOC (%)		
Station UC	0.5	82.9 ± 0.7	0.82 ± 0.04	16.2 ± 0.8		
	1.5	75.5 ± 1.6	$0.97 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 0.02$	16.1 ± 1.2		
	3.5	60.5 ± 1.8	0.79 ± 0.01	11.0 ± 0.8		
	7.5	39.3 ± 0.9	0.60 ± 0.01	5.2 ± 0.2		
	12.5	33.0 ± 0.7	0.54 ± 0.01	3.5 ± 0.2		
	17.5	34.5 ± 0.8	0.56 ± 0.01	3.5 ± 0.2		
Station C	0.5	32.0 ± 0.6	0.58 ± 0.02	1.4 ± 0.0		
	1.5	$24.8 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.5$	0.53 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.0		
	3.5	21.6 ± 0.3	0.40 \pm 0.01	1.0 ± 0.0		
	7.5	18.9 ± 0.4	0.35 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.1		
	12.5	17.9 ± 0.3	0.34 ± 0.00	0.9 \pm 0.0		
	17.5	19.8 ± 0.4	0.37 ± 0.01	1.0 ± 0.0		

Table 1 Mean values of water content, porosity and soil organic carbon (SOC) for all core sectionings. Error indicated as SEM (n = 15).

Carbon budget (mol m ⁻²)	Station UC	Station C		
Initial SOC pool	710.9 ± 54	232.5 ± 22		
TCO ₂ efflux	39.9	11.2		
DOC efflux	8.9	2.4		
TCO ₂ porewater accumulation	0.1	0.8		
DOC porewater accumulation	0.7	0.5		
Total SOC degradation	49.6	14.8		
Percentage of SOC pool degraded	7 %	6 %		

Table 2 Carbon budget table showing mean soil organic carbon (SOC) \pm SEP (n = 18) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil. Total time integrated efflux and accumulation of total carbon dioxide (TCO₂) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in porewater are also shown.

	Table 3 Budget table showing cumulated time integrated total degradation to carbon dioxide (TCO ₂) in flooded uncultivated (UC)
	and cultivated (C) soil, based on TCO ₂ -fluxes and total anaerobic TCO ₂ production based on jar experiments. Estimated
	partitioning between aerobic respiration, sulfate reduction and other anaerobic respiration processes is also shown. Different times
10	after flooding are indicated by 1W[1 week] and 2M, 4M, 6M and 12 M [2, 4, 6 and 12 months, respectively].

	Station UC				Station C					
	1W	2M	4M	6M	12M	$1 \mathrm{W}$	2M	4M	6M	12M
Degradation to TCO ₂ (mol m ⁻²) Anaerobic degradation to TCO ₂ (mol m ⁻²)		10.4	18.8	27.4	40.0	0.5	2.7	5.0	6.6	12.0
		8.7	19.9	24.2	32.6	0.3	2.5	6.0	8.0	10.8
Aerobic respiration (% of total)		16	0	12	18	40	7	0	0	10
Sulfate reduction (% of total)		45	65	62	62	20	30	37	39	36
Other anaerobic respiration processes (% of total)		39	35	26	20	40	63	63	61	54



Figure 1 Map of Gyldensteen Strand with the location of the 2 sampling stations for collecting uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil cores. The dashed red line indicates the area flooded with seawater in March 2014.



Figure 2 Fluxes of total carbon dioxide (TCO₂, A), dissolved organic carbon (DOC, B) and oxygen (O₂) consumption (C) in soil cores with uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil after flooding. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).



Figure 3 Porewater profiles for dissolved organic carbon (DOC, A), total carbon dioxide (TCO₂, B), sulfate (SO₄²⁻) (C) and Fe²⁺ (D) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil flooded with seawater. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).



Figure 4 Temporal and spatial variability in production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC, A) and carbon dioxide (TCO₂, B) and sulfate reduction (SR) measured in jar experiments with uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils flooded with seawater. Note the different x-axis scaling for station UC and C measurements. Error bars indicate SEM.



Figure 5 Results from jar experiments showing area specific net production of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total carbon dioxide (TCO₂), and sulfate reduction (SR, based on SR rate measurements converted to C-units) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soil at different times after flooding (1 week [1W] and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months [2M, 4M, 6M and 12M, respectively). In columns marked with *, TCO₂ production was corrected with 2 x SR. Error bars indicate SEP (n = 4).



Figure 6 Upper panels show concentration of reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils before flooding (BFF) and 12 months after flooding. Lower panels show the relative contributions of reactive Fe(II) and Fe(III) in the upper 20 cm at various times after flooding (1 week [1W] and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months [2M, 4M, 6M and 12M], respectively). Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).



Figure 7 Upper panels show concentration of chromium reducible sulfides (CRS) and acid volatile sulfides (AVS) in uncultivated (UC) and cultivated (C) soils before flooding (BFF) and 12 months after flooding. Lower panels show the depth integrated pools of AVS and CRS in the upper 20 cm at various times after flooding (1 week [1W] and 2, 4, 6 and 12 months [2M, 4M, 6M and 12M], respectively). Error bars indicate SEM (n = 3).